# Cambridge Bible

for Schools and Colleges Linguist the contract with white

### Library of the University of Wisconsin



Reserve 2nd Sem. 1957-58-2 hour Reserve 1st Sem. 1958-59-2 hour Reserve 2nd Sem. 1958-59-2 hour Carry Comment

# THE CAMBRIDGE BIBLE FOR SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES GENERAL EDITOR FOR THE OLD TESTAMENT:—

GENERAL EDITOR FOR THE OLD TESTAMENT :
A. F. KIRKPATRICK, D.D.

DEAN OF ELY

THE BOOK OF
DEUTERONOMY

#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS C. F. CLAY, MANAGER LONDON: FEITER LANE, E.C. 4



NEW YORK; G. P. PUTNAMS SONS BOMBAT CALCUTTA, MAURAS; MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., TORKYO; THE MARUZEN KABUSHIKI KAISILA

All rights reserved





Bible, English
THE BOOK OF

# DEUTERONOMY

In the Revised Version

With Introduction and Notes

by

SIR GEORGE ADAM SMITH

Hon, D.D. Edinburgh, Dublin and Yale Hon, LL.D. Aberdeen, St Andrews and Wetteen Reserve Hon, LILD Cambridge Fellow of tha British Academy

> Cambridge : at the University Press

Google

IVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

- Google

300284 FEB -5 IS26 CBAO PREFACE

GENERAL EDITOR FOR THE OLD TESTAMENT

, THE present General Editor for the Old Testament in the Cambridge Bible for Schools and Colleges desires to say that, in accordance with the policy of his predecessor the Bishop of Worcester, he does not hold himself responsible for the particular interpretations adopted or for the opinions expressed by the editors of the several Books, nor has he endeavoured to bring them into agreement with one another. It is inevitable that there should be differences of opinion in regard to many questions of criticism and interpretation, and it seems best that these differences should find free expression in different volumes. He has endeavoured to secure, as far as possible, that the general scope and character of the series should be observed, and that views which have a reasonable claim to consideration should not be ignored, but he has felt it best that the final responsibility should, in general, rest with the individual contributors.

A. F. KIRKPATRICK.

CAMBRIDGE.

- Google

NIVER IT OF ISLONS

Google

#### PREFACE

THE Commentary which constitutes the bulk of this volume was practically complete by 19,4. I regret that other duties have prevented me till now from finishing the introduction. While writing this law carefully evised the Commentary. I am greatly indebted to the General Editor of the Senies for his patience with my work and for the many valuable suggestions he has made with regard to it.

The Deuteronomy of the late Professor Driver, in the

International Critical Commentary, is the standard English work on the subject; its wide learning and wise judgement ensure its continuance as the basis of all subsequent studies of the Book in our insquage. It admirably gathers up and appraises the result of a long ern of Britical Critician, and the propriate the results of a long ern of Britical Critician, analysis and the exposition of Deuteronomy—particularly in connection with the Singular and Patral forms of address to strate-have run through a new stage, modifying the old problems and starting feels ones. Ther have also been considerable additions to our knowledge of the relevant geography described and the starting feels ones. There have also been considerable additions to our knowledge of the relevant geography these recent efforts and results, and to revise in their light the conclusions of the earlier critical propriate and the starting feels of the enterior critical propriates.

Such work as I have done in this volume I desire to dedicate to the memory of two great scholars, long and closely associated in the study and interpretation of the Old Testament, FRANCIS BROWN and SAMUEL ROLLES DRIVER, in gratitude for all that I have learned from them and for the friendship with which they honoured me.

GEORGE ADAM SMITH

University of Aberdren 15 March 1918

- Google

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONS

#### CONTENTS

INTRODUC	Tion	PAGE
\$ 1-	Names	ix
§ 2.	General Content, Structure, and Style	x-xvii
Ø 3-	Standpoint, Doctrine and Sparit	zviti-zzzli
84-	Deuteronomy and the Law Book of Josiah	xt-ali
6 5-	Questions of Unity	xlv-xlv
g 6.	The Relations of the Main Divisions-	xlvilx
9.7	The Cross-Divisions	larilavi
g 8.	The Forms of Address-Singular and Plural	laxin—laxavii
9 0-	Editorial Factors	lxxxviii—xc
\$ 10.	Conclusions as to Unity	xeicxis
\$ 11.	The Ages of the Book and of its Contents	caiv—ca
§ 12.	Resulting Questions and their Answers	ск-сиз
TEXT AND	NOTES	1-38
APPENDIX		382-390

#### INTRODUCTION

- 6 r. Names 8 r. General Content, Structare, and Style
- tare, and Style

  g. Standpoint, Doctrine and
  Spirit
- Deuteronomy and the
   Law Book of Josiah
   Oscations of Unity
   The Relations of the Main
   Divisions—The Code
- 7. The Cross-Divisions
  8. The Forms of Address—Singular and Plans
  9. Editorial Factors
  10. Conclusions as to Unity
  - ir, The Ages of the Book and of Its Contents § 12. Resulting Questions and their Answers

## § t. Names.

Like other books of the Peniateuch, this, the fifth, owes its present name of Deuteronomy to the Septuagint. In ch. vvii. 18 to the physics, a dublicate, or coby, of this loss (Heb. misknek hat-thrak haz-soth). The Greek translators misrendared this by the words to devrementator reven, 'this second law-giving,' and gave the title Aurreposition, Lat. Deuteronomium, to the whole Book ; while some later lewish writings refer to it as 'Mishneh Torah. Though thus born in error, the name Deuteronomy is so far appropriate that the Book contains the second codification of the Law of Israel, the first being that which is found in the Prophetical Narrative of the Pentateuch, IE-Ex. xx. 23-xxiii. 10 with xxxiv, 11-27, and xiii, 3-7, 10-12 (see Chapman, 4-Introduction to the Pentateuch, in this series, p. 110). The Heb. text of the Book bears no title, and as in the case of other Books of the Pentateuch it was referred to by some of its organing words : These be the Words or briefly Words. But during its course the Book suggests for itself three general titles (about which however we must ask later whether they cover the whole or only

parts of our Deuteronomy); (a) This Law (Heb. Thrak. i. c. iv. 8, xvii. 18f. etc.) or This Book of the Law (xxix. 21, xxx. 10, xxx). 26) or The Book of this Law (xxviii. 61), similarly in 2 Kgs xxii. 8, 11, cp. xxiii. 24; (b) The Words of the Covenant (Heb. Bertth. see note on iv. 13) which the LORD commanded Moses to make with the children of Israel in the land of Moab (xxix, 1), cp. the Words of this Covenant (win. o), the Covenant of the Lagar the God (way 12, cp. aiv. 21, 25), always as distinct from the Covenant in Horeb (xxix. t, iv. 13, 23, v. 21, lx. 9, 11, 15), and so the Book is referred to as the Book of the Covenant in a Kun xvill, 2, 21 : (c) This Commandment or Charge (Heb. Miswak, v. 31 (Heb. 28), see note, vi. t. vil. 11 etc.).-Further, the senarate laws of the Torob or B'rith or Miswah are called statutes and judgements (Heb. hubble and mishbatter) either alone (iv. 1, C. 8, t4, v. 1, xi, x2, xil. 1, xxvi. 16) or combined with, or varied by commandments or charges and testimonies, or decrees (Heb. miswoth and 'edoth iv. 45, vi. 17, 20),-The name 'Fifth Book of Moses' occurs only in our English and other modern versions (Chapman, I. P. p. 2).

#### § 2. General Content, Structure, and Style.

As some of its names imply, Deuteronomy is the record and contents of a Second Legislation or Covenant of Law delivered through Moses to Israel-second, that is, to the Legislation or Covenant of Horeb-which he proclaimed and expounded to al the people at the close of their wanderings between Egypt and the Promised Land, when they were encamped in one of the gorges that break downwards from the north-west edge of the plateau of Moab into the valley of Jordan, over against Jericho. The Laws proper assigned to this occusion form the central bulk of the Book. They are introduced by long discourses, with Moses as the speaker, in form both historical and horiztory, and in purpose enteritory (see on ). t) of the facts and princibles on which they are based; and they are followed by other discourses from Moses enforcing them on the obedience of the people. The Book-and with it the Pentateuch-closes upon further chapters of exharation and parrative which carry events up to the death of Moses and prepare for the succession of Joshua. The time

of Moses and prepare for the succession of Joshua. The time covered by Deuteronomy is thus—apart from the historical reviews in its discourses—very brief.

By several distinct headings or superscriptions (some accompanied by fragments of narrative) as well as by corresponding differences of subject-matter and form, the Book divides itself as follows:

. .

Ch. i. 1-4. General Title (composite)

5. Special Title to the following

Special Title to the following —
 Chs. i. 6—iv. 40. The First Discourse Introductory to the

Laws (all deuteronomic in style) divided into—
(a) Historical Part, i. 6—iil. 20.

(b) Hortatory Part, iv. 1-40.

Ch. iv. 41—43. Fragment on Cities of Asylum (deuteronomic).

44-49. Special Title (composite) to

B. Chs. v.-xi. The Second Discourse Introductory to the

Laws (all deuteronomic), divided into

(δ) Hortatory Part, vi.—xi. but including

(c) Historical Review, ix. 7 b--x. 11.

Ch. zii. 1. Special Title (composite) to

C. Chs. x11.—xxvi. The Laws—'The Statutes and Judgements' (mainly deuteronomic in style). For the divisions into which these fall and for the contents of each division see below, pp. 154-156.

D. Chs. xxvii.—xxx. Closing Discourses (deuteronomic) divided

 (ν) Instructions for the Immediate Future, κανίι. (showing no connection save in υν. 9 f. with what precedes or follows).

(δ) Epilogue to the Laws, xxviii.
 Ch. xxix. 1. Editorral Note.

(c) Further Discourse or Discourses, xxix.—xxx.

1 Google

E. Chs. xxxi.-xxxiv. Last Days and Discourses of Moses/composite, from all the documents of the Pentateuch. with two poems from unknown sources, xxxii-1-42, and xxxiii.).

It is now generally acknowledged, even by most conservative critics! that this last Division forms a later, editorial supplement to Deuteronomy, belonging less to it than to the Pentateuch as a whole, and designed to connect the Pentateuch with the Book of Jushua. The analysis of these chapters, xxxi,-xxxiv., compiled as they are from pieces of all the Pentateuchal documents, may be left to the notes upon them in the commentary.

But chs. I .- www.-save for a number of laws, some titles and other fragments-are composed throughout in the same style. one of the most nalpable, distinctive and memorable in the Old Testament. No other Hebrew prose, except parts of Issiah xl .-- lv., is so elevated and so sustained or has such a swing and such a sweep. Not only in exhortation but in parrative and even in the statement of single laws (when these are not quoted purbutim from somewhere else) this style is what we call rhetorical. But the rhetoric is its own; rich in resunant words and phrases. many of which do not occur elsewhere, fond of the more emphatic forms of words, lavish in emphasis and absolute statement, and sometimes leaping to hyperbole; now stern, now tender, now exulting, but always urgent and always expansive, accumulating verbs and epithets and especially resterating a series of formulas. most of them fervent and intimate, which also are peculiar to itself. Some of the frequent repetitions of these formulas which our canonical text presents, are doubtless due to reductors or seribes, as may be seen from a comparison of the Hebrew with the Ancient Versions. But that by far the most of them are original is proved by the fact that neither the same nor a similar reiteration is found in any other prose, upon which the influence of Deuteronomy has not fallent. Emphasis, accumulation, and re-

I See the striking admission by Professor Orr quoted on p. 222 of this volume. Stepermagel's allegations of merely scribal repetitions, Der Rahmen

petition are however not the only marks of this urgest and monoceus style. The religious servour and the passion to instruct, which are its driving forces frequently fall back from their personality and the passion of the passion o

Our more immediate duty is to define the distinctions between this givle and those of the other documents of the Pentateuch-J. E. P and H. The distinctions are both general and detailed. Ganeral because while the other documents are mainly histories with legislation coming in by the way-or as in H a small code and it semilorue only - Deuteronomy i. - xxx, is a discourse or divcourses from end to end, the speech of a man face to face with his bearers, dealing with the Law from first to last and recalling almost exclusively, such events as they have shared with him, which your eyes, which our eyes have seen. Though the other documents are also designed for the people this one is exceedingly more direct and more intimate. Nor has any of the other documents the rhythm of Deuteronomy. I and E have each its own incomparable power of narrative; Pits formal, often statistical but generally solemn fashion of statement. But none have the diapason, the long sweeping waves of oratory, which haum us from Deuteronomy. As for details, Deuteronomy, like its neighbours in the Pentaseuch, has a vocabulary and favourits phrases of its own, distinct from theirs. Its names for certain places and things, touched on by all, are different from the names which some of them give. Its characteristic words and formulas are

des Deuteronomiums (1894), Die Entstehung des deuteron. Gesetzes (1896) and Deuteronomium-fesus (1898 in Nowack's Handbommenter v. A. 7.), auf entwegendly ungerous.

used by their either never or with such infrequency as to offer a marked contrast to their lavish employment in Deuteronomy. In parallel passages Denteropoory substitutes rarer or more sonorous or more emphatic forms for those with which IE and P are content. All this will become the more significant to us as we perceive how dependent Denteronomy is, both to its historical reviews and in its code, woon the history and laws of IE, and especially of E. Even when it repeats statements or expressions found in IE it expands these or gives a turn to them is a way that it all its own and tuned to its peculiat rhythm. Common instances are its formal or hortatory additions to some of the laws; but its narratives are full of them. In these it increases the adjectives or turns them into superlatives, replaces a plain phrase by one more concrete and vivid, strikes an emphasis, or lifts a simple statement of fact into a hyperbole. Nothiog could more clearly reveal the distinctiveness of the style of Deuteronomy than these, its own, alterations of another style to the accent and rhythm peculiar to itself. As for its particular differences from the style of P, each document has a number of single words never or rarely found beyond it and each has its own characteristic formulas. Whether in general or in particular no two writings, dealing in part with the same material, could offer a more decided contrast to each other in style and language!

It is unnecessary to give a full list of the terms, formulas, and other phrases, which are either confined to Deuteronomy or are otherwise characteristic of its style. They are all pointed out in the notes to the text, and the more marked of them are enthered in the paragraphs of this Introduction which deal with the resemblances and differences among the divisions of the Book itself, §§ 6 and 78. Here let some tlinstrations soffice. As to t A small group of words characteristic of P is found in ch. iv. and

will be treated later. 3 Lists will be found in the Introduction to Driver's Deuteronomy, in Appendix IV, to Chapman's Introduction to the Postatewch (in this series), in Estlin Carpenter and Harford Battersby's The Hexatench. t. 200. and in Holzinger's Einleitung in den Hexateuch, 1. (1803). See also Stenermagel's 'Eupleitang' to his Deuteronomium Josua (ested in

#### GENERAL CONTENT, STRUCTURE, AND STYLE KY

the difference of place-names, Deuteronomy has with E Horch for the Singi of I and P (for references see on i. 2). Persan for Pa Nebo (iii. 17, 27), and with P Kadesh Barnea (see on i. 2) for the simple Kadesh of the others. Deuteronomy has different names for the same things : with JE shebet, tribs (see on i. 13), for P's mattek (over 140 times in P) : struckah, dozzeznian (see on ii. s), for P's Whussah (about 40 times); dahal, the national assembly or congregation (v. 22, ix. to. x. 4, xviii, 16, co. xxiii, 1, 2, 3), for P's favourite fedule (over 100 times), though P necessionally uses also kahal; and tables of the covenant (1x, 0, 11, 15) and ark of the covenant (x. 8) for LE's simple tables of stone and the art, and P's table of the testimony and ark of the testimony. In the law of the Cities of Refuge P (Nu. xxxv.) uses for accidentally the term bishrasah but Deuteronomy (xix.) the term biball darath. Deuteronomy's fondness for accumulating epithets and verbs is sufficiently illustrated by these instances: by temptations, by signs, and by wonders, and by war, and by a mighty hand, and by a stretched out arm, and by ereal terrors (iv. 14), the ereal God, the mighty and the terrible (x. 17); his charge, and his statutes, and his sudgements, and his commandments (xi, 1); to fear the LORD thy God, to walk in all his ways and to love him. and to serve the LOED thy God with all thy heart and with all thy soul, to keep the commandments of the LORD and his statutes (x, 12 f.) and similar combinations, thou shall full of them when thou sittest in thene house, and when thou walkest by the way, when thou lest down and when thou risest up (vi. 7); take heed to thyself and heep thy soul dilegently, lest thou forget the things which thing ever saw and lest they deburt from the heart all the days of thy lefe (iv. 9); or the many shorter combinations such as, Remember, forcet not (ix. 7), know therefore and lay it to thing heart (iv. 10), observe and do (iv. 6 and 6 other times), fear not neither be dismayed (i. 21, xxx). B and the deuteronomic Josh, viii. r. x. 21) and dread not neither be afraid (i. 20, xx. 2.

the last note but one), § 8, 'Zur Sprachststisk des Deuteronomiums,' and Bertholet's brief but judicious temasks in his Deuteronomium, 'Einlestung' 17.

xxxi. 6), and he set and he full (viii 10, 12, etc.). All this is no mere development of the parallelism characteristic of Hebrew poetry and practised by some of the prose; it is something different and iodividual. Even apparent redundancies like go in and posters the land whither ye go were to passes it (ci. 8) are carried oil by the rhythm of the original and do not sound superfluous.

Of the characteristic formulas of Deuteronomy and their frequency these specimens are sufficient: lehovah, our, your, or the God over 300 times in Deuteronomy alone, against fewer than so in the rest of the Pentateuch (see on i. 6); which I am commanding thee or you, 33 times in Deuteronomy and elsewhere only once, Ex. xxxiv. 11; in thy gates for in thy cities, 27 times in Deuteronomy and elsewhere only once. Ex. xx. 10. where it is probably an editor's echo of Deuteronomy; and the following which are not found at all in the other parts of the Pentateuch: Hear O Israel 4 times, observe to do 12 times, that if may be well with thee? times, the combination stranger, fatherless, and soldow 8 times, to cleave to Jehovah a times, a holy people s times, a peculiar people thrice, the ashtoreths of the flock (vii. 13 note) 4 times and the infinitive 4/16 used adverbially 5 times: with these more emphatic forms 'thah, how (for 'ch), 4 times, and not elsewhere in the Pentateuch, Jehab, heart, and 'anoit, L both very frequently used as against a very few instances of the shorter forms 166 and 18st which the notes will explain; and the use of the more sonorous termination to the imperfect, an. If to all these there be added the list of religious and ethical terms peculiar to Deuteronomy which are given in § 3 and its other unique or very rare terms selected on pp. xlix f., llii ff., a very striking impression will be received of the individuality of the style of Deuteronomy. And yet not the full impression or ides, for this only comes (as has been said) after a detailed observation of Deuteronomy's characteristic expansions and alterations of the phraseology of IE, on which both for parrative and for law it so largely depends.

The dependence of Deuteronomy on JE is too constant

., Google

D ; a fish HMD/FDS/TY OF WISCONSIN GENERAL CONTENT, STRUCTURE, AND STYLE xvii

Planah: iv. 15. v. 25. ix. 8. etc. Horsb. o. 104. 12. 166. 216. x. 1-4: and for the lawaxiv. 21 A. xv. 12-18 (perhaps), xvi. 1-17. to, xix. tr-at (perhaps), xxii, t-1, 4, xxiii, t0, xxiv, 7, t2f., part of xxv. 10, xxvi. 5-01. The basis of these is mainly E. but I also has been used, and we shall have to consider later the question whether the writer, or writers, of Deuteronomy were acquainted with I and E before (Dillmann) or only after (Horst, Reytholet) the amalgamation of these two documents But be that as it may, Deuteronomy in the re-statement of their records of fact and of law, besides introducing its characteristic formulas, reveals most clearly the features of its neculiar rhetoric—expansiveness, fondness for accumulating epithets and impulse to hyperbole. Its hortatory additions to the laws common to itself and E and its attachment of the words of the covenant to IE's plain tables of stone and the ark have already been mentioned. The following are still more striking: the characteristic expansions in ch. v. of Ex. xix. 15, 17, 19, sx. 1-21. In ix. 17 of Ex. xxxii. to \$ and in ix. 2t of Ex. xxxii. 20 (see 100 ix. 26-20); the turning of E's phrase great nation, Ex. xxxii. to, into a nation mightier and greater than they, ix, 14, and of the thousands of Ex. xx. 6 into a thousand generations, via 95 or the concentration and enhancement of E's thick cleud and thick darkness, from separate passages, into the darkness, cloud, and thick darkness of iv. 11; or the addition, viti. 15, of the emphatic

1 See Driver's Destorous, § §. On p. as he says i fin the serior spects, the transitive of Ex. No. is followed step by six pa, and clauses, specific the transitive of Ex. No. is followed step by six pa, and clauses, beyond the positivity of doubt the use by the writer of the easilier beyond the positivity of doubt the use by the writer of the easilier of Excholas is hit Exader; and Chapman's Introduction to the Printing-One of Excholas is hit Exader; and Chapman's Introduction to the Printing-One of the Printing-One

DEUTERONOMY

0

of flint-a word not found before Deuteronomy-to IE's simple rock; or the raising of E's more sober statements into these hyperboles-like the stars of heaven for multitude (i. to. x. 22. xxviii. 62), caties fenced up to heaven (i. 28, ix. 1), and into the heart of heaven (tv. 11)-with which we may take the magnificent will, a, the raiment waxed not old neither did the foot swell these forty years, and in x, i.e the superlative heaven of heavens used there for the first time in the Old Testament.

But indeed no lists of details are required to impress the general fact on the reader either of the Hebrew or of our English Versions. The individuality and distinction, the original force, buovancy, volume and rhythm of the style of Deuteronomy 1 .- xxx. are pervasive and conspicuous throughout; and in particular its difference is indubitable, both in form and temper, from the

styles of the other constituents of the Pentateuch

#### & v. Standbornt, Doctrine, and Spirit.

This consuicuous distinction of style both from JE and P is coincident in Dauteronomy with a representation of facts in the early history of Israel and with a statement of the laws (ascribed by all alike to Moses), both of which differ at many points from the parallel parratives and laws in those other documents. Some of these divergences are slight, others more grave. But a few are wide enough to imply a difference of standpoint and attitude which is fundamental

It may be of little-yet not negligible-importance that (as we have seen) Deuteronomy gives to certain places other names than some of its fellow-documents do. The divergences of fact are more surnificant, especially those from IE, in view of Deuteronomy's general dependence on IE and particularly on E. It is true that a number of these divergences are not actual discrepancies: for example, in the account of the institution of the tribal heads, i. o-to, the omission by Deuteronomy of any mention of lethro, to whom E attributes the suggestion

of the plan while Deuteronomy attributes it to Moses; the addition of Joshua's name to that of Caleb. 1. 26-28; the different division of the last thirty-eight years of the time in the wilderness, the bulk of which was spent at Kadesh according to IE, but between Kadesh and the brook Zered according to Deuteronomy, ii. 1-84, 14; Deuteronomy's additions of the campaign against Ov. King of Bashan, iii. 1-11, and of the half-tribe of Manasseh (to Reuben and Gad), iii. 12 ff. 1 and the differences as to the events in Horeb, for which see the notes to ix, 8-20, x, 1-t, to f., among them the addition of the making of the Ark, x. 1. Nearly all these differences are susceptible of explanation, and most might disappear if we had the full text of the documents | and E. Deuteronomy's additional facts may have been parcited in these-this is as certain as possible with reward to the making of the Ark: while Deuteronomy's omissions are explicable by the fact that its narratives are but a summary of those of IE. Yet the silence about lether is symptomatic of a distinctive attitude to foreigners: for it is consistent with the omission from Deuteronomy of other foreign influences on Israel. The Book says nothing of what I tells us, Nu. x. 29-12. of Moses' appeal to Hobab to act as eyes to the host (see p. 7), or of Balanni beyond the fragment of doubtful authenticity in xxiii. 46: it forbids intermarriage with the Canaantes, vis. 3, and a foreigner as King, xvii. 15, and it emphasizes the sufficiency of Israel's own windom for the national life, iv. 6-8. Far more difficult, and less reconcileable, are Deuteronomy's differences from P in reyard to facts. The spies, according to i. 24, reached only the southern part of the Promised Land about Hebron, but P carries them as far as Rehob is the extreme north; and the two documents trace very different routes for Israel from Kadesh to the border of Monb-see the notes on it, 1-8a and x, 7-and name different places as the scene of Asron's death and burial, s. 6 A. Such cases are indicative of different traditions of the early history of Israel. Again while Deuteronomy, in agreement with IE. mentions Dathan and Abiram as the subjects of the judgement which it recalls in xi. 6, P mentions Korah instead. While Deuteronomy says that at Horeh God separated the tribs of Levi to bear the ark of the covenant of Jehovah, to stand before Jehovah, to minister to his name, x, 8-in agreement with its emphatic identification of Priests and Levites elsewhere-P confines the phrase to minister to Ichovak to the Priests, who according to it were not all the tribe of Levi but only a single family thereof, and specially allots the office of bearing the Ark to another clan, the Kohathites. Moreover while P constantly associates Aaron with Moses in solemn transactions on Horeb and throughout the wilderness. Deuteronomy mentions Aaron twice only, once as the object of God's anger, ix, 20, and once on his death, x, 6-if indeed this verse he Deuteronous's (see notes to x. 6 f.). These last cases are not only discrepancies in fact: they are symptoms of a difference in standardint and attitude between Deuteronomy and P. which will emerge fully when we come to compare the two codes.

But the most critical of the divergences as to fact which Deuteronomy exhibits is one from both IE and P-that on the amount and character of the Law promulgated to all Israel on Sinai-Horeh. Deuteronomy states that the Ten Commandments, iv. 13, and the Ten Commandments only-he added no more, v. 22-were the words of the Covenant at Horeb; the people also were too terrified to hear more so the Lord delivered His further commands to Moses alone (v. 25-12), who did not communicate these to the people till the eye of crossing the Jordan and they form Deuteronomy's Code, chs xii.-xxvi., the basis of the Second Covenant in Moab. But IE assigns to Horeb the far longer and more detailed Code, Ex. xx. 21wiff, to and states that-not the Decalogue but-this, written out as the Book of the Covenant and publicly read formed the basis of Israel's covenant with God at Horeb, Ex. xxiv. 3-8. As Driver says in his note on Ex. axiv. 3: 'the Decalorue, which the people had heard themselves cannot be included in the terms used! by E of its Rook of the Covenant. The discrepancy

Driver's Exedus (in this series), p. 252,



STANDPOINT, DOCTRINE, AND SPIRIT is complicated by the fact that the Code, the xii. xxvi., which Depteronomy says was privately delivered to Moses (v. 31) but was not published by him till 18 years afterwards in Moab as the terms of the Second Covenant, is partly based on the Code or Book of the Covenant which E avera to have been written out and publicly read at Horeb. The inference seems just, that while the writer or writers of Deuteronomy knew of E's Book of the Covenant (for they used it) they did not know of any promulgation of it on Horeb, although the present form of E'a parrative distinctly says that it was promplested there. Hence Kuenen's suggestion that the Book of the Covenant, Ex. xx. 23varii. 10. anneared in the original form of E (as used by Deuteronomy) not at Horeh but in Moah, like Deuteronomy's Code or Book of the Covenant. However this may be, Deuteronomy

gives an account of the legislation on Horeb very different from

The legislation which P dates at Smai (- Horeb) is not only far greater in amount than either Deutergnomy or E assigns to Israel's sojourn there, but is of a vastly different character. It ties now in Ex. xxv.--xxxi.. with a variant form in Ex. xxxv.--xl.. and is continued throughout the Book of Leviticus, except for chs xvii .-- xxvi., which is a separate code known as 'The Holmess Law' or H. To all this long corpus of laws and regulations, said by P to have been delivered to Israel, or to Moses and Auron. on Sinai, Deuteronomy makes no reference, and has very hitle material in common with it. Thus the writer or writers of Deuteronomy did not know of all this legislation assigned by P to Sina) is a natural deduction from their definite limitation of the public Law and Covenant on Horeb to the Ten Words or Commandments. This difference of historical statement is not accounted for by saving that Deuteronomy is a book for the people, and therefore dispenses with regulations about ritual,

See, below, the notes introductory to ch. v. pp. 79 L, and to 'The Ten Words,' pp. 31 ff. Compare Robertson Smith, OTIC, and Ed. pp. 221 - 227, much expanded from the 1st Ed., and Chapman, Juny duction to the Pentateuch (in this series), op. 112-117.

that in Evodon!

vestments, and the furnature of the Sonctiusty, which were within the office of the priest alone. For Pow was mean (as we have seen) for all tranel; and its laws with regard to most of these details of the worship were commanded by God to be poleta to the children of Iranel (Ex. xxx. 1; op. Lex. xxxii; 34). The constitution, equipment and financing of the Sanctusty weet, according to P, the duty of the whole people and possible only by their co-operation fare detailed public instruction; which is not the object of the properties of the properties

When we pass from the narratives of the promulgation of the Lnw in the different documents to an examination of the contents and character of their different codes, we see that the discrepancies as to fact between Deuteronomy, JE, and P are connected with striking differences of standpoint, historical and social, and fundamental distinctions of ratifule and spirit.

The Code of Desteronomy, xii.—xxi., not only faw we have easy aspands with to own hereins one of the lines of JE; but it exends their application, enforces them with feel motives, requestly modifies them, and data once laws creating new instudion—xill in a way that reflects a more mature and complex form of society through the first of the code of JE is the typ stand in Ex. x. 32—xxii. 19 and Ex. x. xxiv ar are designed. For example, the two is locate seated by Desteronomy in enhances local her between the code of JE is the year of boundary stones, xiz. i. and on the King, roll, i.—2, xii. 3—10, xxii. 3—10, xxiii. 3—10, xxii. 3—10, xxii. 3—10, xxiii. 3—10, xxiii. 3—10, xxiii. 3—10,

The contrast between the Codes of Depteronomy and of P is still greater. Though a also extends to the social and political conditions of the people, it is mainly a contrast of religious ideas, premisation and institutions. In P these are developed, distinguished and classified to a degree far beyond anything that appears in Deuteronomy. Not merely does Penter into minute details of ritual for which Deuteronomy has no eye and shows no concern; but in the larger elements and on the main lines of the practice of religion there are great differences. For example, P. increases the number of the annual Feasis (see on xvi. t ff.) from three to seven and adds the Year of Jubilee, elaborates the sacrifices, divides and grades the priestly tribe and multiplies their rights-of all which Deuteronomy either knows nothing or enjoins inconsistently something simpler. To Deuteronomy all men of the inbe of Levi are priests; the briests the Levites is its distinctive and peculiar term for them, which it puts past all ambiguity by once adding the words all the tribe of Levi, xvii. o. t8, xviii, 1, xxiv. 8; cp. xxt. 5. According to it they are all eligible. on certain conditions, for the distinctive priestly functions-at that time Jehovah separated the tribe of Levi to bear the ark of the ecvenant of Jehovah, to stand before Jehovah to minister unto him and to bless in his name unto this day, x. 8; and if a Levite come from any of thy rates out of all Israel where he soiourneth... he shall minister as all his brothven the Levites do which stand there before Ichovah; they shall have like portions to eat, will 6-8. But in P. on the contrary, Priests and Levites are not identical terms; the priesthood and distinctive priestly functions, of bearing the ark and of standing before Jehovah to minister unto Him, are confined to descendants of Anron, and Leville is the name for the other members of the tribe, to whom priestly functions are forbidden under heavy penalties and who discharge less sacred duties about the nitur and the sanctuary-see further the notes on x. 8 f., xviii. t - 8. This difference between Deuteronomy and P is the more significant, that the former's Code is in harmony therein with the spirit of the earlier practice of Israel. and the latter's with the later practice (see t Kgs xii, 3t and

Ezek, xliv, 10-16)1. Further, P. who says nothing about a king, speaks of a high (literally a great) griest, who has many of the distinctions of a king; he is anointed (Ex. xxix. 7, Lev. iv. 3, 5, viii. 12, Np. xxxy. 25), wears the mitre and holy diadem (Ex. xxix. 6), and dates are reckoned by his life (Nu. xxxv. 25). Of this Deuteronomy says not one word. Again, P increases the value of the priest's share of the sacrificial meat which Deuteronomy allors to him, and this is the more significant because Deuteronomy's injunctions are themselves a distinct advance on the practice in early ferael-see the notes to xviii. 3. Altowether P increases the dues to the priests to a very much greater degree over what was the custom with regard to them in early times? There is also in the legislation of P an enhancement of the holiness of the priesthood, and a distinction between things holy and most holy, of which Deuteronomy tells us nothing.

But the cardinal distinction of the Code of Deuteronomy is the law of the One Altar and Sanctuary, ch. xii. 2-14, 17-19, 26 f., along with the necessary consequences of this in new, or modified, laws upon the slaughter of beasts elsewhere than at the Altar, xit, 15f., 20-25; on Tithes and the payment of yows and the sacrifice of firstlings, xii, 17 f., 26 ff., xiv, 22-29, with the additional note on Tithes, pp. 1966; and on the three annual Feasts. xvi. 1-17: on the provision for the Levsles of the rural sanctuaries. xviii. 6-8: and on the cities of Asylum or Refuge, xix, t ff. While the laws of IE-in accordance with the practice of early Israel. sanctioned by all their religious leaders down at least to Flijah

See Chapman, Introduction to the Pentalench (in this series), pp. 1536. The reader will find the opposite case well stated by Oct. Problem of the Old Testament, pp. 184-192. The present writer has carefully considered the sitempt to reconcile Desicropous's and P's also ments atoet Prieste and Levites. Dr Ou servests that by the expression the Prieste the Less to Depteronomy only means the Levilies Priests. Ret this jeterpretation be excluded by Deeteronomy's addition, all the trade of Levi, xvill. 1, which Professor Orr Igeoree, and by Deuterocomy's permission to say Levite to perform priestly feections.

Wolthansen, Protegomena to the History of Israel (E. T.), 138; and the pieceni water's ferundem i. 354 ff. For the difference between Deuteronomy's and F's laws of lithes see below pp. 1961, and cp. 2071,

(see below p. ol. 8.)—assume the validity of sacrifice to Johorna at any alter where He may record the Nama, Deuteronous Jord of the Head of the size of the John See the Section See the Section See the Section See that See that Section See that See that Section Sec

Deuteronomy, then, has a standpoint very distinct both from that of JE and from that of P. On the whole it is a standspirit midway between them. For on the one hand it reflects social and political and religious conditions more developed than those reflected in IE and on the other it exhibits an organisation of religion far less developed than that in P. The worship of Jehovah, sanctioned by IE at many alters-in accordance with the earlier practice in Israel-is concentrated by Deuteronomy on one only sanctuary. Deuteronomy alone has a Law of the King, while P has no reference to a King but easlts the chief priest and invests him with some at least of the distinctions of royalty : and Deuteronomy alone, it must also be emphasized, has a law of the Prophet. We shall have to reckon the bearing of all this on the question of the date of Deuteronomys, especially in view of the light cast on it from the earlier and later historical books. Meantime all we have to note, and on the strength of the cumulative evidence we have marshalled to note emphatically. is the conspicuous distinctiveness of the standpoint of Deutero-

nomy.

But above and around this conspicuous standpoint of Deuteronomy, with its consequent differences of detail, there is a different

<sup>3</sup> In the light of the practice in early larsal it is impossible to reconcile the law in JE with that of the single altar in Deut, by saying that the former permits only successive but not necessarily simultaneous successaries (so Dougles in Lex Messica, and Robertson, Eurly Religion of Irand, p. 410.
<sup>3</sup> See § 11.

atmosphere from those we breathe in the other documents. The style of the Book is but the music of winds that blow and sing through it alone—that time even among its laws.

With the other documents Deuteronomy shares a very spiritual conception of the relations of Israel to their God. Though the religion of Israel, especially in the Pentateuch, betrays many of the traits common to all familles of the sace from which Israel sprang-many forms of ritual and ethical tempers, many of the physical phenomena in which the Deity was believed to manifest Himself to men, and especially the conception of Him as the God of one people through whom His Name and Nature were revealed-vet the origin and character of Jehovah's relations to I small are not (as with those of other Semitic gods to their peoples) physical, prowing out of the soil or confined to one land, but historical and moral. Nor are they the reflection of the people's own character. Jehovah chose Israel and chose them not for their strength or virtue but out of nity when they were in weakness and affliction, and redeemed them; and they had traditions of His earlier manifestations to some of their forefathers, to individual souls of their race, always the human fountain-heads of spiritual religions. Jehovah's providence for the nation had not been only physical or political, by signs and great wonders and by war, but ethical, to instruct and discipline them, to prove and sift them; and the religiousness of Israel was the moral response to all this, a trust in His faithfulness, gratitude and the endeavour to keep His commandments. They felt that He was unique with a uniqueness both of power and character among the gods of mankind; and that by His influence they had a conscience and character and a relivious wisdom of their own. So far all the documents of the Pentateuch are at one; they all reach this level.

But nowhere else in the Pentateoch has the love of God to man such free course as in Deuteronomy; and nowhere else is man's love to God invoked, except once in Ex. xx. 6, and that is a deuteronomic addition to the Decalogue. These two, God's love to man and man's love to God, are everywhere in Deuteronomy.

They are the essence of its creed (vi. t-t) the motives and power of the full obedience it demands, the passion of its wistful appeals to remember, to know and to consider, of all its constant cry for the Acarts of its hearers. They beat in its distinctive metaphors-as a man beareth his son, as a man chasteneth his son -and in these still more intimate terms to draw to for set his force union) and to cleane to! of a man's true love to a woman; an early anticipation of St Paul on the love of Christ and His Church. And they echo throughout narrative, eshortation, and law alike, in those refrains to the Divine Name, the God, your God, our God; over three hundred of them (as we have seen) to fewer than fifty in all the rest of the Pentateuch 1. It is true that Deuteronomy dwells on the Greatness of God, iil, 24, v. 24, lx, 26, vi. a felcewhere only in xssii, t. t Chr. xsix, 1t, and Pes. cslv. 2.6. cl. 2\ a Great God and a Terrible vit. 21, v. 12 vvviii, 18, cf. v. 21. and inculcates throughout the fear of Him. But He is terrible for His Israel's sake and the fear of Him casteth out the fear of man-Except in face of the awful happenings on Horeb Deuteronomy gives no occasion to construe this as terror or dread. On the contrary, the frequent commands fear and learn to fear associate the temper with hearing, keeping, or doing, God's Law. Fear is reverence, anxious obedience, the intelligent and loyal practice of a trust (see on iv. to). It is as little composite to, as closely one with, love as the watching, taking heed to thyself and keeping thy soul diligently which are enjoined with equal frequency. God's love for Israel, His intimacy with them and His care alike for the weakest of themselves-with the stranger that is in the nates-and for the smallest details of their life and its circumstance are all plied with a tenderness that pervades the Book, narrative, eshortation and law alike, and suffuses with a peculiar warmth all God's relations to His people and the duties He requires of them to Himself and to one another. The thoroughness of the ducipline which only love can impose appears in the favourite physics to knowle thee and to drove

1 viii. 8, and the notes on vii. 7, hashah, and x. 20, dahah.

- Google

thee, to know what was in thine heart (see on viii. 2); and peculiar to Denteronomy is the command to love Ichovak thy God with all the heart and with all the sout and with all thy might (vi. 5). The effect of all this is a great joy in religion, on which Deuteronomy, of all the documents, most insists: we shall rejoice before the LORD your God, thou shalt be altorether iovful (xii. 12, 18, xiv. 26, xvi. 14, 15, xxvi. 10, 11); it is a sin with a curse on it, that thou hast not served the LORD thy God with joyfulness and gladness of heart (xxviii. 47). There is nothing of this in the laws of IE; it bresks through only once in those of P, the day of your gladness (Nn. x. 10), and once in those of H. Lev. xxiii, 40. Indeed the word for eladness appears only once more in all the rest of the Pentateuch, in the mouth of Laban the Asamean (Gen. xxxi. 27); it is not used even in E's story of Miriam and the women with their timbrels and dances (Ex. xs. 20f.), nor in his or I's laws of the great Feasts. The contrast presented by P's and Deuteronomy's pictures of the worshipping congregation in the central Sanctuary is very striking: in P the awful glory of the Divine Presence, bells, trumpets, sweet sayour of frankincense, gorgeous vestments, careful abluttons and all the people shouting and falling on their faces: in Deuteronomy only a set of happy households eating of the sacrificial meal and rejoicing before the LORD, attogether ioxful. In one place Deutergnomy extends this toy in worship to all that we but your hand to (xii. 7); and we may therefore take with it the Book's delight in the Land-that good land is its frequent phrase-and the passages through which it lingers on the beauty and fruitfulness of the land which the LORD thy God is giving thee (vi. 10 ff., vii. 12 ff., viii. 7 ff., xi. to ff.). Take it all in all Deuteronomy has a heart of its own-a bigger, richer heart than any of its fellows in the Pentateuch.

Other spiritual qualities distinctive of the Book are these. Though with the rest of the documents it records the signs and great wonders of the Divine Providence of larnel and even delights in its own way in describing them as the very grasp and gesture, the streng hand and withretiched arm, of the

Almighty, the writing finger of God-of whom it also declares ve same no manner of form, no form only a moice (iv. 12, 15)-vet it lays still greater emphasis on this voice alone, on the spoken word of God. Sometimes, as in i. 6-8, it ignores the physical manifestation to which P gives constant prominence and records only the voice accompanying. To Deuteronomy all miracles are ancillary to the Law ; they only lead up to this end ; your eves have seen all the great work of the LOKD which he did; therefore shall we keep all the commandments, xi. 7 f. The Law is the thing! The Book does not doubt the reality of the miracles even of the false prophets, yet the test of a prophet is to be not his miracles but the character of his teaching (xiil, 1). All divination, necromancy and the like, all the mucic which revels in alleged physical signs at the expense of the moral and intellectual elements of religion, are of course absolutely condemned; they are abominations to Jehovah (xviii, 9-22). Only the prediction that comes to days is to be a mark of true prophecy-such a prediction implies faith and spiritual foresight-yet even it is to be repudiated if associated with false teaching (cp. xiii, 2 with xviii. 21 f.). To this doctrine of prophecy and discriminate treatment of miracles there is no counterpart in the other documents. On the whole then the truth, the purity, the love that the Word carries are the proofs of its divinity; in the acceptance of these consist the window and the understanding (iv. 6) which distinguish Israel from other peoples. The greatness and the strength of Israel lie not in their power or wealth but in their statutes and judgements, and in their obedience to these (iv. S. xi. S. etc.). Life - +/Aat ve may live and that it may be well with thee, very favourite phrases of the Book-comes by penitence and seeking after God (iv. 30). by discipline, obedience and watchfulness. Compare the prophotic appeal in v. 121. And now I read what doth the Loan thy God require of thee?

It is in all these doctrines and tempers of doctrine that the distinctive spirituality of Deuteronomy is manifest, even more than in its proclamations of the Unity (vi. 4) and Uniqueness (iv. 14, 39) and Sovereignty (x. 14, 17) of the Godhead of Jehovah, however absolutely we may interpret these; or in its insistence that He is without physical form (iv. 12, 15), or in its constant thunders against other gods, and all images, likenesses and material emblens of deity. How much occasion and reason there were for such proclamations and denouncements, and for the passion that swells in them, may be seen from the multiplicity of the cults which Israel encountered in Canaan and from the character of these cults. Not only were there gods many and lords many in the world-a fact that Deuteronomy. speaking to a generation which believed in their reality, seeks to reconcile with the sovereignty of Juliovah by saving that it is He who has allotted those gods to their various peoples (iv. 19)but the throng of gods in Canaan alone were by the popular mind easily hiddled into, and confounded with, each other-The prophets bear witness how readily Israel, on emerging from the desert and settling to agriculture and the growth of the vine-with Canaanites still as their neighbours for their conquest of the land was gradual (vii. 22)-succumbed to this polytheism and syncretism, and confounded their own God with the similarly titled detties of the land, the Bauls the Adons and the Meleks. Compare Deuteronomy itself. Take heed to thyself that thou be not drawn away after them (after that they be destroyal from before theel; and that thou inquire not after their made paying How do these nations worship their gods! even so will I do Alberra're (vii. 10). Most of what became shrines of Jebovah when I smel settled in Canaan had from time immemorial been the shrines of the local deities. The attributes of these gods and the forms of their worship were transferred to Him and to His worship. This transference took place the more easily that Israel as a family of the Semitic stock had already in common with the Canaanites so much ritual and so many sacramentssacrificial slaughter of beasts, sacred poles and millars with their unction and the like-and even so many conceptions of the Godhead ... as the Lord of one nation, through whom His Name. (that is the revelation of His nature) was revealed, as its King and leader in war, a man of wor (Ex. xv. 3), as the Baal or husband or fertiliser of its land, as the Raingiver whose emblem was the raiobow, and as the Lawgiver whose voice was heard alike in thunder and in the rustle of the trees. Thus after Israel's occupation of Cansan, though the kigh places of the land may in same have belonged to Ichovah, is reality they were devoted to the Baslim-according to the number of the cities are thy gods, O fudah! (Jer. xi. 13). There were in fact many Jehovahs. Hence the necessity of proclaiming the Unity of the God of Israel, hence even the particular forms is which it is proclaimed by Deuteronomy: Heur, O Israel, Iekovak thy God is one Ichovah (vi. 4), Ichovoh He is God, there is none else beside Him; in heaven above and on the earth beneath He is God, there is none else! (iv. 15, 30). Hence too the cardinal law of the conceatration of His worship on One Sanctuary and One Altar. and the destruction of all the high places (ch. xii.). In the religious circumstances of Israel in Canaaa the One Altar was the only practical safeguard of the creed of the One God. Hence, too, the abolition of certain objects and rites that were traditional and had even been divinely sanctioned in Israel's worship, the Asherim or sacred poles and the Masseboth or sacred pillars (xvi. 21 ff., with the actes pp. 218-220), or the shaving of the head in mourning (xiv. 1) to which even the prophet Amos speaks of the voice of God as calling the people (see note on p. 185). For such things were contents also of the Canaanite cults, by tradition from a common racial source. Hence, too, the recurring denuaciation of all images. And hence eyes the ruthlestness of the laws against the Cassanites themselves and the Israelite worshippers of other gods (vii, 22 ff., xiii... xvii. 2-7, xx. 13, 16 ff.). If this ruthlessaess, and the particular cruelities with which it was to be carried out, as in the herem (ii. 14. etc.), seem paradoxical beside the other features of Deuteronomy on which we have dwelt the love and readerness that breathe through it-we must remember that the like combinasion has often appeared in the history of religion, when so the sincere consciousness of the possession of a higher purity, there has been added the fanatic real which a monotheistic creed appears to engender especially among Oriental peoples. But this brings us to consider in more detail the ethics of our Book.

The chics of Deuteronomy above proofs of development similar to those we have observed upon its system of religion. That is, while they have elements in common with the ethics of other Sentitie popules, they man kil many respects an above development of the section of the se

Take first the administration of justice. Deuteronomy sanctions the same system of tribal judges and of appeal from them to the representative of God at the sanctuary (t. 9-18, xvi. 18-20, xvii. 8-13), which exists among other Semitic peoples, nomad or settled; but with its characteristic application of religion to every interest of the national bie it impresses upon the tribal judges that their charge as much as the priests' is God's judgement (see on i. 17). With all Semitic law and practice Deuteronomy shares the same conscience of impartial justice and in particular it joins IE in forbidding bribes; but, after its style, it is more emphatic in its demands; Justice, Instice shalt then follow or hunt (xvi. 20). The principle of like for like-life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand. fact for fost-is laid down (xix, 21, cp. xix, 19, xxv, 11f.) as in the other Hebrew codes and in all Semitic jurisprudence: and the justice of the Semitic vendetta or blood-revenge is I In the following paragraphs detailed references to the Code of Hammurabi are omitted as they are given in the notes.

STANDPOINT, DOCTRINE, AND SPIRIT xxxiii

assumed--it is necessary to the welfare of society (xix, 13)-with of course the rights of sanctuary which mitigate the vendetta in all the tents and cities of Shem and are recognised in tach of the Hebrew codes (xix. 1-13, Ex. xxi. 13 E. and No. xxxv. 0-34 P); and as everywhere the guilty murderer is delivered to the kinsmen of his victim as his executioners (xix. 12, cp. s. 6). But in Deuteronomy as in P careful provision is made for the full trial of the accused and for his security, if it be found that the fatal stroke was not intended by him: while on the other hand, as in 1E and P, no such composition is permitted between a guilty man and the avengers of blood as is frequent among the Arabs, for the sin of murder is one not only against man but against God (see the additional note to xix, 1-13 and that at the foot of p. 241). The deathsentence is pronounced not only upon the murderer but as throughout the Semitic world and elsewhere on the man-stealer (xxiv. 7) and the adulterer (xxii. t3 ff.), and as in some Semitto societies on the obdurate rebel against authority, that all the people may hear and fear (xvii. 12 f.) and on the rebellious son that all Israel may hear and fear (xxi, 18-21) (we must remember also that prisons are difficult to construct in most Semitic communities); and it is extended to the presumptuous prophet (xiii. t-t, xviii, 30) and to native seducers to idolatry (xiii, 6-t8. cp. Ex. axii 20 E). These last cases rest on the same grounds of course as the merciless destruction of the Canaanites and of their property in war-thou shall ban them; thou shall make no covenant with them, nor show them mercy, hesed, the kindly loyalty natural between man and man (vii. 2 f.). Those grounds are: first that of ritual danger, for this is within the content of the technical terms to shah, abomination, and shikkes, to detest (see on vii, 25 ff.) and is implied in the phrase, that there cleave wought of the thing banned to these hand (vin. 17); second, of the jealousy of Israel's own God against other gods (iv. 24, v. Q. vi. 15): but also, there (implicitly), of the ethical uncleanness of their practices—the wickedness of these nations (ix, 5, see note), to which recent excavations of Canaanste sanctuaries bear

DEUTERONOMY

Google

witness. White death is decreed to the false prophet and seducers to idolatry nothing is said of death in the case of the religions prostitutes of both sexes; but it has probably to be inferred as inflicted on them just as it must have been in the case of incest, in which also it is not mentioned (xxii. 30). As in other primitive societies commonal responsibility is recognised for crimes, the individual authors of which cannot be detected (xxi. 1-9); and also the ethical solidanty of the family, with the power of parents over their children even to the extent of putting them to death (xxi. 18-21). But this last is subject to examination and judgement by the elders; the parents are spared from being the executioners; and it is laid down that neither parents nor children shall be not to death for the guilt of each other (xxiv. 16); this law is peculiar to Deuteronomy and in contradiction to the earlier custom in Jarael at Jeast up to the time of Amazish. Denieronomy does not repeat E's decree of death to the man who strikes his father and mother (Ex. xxi. tt) or who curses them (Ex. xxi. 17), but the latter is cursed (xxxii. 16). That the mother is injust with the father in the reverence doe

from their children (v. 16, cp. xxvii, t6) and named along with the father in the case of the disobedient snn (xxl. 18 ff ) may be substantially no more than we find in IE and in the Babylonian laws; among even the nomad Arabs a mother of sons is held in honone. But of woman in general and of man's duty to her there is no doubt that Denteronomy is inspired by higher conceptions than we find in the other Hebrew codes; witness its more discriminating form of the Tenth Commandment, v. 21, and see the notes to that and to xy. 12.18, xxi, 14. xxii, 13 and xxiv, 1 ....4. Polyganty is taken for granted, but in its risks, that one wife may be loved bester than another, justice is enforced for the latter and her child (xxi. 15-47). The law on Divorce-the practice of this has always been easy among the Semites-in designed to make divorce a more serious and deliberate affair than even in Israel it was conceived to be, and in particular to prevent the degradation of the woman by too easy conveyance from one husband to another (xxiv. 1-4). It is interesting that

the Code allows marriags with a female capture or war, with whom an Installic has howestly filler in love, and provides against her being used as a deatot, if he grows tired of her (cal. to-t.4). The case of the suspected band is in procedure Deuteronomy's statement of it there are tonches of consideration for the woman's feelings which are the Book's to we (axis. 13—21). In adultry the man is to be punished equally with her woman's for rape the man shall did, and if a name advoke a girl a fine shall not be sufficient, he must marry her Neusar Ar Jadia (Ex. axis 165.1.2.2—20). Then is no advance of Ex has

A comparatively small proportion of the social laws of Deuteronowy are—apart from the cardinal law of the One Altar and its consequences—concerned with matters of risual; ep. the notes on the law of clean and unclean foods siv. 3—21, sganst various mixtures xxii; s—0, 11, and of tasseds xxii; 2, no notibly also yet.

9-14 on Cleanness in the Camp.

On the other hand the number of laws that are based on reasons of homanity is very striking; in nothing else is the superiority of Deuteronumy to other codes more conspicuous. Vet we must discriminate. For example, the generous treatment enforced for household slaves (xv. 12-18) has been always part of the general Semitic conscience, and is practised in Arabia today (see notes on pp. 202 ff.). The other Hebrew codes provide for the stranger, the foreign settler in Israel's gates (E. Ex. xxii. 2). xxiii. 0; H. Lev. xvii. 10 ff., xix. 10, 33 f., xx. 2, xxiv. 22; P. Ex. fli, 19, 48, Lev. xvi. 29, Nu. xv. 14, 16, 29) and legislate for the widow (E. Ex. xxi), 22f.; H. Lev. xxi, 14, xxii, 13; P. Nu. xxx. q ff.). But P's references to both stranger and widow are all concerned with ritual; H leaves the gleanings of the field to the stranger and the beer and insists that in law nutive and steamer shall fore alike. E alone adds the fatherless /Fo wail 22 f.) and his directions for all three are based purely on grounds of insuce and sympathy. So are Deuteronomy's but they are much more numerous and emphatic, always in the combination.

the stranger, the fatherless and the widow, x, 18, xxiv, 17, 19, 29, 21. xxvii. 10, and with the Levile, xiv. 20, xvi. 11, 14, xxvi. 12, 13. It is also distinctive that in the law leaving the gleanings to the poor, which is peculiar to H and Deuteronomy, while H gives as the motive I am Jekanah thy God. Deuteronomy emphasises this as kindness and as gratitude to God, and characteristically extends the law to the olive crop as well as to the grain (xxiv. 10ff.) Israel is to love the stranger us God loveth him (x. 18f.). Deuteronomy's iaw on loans and pledges (xxiv, 10-13) as compared with that of E (Ex. xxii, 2t. sec Driver's note) shows no new principle but a more delicate consideration of the feelings of the poor debtor. With H slone Deuteronomy shares the law enjoining the payment to the hired servant of his wage before sunset (xxlv. 14f.; H, Lev. xix. 13; cp. Matt. xx. 8). Nor is It without significance that a number of other laws based on motives of humanity are peculiar to Deuteronomy among the Hebrew codes: on sparing the fruit trees in a slege (xx, tof.), a real advance on the othics of war in the Semttic world and even within farael: on protecting roofs (xxii, 8); on help to an escaped slave (xxiii, 15f.), also an advance on Semitic custom; against taking the family millstones as a piedge (xxiv. 6); against excessive beating (xxv. t-1), and on kindness to animals (xxv. 4. cp. v. 14. and possibly xxii. 6f.). Peculiar also to Deuteronomy is the law, equally scrupulous and equisable, upon the use at need of others' crops (xxiii, 24 f.). But both this law and that on not muzzling the ox (xxv. 4) are generally observed in the East. And also in Deuteronomy alone are two regulations on decency, physical and moral, on the cleanness of the camp (xxiii, o=-14) and reckless assault (xxv. 11f.), in neither of which are we compelled to trace the motive to any idea of ritual. If all these laws which are peculiar to Deuteronomy were derived by it from other codes as we know that it derived some from E. yet its selection of them is no less a proof of the distinctive spirit of its morality. In these laws, as in the additions to others, the heart that heats behind the Deuteronomic Code is, as we have seen already, a hieger and a richer heart than we can feel in any other.

But still more distinctive of the higher ethical spirit which pervades Deuteronomy is its searching examination of moral moods and of motives and its inclusion of thoughts and desires as well as actions in its purview-as, for example, in its expansion of E's story of the disaffection of the people and their penitence after the return of the spies (i. 26-33); its call to consider with the heart (iv. 20), that is not, as our ests might take it, with the feelings, but with what Apart meant to the Hebrew, the practical intellect; its denouncement not only of the appropriation of unlawful silver and gold but of all desire for this (vii. 25); its warnings against base thoughts as well as base deeds, lest thou say in the heart, or heware that there be not a base thought in the Aeart, or it must not seem too hard to thee (viii. 17, ix. 4, xv. 9, 18). The obedience it demands to the Law of God is one of all the heart and all the soul and all the strength. With morality so personal it is not strange that though it is the only Code which provides for a King. Deuteronomy should lay such distinctive stress as it does mon the moral and political responsibilities of the whole people and upon their character as the critical element in their history. One of its laws recognises that public conscience in I sened, which exists also in the process tribe of the Arabian desert 10-day, the instinct not to dishonour nor to shame one's fellowtribesmen; she hath wrought folly in Israel (xxii. 21; cp. J. Gen. xxxiv. 7. Tosh, vii. 15. Judg. xx. 6, 10). It shares the essentially democratic spirit common to all Semitic peoples. But it brings this out in its own moral way, emphasising the rasponsibilities of all members of the state rather than their rights. According to other documents of the Pentateuch Moses hunself selects the tribal judges, according to Deuteronomy the people (see notes on i. 9-18, xvi. 18), and it describes how grave and serious the office of election is. Similarly it is the people who propose to Moses to send the spies (1, 22), while in P the sending of the spies is a Divine command (Nu. xiii, 1 f.); in the victories over Sibon and Or Moses emphasises the people's share, we smale him, see took all his cities (ii. 33 ff.; cp. iii. 4. etc.); and all the exhortations and all the laws are to Israel as a whole. And there is no flattery

of the people, but on the constray, just as by the propolest, their widecliness is smappingly declared; literi shallow perintence is rejected (i. 41—46); they are repeatedly cittled presumptions in extrons, stabelow, maked, and stipleft in Carry, a suffigured depole (i.e. 6, 15, 16, 16), constantly rebilding (ix, 7, 23.6), correspints; therefore (ix, 13) and quitely arraing said (ix, 16) in of for the right-intense or for the spiricipations of filties heart, saids followed by God driver know and hefere the (ix, 16). The undeem mind many object the (ix) and in the control of the contro

It is sometimes objected to Deuteronomy that its morality is too absolute---do good and you shall live, do evil and you shall perish-and that the absolutism is not relieved by any admission or explanation of the sufferings of the righteous: the problem that engaged Jeremiah and the later generations of thinkers in Israel. This is not wholly true. There is at lesst one passage on the Divine purpose of suffering. He hath led thee these forty years in the wilderness that He might humble thee, to prove thee, to know sukat was in thine heart ... And He humbled thee, and suffered thee to hunger and fed thee with manna...that He might make thee know that man doth not leve by bread only, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of the LORD doth man Hipe (viii, 2f). But the mind of the Book is not exercised with the problem, and immediately swings back to its absolutism upon-the great hyperbole: Thy raiment waxed not old upon thes, neither did thy foot swell these forty years (viii, 4). As a man chasteneth his son so did the LORD thy God chasten thee (viii. 5). The Book leaves it at that, but that is much-

But there are two other more significant limitations upon the teaching of Deuteronomy. We thave observed its interesting silence on the foreign influences which according to JE assisted Israel: Hobab's, Jeshro's', and Balaam's; in sense of the sufficiency of larack, possessors of the Law as they were, to themselves. Its

<sup>1</sup> Hobab and Jethro may be the same,

increas, its symposhy, its humanity do not exemb keynod bread and the strangers within their gates. There is no Mession given brough James Hor other peoples as in J. (Gen. sit., a, xoiii. 18, xoii. 8, xoii. 4, xoii. 14, xoii. 16, xoii. 18, xoii.

Such, then, are the peculiar style, standpoint, doctrines, spirit, and limitations of Deuteronomy i --- vay, throughout. The force and individuality of the Book; its consistency and distinctiveness from the other documents of the Pentateuch as well as its differences from much of the custom and practice both in early and later Israel, are all obvious. Not only in its Cardinal Law of the One Altar, with all the consequences of this, and in other laws peculiar to itself such as those of the King and Prophet. and in its expansions and modifications of earlier law, both written and consuetudinary, but also in its religious temper and general spirit of humanity, Deuteronomy evidently occupies a particular stage in the development of the religion of Israel. Can we mark any point in Israel's history, at which both the style and characteristic doctrines of the Book appeared as operative on the life and literature of the people? We are fortunate in having evidence to the Old Testament which enables us to fix that point with exactness. At the same time, in face of the structure of the Book-its divisions with their separate and independent titles...the oversion prizes whether all of it appeared at once or whether some parts are not more original than others. That fact and this openion will be dealt with in the next paragraphs.

See Ryle's notes to Generic (this series),

## 8 4. Deuteronomy and the Law-Book of Iosiak.

Neither in the primitive legislation of IE nor in the practice of their religion by early Israel is there a trace of the cardinal law of Deuteronomy, viz that after Israel enters Canasn and the Lord gives them rest from their foes sacrifice to Him shall be confined to One Altar in a place which He shall choose to cause His name to dwell there (ch. xii.). And heasuse there is to be only One Aliar the tithes of the people's flocks and fruits must be taken to it, or if the way be too long to carry them there in kind they are to be turned into money (xiv. 22-27); the three annual fassts, Passover, Weeks, and Tabernacies, are to be celebrated there (xvi. 1-12); and cities of asylum are to be appointed for unintentional manslayers who are at too grast a distance to fice to the Altar (iv. 41-43, six. t-t3). In contrast to all this the laws of IE assume the validity of sacrifice to Jehovah at every place where He may record His Name and promise that in answer He will come there to bless His worshippers; while the fashion of altar the laws prescribe is one suitable to a multiplicity of rural sanctuaries (Es. ex. 24 f.). And while they include no law as to tithes, they direct that the three annual feasts shall be celebrated at a sanetuary (Rx. xxiii, t4-t7, exxiv. t8-24) and, imply that asylum may be claimed at any altar (Ex. ext. 12-14; cp. esp. vv. (3f.)1. So too, after Israel's engrance into Canaen

<sup>1</sup> See Robertson Smith, OTJC, pp. 342-5, 353f., and Prophets of Irrad, 100 f., 393 f. (in teply to Prof. Green); also Drives's Exader (in thir series) on the IE laws cited above, and his Deut, pp. elifi f, and 136-138. In the Problem of the O'd Testisment (1903), p. 175 (cp. pp. 503 f.). Dr Oir offeis to Roligitson Smith's argument an answer, which however lauls to meet both the lacts of the O.T. texts and the contentions of the entics founded on them. He misses the force of the Heb. idiom in Ee, ex. 24 L, which indubitely implies a multiplicity of alters. He silmits indeed (thus differing from Piol, Green) that Ex. xx, 24 f/covers the right of ascrifice at several alters rimultaneously as well as at successive stations of Israel's central sanctuary. But when he emphasises that this right is limited by the clause in every place where I great we some, he hade to close that this is of course admitted by the critics whon he oppores. When he adds that there is nothing in the law of Exodina to conflict with Deuteronomy, be ignores the fact that Deut, confines not

DEUTERONOMY AND LAW BOOK OF IOSIAH xli

the histories recount not only that the religious leaders of the people-prophets, priests and kings-sacrificed on many alters scattered over the land, some of which had been high places of the Canaanites, but also that Jehovah appeared there to the worshippers and blessed them. In Judah this sanctioned practice continued down to the building of the Temple, and even after this the high places were not destroyed -- not even by pipus kings as the deuteronomic editor of the histories is careful to point out, to N. terael at least several sanctuaries to Jehovah were recovnised by the authorities, and Elijah was bidden to build Him an altar on Carmel, upon the sacrifices at which a manifestation of His power descended in answer to prayer!. The prophets of the eighth and seventh centuries, indeed, strongly inveigh against brael's worship on the high places, many features of which were fundamentally hostile to the prophetic conceptions of the spiritual nature of Jehovah. But the prophets do not appeal to any written law on the subject, and indeed two of them deny that Jehovah had given any ordinances in the wilderness concerning sacrifices. Though there were earlier measures taken to destroy idols, and possibly even to concentrate the national worship in the Temple's, and though the status of the Temple and its priesthood was constantly strengthened and their influence increased from King Asa's time onwards, yet the first recorded atjempt to abolish the high places is that attributed to Herekish. The narrative here bears signs of being a later intrusion into the annals of this monarch\*. But the temporary destruction of all luch places in

only sacrifice but also the record of the Name of Jehovah to one silent Nor does he sitemat to meet the force which the argument be opposes derives from the consequences of the law of the One Atta, viz. In Deuteronomy's laws on tithes, the three amount feast; and the cities of asylam-consequences of which the laws in IE show so trace, For details and references see below pp. 104 f.

\* Jer. vil. 21; cp. Am. v. 16.

As under Ass. circa 913-873 s.c., 1 Kgs av. 9-15; see the present writer's ferusalem, vol. tt. oof.

\*2 Kgs avili. 4; the grammar of the clause on the high places, pitters and 'Asherton is late, and stil these were still in use in the beginning of fostal's reign, 60 or 70 years afterwards.

the country by the Assyrians! in contrast to the marvellous deliverance of Jerusalem in 70t and the inviolable sacredness with which the preaching of Isaiah had invested the Temple. renders such a reform by Hesekiah very possible and credible. Moreover the Rahshakeh imputes to Herekinh the removal of the high places, 2 Kus sviii, 22. That the reform was drastic is proved by the reaction it immediately provoked on Herekiah's death. In any case the high places both within and beyond Jerusalem, and the impurities of the worship of Jehovah upon them. persisted during the reigns of Manasseh and Anton and into that of Iosiah, as we learn from Jeremiah and Ezekiel!.

But in the eighteenth year of Josiah, 621 or 620 S.C., a Book of the Law was discovered in the Temple, which being read to the King filled him with consternation, and by the King to the people moved them to initiate great reforms including not only the destruction of idols but the abolition of the high places. The story has been doubted but on insufficient grounds4. The discovered Book is called the, or a, Book of the Law (Tôrah), xxii, 8, 1t and virtually so in xxiii, 24£, and the Book of the Covenant, xxiii. 2, 21 (cp. v. 3, the words of this covenant written in this book). The former is the name Deuteronomy

1 Cp. the terms used of this in a Kes aviii, ta-ta, ata, 11-11. 17-10 (=1, xxxvi, 18-10, xxxvii, 11-13, 18-10) with the termi used in Deuteronomy, especialty in chs. vii. and xil. 5 |c1, it. 29, iti. 6, 8, 13, 23, xvii. 16; and Esck. vi. 12, xviil. a6. xx. 18.

2 Kgs xxii. f. See below, pp. aciv ff. 4 By a group of Fiench writers, Havet, d'Eichthal, and Vernes, la answer to whom Stegernage! (Diet, p. a) quotes as conclusive an article by Piepenbeing in which it is pointed out that the first deuteronomic edition of the Book of Kines, to which a Kes vail I, belones, must be earlier than the Exile, probably about 600 S.C. -- State and Schwally, SBOT, excee the following at of later origin: xaii, 6 (, 15-90 a, Huldah's gracle, exist, 4, 85, 10, 12 (last clause), 13-10, 106; but other analyses | Kamphansen's and Stenemagel'si yield other results, and all are uncertain. Huldah's oracle may not be so its orazinal form, but the fact that it predicts a peaceful death for louish, who fell at Meriddo In firs, is proof that part at least of its first contents has been preserved. Even after the said analyses, enough remains of the two chapters to support the argument shove.

DEUTERONOMY AND LAW BOOK OF JOSIAH xiiii gives to itself; the latter agrees with the description of it in the title to one of its sections, the words of the covenant ... in Moab (see above § 1) and with the character of its contents. But the main, and the irrefutable, proof, not merely of the similarity but of the identity of this Law-Book and of Deuteronomy-in whole or part-lies in the record of reforms which losiah and his people were roused to carry out: the destruction of all idols and symbols including the pillars and 'Asherim, and impure practices, whether connected with the worship of Jehovah or with that of other gods (cn. 2 Kys will, 4 f. 7, 10 f., 12 ff., 14 last clause, 10. 24 with Deut. xvii. 3, xil. 2 f., xvi. 21 f., xviii. 10 f., xxlii. 18 (17)); the abolition of all high places and the centralisation of the worship of Jehovah in one place (cp. 2 Kgs xxtii, 8, 13-15, 19 with Deut, xii.); the provision, consequently necessary for the priests of the disestablished rural sanctuaries, to eat bread with their brethren at Jerusalem (2 Kgs axili, 9 6 with Deut, aviii, 8): and the new celebration of the Passover by all the ecodic at Jerusalem-the first of the kind in the history of Israel (cp. 2 Kgs xxiii. 21-23 with Deut, xvi. 5 f.). Among the codes of Israel that of Deuteronomy is the only one which requires the execution of all these measures. The one point in which Josiah did not carry out the deuteronomic law was its direction that the disestablished priests should be allowed to minister at the One Altar (cn. 2 Kes axis), o a with Deut, avril, 2). That this exception is recorded does not subtract from but rather adds to the accumulation of evidence that the Law-Book discovered in the Temple 621-20 B.C. was not merely similar to, but identical with, at least the distinctive parts of Deuteronomy,

This conclusion, suggested as early as Jerome and Chrysostom', and recognised by Hobbes<sup>8</sup>, was first made current in modern criticism by De Wette<sup>3</sup>, and is now accepted almost universally.

1 Jer, Comm. in Earl. 1.; Chrys. Hom. as Matth. In.

Betrage, 1866.
 See Wellhausen's Prolevoniena to the History of Israel, 1878, English

Recant attempts to duspate it, whether from a conservative!, or from an advanced standpoint, cannot be pronounced as reasonable. Some of the latter have already been mentioned; but a few words are necessary on another. Dr Kennett armes for a date for Deuleronomy subsequent to the reign of Josiah mainly on the grounds that its language is dependent on feremuch's-but this is not proved and the converse is more probable-and that it contains exilic elements-but these, if they are really such, may be reckoned among the later addilions to the Book. Dr Kennell's explanation of Joseph's consternation as due to some denunciations of sacrifice by one of the prophets does not soul the well-established fact that it was the reading of a Road of the Law, a Book of the Covenant which dismayed the King, and that it was demneiation not of sacrifice bol only of certain forms of it to which the King's reforms correspond. Dr Kennett has then to account for Iosiah's continuance of requifice at the Temple and does so by the fact that this was Torish's own royal chapel-a reason that may be safely left to the judgement of the reader? Dr Kennett thinks that 'There is good reason for supposing that for some time neither the Jewish community in Babylon not that in Egypt postemed any written law limiting sacrifice to one sanctuary that if was only Enchiel's presence in Bahylon which prevented the Iews from building a temple there, like the one then beethien built in Egypt; and that 'If we may suppose that the compact between southern Samaria (s.e. the district of which Bethe) was the chief sanctuary) and Indah to make lerusalem the one place of sacrifice (or both districts dates from a time subsequent to Nebuchadnezzar's destruction of Jerusalem, the law of Desteronomy which embodies and extends this compact must be placed still later.' This is not argument but a series of conjectures; and even if we were to accept These, we should still have to ask what then caused Josiah's consternation and what was the basis of his reforms?

\* The Date of Desteronomy in the Journal of Theological Studies, July, 1906; cp. p. 43 of The Computition of the Book of Isuiah.

## 8 s. Ouestions of Unity.

But was the Law book discovered under Josish the whole of Destretcomy,—xax or only part I. The question is raised both by the record of his reforms which all find a sufficient motile within the Cofe xil,—xxx, and by the structure of Destretcomy itself. It is true (as we have seen) that the style and doctrine of both.—xxx, are odd indicate and outlier that it is natural to argue that they are a unity and from the same hand. The whething it I this, however, is extravegate.

So for from the evidence for their unity being 'overwhelming' chs i .- xxx. bear many marks both of expansion and of compilation. Not only do the main divisions-into Discourses and Code and Discourses again, each with its own independent heading or introduction (§ s)-suggest the association of originally separate documents; but these main divisions also reveal as between themselves, not indeed differences of substance, but, in spite of their uniform style, some differences of diction. Further, within each division there are prima facia appearances of more than one hand. Not only are there archaeological notes? unsuitable in the mouth of the speaker and to his hortstory purpose and other obviously editorial expansions<sup>8</sup>; but sections, both large and small, differ from each other in the form of address used to Israel, some using the Singular Thou others the Plural You (hereafter styled Sg. and Pl.). This distinction of address might be ignored if it stood slove, but it is frequently coincident with differences in the phraseology used for the same subjects. in the themes treated and even in the standpoints from which the people and their past are regarded. Such distinctions enterge not only in each of the Discourses but in the Code as well, in which we find evidence of doublets, or variant laws on the same subject. Altouether there are enough of such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> E.g. i. 39, iv. 29—31, and clauses in xi. 10 f.; see § 9.





<sup>1</sup> Orr, Problem of the Old Testament, p. 253.

E.s. ii. 10-12, 20-23, etc.

phenomena in the style and substance of the Book, if not to prove different authors and persons as in the case of the main documents of the Pentateuch, J. E. D and Pl. yet to suggest the possibility of the compilation of our Deuteronomy from different editions of the original. And this would be a tolklosh of the question which would be not (if may be pointed out) conditis with the distinctive and impressive outlogarings of the style throughout.

With this coldence from the Book itself, some general considerations have to be kept in midd. Oneness of motive, of doctrine, of temper, or even of style, does not of Itself prove oneness of subtractibility. This is most necessary to remember in the case of such a style as the douterosomic. As we see from the admitted editional expansions within the Book as well as from the inference it exerted on the subsequent interature of intext the eductronomic style is a most initiable such as the contraction of the subsequent interature of intext the eductronomic style is a most initiable such as a standard of the subsequent interature of enterties a standard of the subsequent interature of the Book's own evidences of compilation.

Such are the questions which arms regarding the unity of Deuteromorp, Lexa. They fall into use classes, fairly of the Deuteromorp, Lexa. They fall into use classes, fairly of the modern crickism of the Book. First there are the questions of the relations of the main deviation of the Book to each other—the relations of the main deviation of the Book to each other—the special control of the Book to the Book to the Control of the Book to the Book to the Book to the Control of the theory of the Book to the Book, which can through all the main divisions, especially the distinction between Sg. and Pt. forms of adders, which is sometimes colocident with differences of phenosology and of subject.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Chapasan, I.P. Jossim.
<sup>4</sup> Cp. Bagehot, Physics and Politics (1883), pp. 33—36, 88—90, on the rise and prevalence in a particular age or school of a uniform style.

## § 6. The Relations to Each Other of the Main Divisions— The Code and the Discourses.

The earlier controversy upon the unity of Deuteronomy i -- xxxwas concerned with the relations, to the Code (xii.-xxvt.) and to each other, of the two Introductory Discourses (i .- iv. 40 and v.-xi.) and the Closing Discourses (xxviii.-xxx.; xxvii. muses questions of its own and will be treated later). Except for certain admitted expansions the Code was regarded as original t that is, the Law-Book discovered and enforced in the reign of Josiah contained at least the Code. Some critics argued that the Law-Book consisted only of the Code without any introduction, not even cha, v .- xi, which they assigned to a later writer!. Their principal reasons for this are that the author of chs. v.-xi. implies that the statutes and judy ements of the Code were already before him in writing-witness e.g. the perfect tense hath commanded you as in v. 32 and the setting before the people in xi. 26 ff. of a blessing and a curse for keeping or transgressing commandments not yet given to them; and that chs. v .- xi. form far too long an introduction to the Code for its author himself to make \$ . But neither of these is a sound teason. Such perfects as we find in v. 32 imply only that the speaker had already received from God the laws he was about to communicate

Valento, Stocken, vt. 1880, pp. 1518. (not sees). Wellinsen, Antheriode for desirable Protection 2011, by 488, and Coop, det Coop, de Coop, d

to the people, which was the case with Moses: neither they nor anything else suggest more than that the author had completed his Code before he wrote his introduction to it, which is very probable and if true does not render the introduction less original than the Code. As for the great length of the introduction between the intimation at its outset that Moses is about to set the law or the statutes and sudgements before Israel (v. 1): co. iv. 44), and the point at which he actually reaches these. (xii, t) two things must be kept in mind; that the introduction, especially from vi. t onwards, is itself an execution (see note on i. 4), if not of the Law yet of the principles underlying it; and that the long historical section, ix. 8-x. 8 or 11 may not have . been original to the introduction. Besides, it is very probable. if not certain, that a Code enjoining such drasuc changes in the religious life of the neonle had some introduction explaining the principles on which it was based. Nor are there any discrepancies in substance between the Code and cits, v.-xi. It is true that in the latter there is no allusion to the cardinal law of the Code, but (as we have seen) that law is but the practical corollary, in the peculiar circumstances of the seventh century, of the principles which those chapters enforce; the uniqueness of the God of Israel and the exclusion from all association with His worship of the practices prevalent in the worship of other gods. Nor are there differences of language between the Code and che. 1-xi, nearly sufficient to suggest different authors or dates of origin. It is true that many of the laws as stated in the Code are devoid of the usual formulas and other marks of the deuteronomic style with which chs. v.—xi. are replete; and true also that the Code contains a certain number of terms not found elsewhere in Deuteronomy nor in the deuteronomic passages of the rest of the Old Testament. But this is to be explained by the fact that the Code incorporates laws, and perhaps even groups of laws, from previous collections?, and that in the exposition of principles of which che want consist there was no occasion for

But see below pp. xev f., on Cullen's theory.

But see below pp. lxii ff.
See below pp. bxv ff.



the use either of purely juridical terms, autable to the statutes themselves, or of names of things or actions relevant only the subjects of particular statutes. Nor is it without significance that it is precisely in the laws original to the Code—that of the One Altar and those which follow from it—that the desurronamie formulas chiefly occur and that the language generally above close affinity to that of rick, very

It is nanecessary to catalogue the many deuteronomic formulas and terms found both in cha v .-- an and in the Code, but a list of such of them and of other expressions as are found only in these two divisions and not elsewhere in Deuteropomy and some of them even not elsewhere in the Q.T. may be given here as illustrating the very close afficity. If not nolly, of authorship -- to love Garley, a vii o w 10 vl. t. to 40 with xill. 3, xix. 4; to serve or so after other gods vi. 14, vii. 4, viii. 19, x1. 16, 28 with xiii, 3, 6, 13, xvil. 3 (ep. xviii. 20); elector to do v. 1, 11, vl. 8, 26, vil. 11, viii. 1, xl. 22, 12 with xit, 12, xv. 6, xvil. 10. axiv. A and theire in xxviii. c eat and be full vi. 11. vil. 10. 12. xl. 14. with xiv. 10, xxvi. 13 (xnd in later writings approducable); Agus of bendmen (Egypt) v. 6, vi. 12, vh. 8, viii, 14 with xill. 5, 10 and nowhere else in Deuteronomy (but ep. Ex. xill, z. 14 IE); remember then must a benderan, etc. v. 15 with xv. 15, xvi. 13, xxiv. 18, 22 and nowhere else in Deuteronomy (cp. Ex. xiil. 3, 14 JE); the Hiphit & Vrf8 used intrauntively, to be lour, v. to, vl. 2 with xxv. 15, elsewhere only Ex. xx. 121 that it be well with ther v. to. sq. vi. s. to with wil. ss. ss. ssil. 7 (elsewhere in Deuteronomy only iv. 40), sp. tha variant in v. 33, xix. 13; 'am s'gullah = a peculiar people vii. 6 with [xiv. 2], xxvi. 18 and nowhere else; 'ass Audina = a Asty people vil. 6 with (xiv. a), 21, xxvl. 19 and xxviii, p. nowhere else : Agetad de, he set his four on of God vis. 7, x, 15 with xxi. 11, of man, not elsewhere in Denteronnmy; sudak = redorm vil. 8, ix. 36 with xiii. s. xv. 1s. xxl. 8, xxiv. 18, not elsewhere in the Hexateuch: the core, new wore, and oil vil. 11, xi, 14 with xii, 17, ally a to wail a and warming to this one thall not been dien or them all 16 with xiil. 8, xlx. 1 g, 21, xxv. 12 (often in Ezek., ep. Gen. xlv. 20, Le. xiii. 18); they canst not in the very rare sound then acquest not vil. 92 with xil. 17, avi. 5, avil. 15, axi. 16, axii 3, 10, 10, axiv. 4, 'almost confined to Deuteronomy' (Driver), cp. Gen. xliff, 22: arr abomination of (= to) feborah vil. 15 with xii. 31, xvil. 7, xviii. 12, xxii. 3, xxii. 16, xxv. 15; to walk in the ways of feborah viii. 6, x. 12, xi, 22 with xix Q, xxvi, 17 and xxvirl, p, xxx, 16, also deuteronomic passages in Joshua and Kings; Arth need adverbially ix, 21 with siff, 14, xvit, 4, xix, 18, elsewhere only xvvif, 8, 2 Kes xi, 8. Note in addition the use of kakel = assembly for the unthrough of the people at Horeb v. 22, ix. 10, x 4 with xviii, 16 (co. xxiii, 1, 2, a, 8); assembly of Jehrmen in contrast to P's use of 'estate (see note to v. 22). These particular parallels (along with many others) between che. v.-xi. and

DFUTERONOMY

· Gougle UNIVERSIT IF AUSCONSIN

the Code expose the groundlessness of the hypothesis by which Wellhaeses in defence of his theory of diverse aethorishin attempts to explain the presence of deuteronomic elements in the Code, vis. that the lates action to whom be assigns this. v.—x. fermished the Code with echoes of v.—as, when he perixed these as his introduction to it (Comp.

p. 193). The rare words and phrases, which are either peculiar to the Code or, if they occur once or twice in other parts of the O.T., are not found In the v.-xi, are the following; and In pagriy every case their presence In the Code and absence from the Discourse introducing it is explicable on grounds perfectly compatible with the theory that the compiler of the Code and the writer of this introduction to it were one and the some. For some are imidical terms proper to what are technically laws, but not to be expected in the exposition of the principles on which these laws are based; e.g. muchpay-moments or hef-moments we are of death, catego/ come, xix, b, xxi, at, xxii, ab, perhaps also the phrase and if he a cin in thee xv. q, xxiii al f., xxiv, 15, nowhere else; and 'dilloth d'éas pe manton of unfounded charges xxii, 14, 12. Others again are relevant swiy to the sebjects of the particular laws in which they occur: the place which Johnwah your God shall shoose to cause His Name to dwell there xii. x (see note); we chall eat before Jehovah your God xii. 7, 18, xiv. 21, 26, xv. 201 we shall resoure before Jehonok the God xn. 12. xvi. 11, cn. xii. 7, xvi. 14, etc.; and so too hith'ammer wife front ar a chattel xxi. 14, xxiv. 7, nowhere else; he'en's. = to equip xv. 14, only here; come of Beisal xivi. 13 and a thing or word of Belial xv. 9, nowhere else in the Hexate ech; the nuledness of a thing, as idiom both for what is physically shameful xxiii. 14, and for what is morally so xxiv, 11 the month of 'Abib. xvi. 1: and of coerse wa'dkeh = battlement xxii. 8. stdHm =france xxii 12, manzer = bastard xxiii, 3 telsewhere only in Zech. in. 6), hafaph = pluch, mallioth = fresh cars, hermesh = eichle axin. 25, cp. xvi. 9 and m'buthfor xxv. 11; also middeh - let drave at xix. 5, xx. 19. Others again appear to have been taken over, with the jest of the text of the laws in which they stand, from earlier codes. This is certain in the case of sakur = made xvi, 16, word for word an earlier law (Ex. xx111, 17, E) xx. 1x. It is very probable with the following: the fem, form not draw xxvi, 10 (in the Pentateuch only here, the masc. metar being used elsewhere for both male and female, 8 times to Genesia. aml 13 in Deuteronomy); parat = defection alli. 5, xix. 16 (finit par, see below, p. lv); and 'adafm'to give a pledge Kv. 6, KKlv. 10, with its Hiphil =to couse to give, i.e. take a pleage av. 6, 8, and 'abit = pleage xxiv. in-in (none of these elsewhere in the O.T., but co. the pl. Manny in Hab. II. 6), technical compressed terms, probably borrowed from the Aranauc (Wellhausen, Aleine Protesten, p. 2011. And the same explanation is also be same for withlest sadium has then suttent

there hand to mi. 7, 18, xv. 10, xxiii. 21 and xxxiii. 8, 20; and harm out the coil from the mode of the, see note on xiii. 5. Since the connection of the xxiii in concerned in this question of the enity of the Coole and clis. y.—xi. the points have been noted above at which it also shares the terms that are common to them. Others may now be added which it shares with earlier the Cole or els. v.—vii.; evil diseases of Egypts, vii. 1,5, xxvii. 60 and Incorbere else; the "arhitroths." ic. the yearing (for the energy of y finel vii. 13, xxviii. 4, 18, 5, 11 (els. 3); if dear "lidgeholds in increase of thy sine vii. 13, xxviii. 4, 18, 5, 11 (els. 3, 3); if dear "lidgeholds in increase of thy sine vii. 13, xxviii. 4, 18, 5, 11 (els. 3, 3); if dear "lidgeholds in increase of thy sine vii. 13, xxviii. 4, 18, 5, 11 (els. 3, 3); if dear "lidgeholds in increase of the sine viii. 13, xxviii. 4, 18, 5, 11 (els. 3, 3); if dear "lidgeholds in increase of the sine viii. 13, xxviii. 4, 18, xxviii. 6, 19, xxviii. 7, 19, xxviii. 7, 19, xxviiii. 7, 19, xxviii. 7, 19, xxviii. 7, 19, xxviii. 7, 19, xxviii

It is clear from the above that ch. axviii, abuses many of the reemblances and affoilies between the style of ch. x.—xi. and that of the Code. Because of this; because it is probable that the the actifier code of Eine detauteronic Code had an Eine Eine and Secause the utern curses which ch. xvviia, promounces on a constraint of the contract of the contract of points for constraints where the Law-book westered Common to Justice the constraints of the contract of the contract

This then became the most generally accepted result of the action stage of the controversy upon the relations to each other of the Code, cht. sil—axvvl, the immediately preceding lattoduction to li, this. v.—ul., and the Epilogue, cht. axviii, viii, that they are from the same hand and line and substantially the Blook of the Law or Covenant discovered in the Temple under Josiahh. Driver may be quoted; 'this. v.—axvii may thus be

I the small pint above the references to the silt and still, in a pint as proved to the silt and still, in a pint as exceeding to the numberon of the result in our Berglin Verdence, bit of filters of the three pint and the silt of th

Hi

and cli, xxviii, 'may be included without serious missivings,' Some, however, of the critics of the later stage of the discussion deduct ch. v. as forming a separate discourse and the historical section ix. 8-x. | | as disturbing the connection between the hortatory sections, vi,-ix. 7 and x. 12-xi.1 These we shall consider later\*.

There has been much greater difference of opinion on the First Introductory Discourse i. 6-iv. 40, and the question of its relations to the Second Discourse and the Code v .- xxvi. The question is complicated by the fact that, like the Second, the First Discourse consists both of a historical and a hoststory

part, i. 6-iii. 20 and (v. 1-40. The general doctrine and style of the two Introductory Discourses are undoubtedly the same (8 2) and that in spite of the fact that pairative forms the bulk of the First while in the Second the reverse is the case. The same purpose is expressed by the First as by the Second, to expound the Law (i. t see note). to teach the statutes and the judgements of the Code (Iv. 1, 5, 8, 14. 40 with iv. 44. v. 1. 31. vi. 1. xi. 32. xii. 1. xxvi. 16); and there are not only the same urgency and spiritual thoroughness (as contrasted with its sources, see notes to i. 16 f., 41, iv. 0, 20, 10 k. but the same directions of religious and ethical emphasis, e.g. God's love to Israel (iv. 37 with vii. 8, 13, x. 15, 18, [xxiii, 5] and not elsewhere in the Hexateuch). His choice (iv. 37 with vii. 6, 7, x. 15, xiv. 2) and tender care of them (i. 31, ii. 7, iv. 2, 34 with viri. 2-5, xi. 2), their consequent daty to trust. fear and obey only Him (i. 21, 29, iii. 22, iv. 10 with v. 29, 32, vi. 2, 13, 24 f., etc., but the Second Discourse alone enforces Israel's love to God) and the guilt of unbelief, forgetfulness and disobedience (i. 26 ff., 32, iv. 9 with the frequent commands to remember and not to forcet in vi.-xi.) especially in

col. 1081, 'nothing indicates diversity of origin'; Ryle, Hanlage' D.B. 1. p. 598; Bertholet, Deut. (Kirzer Hd. Countr.), 1899, pp. xx £; Robinson, Deutermenty, Jeshus (Century Bible), p. 13.

1 E.s. Bertholet and Robinson. going after other gods and wershopping images  $(w, y_16 - y_2)$  with  $w, -y_1 - v_1$ ,  $k_1, y_2, y_3$ ,  $k_3, k_1 = z_3$  for H is it we one and only Cod (file  $z_0$ ,  $k_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $y_3$  with  $v_1$ ,  $z_4$ ,  $z_3$ , and  $z_3$ ,  $z_4$ ,  $z_4$ ,  $z_4$ ,  $z_3$ ,  $z_4$ ,

But the hieness of the First Discourse to the Second is not only general. It extends to the frequent use of the characteristic deuteronomic formulas, single words, and even terms of syntax. There is an impressive agreement in details as well as in tha man lines and in the spirit of the doctrine and style.

These dentils have virtually all been masked in the notes, but the question of an inty between the 1.— $v_1$ , and  $v_1$ — $w_2$ , as of important that it is well to gather the details together here. (a) Hoth the Discourse and she Code have place-names characteristic of Deuteronomy,  $c_{ij}$ , Mords have place-names characteristic of Deuteronomy,  $c_{ij}$ , Mords have place have exhausteristic of Deuteronomy,  $c_{ij}$ , Mords have  $i_i$ ,  $i_j$ , i

In the life of th

 $^{1}$  Lake the Second Discourse the First does not mention the Code's Central Law of the Ouc Allar.

XXV, 19, 21 (some of the foregoing verses read date gates for adout to grael; the good land, i. 34, 111, 25, iv. 21 f. with vs. 18, vitt. 10, 12, 61 . the phrase would not, i. 16, ii. 30 with x. 18, xxiii. 5, xxv. 7, xxix. 20; deleter into the hand of, 1. 17 (see note), il. 24, 30, iii, 26, with vil. 24, xix, ta, xx. 13, xxi. 10; distrey, surely destrey of detroyed, i. 37, 16. 12. 21-22. IV. 3. 26 with vi. 15. vii. 4. 23 f., 18. 3. 8. 14. 10 fe 24. xii. 2. 20. xxviii. 20. 14. 48. 48. 81. 61. 62-as against only 2 of 6 times alsowhere in the Hexateuch: course to masont, i. 38 and iii. 18 of Toshua with xis, 10, xix, 1 of God and xxxi, 7, Iosh, i, 6, of Ioshua, elsewhern only in Jer., ifzek, and later writers, P having another form: take (good) heed to thyself or yourselves, is, 4, iv. q f., thy soul, 14, 23 with vi. 12, viil. 11, xl. 16, xii. 13, 19, 30, xv. 9; Johnval hath biersed thee IN all the work of the hand or hand, ii. 2 with xiv. 20, xvi. 14, xxiv. 19, XXVIII, 12, CD, L 11, XV, 10, 18, XIIII, 20; the presture and the strong hand, iil, 24, iv, 24, strong hand and stretched out arm, with v. 15 and vis. 19 as in iv. 34, v. 24 glory and grashess, vi. 21 and vis. 8 strong hand alone, ix. 26 greatness.. and strong hand, 29 great percer and stretched out arm, xi. 1 greatness, strong hand and stretched out arm, xxvi. 8 as in iv. 24; as at this day, ii. 30, see cote, iv. 18 with vi. 24. viil. 18, x, 14, xxix, 28; the frequent alternatives to start over, ye over, came an or simply so renerally followed by the Jordan or to bassess, i. 8, in. 18, 21, 19, 1, 4, 14, 22, 26 with vi. 1, vii. 1, ix, 1, x, 11, x1, 8, 10 f., 29, 31, xii. 10, 29 (nations for land), xvii. 14, xviii. 9, xxiii. 20, xxvi. 1. xxx. 16, 181; fear and fears to fear God, 1v. 10 with v. 29, vi. 2, 24, vai. 5, 8. 12, xiv. 23, xvit. 19, xxviii. 38; abserve and do, iv. 6 with vit. 12, xvi. 12, xxiii, 23, xxiv. 8, xxvi. 16, xxviii. 13-the variant form observe to do (see p. xvi) does not occur in i .-- w. but frequently in v.-xxvi... xxvisi.; evolute days, iv. 26 see note, 40 with v. 12, x1, 0, xvii, 20, xxii, 7, xxx, 18, ep, the intransitive use v. 16, vi. 2, xxv, 12 free p, xbx), not elsewhere in Pentateuch except Ex. sx. 13, a deuteroucenie clause; and then shall know, iv. 39 with vii. 9, viii. 5, ix. 3, 6, xi. 2.

(d) Heidelt chase "frequent formulas the Prict Decourse, i. 6—11, e. 20, has in common with the N-vex-to's normal or of their glatness and mape has in common with the N-vex-to's normal or of their glatness and mape has in common with the N-vex-to's normal or of their glatness and the N-vex-to-vex-t

<sup>1</sup> Note the correct distinction from titese terms of the command to Israel while still in the southern wilderness, go nft, posters, i. 21.

In contrast to his impressive array of features of style and language, both general and particular, which are common to chs. i.—iv., 40 and chs. v.—xxvi, xxviii.—xxx., the linguistic peculiarities which i.—iv. 40 present and which are not found on v.—xxvi, xxviii.—xxx. are very f.w.

These have also been pointed out in the notes. After deduction of the place-names negular to i .- iii., which are not relevant to the themes treated in v. -xxvi., xxviii.-xxx., they amount to the following: firal = weight, i. 12, not eisewhere in the O.T.; ragan=mirmur, i. 27, not else where in the Pentateuch; salialin = tote, i. 36, iv. 12, not eisewhere in l'entateuen ; tahinu = desmed it a light thing, i. 41, not elsewhere in the O.T. e de dista = more car. i. 45, and in prose of Hexatench elsewhere only in Ex. xv. 26 (deuteronomic): seruthak = persenten, ii. 4. 9 twice. 14. 10 twice, lif. 40: Authoresh incontent with, ii. 5, 0, 10, 241 north-Akak war Arek, is, of in prose only licie and cleawhere only in Joh v. 11: Authannen = hearech, lin. 23, with God as object only here in Pentateneh. to beseech man E, Gen. alth. 211 hith'abber to be enemed. in. 26: leb = Acart, iv. 11 for the longer telest elsewhere in Deuteronomy; sur Autbarni = iran furnose, Iv. 20, not einewhere in Pentateach: 'am nahdiah = seeth of indirestance, iv. 20, Instead of the usual deuteronomic securior Acetic. There is also in iv. 16-52 a group of words characteristic of Rankiel and P. and not found alsowbare in Deuteronomy: --- semel in figure 16, male and female 17, tabnith = build, likeniss 171, rome = that creeeth 18. holldk = hepet 28 (cp. xxvin. 1), nother = grow old, stale 25, and hard elekim = God created 32; to which may be added thr = explore L 33. only here and in P for the deuteronomic hashar, i. 12, Ios. Il. 1 f., and IR'x Lee.

Some of these may at once be put aside. Sneely an author might once use the figure are river furnor without iosing bit identity! The figure, as we shall see, begins to appear in the O.T. from about the data of Deutermomy onwards. Again the shorter foun fet is 'generally used by meference in the metaphornous assess of vs. 1's '(!treat) and

besides the longer lebel occurs several times in i,--iv, iii, so, iv, o, so, 30) just as throughout the rest of Denteronomy. Again 'sm saddlah, seesie of inheritance, closely resembles its equivalents in v.-xxvi. etc., especially thy postleand this equipment in 19. Little can be inferred from the use of area have men like sarah and saktou, most writings have one or two; and rugar and ArVara may be ignored as roughs of difference in view of the general tendency of the deuteropound style to employ rare postic words for commoner ones. That leaves us with not more than a or 6 terms for which the rest of Depteronomy employs others, sprely by themselves un insofficient basis for a theory of dual authorship, especially when they are so greatly outninshered by the characteristic deuteronomic phrases, which we have just seen that cha. i .-- iv. heve in common with cha, v. -- savi., axviii. -- axx. The group of terms characteristic of P are more puzzbing, and will be dealt with later; note in the meastime that with the exception of the they are confined to one section iv. 16-12 of the hoststory past of the First Discourse.

Nor can more weight be attached to the alleged discrepancies of fact between the First Discourse i. 6-iv. 40 and chs. v.-xxvi. They are only three and each of them is susceptible of a reasonable explanation.

The alleged discrepancies and the explanations of them she: (a) It is and that in chr. i .- iii. the name Amorsto is employed, as in E, in a general sense for all the peoples encountered by Israel in Palestine, io 1. 7. 10. 20, 27, 44 for those W. of Jordan and m isi- 2, 8, 0 for others in E. Palestine: while in vis. 1, as. 17, as in I, the Amorsta as but one of the server nations occurring the Promised Land before the coming of Israel. If this interpretation of Amerite in L.-iil. be correct, we may explain the difference of menting from that in via. t and a s. 7 as follows. It would be natural for the same author, whan writing parrative to enploy Amorita generally (especialty as his narrative is mainly based on E. which so employs the name), but when he came to exhortation and his particular parpose was to forbid all heathen rites, it would be appropriate for him to give an exhaustive list of the particular nations who practised there. Vet it is not clear that the writer of the narrative in cha. i .- ui, men the name in so general a sense as is alleged. For even in W. Palestine he speaks of the America only as in the hill country ch. i. and even once mentions along with them the Campamites of the sea shore; cp. xi. so. (6). In it, 14 Moses is made to say that all the generation of the men of war in Israel were consumed in the wilderness by the time larged grouped the brook Zered, thuty-eight years after leaving Horsel ; while the Second Discourse, in v. 2-t. etc. and vi. 2-v. rancesents him as explicitly addressing in Monb the same Is ael which had taken part in the covenant at Horeb and had seen with their own eyes

1 This numerat Moore, E. B. 1087.

the events there and throughout the journey from Egypt to the Promised Land. Cornell (Juteod. Eng. Ts. p. so) calls this difference 'insoluble' But this difference is one not of fact but of surpers. For it, 14 belongs to the narrative part of the First Discourse where the purpose is to relate fact; while v. 2 and xi 2-7 belong to a more horistory part of the Second Discourse in which Issuel is suitably treated as a moral whole, and the particular purpose of v. 2 is to distinguish the generation under Moses with the covenants they received at Horeb and in Mosb from their forefathers before the Leyntlan servicule and the Covernant God had made with them. Besides even the First Discourse, when it becomes hostatory la iv. 1-4n, also assumes the moral unity of Israel throughout the wilderness wanderings :--iv. 10, the day these standard before Johnnah the God in Hereb, and so down to b. 181 b. 22, the covenant ... which he made with you; v. 33, God speaking out of the midst of the fire, as then hast higher to, the all that lebouch your God did for you in Egypt before your eyes; v. 36, he made thee to hear his voice and thou heardest his touch out of the fire. This conception of Israel, an throughout many generations the same busel, appears in all the horistory discourses, even when the speaker forecasts the nation's far future, e.g. iv. 28, when ... we thall have been lowe in the land, and iv. 22-21 in the time of exile; en. vi. 20-25, xxvi. 1-0, and xxviii, throughout; indeed this conception of a moral unity persula in the same passages which threaten deaths innumerable, e.g. xxviii. 62 ff. But it is needless to multiply examples. The same speaker who has in narrative, as in ii. 14, emphasised the destruction of one generation for their sins may in exhortstion equally emphasise the identity of farnel throughout successive generations. Moreover even the parrative portion of the First Discourse tends to assume, though less explicitly. In act's summers throughout, i. 9, 19, 20, 22, 26, 46. (c) In ii. 29 the Manister, along with the children of Evan, are represented as having sold food and water to Israel, while xxiii. 4a states as a season for excluding an Amount and a Montale from the Assembly of Jehovah (v. 3), that they met you not with bread and mater in the may while we easie forth out of haret. Itse us there are signs of axin, as being a later addition to the test (see notes to axin. 3-6) it is not certain that this discrenancy is disc to the original author or authors of Desteronomy. In any case this is the naly real discrepancy between i -- iv and v -- xxvl, as these chapters now stand. For the description of the Aarray on Any upon Silvon and 'Og, IL, 34 ff., and Iil. 6 f .- though it agrees exactly seither with the trestment of the seven nations of Palestina, entoined in vis. 2, 24 f. aor with that of distant enemies empired in ax. 10 ff., but combines features of both (see note on is, 24)-falls before the period for which the Law was dealered.

We are thus left first with a great array of features of style, tauguage and doctrine, both general and particular, which are acommon to the First Discourse chs, i.e./v., and to chs, w.—xxvii...—xxx.: second with no real discrepancy of fact between the

two divisions; and third (if we except the group of words characteristic of Ezekiel and P which all occur in the section iv. 16-32) there are only some 5 or 6 terms peculiar to i -- iv. for which others are found in v.-xxvi., xxviii,-xxx. That is a very stender basis on which to arrue for a different authorship for the First Discourse from v.-xxvi. etc.; and we can hardly think that the argument would have been maintained, but for the facts that the two Introductory Discourses i. 6-iv. so, and v.-xi. have each of them a title of its own, i. 5 and iv. 44-49. and that the First Discourse is further senarated from the Second by the historical fragment on the Cities of Refuse. iv. 41-43. The two titles, it has been reasonably argued, surely signify that the Discourses which they start were originally independent compositions-different introductions, as they are both entitled, to the same Code. Attempts to meet this argument cannot be said to be satisfactory. The separate title to the Second Discourse, iv. 44-49, is a composite one (see notes to it); and Professor Driver claimed1 that there is nothing unreasonable in the supposition that, as formulated by the original author (whether preceded by iv. 41-43 or not), this title was considerably briefer than it now is and not longer than was sufficient to break the commencement of the actual 'exposition' of the law, promised in i. 5, as opposed to the introductory matter contained in i. 6-iv, 40.1 This is far from convincing. For it evades the question, why did the historical fragment iv. 41-41 (to which by the way the Code in its law on the Cities of Refuge, ch. xix., makes no reference) come to be inserted just here? And it raises a kindred question:-if iv. 44-49 was originally, as suggested, a brief sub-title in tha middle of a work from the same hand, why was it so largely expanded by later editors?

It is therefore not surprising that there has been considerable divergence of opinion as to the relations of the First Discourse to the Second and in the Code. The majority of critics,

1 Deuteronauce, p. Ixvin-

. Google

emphasing the reidence of differences in type and sandpolubetween the two Discourses—and in the present water's opinion seriously esaggerating them—rightly however his dress on the presence and independence of the two tiles, and also doubt that the First Discourse could not be by the same nintor as the Second. These, it was held, were different prefaces either to accounted to be the later of the two because of in reference to accounted to be the later of the two because it includes in this a promise of larsel's recovery from exite's, or because it was alleged to show signs of saiding the vomals sources common to both Discourses, vii. J and E., only after these were combined, whereas the Second papears to contain no such reflections of

<sup>1</sup> See the notes to iv. 17-41, and below p. acviii.

The principal advocates of a different authorship for the First Discourse from that of the Second have been these: -- Colenso, Pentateuch, Pl vi. 1871, though he had previously affirmed the opposite, 1864; Klostermann in the Studies and Kestikes for 1871, 253 fl.; Reuss, La Bible, 1879, 1. 207; Valeton, Studiest, VI., VII., 1889--81, not seen: Wellhausen, Court des Hex. 1884, p. 193 footnote, 'ehs, i.-iv. aud cha. v.-xi, have amone other ends this one in common, to indicate a historical aituation for the denteronomic legislation, they are properly two different arefaces to different editions, of the latter: Knenen, Her. r886. Java stress on the immutic pecutianties of cha. 1 .- iv. and on the fact that while then author is particularly anatous to distinguish the two generations whom Moses addressed at Horeb and in Monb respectively. the anthor of chs. v. -xi., though aware that these generations are different still 'washes to identify them.' 'Is it not clear that The author of ehs, i.-iv.1 cannot also be the author of the v.-xi.?' (for sower to which see above pp |vti f.); L. Horst, Revue de l'Histoire des Religions, xxIII. 1801, 184 if. (not seen, cited by Driver and Bertholet); Westphal. Les Sources du Pent, 11, 1842, 66 ff., 80 ff., emphasises the fact of the two independent introductions, and separating the narrative, cha. 1, 6-46. so from the hortalory ch. iv. r-40, regards the former as due to a later desteronomic writer who desired to add a historical, to the hurtatory. preface to the Code 1 Addis, Documents of the Hexatench, tt. 1898, pp. 19 ff., who had formerly [1, 1892, pp. lxiv f.) with Kueuen relied on the strength of discrepancies between che, i-iii, and v.-xl. (e.g. in the concentions of Junes held respectively in the two discourses) now Java Jess or no stress on these; but because of the two independent titles i. s. and iv. 44-40, because is, 9-40 belrays familiarity with the sixte of Ezek, and P. and because of other diversions to latestage (admitted even lx

a smaller number of critics, minimising or attempting to explain away the fact of two separate and independent titles, laid stressand us we have seen reasonable stress-on the general, and especially on the particular, agreement between the two Discourses in substance as in style and held-some absolutely but the most with reservations-that chs. i. 6-iv. must be from the same author as che v -- vvvi. etc. That some reservations are necessary is obvious; the archaeological notes in chs. i.-iii. are donbtiess due to an editor, and to editors also some ascribed the features in iv. 16-12 and elsewhere which are akin to P. and, if not the threat of Exile in ly. 26 f., the promise of conversion and the restoration of the converted in iv. 28 ff. The presence of the two independent titles, and the loose connection between the parentism i. Swiff, 20 and the hostatory i-iv, 40, which makes no use of the preceding narrative, but treats of subjects chronologically anterior to the events there narrated, led to other reservations of a more complicated kind. Dillmann for instance. who believed that the alleged discrepancies of fact between i.-iv, 40 and v.-xxvi., etc. are reconcileable, that 'no mere imitator could have throughout fi .- iv. 401 and to the minutest

by Dillmann) feels lumself ' justified in regarding the authors of i. 1-iv. 40 as Ister disciples of the Deuteronomic school'; Moore, 'Deuteronomy,' in E. B. L. 1899, 'the diversity of historical representation is decisive.' i.e. between i.—iii. and v.—xxvi., and 'iv. goes beyond v.—xi. and it presupposes the Exile; Steuernagel, Dest. Jat. 1808, up. xv f., decides for a different author because of differences between the two discourses, especially il. to and v. a and because of the separate titles, but Wellhausen's theory that i --- iv. 40 and v.-- xi, formed luteodections to different scittons of the Law cannot be correct 'for xil.--xxvi, never existed without v.-xi.'; Bertholet, Drut. 1899, pp. xxil f., because of differences in language and substance, and still more because of the separate titles, and the author of the First Discourse must be the later for 1, 19-11, 1 compared with Nu. xiii, ff. shows him acquainted with I and E in their combined form : Carpenter and Harford-Battershy. The Hexateuch, 1000, L. p. 02; 'i. 6-ii), le with much probability referred to another edition of the Book' than v.-xi, and xii.-xxvl.: co. vol. 11. p. 248; Robleson, Deuteronous, Joshue, p. 13.

porticulars bit muon the tone and style of D's and who therefore assists all the substance of the First Discourse to the same

author as that of Chx  $v_-$  xxxi, etc., argues that the forwin in den the following dranks changes by the entitor. He suggests that the editor found the substance of  $i_-$ —iii,  $p_0$  as the outginst substable shiencised introduction to che  $v_-$  xxxi,  $i_1$  which Mose was represented in the third person and also found iv.  $i_-$ —outginst  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are descept svs.  $g(i_1)$  among the concluding enforcements of the Law (note I American I Among the constant into a speech by Moses, as it now stands, and transferred the latter from the close, to the beginning, of the exposition of the Law as a satisfied hosticately conclude to  $i_1$ —iii.  $p_1$ —This whole theory well illustrates the great difficulty about the First Direction of the contraction of the  $i_1$ -th  $i_2$ -th  $i_1$ -th  $i_2$ -th  $i_3$ -th  $i_4$ -th  $i_$ 

These then were the results of the eatller and broader stage of the controversy upon the only of Dentectnoomy L.—EXE, VIL. that concerned mainly with the relations of the two Introductory Discourses, the Code, and its concluding enforcements. But in our seview of this stage of the controversy it has become clear

<sup>t</sup> In the modern critical school the principal supporters of the unity of the authorship of L-iv. and v.-xxvi. have been Dillmann, Nu.-Dent. Jes. 1886, up. 448-441, as set forth above t Van Hoonacket. L'Origine des Ouatre Premiers Chapitres du Deut/reneme, 1880 (not seen; a summary of his apponents is given by Driver, no. lavii (L); Oettll, Das Deut, u. du Bo. Jos. u. Richter, 1803; Drivet, Denteronomy, 1st ed. 180s, and 1002, pp. layl-laxiii, thus summed up; 'To the present writer there appears to be no conclusive reason why c. 1-1 should not be by the same hand as e. s.ff. I and the only reason of any weight for doubtion whether c. 4. 1-40 is by the same hand also, seems to him to be one which after all may not be concludes either, viz. that the mathor of c. 5-26, desiring to say what now forms c. 4. 1-40, in with have been expected, instead of inserting it between c. 1-1 and the body of his discourse (e. s.f.), to have incorporated it, with his other similar exhortations, in the letter." On Driver's evaluation of the separate t. pp. 46-50, while recognising the strength of Dillmann's assuments. would—on the grounds of the separate titles to i. 6—iv., and of the lact that v.-al. is a sufficient introduction to the Code but that Kueuen's theory also presents difficulties-leave the question open.

has the operation of usiny cannot be confined to the relations of these main disvisors to each other, but must be carried into investigation of differences and lines of cleavage apparent softlan each division, and morrower similar in all. In other words, in addition to the main divisions of Deuteromony i—see, there are many cress divisions running through the whole the contract of the contract o

## § 7. The Cross Divisions and Distinctions.

The distinctions and differences, which are found within each of the main Divisions of Deuteronomy i .-- xxx., some of them running through all these, and which have been taken to be evidences of different hands, are of five kinds. It does not matter in what order they are treated as they often both coincide with and cross each other. First the distinction (already discussed) between the two conceptions of Israel of the wilderness, now as sensrate generations and now as one and the same: second, the division of both Introductory Discourses into historical and hortatory parts; third, the evidence of doublets within the Code and of independent groups of laws, distinguished by differences of form and phraseology: fourth, the distinction, sometimes coincident with the foregoing and sometimes crossing them, between the Singular and Plural forms of address; and Affin, the evidences all through the Book of editorial re-arrangements and additions, some of them reflecting the Evile.

First, the diffriction between the two conceptions of Issat in the wilderness, as two successive generations, especially at Horsh and in Mesh, and as one and the same people, who have microsed with Africe own year all the events between the passage of the Red Sea and the crossing of Jordan, has already been difficiently remarked (Jp. 145). This distinction is present in both Introductory Discourses, though less explicitly in ch. I.—lift. In the case, "All It's leeday to distinction of an intuited or

THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS Isiii

rhetorical purpose and no conclusion of a difference of author-

Second, each of the Introductory Discourses is divided between n historical and a hortstory part!. In the First Discourse cha, i. 6-iii. are historical.ch. iv. 1-40 is hortatory; in the Second the historical parts, chs. v. and ix. 8-x. 11 th appear before and within the hortatory, vi.-ix. 2 and x. 12-xi. In each Discourse the connection between the historical and hortatory though not nanatural is loose, and in the Second marked by a teck in the grammar, ix. 7. And while the historical parts are, except for isolated and detachable passages in the Pl. form of address, the two bortatory parts are mainly in the Sq., yet with several Pl. passages. But, as we have seen, all alike are in the deuteronomic style and spirit and replete with the deuteronomic formulas (no. liii-lyi), except that curiously enough the historical part. chs. ix. 8-x, 11, only twice gives the full denteronomic title Jehovah your God (ix, 16 and 23). The historical parts are evidently based on IE and equally so, yet they are occasionally divergent from these older documents in the statement of facts. None betrays any dependence on P, and, with most of the general and particular differences of the deuteronomic style from that of P, all show also differences of fact, and their accounts both of the divine manifestations in the wilderness and the origin of the institutions of Israel belong, with the Code and the hortatory addresses, to a school of religion very different from P's a vet enrionaly they also share with I' a few touches of language and substance. Finally, the historical parts snitably supplement each other, but it is the two which now stand in

Calon in his Profesce in his Advances of the Printennic II, 1854 draws price in the internity of the Remarkows II, 1854 draws composed of two printings is east To Historical Nutralise and The Obstances... This initiation of Since and Code are in the Books, not even relating this initiation of Since in the Obstance in the Books, not even relating this initiation of Since in the Obstance in the Books, not even relating this initiation of Since in the Obstance in the Books of the Obstance in the Obstance in

lxiv

the Second Discourse, which iteal of the events in Horeb, while that which opens the First Discourse follows the later events from the denarture from Hoteb to the arrival at Beth-neor in Moab. This is a strange reversal of the proper order.

For the connection between the historical and hortstory parts of the First Discourse see pp. |xiii, xelil; for the same in the Second see notes 10 is. 7 and a. 6-11 .- The aniformity of the deuteronomic style throughoat all the parts of the Discourses has been stready shown in detail. pp. slis f., lilf .- As for the forms of address, the only Sg. forms in the historical parts, are in li-fit, so these scattered and more or less detachable fragments, i. 21, 31 %, is, 7, 246, 24, 306, 37, in ch. v only like quoted Decalorne, and in in. 8-x, ti only \$, 100, for which however nearly all MSS of LXX have the PL; while the horistory parts of the two Discourses differ within themselves and from each other thus; iv. 1-40 Pl. except for explicable instances of Sg. in the section sv. 9-14, and for a consistent Sg. through on 19-401 ch. vi mixed, but the Se prevails throughout the rest of the hortstory part of the Second Discourse, except for editorial additions in chi. vii., viii, and these other passages, a. 16-19, si. 2-9, 21-28, 311.-For the dependence of the butorical parts on TE, especially E, see above no, xvif.; and for the discrepancies from IE, pp.

Whether the author or authors of the bistorical parts used I and E before these documents were combined (Dillinxin and Kittel) or after (Bertholet), the present writer does not deem it possible on the evidence to decide. - The general and particular differences of language and style which distinguish Denteronomy from P (see pp. zv, xxi) are sustained throughout the historical parts. So too the difference of religious xiandpoint and ethical spirit; e.g. the emphasis on the spoken word of God rather than on the physical manifestation accompanying, see notes introductory to 1, 6-8: He ascription of the mission of the sples to the instintive of the people, i. 12, instead of, as in P. In the thrine command : also the notes on i. 24-40. Further Note to 1. 26-28, and notes to this 22-29; The different treatment of the ger or stranger, see on x. 10, ep. on xiv. 21; the different conception of the Priests and Levites, see above pp. surjif. and below on s. 8-10; the alwesce of P's constant emphasis on Agron's association with Moses, though, with P. s. 6 recognises him as the founder of a hereditary priesthood. For differences with P in details of fact see above pour is -axis and below no. 133 ff. On the other hand, the historical nexts of the Desteronomic Discourses weree with P in the name hadesh harnes see on I, as and in other place names. If the fragment of ax Itinecary x, 6-# belongs to ix, 8-x, 11 and is not a later insertion; in the addition of Joshua's name to that of Kuleb, is 37 f. but see note there; and is the use once of P's term thr = explore, Also alone with P the instorical parts of the Discourses record that the spies were twelve, i. 23, cp. No. stil. 2, and that the ark was of acasis wood, z. 3, cp. Ex. xxv. to (but see istroductory note to x. THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS law i-a, up. 131 f. where P's elaborate additions are pointed out). These

of course were probably elements of common tradition and form no proof that the historical sections in Deuteronomy depend on or reflect P.

These phenomena raise several questions. Were the narrative and exhortation, between which the two Introductory Discourses are each divided, once independent of each other-forming as some maintain different introductions, historical and hortatory, to the same or different editions of the Code? It would be difficult if not impossible to relate the hortatory contents of the First Discourse, iv. t-40, with those of the Second. But the detachableness of the historical parts from their context is clear, and most manifest are their affinities with each other; their common style even to details, their use of the same form of addresa, their dependence on the same sources, their similar treatment of their materials, and their complementary character. Were they originally one work? The evidence is so clear that this question is answered in the affirmative not only by those who take the whole of the two Introductory Discourses to be from the same hand!, but even by those who ascribe the rest of the two Discourses to different hands. All conceive it at least probable, that ix, 8-x, tt and i,-iii, of course in that order, formed once a (separate?) historical introduction to the Code. But if so, how came the two parts to be divorced and placed in different Divisions of our Deuteronomy, with what should have been the earlier in the later place? This is but one of many questions which illustrate the truth that the difficulties about the unity of Deuteronomy i .- xxx, arise not from its substance nor from its style, but from that structure and arrangement of its parts, in which it has come down to us.

Third, the Code itself, chs. xii.—xxvi. Atthough the Laws are arranged on the whole with regard to their subjects—I. Religious Institutions and Worship, II. Offices of Authority, III. Crine, War, Property, the Family, etc.—yet this plan is not consistently | Dilmann, for whose theory on the subject see showe pp. lx I. and Kitzel.

DEUTERONOMY

carried through (see brlow, pp. 154-8); laws and groups of laws appear out of their proper setting. Partly coincident with the divisions and groups and partly cutting across them are differences of form and of style, just as we have seen in the Discourses. The cardinal law of the One Altar and the laws consequent on 11-weighted with injunctions as to their practical objective, the abolition of the worship of all other gods—are significantly set eifter at the frunt of the Code or as near the front as their subsects normit -in division I, chs. xii, 2-25, xiv, 22-20, xv, 10-xvi. 22 : in II. xvij. 8-13, xviii. 1-8 : in III. xix. t-13. They are throughout in the peculiar style of Deuteronomy and replete with its formulas and other distinctive phrases. But in other laws, the deuteronomir formulas, chiefly at the end of a law, are detachable from the context and being removed leave the laws compart and sufficient, just as in the case of the deuteronomic expansions of the Decalogue (p. 84). In a number of other laws there are no marks of Deuteronomy's style-neither the direct form of address nor any of the distinctive phraseology. Still another distinction runs across both the laws which are in the style of Deuteronomy and those which are not. For in earh of these classes some laws are not only parallel to laws in IE, but contain so many linguistic agreements with these and even exact repetitions that they are evidently based on them, though modified to suit the law of the One Altar or expanded in Deuteronomy's own obrasentory and humane spirit. Other laws are paralleled only in H and P, without however any proof of being based on these codes; while others have no parallels in IE, H, or P but are neculiar to Deuteronomy, and of these also some have its phraseplogy and some not. Again, most but not all of the laws are in the direct form of address characteristic of Deuteronomy, and of those which are, most have the Sg. address and a very few the Pl. (see next §). And again there are groups of laws un the same subject, such as War or the Family, which carry formulas common to themselves but distinct from those of other groups. All these phenomena raise the question whether behind the Code, chs. xit.-xxvi., there are not other codes besides those of 1 and E. And, finally, a few of the laws bear signs of a date later than
the bulk of the Code and than the resen of losish when it became

operative.

All these distinctions are marked in the notes to the text, but they

may be usefully atranged here. (a) The evidence that one Code used the codes of IE, Ex. xlil, 1-t6. xa, 23-xxitl. 33, xxxiv. 12-26, ix of different degrees of worth and requires discrimination; In several instances its force has been exaggeraied. It is most cless in the following, some of which are exact repetitions :- xii. 3 altars and images of other gods, ep. Ex. xxxiv, 13; xiv. 21 seethier a kid in its mother's milk, exactly as in Ex. xxiii. 10 and xxxiv, 26; xv. 12-18 on slaves, cp. Ex. xxi. 2-11; xvl. 19 just judgement, cp. Ex. xxii. 2, 6-8; xix. 13-21 witnesses, with terms and phrases similar to those in Ex. xxlit. i ff. | xxil. 1-4 lost property, cp. Ex. xxiii. 4 ff.; xxlil. 10 f. interest etc., cp. Ex. xxii. 25; xxiv. 7 numstealing, cp. Ex. axi. 16; xxiv. 17 f. stranger, fatherless and widow, cp. Ex. xxii, 21 f., xxiii, of xxv, 17-10 Amalek, with phrases from E. Ex. xvii. 14. Josh. x. 10 (?). In the following four laws we find a great expansion of the corresponding laws in IE with alterations to suit the law of the One Alta; : xv. 19-23 firstliegs, cp. Ex. xiii. 11-16, xxII. 20 f., xxxiv. 10 f.; xv., 1-17 the three feath, cp. Ex. xxiii. 14-17. xxxiv. 18-22, 28; xix, 1-13 lights of asylom, ep. Ex. xxi, 12-141 xxvi. 1-11 presentation of firstfruits, cp. pp. 2, 10 ff. with Ex. EXXIV. 26. Less elem are these: -xv. 1-11 year of remission, en. by, sails, so f., the connection is shout and one-tionable: aviii, o-as the prophet, contains details from E, Ex. xxii. 18, etc. (see notes); xxi. 18-21 tebellious son, cp. Ex xxi. 14, 17; xxii. 28 f. sedestion, cp. Ex. xxii, 16 f.; xxiv, 10-13 pledges, cp. Ex. xxii, 26 f. with different technical terms. Of course it is possible that some of these parallels are due to derivation from sources common to IE and Denter coomy; they as probable in the case of the lex salismis, xix, 31, which is given more fully in Ex. xxl. s4f. Bet on the whole the evidence justifies the conclusion that the codes of 1E formed a basis for that of Deeteronomy. See (in this series) Driver's notes to the IE codes in his Execus, and Appendix 111, of Chapmae's Introduction to the Proteton a with his conclusion that 'the whole locustation in the Book of the Covenant'-i.e. Ex. xxi, 22-xxiv, 22-1Ex. xxi, 18axis. 15 excepted, is repeated isometimes with material modifications) in Deuteronomy.' One law new in Deuteronomy seems designed to xapplement one in E; that on fencing roofs, axil, 8, co. E on fencing

pits, Ex. xxii 33.6.

(b) The put allelia letween the Code of Deuteronomy and those of H and P—other than what all have with flour of J and E—art like following in—xxi. if βair date roomonie formalia in e. 9, incutilization for the dead, qu. 12-x xix. sil xix. y = 0 column and incleme hearts, qx. Nx. xxii. sil xxii. xxii. occlosm and incleme hearts, qx. Nx. xxii. sil xxii. x

xxiii. 24. 42 f. 1 xvi. 21 f. Asberles and Masseboth. Lev. xxil. 1 (in pant); xvit. 1 blembhed beasts, Lev. xxil. 17-15; [xviii. r-8 tribe of Levi, Lev. vtt. 31-33, Nu. xviil. 1-10 (vary slight)]; xviii. 10 Molech, Lev, xviii, 41, xx. 2-x; xxll. 0-11 against various mixtures, Lev. xix. 101 axli. 11 on frieges. No. xv. 17-41; xxil. 11 adultary. Lev. xviil. 20, xx. 10; xxii. to incest. Lev. xviii. 8, ex. 11; xxiii. 0-14 clexument of camp first mast. No. v. 1-41 xxiit, 41-43 yows. Nu. xxx. 21 xxiv. 8 Iconoxv. Lev. xui. f., Nu. xil. t4 f.; xxiv. 14 f. hired servant, Lev. xlx, 13; xxiv. 19-12 gleauing, Lev. xix, qf.; xxv. 13-16, weights and measures. Lev. xix. as f. In these parallels the varbal agreement is but small, the differences of language and substance many, On the law of titles P, as we have seen (p, axiv), represents a fater stage of davelopment, and ix much more detailed in the law on yows, While the same amist of humanity breathes in II as is consultances in the denterocomic laws, the religioux motive is differently expressed. Further these laws as stated in Deutsronouty are all in the Sg. form of address-except xiv. 1. 4-10 III the PL and xxii. 30 III neither-and are in large part in the deuteronomic style. The deuteronomic formulas. however, are easily senarable in xiv. 1 f.: xvii, 1, xxii, 22, xxiii, 0-14. xxv. 13-16 (14 h; and 16 the Lord the God); there are no marks at all of Deuteronomy's distinctive style 10 xxii. 9-11, 15, 301 and elsewhere the absence of its formulas ix noteworthy. On the whole Deutsronomy shows no dependence on H or P; some of the laws it mems to derive from the same written source as they do: in other cases the paratiels may be different reductions to writing of the same or similar practices or

tampen in Israel. (A) Lews necultar to Dautarmony. Anset from those which deal with the One Altsı and its consequences and which are noted above (p. lxv)), the laws found only in Deutsconomy fall into three classes, so far as form and style are concerned. First, those in the distinctive styls of Desteronomy, nearly all in the earlier part of the Code:xiii. 1-5 false prophets, f-11 enticers to idolatry, 19-18 idolatroux cities, with xvii. s-7 idolaters; [xvil, 8-13 judges of appeal]; xvii. 14-20 the king; xvii. q-22 the prophet, with schoes of E; xx. 1-0 exemptions from war-service, 10-18 terms for an enemy city, 10 f. fourt-trees in siege, with axi, 10-14 marriage to a captive of war and xxiii. 0-14 sleanness of camp (as a whole, see also under #): axisi, 18 f. escaped stave; axiv, 1-4 divorce; axv, 1-4 excessive beating. Some me without the formulas pravalent in other parts of Deuteronomy, but these formulas are not called for by the particular subjects in hand; and the lawx bent other signs of the deuteronomic style-repetition, axpansion, emphasia; all in the Sg. form of address-Second laws peculin; to Deuteronomy in which its formulas and other favourite phrases are detachable from the context :- xix, 14 boundarystones, xxi. 1-o unusced murdes, 18-11 disobedient son, 11 f. hansed matefactor, xxii. s against wearing the clothes of the other sex. 6 f. sparing the mother bird, 13-11 the suspected beids, 13 f. and 15-17 treatment of a betrothed virgin, xxlil, xf. Ananouits and Moabits sxeluded

THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS 1sts

from the congregation (on probable deuteronomic additions see note), 176. hearthoth and brokshim, xxv. 11 f. indecent assault. All are 10 the Su. form. of address, except axis, 23 f., which is Pl. as ve for the concluding formula. and xxi, 18-21 and xxii, 15-21, which, with the same exceptions, are not in the form of direct address. The detathableness of the deuteronomic elements auggests that some of these may be earlier laws incorporated by Denteronousy, and this is corrob-rated as in xxii, 23 f. by the change from the PL aildress in the body of the law to the Sq. in the closing denteronomic formula, or as in xxii, ta-ar by the body of the law not being to the form of direct address while the elosing formula la; xxi, t-9, intraced mit det, may be either a modification of written law or the modification of an anwritten practice. Third, laws peculiar to Depteronomy which bent no marks of its distinctive style :- xxl, 15-17 right of firstborn; xxli. 8 (cocing of the roof; xxli), a exclusion of esquebaa of bastards (noless hairs), arremally, in this sense be taken as characterestic of Deuteronomy, see p. xlix), 7 f on Edomites and Fovotisms. 24 f. use at need of others' crops; xxiv. 5 the newly-married, 6 millstone forbidden as pledge, 16 fathers and children; xxv. 4 nomuzzle the ox, s-10 Levirate marriage (see note p. 286). Of these 7 are not in the direct form of address prevalent in Deuteronomy, while a are in its prevalent So. That some or all of them come from an earlier code is nossible but not certago: axiv. 16 sanctions an innovation which came into Israel's practice in Amaziah's time; xxiii, 24 f. and xxv. 4 practices now common in the east and probably ancient.

(d) Groups of Lawa deating with the same subject or procedure and marked by the same or similar special formulas. There are three or foot of these groups. The most conspicuous is that on War, to which there are no parallels in JE:—

xx. 1—6, when then goest forth to buttle against thine enemies.
10—18, when then drawest nigh to a city to fight against it.
19 f., when then shall besieve a city a long time.

xxi. 10—14, when thou goest forth to battle against thire enemies,
xxiii. 9—14, when then guest forth in camp against thine enemies,
ever parel takes only the last two as from the same source of Y

Sixteenaged takes only the last two as from the same some, a "Wancode" older than the build of Determonenys he holds the opening formula in as  $x_1 \cdots y_n$  as eletical, has for the groundlensons of this are then been been as the same of the same of the same of the same then been been been dependent on the same of the same of the These laws are all in the Sig. form of inforest they contain it in tue lost. These laws are all in the Sig. form of inforest they contain it in tue lost for of Determonsy's formula, y tet by have it is hybrid and no elements foreign to its diction. Strondly, there is a number of laws that the same of the sam

xviii. 10—12 a, for whosever doeth there things is an nhomination unto februarh.

xxii. 5, for whosever doeth their things is an nhomination unto februarh.

xxii. 5, for whosever doeth their things is an abconination unto though the God.

· z » Google

8881. 17 fo, for even both these are an aboundation unto Johnsch thy God.

xxv. 13-16, for all that de such things are an abounnation unto Lekmah thy God. These five Steammard takes as from a code earlier than Deateronomy.

consisting of 'To'eba-oracles.' The hypothesis is arbitrary. About nation is a term frequently used in Deateronomy both is other laws and in the Discourses; to separate from these the five above and assign them to another source is obvingely sabitrary. Threatly, a number of the laws introduce the elders as ladges or executioners alx 1-13, Cities of Asylum or Refuge, elders of his city,

xxi. 1-13, Untraced Marden, thy elders and judges, elders of that 18-11, Disobedient Sou, elders of his city.

xxil. 13-1t. Suspected Bride, olders of the city in the gate. xxv. 1-10. Levirate Marmure, elders of his city.

These all begin ximilarly; those is which the ilenth-sentence is inflicted have the phrase that he may die; the city-gate is the place of judgement; and the physic to bring out is freezeat. On these grounds Steuernapel takes them (in part of coarse, for he climinales alleged additional as a group by themselves and he adds to them other laws which also contain the aforesaid phrases, xxii, 2-7, 8-13, xxi, 15-17, 12 f., xxiz. 22-29, xxiv. 1-5, 21 which do not mention elders! This also is substracy. It is true that Deutercoomy has provided in xvi. 184, for the appointment of law number is each city, and that it is difficult to understand the relation of these to the elders. Yet this is a fruit ground on which to build the hypothesis of a senarate authorsorp. As Steuemannel himself shows, these laws have several elements of diction in common with laws which do not mention elders and some of which are thoroughly deuteronomic in style. No law seems more original to Deaterososiv than that of the cities of Asylum, and it meatrosa elders.

(a) Laws allered to be of later that then the hulk of the Code chiefly on the errord that they could not have been extent when the Law-book was discovered ander Josiah and for some time after. These are four la aumber:-(1) xiv 1 f. against mutilation for the dead, because it was anknown to Icremiah. Ezekici, and the Icws who came from Sheckem to worship at Jerusalem (see notes on pp. 1845.); this law is probably of later origin but not certainly, for other destaronomic laws were neglected in the period immediately following Iosiah's reign. e.g. av. 12-18 on the entancipation of slaves (cp. Jer. xxxiv. 8 ff. and Neh, v. st. and the law as to the participation of the rural Levites in the Temple worship, x, 8 f., aviii, 1-8 (cp. 2 Kgs axiii, q), (2) The law of clean and anclean beasts, xiv. 3-10, in the Pl. form of address and without depteronomic elements fexcent is w. a which may be Deutempony's original law), is paralleled only is P. (2) The law of the King, x111, 14-10, is taken by some as later than the rest of the Code THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS laxi because like xxxi. o it represents the whole law as afready in writing and canonical, but this in far from conclusive; and it is asternedy probable that the original Code contained a law of the Kung (see note on 0. xxi.). On xxiii, two ond xxiv, it is each to note.

The above evidence leads to the conclusion that like other bodies of law this in Deuteronomy is the result of growth and compilation from various sources - new laws, expansions and modifications of old ones, while some probably are the reduction to writing for the first time of unwritten practices. Part of the Code is undoubtedly based on the codes of I and E; that there were other codes behind it is possible. The nondeuteronomic style of many of the laws indicates that these were not original to the author or authors of Deuteronomy but borrowed. That is all we can say with certainty. Steuernagel's discrimination of older codes, 'War-laws' ' To'cha-laws' and 'Elder-laws,' is insufficiently founded. Apart from the reasons against it given above it is improbable that separate codes existed for separate subjects. Just as in the case of the Discourses the evidences of the presence of elements later than the bulk of the Code are few and except in the law on clean and unclean heasts sporadic. But, of course, there are not a few scribal and editorial additions, which have been indicated in the notes. These, however, are not the only kinds of evidence of com-

plation which the Code offers. There is another and more straining kind. Several of the laws, and smong them some of those most clearly original to Deuteronomy, bear signs of having once existed in separate and variant forms now put together. The cardinal law itself, ch. sil, appears to be composed from three statements—some would say more but their are at least three—all emphasising the concentration of the worship of Jehn upon Cone Aline, but differing to details, with different forms and upon Cone Aline, but differing to details, with offerent forms and upon Cone Aline, but differing to details, with offerent forms and upon the cone of the contract of the con

159-178. The law of the Priests, xviji. 1-8, seems compounded of doublets. Also the two laws, xiii, 1-18 and xvii, 2-7, are parallels; why both should be in the same code, or being in it should be senarated from each other, is best explained on the ground that they originally belonged to different editions of the code. In xvi. 1-8 we have probably a compilation of two laws originally senarate, one on Passover and one on Massoth. There is more uncertainty about avii, 8-12, on the Judges of Appeal; it seems the combination not of two written forms but of the double practice prevailing in Israel from the earliest times! All this points to the existence of different editions of the Code of Deuteronomy-a fact which is not surprising, for elsewhere in the Old Testament we find different editions of the same law; e.g. the Decalogue itself, in Ex. xx, and Deut. v. : the Sabbath-law, Ex. xxiii, 12 and xxxiv, 21: the law of firstlings. Ex. xiii. 12-16 and xxxiv. 19f., both in I: the Seventh Year, Ex. xxiii, 10 f. and Lev. xxv. 1-2; and the law of clean and unclean beasts. Deut. xiv. 1-20 and Lev. xi. 2-21; etc., etc. 2 But any signs that there were once different editions of the laws of Deuteronomy, and these its most distinctive laws, are in striking harmony with the evidence, which we found in the Discourses, of different Introductions to the Code with independent titles (\$ 6, esp. p. lviii). The doublets in ch. xxvii. (see note on p. 300) are clear indications of senarate supplements to the Code. And there are also two accounts of the institution of the cities of Asylum, iv. 41-43 and xix. 1-10, both deuteronomic.

The Fourth Cross-Datinction in Deuteronomy, that between the Singular and Plural Forms of Address, which we have so frequently found connected with the cross-distinctions that we have just been examining, is sufficiently important—and complicated—to require a Paragraph to itself.

Some also find doublets in aviil. 9-as, the law of the Prophet, but on questionable grounds; see the notes.

Cp. the parallels on pp. 370 f. of Driver's Exedus (in this series).

## § 8. The Singular and Plural Forms of Address.

Except for titles, a few historical fragments intruded among the Discourses, and several Laws, but.—xax. of Determoning are composed throughout in direct address to Isnel. But. as we have seen, both in the Discourse and among the Laws there is more or less frequent transition between the Sg. and Pl. form of address. I snell is now Zhow and now Plow. Sometimes one of these forms in assistanced through whole sections of the Discourses, sometimes with spendid interruptions of the other. Sometimes one forms prevails only through a posagraph or a Sometimes one forms prevails only through a posagraph or a second or some properties of the control of the other. Sometimes one forms prevails only through a posagraph or a second or some of the later at in the Sg. but a few carry the Pl., and sgain some of the later, and others also which are not of thereive in the form of direct address, have a single clause in the Sg. either at the beginning or more often at the end of the Law.

Till recently this dispaction in the form of address was not carefully examined. In 1891 Cornill (Finleyung in due A.T. 1st ed.) stamped some of the laws as secondary because they use the Pl. form. A few years later Stacik (Das Denteronomium etc. 1804) and Steuernagel Der Rahmen des Deuterenomium 1891. Die Entstehung der deut. Gesetner 1806, and Deuteronourson Jerses 1808 m Nowack's Handtonmentar s. A. 7.) lodependently analysed the Book mainly on the basis of Sg. versus Ph., but with regard also to other differences of style as well as to some of substance. Their results are different and contindictory. In the L-xi. Starrk distinguishes there speeches of Moses in the PL, two pre-exilie and one exilic, with a laire number of 'sketches and essays' in the Se. dating mostly before but partly shules the Exile. Of the laws those which he reckors original are all in the No.: all in the Pl. he takes as later-except where on other grounds this is impossible and then he frequently alters the text-but with them he counts as also later some laws and other passages in the Sg. Steuemagel on the other hand not only identifies two senarate introductions to the Code but two separate Codes corresponding to them; the older in the Sgaddress, vi. 46, 10-13, 15, vii. 1-40, 6, 0, 126-164, 17-21, 225, 781. 2-5. 7-14. 176. 18. 1-78. 8-78. 8. 12. 146. 21 (227). xi. 10-12, 14f with all the laws dealing with the centralisation of the worship and its consequences and all others showing an ethic, either reported to hemans, in harmony with the minerales of their introductory discourse and atmost exclusively using the Sg. He finds a younger Introduction marked by the use of the Pl. in v. 1-4, 20-28, 1x, 9, 11,

Google

14-17, nr. 15-19, x. 1-5, 11, 16 l., xr. 1-4, 7, 16 f., 11-16, with these laws: - pasts of ch. mi in the Pl. and a number of other laws not showing any order because collected from various suggest, some in the Pl. some in the Sg., and including several against heather practices which show sympathy with their Introduction's frequest polemic against images; and agein within each collection of laws ha discriminates smaller codes (see above up, laly f.) from which it was compiled, and later additions. He adily lists of obrases which he finds characteratic of these So, and Pl. divining respectively. Staerk and Stenernagel thus agree only in seeing a frequent and very complicated difference of anthornhip in the distinction between Sg. and Pl. and in judging the Pl. to be generally the later. Their theories were adversely criticised by Kosters (Theal, Tineschrift, 1806), Adday (Documents of the Hexatruck tt. 1808, pp. 10-10) and Bertholet (Theol. Leteraturaritums. 1800. No. 171 principally on three grounds; (a) that in other Hebrew witing the changes between the Sg and Pl, forms of address me too common to afford a basis for difference of anthorship ; (a) that within passages usong the same form of address differences of date are apparent, and (a) that the complexities of the two analyses, the drantic changes in the text, and the arrangement of the Book, which their respective results recoing, and expecially the contradictions between these results, all inautive further and final recording. This last objection is enhanced by ntill another analysis of Denteronomy on the basis of Sg. and Pl., by Professor Milehell of Boston (Journal of Biblical Literature, 1800, pp. 61 ff.), which lends to results different from both Stnerk's and Steuernagel's. On the other hand. Steuernaged's properties of analysis and even many of has results have received aumoval both from conservative and from advanced critics. Professor G. L. Robinson of Chicago (Expositor, 1800, p. 36n) makes the ningular megestion that the Pl. sections of the Discourses are suitable to Moses in the wilderness addressing as a propact the individuals of his own generation, while the Sc. address agrees with the attitude of Moses as an old man in Moab looking back on the nation as a whole ! In the fifth ed. of his Einleitung (1006) Cornell, besides repeating his earlier emphasis on the 'tell-tale Plotal' is the laws. acknowledgen Stack's and Stenemagel's 'demonstration of the cobecome of the Pl. and Sg. passages respectively-which Stenerragel has further confirmed by a number of acute observations on the linguistic nuare. In 1990 the present writer read before the Society of Historical Theology in Oxford a paper in which he independently analyzed the Sg. and Pl. passages and reached conclusions regarding a difference of and horship between them more positive than he now feeln to be instified. as will be seen from the following paragraphs. Other criticisms of the distinction between Sg. and Pl. as a criterion of difference of authorship-repeating the objections given above and adding fresh onen-will he found in Estlin Causeager's and Hauford Battersby's The Hexatench, tt. 1900, pp. 146 f. (footnote) and in Cullen's The Book of the Coverant in Meah, 1903, pp. 1-4. The former rightly does not con-ider either the complexity of Starrk's and Steneraugel's results or their

difference in detail from each other at fatal to their common principle, but says that 'the distribution into two documents corresponding to Se. and PL seems somewhat hazardous,' on the grounds that 'it does not rise naturally ont of the phenomena of the text,' many laws assigned by Steuernagel to the Pl. nuthor being in the Sg. and reduction being invoked of which the text shows no trace; that the Massoretic tradition of the text is often necessar; and that in the Discourses it would not be unnatural for the same speaker to man, as for im tanca leremiah doer, from the one to the other form of address. Cullen's objections lay stress on the liability of the text to alteration downs its tradition; on the facts that the Hebrew editors of the Book saw nothing objectionable ' in the want of continuity in the vertail and pronominal numbers' and that
other Hebrew writers show the same discegard of continuity; and on the opinion that 'to elevate a detail of form of this kind into anything like a norm of analysis for an O.T. book is a departure from the true principles of historical criticism'; the dutinction between the Se. and Pt. in 'n triffing item of literary technique."

in particula is far from past to the facts. However complex and bolicare these facts may be they are certainly not triming." When we find that the transitions between \$5, and \$P\$ Lar of one considerative with order changes—change of subject-enaster or of incident with other changes—change of subject-enaster or of the control of the co

We cannot be content with such summary opinions: the last

itself. No elements of this were more liable to alteration in the course of its tradition than the Eg and Pl. forms of addients, and the rendings of these are therefore often uncertain. The Hotwest countermer gives one form where in the Samaritima Version or in the Greek, or in both, we find the other. Decidion between on the Greek, or in both, we find the other. Decidion between among three sook intenses in generally difficult and not always possible. It may seem a sound principle to prefer the connensus of the two most action IV entires have they differ from the Hobrew, but we cannot always confidently act upon this. For in such cases to hot seed of randators may have been, intensitionally

or unconsciously, harmonising: e.g. iv. 3, 25, xi, t3 f. cp. vni, t, LXX against which are both the Hebrew and Samaritan Moreover the original reading of the LXX is often doubtful; its MSS vary. Thus part of the material of our discussion is uncertain. Yet the uncertainty must not be examplerated. To a very great extent the two Versions agree with the Hebrew. With few excentions, they do so through the long passages of the Book where one or the other form is constant; and they do so sometimes men when both forms occur in the same sentence and when therefore there was most temptation to translators to harmonise the grammar; e.g. iv. 2t, 21f., v. t., vii. 4, 25, viii. tof. (see note), vi. to whither thou goest in ... whence we came out. And in instances both of agreement and of difference between the Hebrew and the Versions we have often other reliable tests. But withal we must be prepared for a residuum of doubtful readings in cases where the difference between Sr. and Pl. is concerned.

We can sometimes trace the intrusion of a Se, form into a 14, passage or of a PL lorm into a Sg. passage either to dittography or to attraction; e.g. lv. to (see note), viii, 1 (7) and lx. 7 where the Samaritan Greek reading we went forth is to be preferred to the Hebrew their as the latter is probably due to attraction from the preceding verbs in the Sg.; ep. iv. 334 where the exceptional Sg. may be similarly due to the Sg. verls that follow it; or iv. 24 then thait been for which read you shall (see note); on iv. 27 where the awkward Hebrew Ats seed ofter him seems to have arises suchs the influence of the Ser, verla of the clause and where Samaritan, Greek, Syranc, Targum and Valgate all read their seed ofter thank on and, 164 where the Pl. nute year, exceptional in this law, is most reasonably explained by attraction from the Pl. verb in the following quotation; and similarly in xx, 2.4 (see note). Of course we cannot say whether such forms as are due to attraction are inconsistencies on the part of the principal writer, as they may well be free below p. lexviii) of the faults of convists of the text.—Of passages, where the Versions help se to emend the text iv. 34, xx. xo, xxviii. 14 may be taken as examples. The two exceptional PL's year God and fer year in iv. 34 are suspicious especially in lace of the immediately lotlowing thine ever too Hebrew confirmed by the Versional! but the LXX reads our God and most Gueek MSS quait for you, thus diminushing the confusion ..... But in this same verse we have a sign of how reedily translators come But ill this same verse we have a sign of now recently transmitted a conse Authorised Version gives among you for the Hebrew in the midst of the, correctly reproduced in our Reyned Version.

2. In addressing Israel other writings of the O.T. pase from the Sg. to the PL and wice versa, some occasionally some more frequently. A Deductonomy is both a Code of Laws and a Discourse (or Discourses) to Israel we may take for comparison with it in this practice the codes in JE and the discourse or oracles of terminal.

variant forms of them were extant. Again in Jeremiah's addressee to Judah, Jerusalem, men of Judah or House of Lorgel he frequently-one mucht almost say nivelly-employs the Pl. form : e.z. H. 4 ft., iv. 3 f., v. 10 f., vii. 1-15, 21-25; [x. 1 ff.]; xi. 1-5, 6-8; xiii 15-17; xvi. 10-13; xviii 5-17; xxi. 4f., 8f., 11 f.; gx/i. 1-5 (changing to Sg. in st. 6 after a personification), 10; xxv. 1-8; xxvi. 4f., 12-15; xxvji. qff.; xxix. 1qf. (to the exclest; xaxi, 31 - 32 (the new coveount, iodirect address); xxxiv, 12-17 (except for the quotation noted below); xxxv, 13-16; xhi, q.f., 10 ff, 10 remmant of Judah; xliv. 7-10, 11, 26 (all Judah that dwell in the land of Egypt). When Jeremiah uses the Sg. address it is mostly but not always in one of three connections. (1) After, or with, a vivid pertounication of the people, land or city: e.g. ii. 1-3, 14-19, 20-25, 31-371 lij, 1-x; IV. 15; x, 17 6; xii, 7 6; xiii, 20-27; xxii; 6 5. that passing to Pl. in p. a), 20-231 XXX, 12-14 (Stewarthe communityl: xxxi, 2-6 (servin of Lersell, 15-17 (Rackel the mother). 18-10 ( Kehroust the son), 21 f. (territe of Junes). Ot (2) when short of actually personifying the nation [cremish sets it in sharp contrast to any other, or all others: e.g. il. 36f.; iv. 5-8 (Pls. except in 7 where the other nation comes in); x. 34f.; xv. 11-14; xxx. 7-11 [/scall and xlw. 27 f. ( Incab as Servant)-there last two massages should perhaps talker come among the personifications. Or (3) when he is quoting from Depleyonomy; e.e. in v. 14-10 he begins with the PL, masses to the Sg. in worde more or less those of Deut. xxviii. 40 ff., and resumes the Pl, with his own words in v. 10 (a. 18 may lie an insertion); manlasty in xxxiv. 14 the change from the Pl. to the Sg. comes in with a quotation of Deut, xv. 12 and again Pl. is resumed with the prophet's own words. But in some quotations Icremich changes their original Se. to his new usual PL: e.v. xxix, 12, co. Deut, 19, 20; xlly, 2, co.

Deal, xiii, 6. There are, however, a considerable number of transitions

from Sg. to II's in Jeremish's discourses which are not capable of the above explanation, non of any other except that the prophet fell himself free to make them! For example, in 13 ft. is unamby in Pt. but has one Sg. classes (dut in It a quadristory); in 1, gasses from Sg. to we find I am against these, ye ushish asy. If will possibly you we find I am against these, ye which asy. If will possibly you. Mer forest round about Am.

All this - while further expension the complexity of the question and white explaining the inevitableness of contradictions in the various analyses of Deuteronomy on the basis of the two forms of address-nevertheless offers some clues through the mage. The discourses of Jeremiah show that some changes from Pl. to Sy, may be due to the influence of a vivid personification of the nation or community addressed; or, short of personification, to a conception of it approaching the personal, especially when it is contrasted with other peoples; or to the quotation by the speaker of other writings in a different form of address from that which he usually adopts, or to no apparent reason at all excent the inconsistence of the writer. Again the codes in IE show still muro clearly that some changes from Sg to Pl. are due to the hand of an editor or expander of the original. We have now to ask, whether any of the changes of address in Deuteronomy correspond to any or to all of these? As for the influence of personification on the form of address

Al for the infrastice or personnession as use some of meters should be contracted by the contract of the contr

The transitions between the two forms of address often coincide with the transitions between exbortation and narrative in a manner too exact to be other than significant. We have noted the prevalence of the Sg. to the horizotry parts of the Discourses;

it is the Pt. which prevails in the historical parts. With few exceptions (which we shall consider immediately) the Pl. runs through i.- ii. 29, the historical part of the First Discourse; and is sustained through the historical parts of the Second Discourse: through ch. v. (except for the quotation of the Decalogue) and without interruption through ch. ix. 76-x. tt; the hortatory setting, vi.-ix. 7a and x. 12-xi. 32, being mainly in the Sg., except significantly enough in the longish passage xi. 2--- Q, where the exhortation is mixed with parrative and the PL again prevails (the other Pl. exceptions are as we shall see probably editorial). Moreover the transition from Sr. to Pl. in ix. 7 is marked by an awkward construction, as though we had there the solicing of two strands by a hand which had found then separate. Of course even this-though a sign of the compilation of different documents -- in not proof of a difference of authorship. It would be natural for the same author to use mainly the PL in narrative but to turn to the Sy, when he came to exhort the people especially under the deuteronomic conception of Israel as a moral unity; and as we have seen (§ 6) there is-apart from this difference in the form of address-great similarity of style and doctrine not only between the two Discourses as a whole but within each, between its historical and hortatory parts (see below for exceptions). Moreover this association of the Sg. with exhortation and of the PL with payrative is not constant. We find the prevailing PL of the historical part of the First Discourse, i .- wi. 29, running on into the hortstory part, sy, 1-40 (at least iv. t-5, hortatory though it is and containing also a contrast between Israel and other nations, cannot be separated from i .- iii. 29); and similarly the Pl. of ch. v. runs for a little way into ch, vs., so that although we discover some evidence of principle or habit in the use of the forms of address, we see also that this is not adhered to with constancy.

We may take next the question of quotations, and here again some things are clear amid much that is uncertain. In ch. w which is otherwise consistent in the use of the Pl. the Decalogue is quoted and it is in the Sg.; while in xi. 18—25, mainly a Pl. passage, the emergence of the Sg. in 196-20 comes in a quotation, slightly varied, of vi. 6-9, a Sg. passage. This is treated just as Jeremiah treats some of his quotations; some of the pronouns are altered to harmonise with the context some are left as they are in the original passage. May the same or a similar reason not explain the exceptional See in iv. 24, xxix, 3, 10 f.? It certainly serves as a sufficient reason for some of the exceptional appearances of the Pl. in the Code: e.g. xvi. 1. against mutilation for the dead. and xiv. 4-20, on beasts clean and unclean. The former law shows other reasons for our doubting that it is original to Deuteronomy (see the notes): 1/2 is, then, a deuteronomic addition to it. The law on clean and unclean beasts is throughout foreign to the usual style of the deuteronomic Code, in other respects (see notes on it) than its use of the Pl.; the So, verse with which it opens may be either the original law of Deuteronomy on the subject or an addition by a desteronomic editor when he incorporated this Pl. law in the Code. Other quotations coincident with the appearance of the PL are xvii. 16 & xx. 1. But, once more, we have in all these cases signs of compilation, not evidence of two distinct authors, one employing the Sg, and one the Pl, form of address, We come now to the question of editorial additions or expan-

We come now to the question of contornal anisons or experience, and here to one may be confident simultaness—bought not that it is difficult to distinguish between an editorial addition and a quotation by the original subset (e.g., iv. 23, 6, 24). What we have to ask is whether in Deuteronomy there are any occasional poperarance of the Sg. in Pt. passages or of the Pt. in Sg. passages, in clauses which are esperable from their contexts without extending the context of the properation of the state of the properation of t

In the historical part of the First Discourse, i.—iii. 19, tha Sg. paxages are only seven or eight, all single clauses or brief sentence (see pp. 5.1). Only one us an obvious intraston, i. 31 a—in the wilderness, where these hart seen how that Jehovah thy God have thee, as a man dock

hear its own-capatings the following classe from the expression and the introductors it. Now for the real to seek 1, th., by qualifies and it and necessary to the preceding context, yet them is no other season (nelwying it to hear own-tree). It Sp. yet temply an occurrious for despite the near worter: It Sp. yet temply an occurrious but it is relevant and may just as well be due to the original writes not a pione required with offering on all a religious reasons for King Shhoot solvehnee; In 1, 11 and 11, 7 the horizotry transport interest of the contraction of the contraction

Jehovak said unto me. On the Pla, in iv. 24, clearly editorial, see

In the hortstory parts of the Second Discourse, chs. vi.-lx. 7 a and x. 12-xi. 32, most but not all of the Pl. exceptions afford other signs than the Pl. of being additions or expansions. The opening verse, vi. 1, mercly continues the Pl. of the previous narrative chapter; and the single Pl. clause in to a that we may increase markely could not have been expressed so naturally in the Su. Neither of these then it editorial. But the Pl. elauses in to. 14 and 16f. are probably so (see potes). In ch. viv. the momentary Pt. in s. 4. confirmed by the Versions, is cursous; whether editorial or not who could say? In so, s, 7 f. the Pi, clauses (see note) are separable from the context, but the former it as possibly a unotation by the original writer as an editorial insertion. In p. 12 the PL clauses are apperfinous and that in p. 24 may be the mistake of a scribe (see note); still it is curious that this and the Pla, in s. a occur just as the writer mentions heathen altars, images, and symbols, for we shall find other tostances of this concidence. In ch. viii. the only Pls. are so, 1 and 19, common formulas and possibly editorial. In the Pl. passage z. 16-10 there are marks of expansion other than the Pis. (see the notes). The prevalence of the Pt. in the longish passage xi. 1-0 it (as we have seen) coincident with the re-knoenrance of narrative; there is no reason to doubt the unity of the passage with its heconsexts. But the PL clauses in xi, to-is are obvious interruptions of the theme of the passage, and those in vv. 18-25, 26-26 are formulas and separable-all probably editorial-yel those in to 6 are not so

In the Laws the Pi. Clause is expirited in  $S_{ij}^{ij}$  contexts are very faw. Some of them have already been explained (for  $i_i v_i$ ,  $j_i = 0$  are  $j_i$ ,  $k_i^{ij}$ ;  $k_i^{ij} = 0$ ) and  $k_i^{ij} = 0$ . The risk may be conductedly mainted as solitoid, as ethe notes on them;  $i_i \in I_i$ ,  $i_i \in I_i$ ,

easily accounted for (see notes to ch. xi. throughout).

DEUTERONOMY

above p. lxxvi.

We see, then, that both in the Discourses and he Laws some of the short Sg. exceptions where Sg. prevails may be regarded a secondary or editorial. But the is not true of all. Some are not natural as we found similar insurances in Jereminh to be. And as for the rest, which have no logical explanation and no sign that they are secondary, we must admit the possibility of incontiency, arbitrary or unconscious, no the part of the original statestery, arbitrary or unconscious, not he part of the original restrict, and the state of the state of the original states, and the set with the J reparties of the state of the state of the state of the original restrict, and the state of the state o

3. Naxi we have to inquire, whether—as has been alleged—the difference in the forms of address is a rul clonicident in Deutersonomy with differences of vocabulary and phrasing sufficient to indicate a difference of vocabulary and phrasing sufficient to indicate a difference of suthership. To be adequate the indicate a difference of authorship. To be adequate the indicate a difference of authorship. To be adequate the indicate a different common to the Sg and Fl passages? On any of the characteristic phrasis perdomatas with the one or the any of the characteristic phrasis perdomatas with the one or the same done of the different terms for the same idea being used with Sg, and Pt respectively?

1 The analysis on which the following paragraphs are based was made in 1900 for my paper for the Society of Historical Theology before Professor Mitchell's analysis (see above p. lxsiv) reached me. For the most part we saree, but he registers some distinctions which ere not clear enough to be enumerated in a discussion of difference of authorable. have marked those that I owe to him. I have also carefully studied bigueringel's hair ou pp, yaxii fi, of his Deutermontium-leana. The render must keep in mind that these has ere not prepared on the same principle as those in the following paragraphs. By Sg. and Pl. I mean n// passages of the Book to the singular and plural forms of address respectively. Steucinagel's Sg. and Pl. on the other hand are the two documents which he believes to have discriminated as running through cost the discourses and the codes, in which supplies and plant forms preveil but are by no menus constant. Not can I agree with his very numerous estimate of editorial passages. With Bertholes I believe it to be very estravagast. Many items in it ere founded on erbitrery erounds.

lecciii

First, terms characteristic of Deuteronomy (see above \$6.7 and 6)

lound in both the Se, and Pl. passages. Both speak of Israel as fearing God (Sr. at least ciebs, Pl. five times), Journey Him (Sg. at teast nine, Pl. three times), and cleaning to Him (Sc. x. 10, axx. 10; Pl. IV. A. XI. 12 secondary, xiii. 4 parallel to x, to). Both use these phrases-to take Acrel of Aesostra (Sg. iv. 9, vt. 12, vin. 11, xii. 13, 19, 30, xv. 9, axit, q: Pl. lv. 23, xt. 16 and with other forms of the same verb u. 4. iv. 14); observe to do (Sg. vi. 3, vii. 11, av. 5, xvii. 10; Pl. v. 1, 32, vi. [22], 22, 21i, 22); observe and do (Sar. xvi. 12, xxi), 22, xxiv, 8 a. xxvi. 16. xxviii. 13; Pl. iv. 6, vii. 13 secondxiv); drelong thy or your daw and the like (Sg. 19, 40, [v. 16], vi. 2, 8301, 7, 889, 18; Pl. 19, 26, op. xxx. 18, v. 33, xi. 9); todick I use or Jehovak is communiting thee or you this day (Sg. about nineteen, P), ten times); and both use usey or many in a aportical sense (Sg. viii. 6, xili. 5; Pl. v. 33, xi. 22, 28 both secondary, cu. iv. 19, 16). The two scree is usually employing the longer forms of the word for Accest, John and of the first personal pronoun-'amobit: and in a very rare use of the shorter forms (see above pp. kvi. (v l. and note to xii. 30). Both have the day of An embly,

Second, terms characteristic of Deuteronomy, found mostly with the So, and seldom or doubtfully with the Pl. Of Jehovah, downing to (hashah), (hossing and letting Israel (Sq. iv. av. vii. 6, 13, x, 14?, xiv. 2. RRITI. 57; 14. only vii. 7 secondary), redeeming lursel (states Sg. vii. 8 see note vill a vy to vyl 8 vary 18: 11 tv. 161 feadure them off the more these forty worst in the postdorness and the like (No. ii. 7 but see p. laxel, viii. 2, 4, 15; Pl. xxix. 5 see note), discretiuring (Sg. iv. 36, wit. At Pl. xi. 2) and testing inicous or with marries, tests, Sq. lv. 34 see note, vii, 10, viti, 2, 16, xx1x, 2; Pl. only xiti, 3 hut Pl. speaks of Lurael testing God, vi. 15: and both use missed in the sense to attempt or array, Sg. Iv. 34, 12. xxviii. 56). Also these phrases-lest thou or you forget and the like (Sg. iv. o. vi. 12, viil. 11, [14, 19], IX. 7, XXV. tu: Pl. only iv. 23) and with all the heart and with all the toul (Se. iv. 20. vi. s. x. 12, xxvi. 16, xxx. 2, 6, 10; Pl. xl. 12, xiii. 2 both editorial 1).

Third terms characteristic of Deuteronomy that are used only with the Sg. or only with the Pl. (a) Only with the Sg. 1-ol God, a realeur God (iv. 24, [v. q], vi. 18, vet Pl bay Jehough and hie jualousy usin, an). a devouring fire (iv. 24, ix. 3), a compassionate God (iv. 21), keeping command and from few lyni, a. 12, sp. v. 10); of Israel, a secular deadle tvii. 6, xiv. 2, xxvi. 18); of Egypt, house of bondmen (v. 6, vi. 12, vii. 86, viii. 14, XIII. 8, 10; CD. franchmen in Egypt, V. 15, Vi. 21, av. 14. avi. 12. Raiv. 18. 22); In Aurden the heart in a lad sense til, 20, 20, 21; the land which Johowsh thy God growth, or as about to give thee, for on 18Acritance liv. 21 6, 18, xv. 4, xix. 10, xx. 16, xxi. 23, xxiv. 4, xxv. 14,

Professor Mitchell adda strang hand and stretched out nem. Se. iv. 24. v. 1s. vii. 10. xxvi. 8; Pl. xi. 22. But the phrase varies much-see p. tiv-and in ix, so Pl. we have great enight and stretched and gray, Pt. uses strong hand alone (or with greatness) thittee to. 24, vii, 84 editonal, ix. abr Ser. vi. at.

XXVI. 1: with Pl. aunited to the monle, a south of unkertance IV, 10, ED-1x. 26, 24); and several less important terms; narkal, to drive off (vi. 1) 22); Anniaph, to exper (ix 4, v), 10); Anner, to lack (ii, 7, val. 9, xv. 8 and its noun xxviil. 48, 47), and the accumulation test, signs and wonders tiv. 34, vis. 10, xxvi. 8 in part, xxig, 3; gi, 3 spec and morks, Pl.). There are also several expressions peculiar to the Sa. laws: 10 com uma the end filler thisteen times); benied to desire this on why an the Decalogue has the Hithorel v. 19), and its noun 'assaul, all the desire of thy soul [xil. 15, 20 f., xviii, 6); and these formulas wherefore I am commandar saying or this word (xv. et . is, xix, 7, xxiv, 18, 22), hear and few (xili, 11, gvii, 12, gig, 20, xxl, 21), which shall be in those days (avil. 9, air. 17, anvi. 3), and it that! be a sin in thee (av. 9, saiti. 22 L. xxiv. 1s), and he of the or they thall die faill, 10, xvil, s. 12, xviii, 30, MIN. 12, NES. 21, NEIL 21 f., 24 f., NEIV. 73). (6) Characteristic terms used only with the Pl. are not nearly so many :- hith'annesh, to be onery. of Jehovah (i. 22, iv. 21, iv. 8, and the Pi'el of 'abad, to destroy (x), 4, xu, a, 8 but with both by, and Pl, we find the Highill, to make war upon of Jehovah ft. 30, us. 12, xx. 4), shahath to the sense to deal corruptly (Pi'el, lx. 12, Hiph, iv. 16, 2x, xxxi, 20 while the Sg. uses high, only in the active sense to destroy, xx. 10 L of a thing, iv. at. a. 10 of Israel; but co. 18. 26 Pl.), in consequence of obeying (vil. 12, ville 20) and I, see or they turned to 24, ii. 1, 8, iii. 1, ix, 12, x, Ab, of (Auf Ame (L o. 16, 18, 1), 24, 11), 4, 8, 12, 18, 21, 22, 19, 14, 7, 6, (x, xo, x, 1, 8), and the construction of the yeah to be with a participle 11x. 7, 22, 24 elsewhere only in axxi, 27 in imitation of ix. 7 Bertholet). Some of these singularities are due, it is obvious to the Sg. passages being mainly hortatory and the PL mainly narrative.

Fourth, very few are the instances of different phrases for the same idea according as it is conveyed in the Sec. of Pl. forms of address. But there are some. While with the So. Israel's passage to the Promised Land is almost constantly physical as robes they comest into the lend, or the land whither then art coming-the participle (vii. 1, 1x, 3, xt. 19, 24, XVIII. D. XXIII. 20, XXVI. I. #XVII. 2, #XVIII. 21, 61, XXX, 16), with the I'l, the idea is expressed by another participle, telepher by are creaming to desters of five 14, vs. 1, w. H. H. at aditorial con warding way, 18 by the witness of the Samaritan and Greek t see also all, as in the No. because addressed to Joshua and Iv. 22). The exception2 are viii, I where come in is with the PL (editorial), Ix. 1 where creez ix in the Sg., and xi. 21 where And physics sie in the PL (editorial). Another, but Innenificant case of difference in the Su. Be they not afraid nor dismayed it, 11. axxi. 8) for the Pl. Be we not startled nor afreed (1, 20, xxxi, 6); cp. He we not afraid nor disturbed nor startled (uv. a). On the alleged diselepancy between the America of the PL oxygens and the full list of seven nations given with the Se, xiddens see above p. Iv. It has also been allered that in the use of the various names given to the Law or laws there is evidence of a difference between the Sg. and Pl. passages.

<sup>1</sup> These last formulas I have taken from Professor Mitchell's lost.

but the evidence is far from class. {Titles, as obviously editorial, may be left out.) Toras, Law, is used in both (Sg. xvii. 11, 18f., xxviii. 48, 61, xxx. 10; Pl. 1v. 8, xxix, 21, 10). So 16 Mirwah, Charge of Commandment, when used alone (So. viil. 1, anvi. 12, xan, 11, in xv. 5 and xix, o it ntobubly refers to a single law: Pl. xi. 8, 22, the latter editorial. in v. 31 it is combined with statutes and judgements). So with Marrotch, communicates, when used alone and so with auditor, statute, when alone (bg. vi. 24, avi. to; Pl. 14, 6, avtl. tql. The double term statuta and indoments, by Itself, is found once with Sig. and seven times with Pl. (Sc. xxvi. 16; Pt. lv. t. 4, 8, t4, v. t. xi. 32, xii. 1); preceded by Missessa it appears in one Sg. passage and two Pl. (vii. 11, and v. 31, vi. 1). But as Air elatures and jungements it often occurs with the Sg. (iv. 40, xxvi): 10, 01 with the familiane of statutes, vi. 2, x. 13, xxviii. 15, 45, XXX, 10). The triple, \$11 commandments, judgements, and statutes Is found only with the Sg. (viii. 11, xt. 1, xxvt. 17, xxx, 16) but the other triple, testamones, statutes, marements (or commandments) occurs with both forms (Sg. vi. 20; Pl. vl. 17 editorial).

4. Are there any differences of attunde, temper or subject between the Sg. and Pl. passages<sup>1</sup> — beyond the one we have already observed, that the horstatory sections are generally Sg. and the narratives generally Pl. Several swh differences have been asserted by various critics; and some of them justly. But for most part their south of the subject of the difference between exhortation and narrative or do not imply more than the presence in our text of eithford additions or expansal difficulties.

Professor Mitchell (16, 116.) feels a difference of tempes between the Se, and the PL passages, in that the Se, appeal generally to the people's gratitude to God, the Pt. to their fear of Hum. But smety the So, call upon larged to fear and to remember the divine chastisements as much as the Pl. do, and it is with the Sg. alone that we find the expressions a jealous God and a consuming fire, and the formate hear and fear. If in enforcing obedience the Sg. passages linger were on Jehovah's love of Innel and His kind l'rovidence-although they too mention the terrors of the wilderness, viii, 18-while the PL emphasise the awfulness of Hus revelation on Horeb, the instances of His wiath and the details of the neonle's sufferings (see above p. lxxxiv and l. 44, lt. 14-16, lv. 3, lx. 92); such a difference does not necessarily imply difference of authorship. It also is explicable by the fact, with winch we are so familias, that the Suaddress naturally prevalls in the hostatory accions of the Book but the Pl. in its negratives. Except for their lifest treatment of the expenences of Issuel in the wildesness the Sg. passages do not differ from the Pl. as to the facts of the people's past. Not is there any difference of perspective. The Sg, which in use taw uses the phrase going forth from from of the actual pight of Isinel's departure, xxx, a, 6 (cp. c. 1), also uses it more toosety, as the Pl. does, of events well ou in the witderness wandering: xxv. 17 of Amalek. co. Pl. xxiii. 4 of the coming to Mosh;

xxiv. o. Miriam's Icoroay.

Again It is true that while there is only one instance of the densuclation of images in the Sg. form of address, iv. 23 (and this possibly editorial), all other emphases on the sill of idolatry and commands to destroy images occur either in the longer Pl. sections, e.g. iv. 10-18, 25-28, (x, 8-22, xii, 2 f.; or-exactly as in Ex, xx, 22-in short PL seulences of clauses that break into Se, contexts; e.e. vir. s. asa, with the following, against going after or worshipping other gods, vi. 14, 31, 16, 26-28. Also it is curious that the I'l, should grop up in the threats of the destruction of Israel attached to several of the Sg. denunciations of the worship of other gods, vii. 4, viii. 19, axx, 17 f. Yet ou the other hand we find the Sg. not only in frequent denuncrations of the worship of other gods—e.g. besides those just quoted, iv. 19 against star worthip, air. 30, xiii. 2, 6, 13 (the one 12 here is probably editorally xvii. 31. xviii. 20, xxviii. 14 (see note), 64-but in the law against Asherm and Pillars, xvi. 21 f., and waruings against other gommations of the heathen, aviii, of., ax. 18, etc.; not to speak of v. 7-u, the deuteronomic edition of the Second Commandinest. The conclusion is reasonable that while this evidence gives near of editorial expansions it hardly amounts to a proof of the presence of two documents by different and hors.

The evidence we have examined in this paragraph is very complicated-too complicated for any but moderate conclusions. It may point towards, it does not reach, certainty. Upon the strength of it we can indeed exclude certain opposite extremes. No sane mind could imagine that the two forms of address a/ways indicate different hands or that the same writer might not use the one as well as the other, sometimes of purpose and sometimes with unconscious inconsistency. So wild a theory has never been proposed. On the other hand, no one can maintain that the difference between the Se, and Pl. forms of address never indicates a difference of hand. In clear disproof of this is the fact that many of the exceptional PL clauses in Sg. passages and one or two of the exceptional Sy, clauses in 12, passages bear other marks of being secondary. These are not merely the mechanical intrusions of formulas by scribes; manyare more deliberate expansions or qualifications of the original by an editor or editors. There are even laws which, except for the single deuteronomic formulas attached to them, are at one eas the Ps, address and give indications either

that they are of date later than the time of Josiah when the Code of Deuteronomy became operative, e.g. xiv, a spainst mutilation for the dead, or that they were reduced to writing by a legislator of a different style and school from those which produced the distinctive bulk of the Book, e.g. xiv. 1-20, on clean and unclean beasts. So far we are on firm ground; though some cases of editorial expansion or addition are necessarily doubtful others are clear. Can we go further and point to sufficient evidence for the presence in Deuteronomy of long documents (Staerk and Steuernagel) with shorter 'sketches and essays' (Staerk), distinguishable from each other mainly by their respective use of the Sg. and Pl. forms of address? As we have seen, the Book certainly offers evidence by other signs-the separate titles to the Discourses and the existence of doublets among the Laws-of its compilation from more than one edition of its original form. To this evidence the distinction between Sg. and Pl. has its own contribution to make, as in the fact that of the three statements of the cardinal law on the One Altar one is in the Sg. and two are in the PL. But the attempt to trace separate editions throughout both Discourses and Laws mainly on the difference of Sr. and PL is upon the evidence we have examined most precarious if not utterly impossible. Stepernagel's division of the Laws into two different collections by his Sg. and Pl. authors respectively is carried through only by frequent arbitrariness and an extravagant assumption of editorial additions. Staerk's is hardly less arbitrary. As for the Discourses, we have seen that the distinction between Sg. and Pl. may often be more naturally interpreted as due to the difference between exhortation and narrative than as significant of difference of authorship. We must repeat -the Se. prevails in the hortatory, the Pl. in the narrative, sections of the Book and not only so but a number of Sg. interruptions in Pl. sections coincide with the rise of the narrative to the pitch of exhortation, and some Pl. interruptions in Sg. sections occur where the exhortation becomes reminiscent and approaches the narrarive savie. But although all this is generally, it is not always, the case: signs remain of an inconsistence which however on the

evidence of other books, we must always allow to a writer. It is not true that there is any real difference of ethic or temper between the Sg. and Pl. passages (pp. lxxxv f.). It is true that there is some linguistic difference-that some phrases are found only or predominantly with the Pl. (pp. laxxiii ff.). But here again much of the difference may be accounted for by the fact that one is mainly exhortation the other mainly parrative; what remains of linguistic difference is too slight to sustain the conclusion of a dual authorship. It is also true-and very curious-that in the Discourses images are denounced only in Pl. passages; yet both Sg. and Pl. frequently denounce the worship of other gods and many of the Se. laws forbid the use of all heathen symbols and other atominations (p. lxxxvi). Besides, a number of the references to idolatry. peculiar to PL, are due to the prevailing parrative of the PL sections-especially the account of the events on Horeb. Steuernaged is hard pressed to find enough laws to carry out through the Code the respectatic fervour alleged to be peculiar to his Pl. introduction: he cites (p. vi) xit. 8-12, xvi. 21-xvii. 7. xxii. 4. xxiii. 18 f. 10 which there is no mention of images and the PL address occurs but once!

Thus all that a careful examination of Deuteromomy's use of the Sg, and Pl. (Form of address yields to us is confirmation of the other evidence we have had that the Book is a complation not only in the same that the materials of its Code have been partly drawn from other codes and ancient practices, nor only in the sense that both the Discourses and the Code have been expunded by editors and copyints, but that there were once different editions of the Code probably with different introductions,—yet whether these were from different hands the evidence of the Sg. and PP, passage does not enable to ut decrede in full confidence.

## 6 o. Editorial Factors.

The last of the cross-distinctions which run through all the divisions of Deuteronomy (§ 7) are those due to the compilers, adapters and annotators to whom we one the present form of the



Book. That there are such accountary elements in Denteronomy, is admitted by were the more conservative scholars<sup>1</sup>, who however do not sufficiently appreciate the amount of them. At the opposite externs some criticin—on abintary grounds and often in the interests of particular schemes of analysis—exaggerate the quantity of alignion antater<sup>1</sup>, and identify oftions to a number and to degrees of difference beyond the warrant of the data. But that some officials reached the work on Deuteronomy or graphy and no more than we should expect from the state of other books of the Odd Textunemen.

Thus the JE surmals on in the preceding Books of the Pentatives the reduction consideration (Driving, Facilia in this series, pp. xxivs, pp. xxivs, and xxiv

But to Desterosomy the task of distinguishing the last additions and enlargements to eace of postular uncertainty; both because the style of the original listelf is so prone to repeat and expand (§3) and because this same style and not another in alsa used by some of the cellions. Therefore only a general indexorder of the cellions. The desteroid controllations to Desteroids of the cellions of the cellions of the cellions of the desteroids of the cellions indexed in §8, pp. 18xx 8f. 9.

- The compilation of the several editions (§ 10) with the retrangements to which puts of them have been subjected, e.g. the separation.
- <sup>1</sup> E.g. Dr Orr as quoted below p. 232; cp. Lex Mesace, pp. 211 f. notes for the admission (by the Rev. J. J. List) that in other books of the O.T. there are interpolations by "too realous copysits," <sup>2</sup> E.g. Stevernagel, see above p. xil, footuses 2.

of the hustorical sections, cha. 1. will, and ix. 7.6-x. 11 (nechaps also ch. v.), which we expnot doubt were from the same hand (6 7) but in a chronological order now reversed. But who to-day may decide whether the original compilers of the Code or some later editors were responsible for the divorce of chs. all, 19-alls, from xvi. 21-xvii. 7. and for the frequent separation, in Part 11t. of the Code, of laws with a common subject (see pp. 135 f. below)? 3. Harmonising statements: these are very few, e.e. iii. 1. C. avi. ii: their number has been esaggerated, see notes on al. 19, aix. E-to. 3. Antiquarity and geographical notes: e.g. L. 15-3, II. to-12, 20-23, in. 9, 11, 13 f. xi. an a unless those in chs. i .- iii, are to be held as next of that naustive in the ard netson singular which Dillmann separate was the original form of the historical introduction to the Coile (see above p. lxt). 4. Expansions: (a) Of hortatory passages, such as in iv. 0-40, with the group of words characteristic of 1 in so. 16-22 and the reflection of the Exile in us. 20-et, also vi. 26, 14, possibly vii. 5, 7 f., 15 d, the Pl. elasses to ki, 10-12, parts of ki. 18-25 and of xxis -axx, (see notes); others would add v. 12 f. vii. 46 166 22. visi, 6. 146, 146, vi. 8, etc., but for reasons accord thus are notes ; it is in the horistory passages, where repetition and expansion are most natural to the deuteropositic style. That we find it most difficult and often impossible to distinguish between the original and the additious of editors or copyists. (6) Of narrative, as in i, as stautologous in its present context and clearly borrowed from No. xiv. 211 No. 15 and not the bot not probably is an act the fragment we at the comment irrelevant where it stands, betters merely the desire of an editor to preserve all the meterial at his disposal, similarly the first part of the fragment x. 6 -8. A. The introduction of laws later than the bulk of the Code; xiv. 1, 4-20 and perhaps Kuii. 1-0, to which some would add (but on insufficient grounds) most if not all of the rest of the laws in xxi. 10-xxv. (Bodde, Gook, d. althebr. Litteratur, p. 111); and in other laws the marks of the growth of priestly rights and influence beyond the deuteronomic standpoint (see up, xxiii f I such as the expansion of xvin, 1--- s, the priests in xix, 17, the priests some of Levi in xxi, s, with perhaps axvi. 2 f. : others include ax. 2-4 but see note. 6. The combination of Desteronomy, thus compiled and expanded, with the other documents of the Hexateuch, I. E and P. Whether the editors who combined I and E were prior to, or the same as, those who compiled Deuteronomy is a oucation much discussed, and in the present writer's opinion responsible to answer. But there is little doubt that iE and Deuteronomy were combined by deuteronomic editors....note the desteronomic additions to 1E in other books of the Pentateuch, with such an insertion as that in Nu. xai, \$2--- as of pert of Deuteronomy's na rative of the eampoine against On, ch. lii, 1-7. Finally other editors (for they use the phraseology not of Deoleronomy but of Pi fitted the combined IE Deuteronomy joto P (see notes on cha. xxxiwww.l. and achieved our Heystenels. To them we one in whole or part the titles is 1-4, 19, 44-40, xxix, 1 (Hels, xxviii, fig). On the subject 

## § 10. Conclusions as to Unity.

We have now before us all the data on which to answer the questions stated in § with regard to the Unity of chi. I.—axe, questions stated in § with regard to the Unity of chi. I.—axe the private and teaching (whether of facts or principles), their answers would not be difficult to faul. In these respects we have found externedly inter that is incompatible with the attribution of the accordance of the control of the control

The control of the co

On the few and slight inference in language see above pp. I, Iv; on the absence of destroyment phrases from some of the law, metily line and the same of the law, and the law of the law, and the law of the law of the law, and the law of the

in the laws original to this --even in its most distinctive law of the One Altar, in ch. xii .- there are parallel but slightly variant statements of the same divine commands (pp. lxxi f.), just as is the case with other Hebrew laws including the Decalogue itself. Thus both the Code and the Discourses carry us to the conclusion that Deuteronomy i .- xxx. is a compllation of various editions. Even this, of course, is not proof of a diversity of authorship. What her these editions were due to the same author or to a school of writers sharing one spirit, one purpose and one style, may be held to be an open question to which there is no certain answer (% c). The second alternative, however, appears on all the data. literary and historical, to be the more probable. The very imitable style was, we know, practised by many pens and spread through Hebrew literature. The distinctions in diction, such as that between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address. though in themselves insufficient criteria (§ 8), often coincide with other differences in suggesting a plurality of writers. In the next Paragraph we shall see how much there was in the circumstances of the time at which Deuteronomy was published to confirm this literary evidence that separate editions of the Book were once extan).

It is interesting that so conservative a scholar as Dr Orr has mggented a smaller explanation of he origins of other parts of the Peasteach. His words are there: "singleness of plan and co-operation of effort in the original production" and "the labour of original componenworking worth a common aim and towards a common end" (Problem of the Or. Tr. pp. 344, 373). It the words 'in a common style' be added that description would nearly smil our evidence that there was more than one edition of Deuteromour.

These editions have been compiled and inter-oven in a manner, which, while it is towes segments of beir coulines clear, enders us unable to distinguish them in detail. The differing results of the many attempts at their analysis (§§§ and 8 and below  $p_0$ ,  $x \in r(R)$ ) prove that modern criticism is without the powers for so exacting a task. We can no longer adopt any of the varous conclusions reached during the earlier stage of research (§ 8), which approximated on this, that the first forms of the Book ower to be desirable and the stage of the

measured by one or more of the main divisions of which it now consists. The lines of cleavage within these divisions, the difference between exhortation and narrative, the close affinity of the narrative portions of the two Discources introductory to the Code, and the doublets in the Code itself, forbid such simple solutions of the problem. The parratives now separated. chs. i .- iii. (v. 7), and is, 7 6 -x. 11, all mainly in the PL address. appear to have originally formed one piece. Did this ever form a historical introduction to the Code separate from the hortatory pieces, among which it is now divided, chs. iv. 1-40, vi.-ix. 7 a. x. 12-x1.? For answer we have only these data: that the hortatory section iv. 1-8 is the natural continuation of the historical, i.-iii., with the same general use of the Pl. address : but that the historical ch. v. is clearly separable from, and the historical lx. 7 4-s. 11 is still more clearly an intrusion into the rest of chs. vi.-xi. Again, as the parallel versions of the Law of the One Altar, ch. xii., eshibit, the distinction between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address did constitute one of the differences among the original editions of Deuteronomy. But how far was this distinction sustained? We have seen that it is impossible to answer (6.8): the same author may have changed from Sg. to Pl. as he passed from eshortation to parrative or viceveral. To sum up.-the drastic re-arrangement of the original contents of the Book, the use throughout (with extremely few exceptions) of one style, and this by some even of the editors, the freedom we must assume for the same writer to use both forms of address, especially when combining narrative and exhortation (pp. Ixxvili (., Ixxavii (.), conspire to render impossible an exact definition of the outlines and contents of the once separate editions. But these diversities of workings are of slight importance

But these ancertains of variencys are a sign importance compared with the Unity which animates and controls themis one Spirit hopitized into one body. That Unity is at once spiritual, practical and dramatic. The various forms of Deuteronomy and all the phases they exhibit have their source in the same truths, move towards the same ends, use the same method and style. Not only does the Unity of the Codhead share and beat throughout the Book to the dispersion of virtually every must or shadow that might break it; but the Power, the Righteonsness, and above all the Love of God compel the smb-mission of every aspect and detail of life to their influence and draw out to Him an undivided devotion. It is the whole man for the One God!

Deuteronomy (a also a Unity in that it expresses not only the experience of the nation from their origin conward through the centuries, but the soul of I resal, conscions of their distriction, consection every freeign influence as the threat of their distingers tion, and concentrating appear their spritual herstage and distinging a content of their properties. The strength of the strength of their spring their content of their springing their springing

One other Unity hannis the reader. Invisible as is the style of the Book, it is yes to distanctive, so studen in its appearance in Hebrew Herature, and so personal in its address as to keep as to be a subject of the standard of the standa

## § 11. The Ages of the Book and of its Contents.

1. In the history of the complicated structure we have been examining, one year and one only if need it he eight each of the relign of Josish or 621 n.C. when a Book of the Laws or of the Commant was found in the Temple, read to the king and then to the people, and adopted by them in solemn covenant, as the conno of certain religious reforms which they forthwish manugarated. We have seen (§ 4) that this Book was some form of Deuteromory. I but in our inability to define the different editions.

from which our Deuteronomy gives evidence of being compiled (6 to) we cannot any which of these this I aw Book was or whether it was exactly any one of them, or whether the process of their compilation had already begun. Only this is clear from the account of the reforms, 2 Kgs xxill, that the Book of the Law or Covenant must have included at least the following: one or more of the parallel statements in ch. xii, of the cardinal law of the Deuteropomic Code involving the destruction of the high places, and the confinement of sacrifice to One Altar (with the consequent permission to eat flesh not sacrificially slain on all places out of reach of that altar); some form of the law giving to the rural Levites the right to minister at the One Altar and to receive sustenance there, xviii, 1-8: some form of the Law of the Passover and probably of the other yearly feasts, xvi, 1-17; along with laws against idols, pillars and Asherim, and all impure practices, xii. 20--xiii., xvi. 21--xvii. 2. We may infer also the inclusion of the rest of the consequents of the cardinal law, viz. xiv. 32-29 on titles, xv. 19-23 on firstlings, xix. 1-13 on cities of Asylum, and some form of xvi 18 - 20 and xvii, 8-13 on the local and central judiciaries. Nor is there any reason to exclude from Josiah's Law-Book other laws which show no sign in their substance of being later than Josiah's time, especially if they are based on earlier codes or if their principles had been already enforced by the Prophets : with this caution that laws in Part 111. of the Code! which are separated from previously occurring laws on the same subject may owe their separate position to the fact of their later inclusion in the Code. Iosiah's Law-Book, too, most probably had an introduction and epilogue (like other Hebrew codes) relating its authority, expounding its principles. and describing the consequences respectively of obedience and disobedience to its orders. Not otherwise can we explain either us name, the Rook of the Covenant, or how it produced its effects upon king and people. In order to create the situation and atmosphere which resulted from its discovery the Book must.

<sup>3</sup> See below pp 135 f.

have been a work of prophecy as well as of law, of principle and passion as well as of practical measures It must have contained some form of the discourses now in chs. i.-xi., xxviii.-XXX.

A more exact deficition of Josiah's Law-Book is impossible. Bertholet reasonably says ( Dowt, p. xix); 'everything is to be reckoned to the original Deuteronomy which is not on unite definite grounds to be excluded from the time of Josiah' and he describes this as all that can be proved to be drawn from the earlies prophets or from the codes in Exod. xxi .-- xxii., xxxiv., all that follows immediately from the premises of Deuteronomy. and what is presupposed by Josiah's reforms. As specimens of attempts at more exact definition the following may be quoted. Budde (Gerca. d. althebr. Litterature, p. 1 (2) :- 'the "Grundstock" of chs. v.-xi. with the superscription by the to (this unturines one in men of the composite character of these vo. 1, chs. xii.— xxi. 9 [he can hardly mean all ch. xii. and the other dombiets], ch. xxvi, and a concinnon in Blessing and Curse sasentially comprised in ch. xxvisi.' Cornill (Aurest. E.T., pp. 47 f.) 'all, t - alii, t lo a substantially shourer form, will, a - to, air, a a t a a -21 57; MY. 25-XV, 31 XV. 7-43; XVI. 1-8\*, 9-10; XVI. 11-XVII. 7 (but in other places) ; xvii 8-13" ; xviii. 1-13; xix. t-14, 16-20" 21 1 xx, (minms, however, 57; 2-4, and 14-181; xxi,-xxv, (in part); and axvi. t - t s' (the asterisk affixed to certain of these indicates revision or expansion). Much shorter editions than these are conceived by Chevne (Jeremina, p. so) and by Chapman (Juleal, to the Port, in this series, A fuller seview is required by the theory of Dr John Callen in The Book of the Covenant in Mont 1190x), one of the most original and

searching of recent works on the subject. With the majority of later entires Di Cilleo recognises Deuteronomy as a compilation of several editions. But in contrast to most of them he finds its earliest form not to the Code hat among the Discourses, in which he sees the necessary inspiration for Jonah's scal and reforms, while he takes the Code (with some introductory matter) to be the result of the reforms. His arrangement of the former-called by him 'The Mlawah' or Charge from the name which it feemently uses on follows: the wwitt furnishing the bulle iv. 10-16 4, 10-26, v. 10-VIII, 18: XAVI. 1 VIII. 10-1X, 6 , X. 14-411 xxvil. 1 6, 3 6, 4 a, 5-7; xi. 8-28; xxviii. 1 a, ea, 7-14, 15, 50-15 a, 41-45; xxx. 11-20; Exod. xxiv. 4-8; Deat. xxxii. 45-47; while the latter. 'The Torah,' consists of this, xii, a -4 a, hastily pat together. with an original environment-ly, 44, 44 c, 46 s, xxvii, of, 1 ly, 1-4, xl. at f. and wit. I in the fence of it : but after it iv. a ... 8. wwit. I ! ... I a . wwill. 2 6-6, 15, 16-10, XXVII, 26, XXXI, 6-11. The possibility of an analysis so exact is more than donbrini, and Dr Cullen achieves his results in a brobute disregard of the different forms of address (above p. lxavi. Nor are but general arguments for separating the 'Minwith' from the 'Torah' and for taking the former as the cause but the latter as the precipitate of Joseph's reforms convincing. He thinks (with others, above p. xlvii) that ehs. vs.as which form the bulk of his "Miswah" are too long to have been a mere introduction to the Code : but, as we have seen (no. xlviii, laui ff.) and as he admits, the original form of this Discourse was much shorter, and in any case Desteronomy was never intended as only a code but also as a prophetic message, the expression of which would naturally be longer than a mere introduction. In that vi. - xi, he eliminates all reference to the Code by approximathat the phrase, statutet and unforments wherever is occurs, was added only after the 'Muswah' and 'Torah' were combined; but for this there is no reason beyond the needs of his theory. Again, he pleads that the hortstory elegient is the original part of Denteronomy, the Code being based on earlier laws; which is not a true antithesir, for while the Code, like others, has its sources in ancient custom and in laws already written down, It also contains the new and original law of the One Altar, ch. xir., and, among other consequents of this, equally new lows on the Levites and the Passoves, the presence of all of which in Josiah's Law Book is implied by the story of his reforms. Dr Collen further argues that a code is more likely to have been the outcome of a revolution than its insolution, for which we must look rather to a houtstooy appeal; yet granted that the effect of the Law-Book on the King and people proves that It must have contained anch discourses as we find in Desteronomy, this does not oblige us to deny that laws accompanied the discourses; but on the contrary when we find some laws in the Cude conched in the same style as the discourses and forming the practical application of their principles it is but reasonable to believe that from the beginning discourse and law were combined. Di Cullen also appeals to Jeremenh vil. \$1-\$2. This startling statement (confirmed by Amos v. as)-that at the Exodos God did not charge the fathers of Israel concerning hurnt-offering and sacrifice, but, that He might be thell God and they His people, only charged them to obey His voice and to walk in all the way He should command thempertainly survey with the theory that the Book found in the Temple was confined to general principles and contained no sacrificial laws. But the statement is not conclusive desert of this. Even if Leremiah's words be taken literally as implying that As did not believe that God had given to Israel laws on sacrifice, this would no more prove that such laws were absent from the Deuteronomy knows to him than that they were absent from the older code in IE. The prophet may be interpreted as protesting against their presence in Denteronomy-or elternatively against the unible importance attached to theor by his generation (which is all that can be inferred if his words be not taken literally). Even less convincing is Di Cullen's use of Iet, al. It is true that Desteronomy le there named not as 'Tôrah' but as the words of this communit (s. a). conseque being frequently used in the deuteronomic discourses, and that it is described (see, a ff ) in terms corresponding to Dest, axis, r-15: whereas the Code calls itself the secrets of this Tirak (xvii, 186) or when it mentions commant (xvii. 2) may be alluding to some other work. But this last is not certain; and in any case a Kgs axit. f. calls the Book DEUTERONOMY

: Google

UNIVERSIT OF WISCONSIN

found in the Temple both Jivak and Communt. Builten if this Book was confined to Dr. Culling. \* Mussan! (as he argues in a very strange that neither in a Kgs xxii. I, mor in Jeremlah ii is called Mijrak—Ou the whole, while Dr Cullier presents an unanaverable case for the inclusion in Josest's Law-Book of considerable excitent of the descriptions of the contract of the contract of the contract of the proverage of the code on also constain some at least of the Code.

King Josiah reigned till 608 when he fell at Megiddo. His reforms, begun in 62t, probably took time to accomplish. They offended aeveral interests and were certainly opposed. From leremiah xi, we learn of nteasures for the propagation of the Covenant throughout the land-in the cities of Judah and the streets of Jerusulem; and in Jer. viii. 8 the prophet exclaims to those who boast, the Torak of Jehovak is with us !- that the pens of the scribes are busy upon it even to the extent of falsifring. These things point to the possibility that some editions of Deuteronomy originated during the last twelve years of the king's reign. There is no reason to seek a later date for any of the substance of the Book. No part of it reflects the troubles which followed Josiah's death and confronted Habakkuk and Jeremiah with their problems. The phrase alive as at thir day (vi. 24 cp. viii. 18) seems to imply that Israel was prosperous when it was written and to preclude the Exile. To view of the growth of Egyptian power and of the decline of Assyria after 625, the threat of a return to bondage in Evvol-echoing a frequent threat of the prophets-would be natural even before Pharaoh Necho's overthrow of Israel at Mounddo in 608; and it cannot be subsequent to his defeat by Nebuchadrezzar in foul. The only fragments that require a later date are those which betray the band of an editor (\$ 0) or are written from the point of view of the Dispersion (e.g. lv. 29-31). Such fragments alony with the secondary Laws (xiv. t. 4-20, etc.), and probably the compilation of the editions and rearrangement of their contents (% to), may be assigned to the Exile, the date also of the





On D: Kennett's conjectures of s later date see above, p. xiv.

deuteronomic composition of the Books of Kings. In any case the Law under which the Jews lived till the time of Erra was the deuteronomic. Its influence is most apparent in the Book of 'Malachi.'

 But how long before 62t are we to seek for the origin of the Law-Book then discovered? Here we discern only the possibilities of an exact date, and they axtend over a century from Josiah back to Herekinh.

There are first the early years of Josiah's reign. In variance with 2 Kes axii., the Chronicler, 2 Chron, xxxiv, 1-8, states that Josiah, who had begun to seek after the God of his father David in the eighth year of his reign, began already in the twelfth year to purge Judah and Jerusalem from the high places and the AsherIm and the graven and molten images. But if this was so, what cause remained for the consternation of the King, which even the Chronicler imputes to him, on the discovery of the Book six years later? The story in 2 Kgs xxis, is more consistent, yet in view of Josiah's character and of the circumstances of the time, the previous dates on which the Chronicler fixes are significant. The cighth year of Joseph's reion was that of his adolescence, presumably also of the consecration of his strong will to the principles in which he had been trained, and the beginning of the influence that he undoubtedly exercised on his generation; while the twelfth year, 625 B.C., was the year of Ashurbanipal's death, which left [udab somewhat more free to manage her own affairs!. The memory of Manasseh's persecutions was such as to bind the ranks of the purer religion with the sense of their common dancer from heathenum and to further that combination of prophetic and prestly ideals on which Deuteronomy is based. Thus all the conditions were present for the preparation of its programme, and accordingly many fix the composition of the first form of the Book between 632 and

<sup>1</sup> See the present writer's formalom, it. pp. 201 ff., with references to Erlst, Dis Sicheristellung des Monothenmus i. 200-2011, Judah, p. 81 Cullen Sh. of the Cost. in Mosh, p. 17, and, 20 fa as the character of Josiah is concerned, Cornill, Das Buch forentia, pp. xiii, etc.

621 R.C. 1 But this brious the origin of Deuteronomy very close to its discovery in the Temple. Does it not also involve Hilkiah and his colleagues of the priesthood in the secret of its composition and introduction to the place where it was found? None of the persons concerned in the discovery appears to have doubted the antiquity of the Book. The straightforward carrative in 2 Kgs xxii, contains no feature from which to suspect Hilkiah's complicity; and Deuteronomy itself bears witness to the contrary. The Code seriously deminishes the rights of the Temple priests, for example by diverting from them to the poor of the provinces the tithes of every third year (xiv. 28 f.). Moreover Josiah failed to secure the admission of the rural Levites to the ministry of the altar at Jerusalem (2 Kgs axiii. 9), though this is enjoined in Deut. xviii. 6 f. Had Hilkiah and his colleagues been responsible for the form of Depteronomy found in the Temple, they would surely have framed this section of the Code differently. But that only raises another question. The Book is manifestly the result of an effort to combine prophetic and priestly principles; if this effort took place in the early years of Josiah why was Hilkiah left out of it?

Therefore other critics, building with Driver that the grounds for referring the composition of Deuteromoup to the reign of Joshak...ten not decisies, pair is farther back during Manassesh They than explain the anonymity of the Book, the author's deposit of it for safety in the Temple and the colivion from which It was recovered in 6x3. The Objection to that date in that Deuteromony alows no suggestion of softs, actions as that Deuteromony alows no suggestion of softs, actions to that Deuteromony alows no suggestion of softs, actions to that Deuteromony alows no suggestion of softs a softier back to be a soft of the soft o

De Wette, Reuss, Kuenen, Wellhausen, Cheyne, Stade, Addas, Holzinger, Marti-and virtualty Cornill and Bertholet.
So, besides Delver, Ewabl, Robettson Smith (Additional Association for Label, p. 78), Kittel, and Ryle (Conen of the U.T., pp. 546, 60).

Israel that is logal to Jehovsh is in authority, with power to into its power of the power in the possibility of the possibilit

Some therefore seek for the origins of Deuteronomy before Manusseh's time, and they find support in the agriculation of Josiah's reforms which is ascribed to Hexekiah (c. 725-685)1. We have seen that Hezekiah's measures must have been drastic? -for however short a time they endured-and that there is reason for including among them the destruction of the bigh places in Judah. For this powerful motives already existed and some precedents. King Asa (c. 013-821), besides destroying certain images and cults, concentrated is the Temple the holy things which he and his father had dedicated (1 Kes xv. 0-ts). Between his time and that of Abaz the influence of the Temple steadily increased, and must have been further cabanced on the fall of the Northern Kingdom with all her shrings in 720. and the concentration of the hopes of Israel upon Judah. But it was Isaiah who fully revealed the religious significance of Terusalem. Jehowah (these are his words) had founded Sian and had tended her growth as a vineyard for Himself. In spite of the vices of her people Sion was still His dwelling and Ariel, the altar hearth of God. The Temple was the place of the manifestation of His Holiness; and to the eyes of the

Above, p. xti. Whoskie | Activitative det A.T. 3rd ed. p. 223 | calls them 's thorough carrying through of Jahvian in its strict monotheastic agnificance, with a partial removal of other cults' t ep. Cut he, Gewh., p. 193.

<sup>Ou the difficult questions of this reign, including that of a single terms a double deliverance of Jerusalem, etc. he present write's Jerusalem, etc. h. vi. Hesckish and Sennscherik.

Above, p. xtii. Winckie | Jadinsakriften des A. T. 3rt ed. p. 221/calls them? it shorough carrying through of Jahvian in its strett monocally the street of the street</sup> 

prophet the whole City was wrapped in a supernatural glory). These are high sanctions for the measures ascribed to his ally the King. Unlike Jeremiah Isaiah does not denounce the high places; vet his visions of what Jerusaiem, in spile of her delinguancies, sliii stood for in the purpose of the Aimighty pointed the edministrators of his day only less obviously than they did those of feremiah's day to the concentration of the worship of Jehrovah upon the Temple. And his contemporary Micab predicts the destruction of israel's dillars and Asherim as of no more eccount than their graven Images, which with Iseiah he also condemns (v. to). These are good grounds for the credibility of Herekigh's reforms; and on these grounds as well as on the fect that the religious and ethical truths of Deuteronomy hed already been proclaimed by the prophets of the eighth century, many base their belief in the origin of the Book, or of some early form of it, during Hezekish's reign?. The objections taken to this conclusion are, that issuah does not condemn the high places; that no law is connected with Herekiab's reforms though his age was active in literary collection?; and that the

1 ferusalem, tt. ch. v. 'isasah's ferusalem.' Westball (Les Sources du Pent. 11 pp. 169-186 and The Law and the Propher, it. by Du Pontel, 1910, p. 3041; Oetth; Konig (Einl. p. 217), who fixes the date at 722 (7207), the full of the N. Kingdom, and points to Isalah's association with Useh the Priest; the present writer in The Critical Alexano, 1805, pp. 330ff.; Stonerougel (Dout. p. xiv), who deten the reforms soon efter the downfall of Samane and connects them with what he identifies as the earliest basis of the deat, Code. A more probable date is after 70x when Judah revolted from Assvira and before net whee the Rebshakeh tanneed the fews with Liesekish's removal of the alters of Jehroud and his confinement of the worship to the alter in lerusalem. But for this we might conceive of the reforms as still more probable after 701 when the annual of ferusalem was marvallously violated by her deliverance. I. E. McFadyen (Jutres), to the O. T. no. ss f.) finds in the reforms the first impulse to the legislation which afterwerds eppears in Deuteronomy, but 'the Rook in the maie was written is the reign of Manassch'; the 'more aggressive tons' of the PL sections he assigns to this reign, the passages of a milder tone to Liesekush's 2 Prov. 22v. 1; ep. Issish 222viii. q ff., 3 Chron 222, 1.

\* Prov. xxv. 1; ep. Italah xxxviii. g il., 3 Chron xx:



languaga of Deuteronomy is more akin to Jeremiah's than to that of leaish<sup>†</sup>.

that of season.

These, then, are the alternative possibilities for the date of the origin of Deuteronomy during the century before its discovery in 621. Each of the three regint, Heackidn's, Mananesh' of and Josiah's, offere reason and occasion for the composition of such a Book. But in the case of each there are difficulties. To the present writer the difficulties of the composition of the composition of the composition of such a Book.

Manasseh; but the truth le that we are without the meane of deciding definitely upon any one of the three.

Taking, however, the century as a whole, 720-621 B.C., it is clear that the conditions for the production of the essential parts of Deuteronomy were in existence throughout; and that the urgency of the measures which it enforces grew with every decade. Not only had the basal truths of Desteronomy-the Sovereignty, the absolute Justice, and the Love and Mercy of lehovah. Hie special relatione to Israel, their koliness and peculiar duties and destiny-been proclaimed by Amos, Hosea, Isaiah and Micah, but the accent, the tone and even some of the phrases which it employs to enforce these truths are the echo of theirs. The Book 'will certainly be beet understood when read after Hosea and Isaiah. This at any rote is its historical position....One can hardly fail to see the teaching of Hosea reflected in both these points'-Deuteronomy's emphasis on love as the true relation of men to God and of God to men, and the humanity which ite laws inculcates. There had also been long need in Jernel for that discrimination which Deutero-

Nicole (Erick p. 127) administrative. In Oct Trainment, p. 56. 118. An B. Davedine, "The Testing of the Bill Section (P. 100) 100 pt. 100 p

nomy draws between true and false prophets (viii. 1-4, xviii. 20 ff.); while its protests against trafficking with the dead (xvii), tt f.) had already been made by Isaiah (viii, 10 f.). The worship of the host of heaven, forbidden in Deut, (v. to, xvii. 3, of which there is no sign in Israel before Amos (v. 26), was introduced to Judah by Ahaz (2 Kgs xxiii, 12, cp. xvi. to ff., xvli. 16) and became lavish under Manageh (2 Kus xxi. 3, 5, cp. sxill. 4 f., 11 f.); similarly with the rite of passing children through the fire (Deut. vii. 3), xviii, q.f., 2 Kus xvl. 2, xvii. 17, xxi. 61. In short the whole century exhibits the conditions, the occasions, the minuted atmosphere of prophetic teaching and of heathen practice, with the heavy sense of a crisis between them?. In. on. and under which both the spirit and the matter of Deuteronomy imply that the Book was conceived and com-

There are other considerations. We have seen (66 2 and 3, especially pp. xvi ff.) that the retrospects in Deuteronomy are a selection with expansions from the narratives in I and E. Now these documents of the Pentateuch, though they have a common basis of date older than the Disruption of the Kingdom under Rehoboam (c. 970), were composed certainly after this event?, and probably not till the pinth or even the first half of the eighth century. The composition of the historical surveys in Deuteronomy must then have been later. It is very significant also that of all the three codes of Israel Devieronomy alone has a law of the King, and does not attribute to the chief priest the marks of royalty which P attributes to hime; this and the fact that Deuteronomy also alone has a law on the Prophet points clearly to a date under the Monarchy. And finally there is the e vidence of the siyle. This is not only free from archaismsexcept where primitive forms of words have been preferred because of their sonorousness—but 'in its rhetorical fulness and

posed.

On this see Jerusalim, tt. pp =63 f., with notes.

See Uhapman, Introd. to the Pent. (in this series), p. 126.

<sup>\*</sup> Hed. p. 182, note. 4 See above p. xxiv.

hreadth of diction [the style] impites a long development of the art of public oratory, and is not of a character to belong to the first age of Hebrew literature!.

In answer to this argument for the prioin of Deuteronomy in the eighth ar seventh centuries we are sometimes pointed to the andoubtedly ancient elements which the Book, and especially its Code, contains, (a) It is true that the Codes in JE from which many of the materials of the deuteronomic Code are derived are older than the pariativa portions of these documents; but as we have seen (p. xrii) them ir a great difference between the economic conditions which the laws of \$15 and of Deuteronomy respectively reflect-a difference that can be accounted for only by 'a considerable interval of time in which the social and political organisation of the community had materially developed and the Code of Exodus Ichs, xxi. - xair. El bad coased to be adequate to the nation's needs?. This difference is consuction both in the laws which Deuteronomy has arpanded or adapted from those of 1E, and in the laws which are peculiar to strelf-a.g. those on the King and the Prophet and of course those on the One Altar, and its consequences. (A) It is also true that the ancient character of some of the deuteronomic laws is proved by other evidence than that of 1E-for example the law on wisards and witches, xvisi, 11, cp. 1 Sam, xxviri, 3 for the true of Saul; and that required two witnesses, xix, 4x, ep. r Krs xxx, 10 for the time of Ahab-but these decide nothing against no eighth or a seventh ecotury date for the compiler of the Code, who may have derived them from an eather code or have been the first to reduce them to eminor. Take an justance which seems to be even more indicative of an early date for a denteronomic law than those just quoted. In a Kgs zrv. 6 f. if m recorded that in claying the assassins of his father, King Amatish (207-280) dld not also slay their children. The editor of the history (denteronomic best remembered) says that the King acted thus in obedience to the deuteronomic law, xxiv, 16, which is not found in the other codes. But we know that Amesich's merciful discrimination was an imposation upon the practice hitherto observed in such cases in Irrael: and it is peobable that the Deuteronomet was the first to articulate and codify itr principle on a standing law for the nation ". Sometimes it is by such personal examples that national laws arise, and if we knew more of the details of the history of Israel we mucht be able to identify lo the hamone code of Denteronomy other instances of the kinds. Laws with ruch an Drives, Deut. p. xivil; König (Earl. p. 217) points out some forms

of words (e.g. the femanine infinitives of strong weals) which do not belong to the earlier liferature.'

\* Delyes, Dest. p. xivi.

\* See Jersusten, it, pp. 1136.

• In Lex Messes (p. 29) Principal Dougles recognises how the legitlation expands as the history opens up, and notes Nu. xxvl. 33, xxvil. 1—11, axxvi. 1—11, Josh. xvil. 3—6, and the different laws on the Passover.





ong in are no less imposed than those which some popular based the vices of God utter derivately to be own social. But the joints before on as that, the first principle is the single principle in the intercentionic gold in a first find that the intercent of the control of the

was composed somewhere after the beginning of the relign of the Heartisk (275, 265, 201 and before the discovery of use form of I in 628 p.C. With no general a result we have to be content. To trace the Book to any particular deeded in that century is the beyond our power. To attempt to allocate it different forms to the successive deeded is to play with the data. Modern criticism that no glasses, telescopic or microspop, for so exact a vision. Three points, however, may be stand with some confidence.

First, It is probable that, If not the original form of Deuteronomy, yet some code or programme with similar aims came into being with Hezckish's reforms. Second, it is certain that if Deuteronomy, with its distinctive style, originated as early as the eighth century it remained unknown this the reign of Insiah, for not until his time is its influence clear upon other literature. The early prophets, Amos, Hosea, and the undisputed portions of Isalah, show no certain traces of this influence; Jeremiah exhibits marks of it on nearly every page: Exekiel and Deutero-Isaiah are also evidently influenced by it. If Deuferonomy were composed between Isamh and Jeremiah, these facts would be exactly accounted for!! And third, even if the Book was written in the early part of Josiah's reign there is (as we have seen) no avidence that the priest Hilkigh or his colleagues in the Temple had anything to do with its composition; while its contents afford not a little proof to the contrary. 1 Driver Door n whoi

Differs Dear p. ....

One other point must be repeated; it still hausts ut. Whatever the flook used to the prophes it did not one everything. The style is in own. The aphitual fruits of the past, the practical supercise of the present, the memories, passions and hopes of both, are all tuned to a new and original rhythm—the grit were cannot but believe of one man to the literature of his people! the He remains as unknown to us as the author of the Book of Joh or the great Exemption.

3. Deuternomy i.— wxx. nowhere claims to have been written by Moss's, and if the switener we have plast adduced for its date in the eighth or the swenth century Kc. be sound, I precioles on, of course, from scribing the Block to him. But in addition to the marks which these centuries have stamped so deeply on Deuternomy there are subter grave considerations against the Mosale authorship. For we have seen not only that the narrise in Deuternomy must be later than those in J. E. because on the whole they are based opport them; but that the revolucements and or interprets the same event to additionally the exclusion that the control imagine them to have been written by the same name, even thought into the composition of the order of the composition of the order.

Take the most critical of these differences—that on the amount and character of the Law promulgated on Sinal-Horeb (above pp. xx. f). How are we to concleve that the same writer—and he the chief human actor in that swid scene—composed hord accounts of it, that he could have said in one document, Deuteronomy (tv. 15, v. 2s), that only the Ten Commandments and ne more were siven to the sacoole from the Moont, but in

<sup>1</sup> Above, pp. xii l., xivi, xciv.
5 The only certain mention of the writing of a law or thrus by Moses is xaxi. 9: and Moses wrote this less. It occurs in a part of the

Book admatted, seen by conservative acholars, in have been compiled by the edition on the Pett, from several tousiers and the measing of this law is uncertain; probably it does not cover more than the Code. S While of coarse cannot be afforced, for the narrative of JE contiones through the Pentateuch to the death of Moter and beyond this first the Book, of Joshus.

another document E (Ex. saiv. 3-8) that it was not the Decampes that the desired code of Ex. sai. 3-8-saiis is, written and publicly read, which formed the basis of the Corenant at Sinal I, for the moment, Mores be assumed to have written or to have been responsible for Ex account he cannot that where then the author control is a said of the Execution of Execution 1. The distribution of Execution 1. The distribution is not removed by the acceptance of Kuencer's theory that the legislation Ex. sai.—saii. according to the distribution of Execution 1. The said the execution of Execution 1. The execution of Execution 1. The ex

To this declairy between the is health processary to add two other fluctuations of the Santa Control of the Santa

Once more we must appeal to the cardinal Law of Deutermony, confining searche in the One Altar. If Moves himself published that law to all I reard gathered in softem Assembly, published it is hist-hors and a one of the culminating points of his legislation, it is more than stronge that for five or its of history of the Company of the Company of the Section of the stronger of the Company of the Section of the One of the stronger of the Company of the Section of the One stretches of the New York One of the Section of the One stretches of such have you that one of the Section of the One stretches of such have you that one the centers you see peoplets and leaders in Israel, like Samuel, Solomon and Elijah, continued to build altars and to sacrifice at many places in the land under the liberal sanction of the code in LE (see above pp. x16.); while other prophets, like Amos, Hosea and Isaiah, though they expose the religious dangers of the bush places, nevertheless nowhere suggest that these be abolished or that Israel's sacrifices be confined to a single sanctuary. The history of Israel shows rather, that the depteronomic law of the One Altar was not prophetic but experimental-the fruit of an experience gradual yet at last so convincian that it replaced the good conscience with which the leaders of Israel built and sacrificed at many alture, according to immemorial practice and under the sanction of the ancient law in Exodus xxi 24, by a stronger conscience of the fatal dangers which that freedom involved to the spiritual elements of israel's religioa. So also does history in the Old Testament explain itself. The law of One Altar for the One God came into being only when, and because, it was at last seen-as the prophets of the eighth and seventh centuries gradually came to see-that ascrifices to Jehovah at many altars, some of them once the shunes of other gods, distracted His people's sense of His Unity, subverted their ancient loyalty to Him, and, by confusing Him with those deities and mingling their rives with His worship, correpted both religion and morality. In this bitter experience the law had its sources; its opportunities were the growing influence of the Temple to which His Ark had been brought, and the Assyrian destruction of nearly all other shows in the land.

After all this it is hardly necessary to refer to assess missed again the sub-distribution of an unbiased pair that shows. Among these I do predictionary of an authorisal pairs (as forms. Among these I do as the large and the state of the prices, for this coron only in title to the large and the state of the prices, for this coron only in title to the state of the prices of the state of

time to what had taken pince only a few weeks as combus before the speaker at made to see it. It ag of the taken god Shother delives, it a side speaker at made to see it. It ag of the taken god Shother delives, it as the same is the phase as ye create prick and of English place for events that has much in the phase as ye create prick and of English place for events that happened far on it is the phase as ye create prick and of English place with the same prespective of these places is hearily that of Moreis in Noshi, but self as the same prespective of these places is hearily that of Moreis in Noshi, but self as that age when the Aprily years (in it. A) were forestormed. On the same places of these places is hearily that of Moreis in Noshi, but self-understand places of Moreis but in these monetrist delet dismatle considerate growth or fall. To deep which it is and shower to part of the view of the same places to fall. To deep which is and shower to part of the order to be a support to fall. To deep which is and shower to part of the order to be a support to fall. To deep which is and shower to part of the order to be a support to fall. To deep which is and shower to part of the order to be a support to fall. To deep which is and shower to part of the order to the part of the order to be a support to fall. To deep which is and shower to part of the order to be a support to fall. To deep which is and shower to part of the order to be a support to fall. To deep which is and shower to the order to the or

The definition of an early date for Descreosomy appeal to in community of the on against to the Cassardie (b): 1—x, xx. 6 + 5-10 or to Annials (by: 7-1-4) is renormally as and finite in a reas of the clight which was to fine clight with the community of the community of the clight of the community of the clight of the community of the callete. But took these community, repeated from JF community of the callete. But took these community, repeated from JF community of the callete. But took these community, repeated from JF community of the callete of the ca

# § 12. Resulting Questions and their Answers. The evidence adduced for the use of Deuteronomy—adduced

The evidence and uccer for the lage of Deuternonny—and uccer from itself and other parts of the Old Testament—ranses some questions, the answers to which constitute the concluding task of this Introduction.

If the Book be so late a work, embodying in its legislation the

If the book he to lot a work, embodying in its rightston he most of latest to be a work, embodying in the result of latest to be a second of latest to be a subser not express themselves accordingly? Why did they not be a latest thereof; and—appealing (as Amos does) to Gots connote thereof; and—appealing (as Amos does) to Gots connote be revoluted to be in people since the planet them in the lead but Providence for It people since the planet them in the lead but for His Name that these results of His Providence and that the people since the planet them in the latest but for His Name that these results of His Providence since the second of the the second o

cxi

was Deuteronomy rather cast in the form of Discourses and a Code said to have been delivered before Israel had even begun the settled life, upon the experience of which the Code especially is based? Why did the authors, deriving their immediate inspiration from the prophets of the sighth century, go behind these and back to Mosss as the authority and the mouth of their doctrine?

We may answer at once that the form in which the Book is cast was not merely (a) usual under the literary custom, and (b) conditioned by the mental habit, of its age; but (c) is justified historically by the facts of Israel's prigin and earliest organisation under Moses, and by the persistence of his influence, both as Prophet and Lawgiver, down to the days of the authors. Of these considerations the first two need not look datam us ; the third, the historical, is the one of most importance.

(a) It has often been emphasised, and justly, that the form adopted for Deuteronomy-of making Moses the speaker throughout-was a literary form prevalent in ancient times and employed by other historians in the Old Testament. In the Books of Joshua, Kings and Chronicles speeches are quoted as if they were the very words of early leaders in Israel, which nevertheless betray their composition by the historian himself. through being in the same style as the narratives in which they occur and containing physics and even ideas that are distinctively late. This use of the dramatic imagination not only in the reproduction of history, but in the criticism of old truth and the presentation of new, finds its supreme illustration in the Book of lob. There are many instances in other literatures.

Driver, besides giving the instances just cited, refers to Plato, Dente, Shakaspears and Paradier Lest (Deed, p. Ivili). Cornsll sava: 'The sulfor only did what at historians have done, and to speak of his work as a literary fraud is out of the question; indeed it cannot be described even as pseudepigraphic' (Kiniettung 1q. 4). But this opinion is not sonfined to critics who agree with Graf and Wellhausen. It is virtually accepted by a scholar whose independent work is characterised by op-

<sup>3</sup> Driver, Deut. p. Ivili.

position to many of the positions of modern oxistoms, Professor James Confestons. He may be a second of the position of the modern of the modern of the position of the modern of the position of the position

(b) A deeper reason for the form of Deuteronomy is the unfamiliarity of the idea of development to the mind of the ancient East. That mind fixed upon results rather than processes, to the significance of which it has taken ages of research to awaken ourselves. Things, which we know came into being only gradually, appeared to early man-appeared indeed till recently to our own fathers-as the offspring of a word, of a moment. This was especially the way of the Semite, ever absolute in his thinking as in the expression of his thought. Just as he described physical phenomena, now known to be of long development, as having happened instantaneously, or as the first of Genesic puts it in a day; so similarly did be describe resulte that were religious or moral. Does he present the creation of the Universe os the act of the Word of God on seven successive daye? So also does be present Douteronomy, the fruit of centuries of the Spirit's influence on Isruel, as the utterance in one day of Moses. The Oriental finds it difficult to conceive of authority except as personal and immediate. Whether in his philosophy or in his politics he ignores secondary and gradual causes.

(c) But these literary and psychological reasons for the form of Deuteronomy are of minor importance to the historical once, Based, as it is, on the long experience of settled life in Canasa and sospired by the prophets of the eighth century, the Book has valid reasons in fact for going behind those prophets for the source of its principles and even behind I sraws' history in Canaan for the authority of its laws—and for finding that source and that authority in Moses himself.

In proof of this we have first of all certain general indications in the history of Israel immediately subsequent to their settlement. These all point to the fact that the years of Moses had been the creative period in the national history : that then the nation was made, that then the several tribes of which it was composed were drawn to each other because drawn and covenaoted to the same God. Their unity, which was sealed by the institution of the monarchy, was not like the latter, created after their settlement. On the contrary, as the Book of Judges and especially the Song of Deborah testify, their occupation of Canaan at first disintegrated a union previously achieved. The tribes became separated by the geographical divisions of their settlement and by the diverse directions of culture along which these attracted them. The one bond which prevailed over such distractions was a common feeling of duty to Jehovah; and thus community of faith-weakened by the physical and religious temptations of times of neace but always roused again by a call to war-they owed to Moses and to his conduct and discipline of them through the wilderness. Israel were one because they were Jehovah's people and Jehovah the God of all of their tribes; and this had come about through their first, and to the end their greatest leader. To all Israel's history nothing is more certain than that largel's unity was to begin with a religious unity and that Moses was its mediator

The reader will find confirmation of this argument in the reason given to this series by Di Delver, Endowing part 38, for believing that the distinctive character of Iteral's religion had been operative from the odition of the ratio on owned. "If the religion of the subsets also differed, in some distinctive feature, from the ordinary religions of satispairy, it is impossible to understand why, when the Introduce observed Canaon, and internalized, as to many cases they did internalized, with the outsire Connective, the was not increased and absorbed in their religion." He

DEUTERONOMY

n

quotes from Mr. Moutefore's Hubbert Lectures for 1801, no. 46 f. 1 the successful resistance to Canaanste polytheism, would surely not have been possible unless the Value whom Moses taught differed from the Canaante dettes, not only in his interest uninceness, but in his higher and more consistent athical character ... We are therefore entitled to doubt whether the exclusive worship of the extigent find would over have been ordained had there not tain in the original conception of Valwelt the " promise and notency" of the monotheism of Amon and Isaials." And in turn Mr Montefore quotes Professor Kamphausen: 'I recognise io the fact that the small number of largelites was not absorbed by the Canasauter, who were by far their augustion in all matters of external culture, a convincing proof of the ethical power of the Valwistic returion.

But again, the Propheta themselves pointed their deuteropomic disciples back to Moses. Amos delivers this message; I brought you up out of the land of Egypt and led you forty years through the wilderness, to possess the land of the Amorite (ii. 10). lebovah's knowledge of Israel, begun then, had been their distinction from other peoples, the secret of their individuality and of their present moral reaponability (iii. 16). Hosea puts it more vividly. He recalls the days of Israel's youth, when she came up out of Erypt, as a time of lovally to her first Husband. before the temptations of Canaan drew her away after her paramours the Baalim , and he conceives of her regeneration as possible only by a return to the conditions and atmosphere of the days of the wilderness (ch. it.). Or changing the figure he says that when Israel was a child Jehovah loved him and taught him to walk and took him in his arms (xi, 1-3). I am Jehovah thy God from the land of Egypt, thou knewest no God but Me. and beside Me there is no Saviour; I did know thee in the wilderness, in the land of great drought (xiii, 4 ff.). But the wealth of Canaan and its Baulim and graven images have drawn away the heart of the people (passim). Israel has forgotten his Maker (viii, 14). As Issiah says: The or buometh his owner. and the ass his master's crib : Israel doth not know, My bechie doth not consider (i. 3). But these are the very affections, the discroline, the warnings, which Deuterononiv makes Moses onforce in the Name of Jehovah. Does Hosea affirm that the one thing needful for Israel in Cunnan, if she is to be restored to ber

CXV

God, is that He should once more two hear, string her back into the back white mean and speak shows to her heart (it. 14.2). That is just what the Spirit, does in Deuteronomy. Honea's words to exactly fit the spirit, does in Deuteronomy. Honea's words the string the spirit, does in October 18.0 and the temper of this Gospel. Back to the wilderness days, back to the first worder and grace-order of God's choice and care of his people, back to the leyslay and not trust thus evoked, back to the discipline which kept them pure—back to the feed of Moses, has be doed and urreast the

So much in justification of the general aim and temper of the Book. Not very different is the case for the specific doctrines which Deuteronomy listening to the prophets hears the voice of Moses himself proclaim. The prophets do not profess that the doctrines which they bring to their generation are new!. Their burden is to recall and enforce the old : they give no new commandment but an old commandment which the people had from the beginning, when by a prophet Jehovah brought Israel out of Earth and by a troublet was he kept?. That Jehovah is the One and Only God for Israel, their Chooser, Redeemer, Father, Husband and Guide: that He is otter Righteourness and Love. that He requires these qualities from them towards Himself and towards one another: that He is the source of all law and authority in peace and war, the King and Judge of His people. and that their life as a nation lies in lovalty to Him and to the ethical truths He has revealed-such are the specific doctrines which the prophets tell their veneration they pught to have known but have forgottes. It cannot be denied that at least the substance of these doctrines had been first delivered by the prophet Moses in terms of the experience of the forty years of his leadership through the wilderness, or that Deuteronomy is therefore historically justified in putting them into his mouth as his last testament to his people in view of their immediate pessage

mentions Moses by name.

See above p. exili.

Å 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Till the prophets break into the Exile with the good news of Israel's restoration they do not use the phress new things for the contents of their message.
<sup>3</sup> Hoses xis. 13. It is singular that before Jeremiah no prophet

to new conditions that would sorely terror their faith and loyalty. But equally clear and equally justifiable is the fact that, in the light of God's subsequent Providence and especially of the teaching of the prophets the Book has much developed and expanded whatever expression Moses himself may have given to these doctrines. This is clear for instance in the emphasis which it lays on the love of God to man and of man to God as compared even with the utterances of Moses in JE1. Were it otherwise, the leading of the Divine Sprit since Moses died had been in vain. It is the duty of every scribe, who has been made a disciple to the Kingdom of Heaven to bring out of his treasure things new as well as old. This being understood, the ascription to Moses himself of the specific doctrines which Deuteronomy inculcates is amply vindicated from the history of the origins of Israel as interpreted, or implied, by the prophets of the eighth century.

But the Deuteronomists had before them credible witnesses to these origins other and earlier than the prophets. The retrospects of the wilderness which they not in the mouth of Moses are (so we have seen) based upon the parratives of I and E to Exodus and Numbers: documents of a date somewhere between David and the eighth century! Of the age of their sources we have no clear evidence. That these were partly written but mainly oral is apparent from the infrequency with which I and E refer to a written source 2; as well as from the differences between them in detail which are such as arise in the course of oral tradition. But whatever the date of their sources-and the tendency of recent criticism has been to increase the emphasis upon their antiquity-the general credibility of I and E cannot be derived. As Dr Driver says in this series\*, 'it is hypercritical to doubt that the outline of the parratives which have thus come down to us by two channels is historical.' They 'cannot but embody substantial elements of fact,' which 'cannot be called in question by a reasonable criticism.' He proceeds to state them: they are practically

<sup>See above pp. xxvi f.

E.g. Ex. xvii. 14, xxiv. 4.

Above p. civ.
Frades p. xliv.
Frades p. xliv.</sup> 

the same as those which we have even implied by the history of Iracel immediately obsequent to the settlement in Cansan; and they are all that is necessary to prove a sufficient basis of fact for the extraopert of Deuteronomy and the exhortationsearing from these. In particular the winner of J and E to Morse himself, to his influence on the people, and to the character and effects of the Divinc revetation which be brought to larsel, is indubitably strong and trautworthy.

There remain only the laws. The tradition in Israel that Moses was a Law-giver as well as a Prophet is 100 constant, too weighty and we may add too varied to leave us in doubt. The habit of a seribing to him every new code, however recent might be some of its contents, is in itself proof that he laid the basis of legielation for his people. But the tradition is confirmed by the facts that Israel received through him, at the very least, a new and a powerful impression of the Deity and in consequence their first national organisation. Events so eignal, so distinctive in the Semitic world, and-as we have seen from the early history of Isrcel in Canaan-so potential in religious and political results, cannot have happened without leaving in their own time some precipitate in the shape of statutes and judgements whether orel or written. Further, there are parts of the bodies of law in the Old Testament which offer no reason whatever against their origin under Moses. There is, as we shall eee, the original form of the Decalogue', and there are other instances in the codes of I and E. But for our present purpose it is best to leave the question of single instances of Mosaic tereth, and to follow these general considerations.

We will remember that of every code of national law two things are true—the high antiquity of its origine, the gradual development of its ultimate cootents and form. The codes of larsel are no exception.

In the first place much of the jurisprudence of the Old Testament is obviously even older than Moses. The tribes which

Google



came up out of Egypt and which he first welded together had already a considerable amount of consuctudinary law: of priociples and of practice—us both of what we distinguish as religious and civil law, but to them all law was religious-of immemorial origin. This is clear from the fact that some of the principles acknowledged in the Mosaic codes as well as many of the statutes and judgements are not peculiar to Israel, but common to all peoples of the Semitle stock. One example is the principle of life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, with the consequent tribal duty of the veudetta1, and measures for its control and regulation, attempts at which are universal in the Semitic world. There are the principles of communal responsihility for crimes committed in the communal territorys, and of the ethical solidarity of the family?. There are the principles of judicial procedure, for example the authority of the local or tribal elders-what we should call civil courts of the first instance -with an appeal on all barder cases to the Deity's representative either at a local sanctuary, or at some central and famous ones The god was ever regarded as the ultimate judge of his people. There are other instances of civil and criminal law common to Israel and her Semitic kindred to which attention will be called by the notes ou the test. But shove all there was the common system of sucrifice, with the observance of the same annual feasis, the same devotion of the first-born of men and cattles, and many identical or nearly identical forms of ritual and religious symbols. In virtue of their Semitic descent Israel had inherited all these. Moses did not creste them; and in this negative certainty we may find the espianation of the starting statement of some prophets-made, we must remember, before the sacrificial codes of P were formed-that God give no commandments to larged in the wilderness concerning hurnt offerings and sacrifices". As they came out of Egypt Israel practised the systam of sacrifice as well as of social justice and criminal law which

- Google



<sup>1</sup> See below on xix. s1, snd the note on p. 246. 2 P. s51. 2 Pp. sxxiv and 28. 4 Above p. ssxii. 3 See above p. xvvi.

exis

they had derived, and can have fittle modified, from the custom of their Semilie ascenters. But logo and lith at consentationary law there descended, to a degree unique in the Semilie world, the higher related inhurbaces of the revelation which God land aftered the Hebrew heritage of custom, law and rittal. We know that they did. The proof in clear from the parer and more harman forms which that heritage assumed to the light land of the seminary law of the seminary of the s

But, secondly, it is equally certain that Mosee did not complete the elevating and purifying process. By Israel's living faith in a living God this continued through the subsequent centuries. We have seen ite effects in the appearance of new and more humane lawe sometimee arieing from the example of individuals !: in the adaptation or expansion of older laws to curt new economic conditions?: in the wider and more thurough application of a moral principle as when it is extended, as it is frequently by Deuteronomy, from outward action to the region of thought and motive\*; and in laws abolishing rites or symbols, which had been used with a good conscience by earlier generations, but were now proved to be temptatione to worship the other gods, in whose honour they also served, and to confuse them with Jehovab. The real danger to the epiritual elements in His religion came from the ritual, so many points of which it shared with other culte. If the Deuteronomists did not about the ritual. as some of their teachers the prophets seem to have desired, they at least purified it of its worst features and brought its practice under control and eafeguard by confining it to one sanctuary.

For e list of lews common to JE and Deutermony see pp. xvii, txvii.
 Pp. cv f.; end the lews in which women are concerned.
 Pp. xvii end cv.

<sup>4</sup> On the developed ethics of Deut, see above pp, xxxii—xxxviii end on the toth Commandatent.
5 E.c. the pillars and Asherim and certain monining customs.

So doing they not only, as the following centuries proved, made it serve the doctrine of Jehovah's uoity as the only God for Israel, which there can be no doubt that Mosea proclaimed; but they also brought the ritual back round list Ark, and more nearly to the porer form it must have assumed in the conditions of the wilderness.

Hence the sincerty, the vitality, the power of the work of these reformers. Deuteromorp is a Mying and a divine book, because, the every other real reformation it is at once loyal to be a formation it is at once loyal to of all tradition, however ancient and sacred in origin, that in practice has become dangerous and corruptive; vijulant to the new perids and exigencies of faith and receptive of the fresh directions of the Wing Cod for their removal or consuses.

But that is not all nor nearly all. While so nobly serving its own age and establishing a discipline that with all its limitations -and indeed partly because of these-preserved and trained Israel for their mission to mankind, Deuteronomy gave utterance to truths which are always and everywhere sovereign :-- that God is One, and that man is wholly His, that it is He who finds us rather than we who find Him; that God is Righteousness and Faithfulness. Mercy and Love and that these also are what He requires from us towards Himself and one another; 'that His Will lies not in any unknown height but in the moral sphera known and understood by all' (xxx, 1r-14). Thus in the preparation for Jesus Christ Deuteronomy stands very high. Did. Ha not Himself attest the divine authority both of its doctrine and of its style by accepting its central Creed as the highest and ultimate law not for Israel only but for all mankind (Mark xii. 2ff- 10, Deut. vi. 4, 5)?

#### LIST OF THE PRINCIPAL ABBREVIATIONS EMPLOYED

- D. Deuteronomy, chs. i.—xxx. For reasons given in the Introduction, especially in Paragraphs 2, 3, 5—11, it has not been found possible to distinguish the various original editions from which the Book has been compiled.
- D.B. A Dictionary of the Bible, edited by James Hastings, D.D. (1898—1904).
- E. Blohist, the name given to one of the constituent documents of the Pentateuch.
- E.B. also Enc. Bibl. Encyclopacdia Biblica, edited by T. K. Cheyne, D.D., etc., and J. S. Black, LL.D. (1899—1903).
- E.T. English Translation.
  - Ethn. Ber. Ethnologischer Reisebericht, being It. ttt of Arabia Petraca, by Alois Musii (Vienna, 1908). Moab and Edom form Pts. 1 and 11 of this work.
  - Hex. Hexatench, i.e. Genesis to Joshna.
- HGHL. The Historical Geography of the Holy Land, by George Adam Smith (Seventh Thonsand 1897, and subsequent editions).
- I.P. An Introduction to the Pentateuch, by A. T. Chapman, M.A. (Cambridge, 1911, in this series).
- Js hwist or Jehovist, the name given to one of the constituent documents of the Pentsteuch.
- JE. The combination of J and E.
- KAT<sup>3</sup>. Die Keilunschriften und das Alte Testament, 3rd edition (1903), by H. Zimmern and H. Winckler.
- (1903), by H. Zimmern and H. Winckler.
  OTJC. The Old Testament in the Jewish Church, 2nd edition, revised and much enlarged (1802), by W. Robertson Smith.
- P. Priestly Writer or Writing, one of the constituent documents of the Pentatench.
  PEFO. Quarterly Statement of the Palestine Exploration Fund
- PEFQ. Quarterly Statement of the Palestine Exploration Fund founded in 1865. (London.) Pent. Pentatench.
- rene remareness

Pl. Passiges of Deuteronomy in the Plural form of addresssee Introduction, § 8.

Sam. Samaritan Text of Deuteronomy.

SBOT. The Sacred Books of the Old [and New] Testaments, a New English Translation, edited by Paul Haupt (1898 onwards).

Sg. Passages of Deuteronomy in the Singular form of address—see Introduction, § 8.

ZATW. Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wizzenschaft.

ZDPV. Zeitschrift des Deutschen Palaestina Vereins.

The principal works referred to are designated in full in the Introduction and the footnotes to it, or in the following Notes on the Text.

No maps accompany this volume; the reader is referred for

the geography relevant to Desirenously to the Adder of the Historical Geography of the Hely Land, designed and celled by George Adam Smith and prepared under the direction of J. G. Bartholouse (1943), and in particular to the following maps theren;—Nos, 7 and 8, Flagyrt and the Sinal Peninsuks'; 1 and 14; "Placetic Covergraphical"; and 29 and 39, Mondo Dend Sea.\* In the last the water-courses of Southern Moal Dend Sea.\* In the last of the surface surveys; and the names of most of the places mentioned in Dent. 1—III. have been interted.

# DEUTERONOMY

# THE FIFTH BOOK OF MOSES

COMMONEY CALLED

## DEUTERONOMY

THESE be the words which Moses spake unto all Israel 1 beyond Jordan in the wilderness, in the 'Arabah over

1 That is, the deep valley running North and South of the Dead Sea.

CH. I. 1-4. GENERAL TITLE TO THE WHOLE BOOK.

It dates the following words or discourses by Moses, as perond, i.e. li. of. Jordan, in the end of the fortieth year of the wanderings, after the smiting of Sthon and 'Og. Like some other titles in the Q.T. (e.g. Jer. i. 1-3) this is composite, as appears from (r) the various styles in which it is written, vv. 14 and 4 forming one sentence and marked by deuteronomic phrases, while s. a, a separate aentence in the middle of the other, is in the distinctive style of P (see I. P. pp. 58, 71, 204); and (a) the discrepancy between the locality stated in 14, beyond fordan (which is further defined by to 5 as the land of Moab and by iii. 29 etc. an the gai, or glen, opposite Beth-Pe'or, near the N.E. corner of the Dead Sea) and the localities in 16, 2, which, so far as they can be identified, lay in the region S. and S.W. of the Dead Sea. There are thus three successive strata in the Title: (a) 1 a, 4, entitling apparently all the discourses and legislation in the Bk of Deut.; (b) 3, probably added by either I' or a Priestly editor when Deut, was joined to the rest of the Pent.; and (c) 1 6, 2, best explained as a note or gloss erroneously transferred here from another place (see below). (a) and (b) together separate the 'Fifth Book of Moses' from its predecessor. Some indeed take 20. 1-4 as retrospective, understanding by the phrase, these be the words which Moses spake to all Israel, the sayings ascribed to him in Ex., Lev. and Num., and thus explain the apparent references in 16, 2 to the region of Israel's earlier wanderings. But this theory is precluded by the fact that the Bk of Num. closes with a retrospective statement and by the absence from Lev. and Num. of words of Moses connected with any of the localities named in 1 b.

1. all Israel] A designation of the people characteristic of D and deuteronomic writers. See on iv. 46.

beyond fordan] As is clear from v. 5 and elsewhere, the E. of Jordan is intended. The little was therefore written in W. Palestine. A.V. on this side fordan, is an impossible rendering of the Hebrew.

DEUTERONOMY



# against 1 Suph, between Paran, and Tophel, and Laban, and

1 Some ancient versions have, the Red Sea.

in the wilderness] Heh. midbar, properly pasture ground as distinct from arable; Jer. ii. 2, land not sown. The word, hardly applicable to the scene of Moses's discourse in Monb, is the usual term both for the wilderness E. of Moab and Edom (ii. 8, 26), and for the region of Israel's earlier wanderings before they crossed Edom (i. 10, 40, ii. 1, 7). In the latter lay some, if not all, of the following localities,

in the Arabak Heb. 'Arabah, dry or waste: (a) a synonym for midbar, both with the def. art. (Is. xl. 3), as here, and without (Is. XXXV. 1: Jes. ii. 6 etc.). But with the art, it is usually the name of (b) the great depression extending from the Gulf of 'Akabah purthwards to the Lebanons, of which the Dead Sea, the Sea of the 'Arabah (iv. 40). is the deepest portion; and again is more particularly applied both to (c) the stretch of the depression N. of that Sea, the Jordan valley (iii. 17; 2 Kgs xxv. 4), cp. the Plur. 'Arboth Monh, I's designation of Israel's last station before crossing Jordan, xxxiv, 1 (cp. Arbatta, 1 Mace. v. 23); and (d) the stretch of the depression S. of the Dead Sea, Each of these four meanings is possible here. Those who take the names in 16 as of places in the scene of Moses' discourse in the land of Monly point to (c) the application of the name "Arabah to the Jordan valley. As we shall see, however, those names indicate rather the region of Israel's earlier wanderings, before they crossed the S. of Edom, and this makes it more probable that 'Arabah here=the S. stretch of the depression; so the Sam. Bik'a, trench or valley. But (a) the general signification, wnonymous with middar, is not improbable here, and even more suitable to the localities in 16 than the other meanings are. To-day the name el 'Arabah is confined to the stretch of the depression S. of a line of elifs a few miles below the Dead Sea; while all to the N. is known as el-Ghor.

Suph! LXX 'the Red Sen,' but this in Heb, is always sea of Suph. Suph may have been a locality from which the Sea derived its name, the usual etymology which would render it sea of sedge being, though plansible, uncertain (see Enc. Bibl. 'Red Sea'). Suph cannot be Suphah of Num, xxi, 14 if as is probable this lay in S. Moals; while another modern place-name that has been proposed as identical, Nakh es Safa (on which see Musil Edom tt. 20), S.W. of the Dead Sea, corresponds with Suph neither phonetically nor from its situation.

between Paran ... and Di-zahabl All these places are uncertain. 'Paran cannot be the extensive desert of that name corresponding to the modern et Tih, but only the place after which this desert was named, cp. 1 Kgs xi. 18' (Dillm.). For Tophel, LXX Tophi, no modern place mme has been found: et Tafileh on cultivated soil in the N. of Mt Se'tr corresponds to it in neither spelling nor situation. Though Laban (milkwhite) and Hazeroth (folds) are names of such general signification that each may have been attached to more than one site, it is natural to identify Hazeroth, and Di-zahab. It is eleven days' journey from a Horeb by the way of mount Seir unto Kadesh barnea. And 3

them with the Libnah and [Percith of Num. xxxiii. 20, 17, strinos on Ismel's march between Horeb and Kadesh. On the W. el Hadharah and the 'Ain ei Hadharah, see Burchhardt, Trurcht, 494.f.; Wilsonder March of the Burch, 1252—60 [Kuliman M. K. 1, 27]. Display of the Charlest of the West Charlest of the Charlest of the

 It is denow days', etc.] The distance from the accepted position of Iloreb-Sinai to that of Katlesh, 'Ain Kudeis, is 'to or 11 days of common camel-riding' (C. Trimboll K. B. 71, 215): caravans with

children and flocks, like Israel's, would of course take longer.

Horsel Always in E, and Deut., as in 1 Kgs xix and Malachi, the name of the Mt of the Lawgiving, for which I and P have Singi. The attempt has been made to interpret the two names as of different sites; but the Biblical evidence for their identity is clear; as even so early a scholar as Jerome perceived (Onom. Sacr. ed. Lagarde, 146). This matter as well as the questions of the position of Sinai-Horeb (as between Jebel Musa and Jebel Serbal and between the Sinaitic Peninsula as a whole and the E. coast of the Gulf of 'Akabah or Mt Se'lr or the neighbourhood of Kadesh) has already been exhaustively discussed in this series (Driver, Exad. pp. 18, 177-191). It is, therefore, unnecessary to say anything more here; except to recall that the question as between the Sinartic Peninsula and some site faither N. appears to have been open in the time of the Crusades and of the Moslein geographers in the 14th century. Abu-l Fida c. 1321: 'the position of Tui Sind is the subject of discussion. Some say it is the mountain near Ailah fat the head of the Gulf of 'Akabah) and others that it is a mountain in Syria' ignored by G. le Strange, Palestine uniter the Moslems, 72 f.). The Chronicle d'Ernom et Bernard le Trésorier says, 'Cel Mons Synai est entre le Mar Rouge et le Crac (Kerak). See further ZDPV XXXVII, 100 ff.

by the way of mount Sur! Seth, the territory of Edum, lay W. as well as K. of the "Arabab fic. 44; p. C. Trambal fik. 48; a K. fi babl, Gesch, der Elboutter, 22 H.; but Mr Seth is in Dx (fit. 1) and elsewhere (e.g. čen. xiv. 6) the range E. of the 'Arabab. Thus the way of Mt Seth would be the most assterly of the roards from the Sinai Peninsula Kaleba, which passes through the 'Arabab. Further see Dillim.

Kadesh-barman] This form is peculiar to D, deuteronomic passages and P; elsewhere Kadesh stands alone: and we have besides 'En. Mishpat, Well of Independent (Gen. xiv. 7), and Meribath Kadesh (see

1-2

it came to pass in the fortieth year, in the eleventh month, on the first day of the month, that Moses spake unto the children of Israel, according unto all that the Loxto had given him in commandment unto them; after he had smitten Sihon the king of the Amorites, which dwelt in Hesbbon, and Og the king of Bashan, which dwelt in Ashtaroth, at

on xxxiii. 2). The accepted site, visited first by Seetzen in 1807, then by Rowlands in 1842 (Williams, Holy City, 1. 464 ff.), and described and argued for by Trumbull (Kad. Barn.), is the neighbourhood of the 'Aiu Kudeis (Seetzen's and Rowlands' spelling, confirmed by Musil) about 80 km, S.S.W. of Be'er-shela', but the name must have covered the still more fertile 'Ain Kadeyrat and the 'A. Kaseymeh. Musil, who visited 'Ain Kudeis thrice, doubts its identity with Kadesh (Edom 1, 212), and suggests a site farther N.; yet he admits there the most fertile landscapes in all the region, describes the wadies as either cultivated or full of relies of ancient cultivation, and even reports one more fertile than the plain about Gaza. See also PEFO, 1914, 64 ff.; LDPV. 1914. 7 ff. Burnes' has been explained as 'son' or 'desert, of wandering. But it may belong to the number of non-Semitic names found in this region (e.g. Gharandel). To a hill S.E. of 'Ain Kudeis, there is still attached the name Forni, which appears to be an echo of Barnea': the letter 'ayin is sometimes dropped in mod. Arabic-

The whole fragment, 18 and 2, thus obviously out of place where it stands, may have been originally a note to 1, 10, which its details, so far

as they are clear, suit.

3. Ind II came to part in the forticity poor, etc.] I' almos of the lites, documents dates by months and days (I, P. 88, 71); and is division of the year is not that which, beginning with the antunn, provided in early leand, but the Bully, division which began with the valied in early leand, to the Bully, division which began with the test of the least section of the least section of the least section of the grant provided in the least section of the least section and gather from Barnesh's narratives in the Bio of Jeromidon, it, they G. Another made, of I' is the term for elevated formulation, it is gift a least section of the lifeten of the least section of the least sect

the children of Israel] Another designation characteristic of P;

D all Israel. See on i. 1, iv. 44.

4. Sihon., and Og] See below on ii. 26—37, and iii. 1 ff.

at Edzel LXX Syr. and Vulg. have and in Edzei, as if 'Og reigned toere as well as at 'Asht roth Kamaim, but the Heb. indicating, though awkwardly, the scene of 'Og's defeat, is confirmed by the Sam.

Edrei: beyond fordan, in the land of Moab, began Moses 5 to declare this law, saying. The LORD our God spake unto 6

#### A. CH. I. 5-IV. 40. FIRST DISCOURSE AND INTRODUCTION TO THE LAW BOOK.

8. SPECIAL TITLE TO THE FIRST DISCOURSE OF MOSES.

Usually taken as the continuation of the general title to the Book, a and 4, this appears rather—note the reactition of the datum beroud fordin-to have been originally a special title to the following first discourse of Moses. Obviously written in W. Palestine. 5. in the land of Moab | So always in D as the place of this legisla-

tion, which P gives more exactly as the 'Arboth Moab, the sections of the 'Arabah in Monbite territory, just N. of the Dead Sea (1. P. 209). Except for some doubtful cases in later writers Moab is always the name of the people, not of their land. Sec Euc. Bibl. art. ' Moab.' In iii. 20, iv. 46 the scene of the lawgiving is more exactly defined as in the eni or hollow over against Beth-pe'or.

hegan Heb, ho'll is stronger : undertook, or set himself to (Gen. xviii. 27), or was pleased to (2 Kgs v. 23; 110. v. 11).

to declare] In the original sense of declare (Wright, Bible Word-Bk), make clear or distinct. The Helt beer, properly to dig or hew, is used of writing on stone (xxvil. 8), or tallets (Hab. ii. 2). Only here metaphorically, to explain or expound, as in post-Bibl. Hela, at to enerave in the mind of the people, .

this law! Heb, this Torah, on the various meanings of the term see I. P. App. vi.; Driver, Exodus, 162, 165. In which of these it is to be taken here is disputed. Dillin., after stating that in D 73rah is ilistinguished from Law proper, described as statutes and judgements, takes it here to mean instruction concerning law and instice. So Steuern, and Berth. But in the other 18 instances of the phrase this Tirah in D it is used of the deuteronomic code and indeed in iv. 8 is parallel to statutes and indoements. We may take it in the same sense here (so Driver), equivalent indeed to no mere catalogue of laws, but to laws with notes, exhortations, precedents and reminiscences. If that be the meaning of Torah in this title, it proves that the discourse to which the title is attached, i. 6-iv. 40, was originally designed as an introduction to the code xij-xxvi. But the terms of the title are more suitable to v. ff. in which discourse the actual exposition of the law begins. See further on iv. 44-40.

#### CR. I. 6--111. 29. HISTORICAL PART OF THE FIRST INTRODUCTORY DISCOURSE.

Spoken in the land of Moah (i. 5) in the gai or glen, over against Both Peter (iii, 20), a review of Israel's experiences since they left Horeb. In the Plur, form of address except for the following fragments i. (8), 11, 14.6, ii. 7, 14.6, 12, 39.04, 37.1. We shall see how far these are includable from the context, or give evidence of their Jater intrusion. There are, too, a number of parenthese, dealing with matters beyond the aim of the discourse: Large representation of the discourse of the context of the context of the context of the context of the property of

The following are the divisions:—(1): 6.—8, order to depart from lorob; (2) —9..., institution of Judges; (3): 10, journey to Kadell-Barnea; to which probably belong: 6, 2 (see above); (4): 20—25, unissin of the spice; (3): 25—24, convergent distalfection of the people; (6): 34—46, which and jodgement of (1od; (2): 41—46, detent of the attempt of 40, with and jodgement of (1od; (2): 41—46, detent of the attempt of 40, with and jodgement of (1od; (2): 41—46, detent of 1odgement of 40, detent of 40, det

The same stretch of history from Horeb to the Jordan is treated by E.E. Ex. xxiii. 1—12, and Nfin, x. 20 govennis; and by P from Nun. xii onwards. JE seems the basis of this deuteronomic review, even to the extent of supplying verhal details. But the review is not only written in a style peculiar to the deuteronomic writings; it adds some fiests not found in JE and differs from JE in it up recentation of others also the contract of the contra

### 6-8. THE COMMAND TO START PROM HORES FOR THE LAND.

Jeltowal spake: In Upreb ye have dwell enough [6]; break np and unarch to the Mt of the Amorities and the parts adjacent as far as the Eupharless [7]; I have act the land before you, enter and possess at Jeltowals ware to the fathers to give it to then and their seed [8]——[E. E. xexili. 1fi., narrates the order to depart to the land promised lips onto the the fathers; the promise of an angel to drive out the skx nation possessing it (probably a gloss, see Driver [8].) Jehovah's refusal to go with them; and His consent dater an argument by Moses (also held by with them; and His consent dater an argument by Moses (also held by

1 The Sing. in ii, gor (LXX Phr.) and even in ii. 19 may be due, as in in. 27, to the fact that the address is to Moses himself.



us in Horeb, saying, Ye have dwelt long enough in this mountain: turn you, and take your journey, and go to the 7 hill country of the Amorites, and ento all the places nigh

some to be editorial); and adds, Num. s. 2g-32 (J). Mose: appeal to Hobab to act as gor! to be host. The terms of the command differ from those in D.  $P_c$  in harmony with its account of the procedure on the march (Num. iv. 1g-3r), gives the signal of departure from Uoreb as the Hifting of the cloud above the Tabernacle, and dates it the soft day of the and nonth of the and year (Num. x. 1). The contrus between the spoken command in JR and D, and the physical signal in  $P_c$  is thankersiteit c more also the characteristical vexact black in  $P_c$ .

The LORD our God Heb. Jehovah, our God: contrary to the usual syntax (cp. the parallel in JE, Ex. xxxiii. 1), this divine name is placed emphatically at the beginning of the sentence, as the proper start and motive of the whole discourse; for this form and its variants thy God and your God are characteristic of the style of D. J. our God, 23 times in D always from Moses to his fellow Israelites with the intimate accent of a common affection, and only 7 times in the rest of the Pent.; /. thy God, addressed to Israel 230 times in D, and only 0 times in IE. (of which five are in additions to the Decalogue, Ex. xx. 2-12, and at least two in verses with other marks of the deuteronomic style), and only once in P (Lev. xxi. 8), though P has seven instances of somewhat variant forms; J. your God, 46 times in D, while in JE only in Pharaoh's speeches to Israel, but in P over 30 times, attached to priestly institutions and laws. The enormous predominance of these titles in D is significant of the ardent, confident religion of the Book. We seem to touch in them the heart of the writers. Nor can we forget the echo of their wonderful repetition in the hearts of the Jewish and Christian Churches. Probably no phrases in the O.T. have been more helpful to piety in all generations. See further introd. to ch, xxviii. Horebl Above, v. 2.

We have dwelt long enough in this mountain! Heb. the stay in this Mt is much, i.e. enough, for you: the same idiom in ii. 3, iii. 26, also

in P, Nnm. avi. 3, 7.

T. them you, and take your journey] Heb. turn you or fine, and receive by αnay, or muse on. The first of these two veries employed with a verb of motion is used only in D gain the editorial Num. xiv. xiy. of fresh starts of the winder people on their journey through the winder very through the winder very the control of the winder people on their journey through the winder very through the winder very through the winder very through the winder very the control of the very through the very through the winder very through the very through the

hill country of the Amoriter] Heb. Mount of the Amorite: as at the pre-ent day in Arabic, the singular mount is applied to a mountain-range. The range of Pal. W. of Jindan is meant, but especially its S.

<sup>1</sup> The same term, 'nyûn, is given to the scouts of Arab expeditions who seek out the ways, water and camping-places; 'Musil, Arabia Petruen, Ethn. Rev. 101, 376.

thereunto, in the Arabah, in the hill country, and in the lowland, and in the South, and by the sea shore, the land of the Canaanites, and Lebanon, as far as the great river, the 8 river Euphrates. Behold, I have set the land before you:

end (cp. v. 20). The name appears very early, for Kings of the 181 Dynasty in Babylon call themselves Kings of Amurra: a name which inscriptions found at Boghaz-Keni (Mitt. d. dentsch. Orient, Gesellschaft, Dec. 1907, 25 f.), prove to have extended to the Euphrates; but which the Tell-el-Amarna letters (about 1400 B.C.) confine to the hinterland of Phoenicia, in the N. of Palestine. Amerite, in D as in E. is the general name for all the tribes dispossessed by Israel; I has Canaanite. Winckler explains this from the origin of E in N. Israel where the Amorites had been in force; while I, writing in Judah where Israel had not fought the Amorites, knew nothing of them but assigned the whole land to the Canasnites, whose civilisation had been paramount on the eoast at the time of Israel's entry and who continued to form an antithesis to Israel (Gesch, Isr. 1. 53). If this argument were sound, then D's extension of the name Amorite to the S. of W. Palestine would be artificial. But Winckler himself recognises the ancient character of the tradition which calls Sthon an Amorite (op. cit. p. 52), and if the Amorites had penetrated to Moab, they had also, it is probable, extended their sovereignty as far S. on the W. of the Jordan.

and unto all... nigh thereunto] Heb. unto all its neighbours: the Arabah, i.e. N. of the Dead Sea (see on v. 1); the hill-country, such of the W. range as was not included under the Mt of the Amorite; the lowland, Heb. the Shephelah, the low or foot-hills between the range and the maritime plain (HGHL, 201 fl.); the South, Heb, the Nevel, the region to the S. of the range, which descends into the Negeb about Be'er Shelia': the sea shore, the maritime plain between the Shephelah and the Mediterranean, further defined as the land of the Canaanites, the deuteronomic writers limiting the Canaanites to the level 'Arabah and the maritime plain, just as the Tell-el-Amarra letters call the coast land Kinahi = Kena'an (so rightly Driver, while Dillm, and Steuern, take the phrase as covering all the land already defined); and Lebanon added to complete the land, ep. xi. 24, Jos. i. 4; as far as the great river, the river Euphrates, the ideal but never the actual limit of Israel's territory, cf. xi. 24. Lists of the divisions of the Promised Land similar to this occur in (probably editorial) passages of the Book of Jos. :-ix. 1, x. 40, xi. 2, 16, xii. 8.

B. Behold] Sg. but even if this reading be correct (Sam. and LXX read Pl.) it is meant as an interjection and is no proof of a change to the Sg. address. cp. iv. 5.

I have set...before you! Heb. given before you, given up to you; in this sense both of land and foe; eleven times in D, and not elevener in Heh.; in D nearly always with Sg.

go in and possess the land which the LORD sware unto your fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give unto them and to their seed after them. And I spake unto you 9

which the LORD marry] As the LORD Himself is the speaker, we ungit perhaps to read with LXX and Sam, which I marr. Yet their reading may be a correction of the original, which in that case would be a yrapption of the carlesousce of the writer in an stastaining the siluntion is found in JE (Sen. xxii. 16), especially in the phrases, meare that learning the standard from an and faced (Sen. xxii. 16), especially in the phrases, meare that charbadam, Imac, and faced (Sen. xxii. 16), the plather or to them (Num. xi. 13, xvi. 16, xxii. 17), then and they father (Ex. xxii. 11). Used in 15 appeals cathe [1, xxii. 11]. Used in 15 appeals cathe [1, xxii. 12], xxii. 13, xxii. 14, xxii. 14, xxii. 14, xxii. 15, xxii. 16], xxii. 16].

## 9-18. THE INSTITUTION OF TRIBAL HEADS (JUDGES?).

At that time, Moses, declaring his inability to bear alone the greatly increased people (q-12), bade them choose men, wise, understanding, and known, according to their tribes, that he might make them heads over them (13). The people approved (14). Moses took such men (the text becomes obscure) and set them in graded ranks (15). At that time, too, he charged the judges to be patient and impartial, for their judgement was God's; the harder cases to be brought to himself (16 f.). And he also charged the people (18).—The parallel passages are two: (a) E, Ex. xviii. 12-26: before arrival at Sinai, lethro advised Moses, as unable to bear the people alone, to reserve himself for them Goxlward and to provide men of power and troth, fearing God and hating unjust gain, to judge the people, but to bring the greater eases to him: Moses agreed and chose such; (b) JE?, Num. xi. 14. 16 f., 24 b-30: Moses, confessing to God his inability to bear the people alone, was charged to choose seventy elders, who should receive the some spirit as he, to hear the people with him. With these two passages this section, besides showing some verbal coincidences (see 96, 12, 15, 176) and correspondences (13a, 18), agrees as to the motive for the new appointments, Moses' inability to bear Israel alone, the lay character of the appointed, their grading in ranks, and the division of cases between them and Moses (these last two absent from Num. xi.). The differences of substance are three. On that of date see on v. o. In Ex. xviii Jethro starts the proposal, here Moses, in Num. xi the Deity on the prayer of Moses. In Ex. and Num. Moses selects, here the people. On the apparent, but unreal, difference on the qualifications for the posts see on v. o. There are also differences of language: here the forms of words, turns of rhythm and phrases, are all characteristic of D. In P there is no parallel: P throughout assigns judicial functions to the priests (cf. D. xvii, 11), but mentions certain nest'im, chiefs of the

at that time, saying, I am not able to bear you myself alone:
10 the LORD your God hath multiplied you, and, behold, ye
11 are this day as the stars of heaven for multitude. The LORD,

1) are this day as the stars of heaven for multitude. The LORD, the God of your fathers, make you a thousand times so many more as ye are, and bless you, as he hath promised 20 you! How can I myself alone bear your cumbrance, and

clan, called to the Diet, who attend Moses and Aaron to hear petitions, and who represent Israel in foreign engagements.

and who represent Israel in foreign engagements.

9. at that time! As the syman implies this means when or after
the command was given to depart from [Jorch]; while in Ex, the
inclusion of colleagues for Moses, E., Ex, avil, in T. E., contract softwe
more distant perspective (Introd. § 11); or as Dillim, suggests (Introd.
Baccon JES St. 12, 41) the author of D found the passage in [E. p. 161. The
discrepancy is of no importance. The other difference, the absence
from D of Jethro's initiative as related in [E. may be due to the
summary nature it its review (Dillim); yet the possibility of intentional
consiston cannot be excluded in view of the prevalent confinement of
the interes in D to Jorael alone. Berth, (p. 4) redeemtly points to the
first the property of the property of the property of the property of the
interior in D to Jorael alone. Berth, (p. 4) redeemtly points to the
interior in D to Jorael alone. Berth, (p. 4) redeemtly points to the
interior in D to Jorael alone. Berth, (p. 4) redeemtly points to the
interior in D to Jorael alone. Berth, (p. 4) great and the diseas,
is extinously enumgi families of the disease of the property of the pr

I am not able to bear you myself alone] More fully in E. Num. xi. 14. I am not able. I myself alone, to bear all this people, for it is to heavy for me; similarly E. Ex. xviil. 18 (Jethro to Moses), the thing is to heavy for thee, then art not able to do it alone.

10. the LORD your God | See on t. 6.

as the stars in heaven] So x. 22, xxviii. 62; and Gen. xxii, 17, xxvi. 4; Ex. xxviii. 13, in contexts that otherwise betray the editorial hand. It is one of the many hyperboles in D and is not found in the parallel E. Ex. xviii.

11. This verse is even more characteristic of the deuteronomic style. The LORD, the God of your fathers occurs indeed twice in £E; but each or with variants seven times in D. As he promised, Heb. thak, to you occurs in D 14 or 14 times.

12. How This emphatic Heb, form is found in the Pent, only here, vii. 17, xii. 30, xviii, 21, (xxxii, 30).

can I myself alone bear \ See on v. q.

your combrance, and your burden, and your strift] Better the walph, the burden, and the sittle of you. Weight op 1s. i. i., they are a veright upon me, I am weary of bearing. Is the use of the word here an echo of beath? The Helse, beard is not found elsewhere in the O.T. Burden or carriage, ep. I, Nun. xi. i., the burden of all this pople upon me, and cp. Nrife; the Helse the bis used in JE of quarries houst wells.

your burden, and your strife? Take you wise men, and I understanding, and known, according to your tribes, and I will make them heads over you. And ye answered me, and 14 said, The thing which thou hast spoken is good for us to do. So I took the heads of your tribes, wise men, and known, 15 and made them heads over you, expains of thousands, and captains of nudreds, and captains of markets, and captains of

and other physical struggles; but also of law-disputes, and of Isrnel's contentiousness with Moses and God (E, Ex. xvii. 2, 7; J, Num. xx. 3; P, Num. xx. 13; and in the Song, Dt. xxxiii. 3). In D four times for law-plan. Here it is either the people's litigiousness among themselves or their frequent contentions with Moses and God.

Take you! Heb. Give yourselves: Jos. xviii. 4. The people themselves are to elect as in xvi. 18, consistently with the emphasis, so frequent in D, on the judicial responsibilities of the whole people. in

E, Ex. xviii. 25 (cp. Num. xi. 16), Moses chooses.

wire men, and understanding, and knowe) With the LNX some take the last term as sponsymous with the others; either reading as in the Heb. the pass, part, experienced, or the act, part, knowing. The pass, part, is parhaps the better, but an meaning known: men reputed for their judicial gifts, as among the Araba to-lay. While here emphasis is laid on intellecting gifts, which however, in D always include the moral; E. F.x. aviii. 1, more definitely expresses the latter; many the part of the passing the moral of the passing the passing the moral. E. F.x. aviii. 1, more definitely expresses the latter; maintain some constitutions.

according to your tribet] E, Ex. xviii. 21, 25; out of, all the people, all brace. E and D use skebet for tribe, but P's neal term is malfish, make then keeds over you! Rather, set them as your chiefs.

10. the hands of your tribes? LNX, from you, either represents the original liebs resulting or is the tilt kranslards cancellation of a difficult text. On the ground that the present Heb. restings conflicts with until the confidence of the con

captains of thousands, hundreds, fifter, tens]. Captains, Heb. suring, So. F. Kz. xviii. 31, 32. But neither there on here is the measuring clear. Under the monarchy there were military surine of thousands, lundreds, and fifties (1 San. viii. 12, xviii. 81, San. xviii. 13 Kg. hundreds, and fifties (1 San. viii. 12, xviii. 81, San. xviii. 13 Kg. viii. 13 Kg. viii. 14 Kg. viii. 14 Kg. viii. 15 Kg. viii. 16 Kg. viii. 16

16 tens, and officers, according to your tribes. And I charged your judges at that time, saying, Hear the causes between your brethren, and judge righteously between a man and his

and is it meant, here and in Ex. xviii., that the popularly elected heads took such military titles on their appointment? Or were these military ranks first instituted under the monarchy, when an organised national army took the place of the old tribal levies, and have the writers of E. and D (cp. P, Num. xxxi. 14, 28) merely reflected this institution of their own times back on the period of the wandering? Or are we to hold with Steuernagel that although Ex, xviii, 13-26 deals throughout with the institution of judges this deuteronomic review, 27, 9-15, narrates the appointment not of judges but of military and administrative officers and that we reach the judges only in v. 16, where their title first occurs and where a new paragraph is indicated by the recurrence of the formula, and at that time? In support of his view, Stenernagel alleges that only intellectual qualities are required for the officers dealt with in vv. 9-rs, while in Ex. xviii. 13 ff., where judges are intended throughont, the requirements are moral. But this point we have already answered above on v. 13. Further Steuernagel's explanation neither solves the difficulty in Ex. xviii. 13 ff. (E) where the equation of military titles with the indicial posts is certain; nor meets the fact that this ilenteronomic review is based on Ex. xviii. 13 ff., and if it had meant to itiffer from the latter on so substantial a point it would certainly have indicated the difference explicitly. None of the explanations is satisfactory. The evidence that even under Muses the tribal institutions were welded into a national organisation is frequent and probable; and that main fact may be held, even if we allow, as equally probable, that E and D reflected back upon it the military titles of their nwn day,

and afform! Heb. ship\*ria, with the original meaning either of rangers, requanters (to Dr. infer Nöldeke, eiting Ar. apirar\* to rule': a hook, twrite, and after 'line' or 'row'. ep. Heb. mishfar, Job xaxwiii, 33), or owiers (Ass.) Anderby 'write'. Both meanings are attached to the owiers (Ass.) Anderby 'write'. Both meanings are attached to the afford the ship of the ship of

according to your tribes] So Heb. and Sam.; LXX rols kpirals

υμῶν, to your judges, which Berth, emends to judge you.
 judges Unless the previous emendation he accepted the term

judges appears here for the first time in the passage.

Hear...and judge righteously] The two indispensables: patient, equal hearing, and impartial decision.

your brethren Your fellow-Israelites.

brother, and the stranger that is with him. Ye shall not 17 respect persons in judgement; ye shall hear the small and the great alike; ye shall not be afraid of the face of man; for the judgement is God's: and the cause that is too hard

the stronger that is with kind. It is Ge or sojourner, any nonlaredite who leaving his own kin settles under the protection of an Israelite family or individual; it distinction from the 'erad' or bornlaredite (Jos. viii. 33). The Art. equivalents are for and pirils. See W. R. Smith, OT/C<sup>2</sup>, 34 m., and Ref. Sour. 75 ff. In E the Ge<sup>2</sup> is not to be wranged, Ex. sail. 13, vaii. 9, and to have rest on the iterated here, xiiv. 17, xxxiii. 19, it not be oppressed, xxiiv. 14, but therefore, xiiv. 17, xxxiii. 19, it not be oppressed, xxiiv. 14, but tierated here, xxiiv. 17, xxxiii. 19, it not be oppressed, xxiiv. 14, but tierated here, xxiiv. 17, xxxiii. 19, it not not on the babbath, v. 14; enter the evenants, xxii. 1; and keep the Law, xxxii. 2; only he is to have freedom in means forbidden to Israel, xiiv. 11; if Israel persists in sin the different treatment of the Ge<sup>2</sup> in P is noced.

17. expect person? Hesh recognize or regard, pay unite attention, factor or presences, whence our fidion "respect of persons," in a had sense. In Pent. only here and xxi. 19. A Heb. syncopyn is to HR the does of person, x, 17, LNN, daughter sphoreus, N.T. rephoreur hambers, to accept the person of, Gal. ii. 6; Lk. xx. 11. The command of to expect persons is next explained as hearing after, or aqually, small xxiii. 18, k, and 18 xm. xviii. 18), the face of any man. Cy. xxi. 19, and initiatered...immusculate, unaspotted, and unsusspected. There is no uninistered...immusculate, unaspotted, and unsusspected. There is no human being whose smile or favour can start the pulse of an English judge upon the Bench, or nove by one had's breadth the even equiposite of the scales of pintsice, Lund Bowen's Life. 132. In Ex. xxiii. 3 (IE).

the phrase is neither shalt thou favour ([il. adorn).

for the indepensat is God's]. In early Israel as among the normal Araks to-day, there was a final appeal from the tribal or local judge to some immediate representative of the Deity with the Araks the greater to be the property of the property of the property of the property force the inferior tribund. But blosses would have the lower judge, feel that they also are God's representatives: at every stage judgment is Ilis. This emphasis is out given in K everyth in connection with the decrees of Moses himself, Ex. xviii. 15 f. The expression of it here is department of the uniford like.

the cause that is too hard for you] E, Ex. xviii. 26. In xvii. 8 the same is expressed differently; and from vix. 16 ff, we see that the hardness of a case might arise from the character of the evidence, as

well as from the principle involved in it.

- 18 for you ye shall bring unto me, and I will hear it. And I commanded you at that time all the things which ye should do.
- 19 And we journeyed from Horeb, and went through all that great and terrible wilderness which ye saw, by the way to the hill country of the Amorites, as the Lord our God com-20 manded us; and we came to Kadesh-barnea. And I said
  - 18. And I commanded you] A summary reference to all the instructions given at [Ibreb: cp. E, Ex. xviii. 20, xxiv. 3, 7 etc.

### 19. FROM HOREB TO KADESH-BARNEA'.

A vey Initia account, indinating only the beginning and the end of the much with the character of the wilderness between, and the further by an, the M tof the Amoriter but it is possible that ver.  $t_0$ ,  $t_0$ ,  $t_0$ ,  $t_0$ , were originally an addition or note to this—The account of this sarch in  $|I_2|$ . Non. x. 33—xxi: 16, includes the start from the Mt of followsh, the formulas recticed on the lifting and the residing of the Arts, the disaffection of the people on the lack of field, the insulation of  $\tau_0$  edders, the grant of field and its fatility, the presumptuousness of Mirans and Aaron, the exchangement in the wilderness of Parasa. Three stages are namely to also in the  $t_0$  to the start from Station on the  $\tau_0$ 0 of the rail month of the rail  $\tau_0$ 1 and  $\tau_0$ 2 are states that the guiding cloud settled in the valledness of Parasa, and adds the order of the host, Yam. x. 17—28.

19. And we journeyed Rather broke up or set unt, A.V. departed. Heb. max was originally to full up the tent-pegs, break came, but came to cover the journey that expect, to march by stages (Gen. xii. 19, xxxv. 21). That the earlier meaning is intended here is clear from the following verb.

the following v

that great and terrible wilderness; viii. 18. This was much the most desolate tract of the wilderness crossed by Israel. See Palmer on the Desert of el-Tila (Desert of the Exadus), 284—288, and Musil, Edom. Kadesh-barnea; See above on v. 2.

## 20-25. Title Mission of the Spies.

Arrived at the Mt of the Amories, promised them by God, and charlest to invade it f(x), the people proposed that gains be sent furward to explore (x). Mose coasened and took twelve men (x), we have let the value of T-bbbb and brought tack of its fault, asping, be when the other control of the control of the control of the control analysis of which into |E| and P see Chapman, Introd. to the Paul. (68 ft), in this series, and p. Ory, Her., and G. B. Gray in the Crit. Com. To |E| are generally assigned two  $x \mid p h = 1d$ ,  $y \mid x \mid p h = 1d$ ,  $y \mid x \mid p h = 1d$ ,  $y \mid x \mid p h = 1d$ . unto you. Ye are come unto the hill country of the Amorites, which the LORD our God giveth unto us. Behold, the LORD 21 thy God hath set the land before thee: go up, take possession, as the LORD, the God of thy fathers, bath spoken unto thee; fear not, neither be dismayed. And ye came near 22 unto me every one of you, and said, Let us send men before

Kadesh is probably broken off; it is implied in 26. As it stands all that JE tells us is that the spies started after Israel had reached the wilderness of Paran, Num. xii, 16, while Kadesh was in the wilderness of Sin to the N. of that of Paran. They were to go up by the Negeb, still intervening between them and the Mt of the Amorite, to see the land, its dwellers, their manner of life, and the fruits. Thus they came to Hebron where were sons of 'Anak and himight back from the vale of 'Eshkol some fruit to Kadesh, reporting the land to be good, but the people strong and their cities fenced and great. It is clear that the deuteronomic review is a summary of this account. I's narrative, Num. xiu. 1-17 a, 21 b, 18, 26 a differs from IE and D both in its language and in several details of facts for which see below. For full proof of the dependence of D on JE and D's ignorance of P, see Chapman, I, P. 90-92, 94 f. Ye are come unto the hill-country of the Amorites | See on v. 7.

If Kndesh be 'Ain Kudeis, the Negeb still lay between Israel and the Mt of the Amorite as I, Num. viii. 17 6, 22, correctly notices. The onission here is due to the summary character of the review, and has no bearing on the position of Karlesh.

giveth] Heh, giving with the force of is about to give: followed by ground or land, it forms a phrase pecuhar to D. See on i. 8. 21. Behold, the LORD thy God, etc.] The first of the passages,

scuttered throughout this discourse, in the Sg. form of address. The LXX has indeed the PL but apparently in order to harmonise with the context; the Sg. is confirmed by the Sam. Moreover the expression fear thou not neither be dismayed (al-thra' we'al tehath) is always found with the Sg. address, while the Pl. has for the same idea draid ye not neither fear ye (lo-ta'arsin w'lo-tirun), e.g. v. 29, xxxi. 6. Further the contents of the verse, though not otherwise exhibiting marks of separateness from the context, are not indispensable as a connection between 27, 20 and 22. It is probable, therefore, that the verse is a later insertion, to make that connection clearer and more exact.

22, And ye came near unto me, and said The proposal to send spies is here attributed to the people, Moses consenting (see next verse). In P. Num. xiii. 1 f., it is a divine command. There is no discrepancy of fact; but the difference of standpoint in describing the fact is instructive, and ought to be noticed along with other instances in I) of the people's initiative. JE has nothing on the origin of the mission of the spies; but the beginning of its narrative of the episode is broken us, that they may search the land for us, and bring us word again of the way by which we must go up, and the cities 23 unto which we shall come. And the thing pleased me well: 24 and I took twelve men of you, one man for every tribe: and they turned and went up into the mountain, and came unto

25 the valley of Eshcol, and spied it out. And they took of the fruit of the land in their hands, and brought it down unto us, and brought us word again, and said, it is a good

(see above). This is one of four facts given in D of which no notice is found in JE; the other three are also given in P; (1) that the spies were twelve, i. 23; Num. xiii. 2; (3) that those who went down to Egypt with Jacob were seventy, x. 22; Gen. xlvi. 27; Ex. i. 5; (3) that the ark was of acacia wood, x. 3; Ex. xxv. 10. See Introd. § 3.

that they may search] Heb. haphar, lit. to dig; to explore, only here and Jos. u. 2 f.; JE has see and P uses the verb tilr, to go about, travel

uither for spying or for trading.

the land) JE, Num. xiii. 18 ii.; land and people; P, Num. xiii. 2 land of Canaan.

the way ... and the cities ] J. Num. xiii. 19, what cities they dwell in, whether in eamps or strongholds.

 and I look twelve men of you ] So P, Num. xiii. 2b-16, adding their names. JE does not give their number but may originally have lone so: see on v. 22.

tribe] Heb. shebef; see on v. 13.

24. and they turned ] See on v. 7.

the monutain) The Mt of the Amorite: see on v. 7. So JE, Num. xiii. 17, but it adds through the Negeb; see on v. 20.

the volley of Eichorff LXX ødgøvg Börgow, 'ravne of he chuster'; but Ileb. madel is he Ar. widty, a valley with a waiter-stream, Giz gupidpion, Ital. finmara. Heb. eichd' is the Ar. 'tithdi (weakenet
from 'lifdd' with initial 'ayin,' a cluster of dates, core or pain-branch will
clusters, and means a cluster of dates, Cant. vii. 8, or of grapes as
the course leewhere only in P. Nam. xxxii. 9; but in Gen. xiv. 13, 'a as
the uame of a person, the brother of Manre the Amorite at Hebron.
The neighbourhood of Helmon is ferile with nameous springs, and the
vine flourishes there. Beseleker (sth ed. 134) reports to the N.W. a
Wady Iskaini. Walle JE and Dake the spise no further than Hebron,
The Mark of the Committee of the Committee of the World' of the Committee of t

20. And they took of the fruit of the land in their hands] Sunmary of E, Num. xii. 23, 266; a branch with one cluster (eshkol) of grapes... pomegranates and fies, ..., and showed them the fruit of the land.

a good land ] J, Num. xiii. 27 f., surely it flows with milk and

land which the Lose our God giveth unto us. Yet ye 26 would not go up, but rebelled against the commandment of honey, and this it its fruit; but the people are strong, the cities found and great, etc. P. Nom. xii. 33: they brought up an exit again of pine of the Passibles a good Popert to Johnson and Kaleb.

#### 26-33. THE DISAFFECTION OF THE PEOPLE.

Israel defied the command to go up (26), murmuring that in hate God had brought them from Egypt, to be destroyed by the Amorite (27). quoting the spies that the people of the land were taller with fenced cities, and the 'Anakim were there (18). Moses exhorted them not to fear, Jehovah would fight for them (29 ff.). But they persisted in unbelief (32), though God had never failed to guide them (33).- In the parallel account which is compiled from IE and P the few IE fragments. Num. xiii. 30 f., 33, xiv. 16, 3 f., 8, 96, imply the people's disquietute at the spies' report and state that Caleb quieted them, but the other spies controllicted, affirming that the giant 'Anakim (J), the Nephflim (E), were in the land. The people wept, Why floth Tehovali bring us to this hand to fall by the sword? were it not better to return to Egypt under another captain? Someone (Caleb?) exhorted them not to fear, lehovah is with us .- P, Nunt. xiii. 32, xiv. 1a, 2, 5, 9a, 10a, states that on the evil report of the spies, that the land was hungry and the men of great stature, the congregation murnipred is different term from that in the denteronomic review) against Moses and Aaron, Would God we had died in the wilderness! Moses and Anron fell prostrate, while Joshua and Caleli rent their clothes and afficmed the land to he exceeding good. But the congregation hade stone them.

Thus all three accounts agree on the main facts: (1) that the spies were ilivided in reporting (any variations as to this are merely of emphasis), (2) that the people refused to go up from fear of the taller peoples of the land; (3) that they neurmined against God (so even P, Num. viv. 27), (4) that they were exhorted to faith, and still disbelieved. The differences are -IE mentions only Caleb as argent to go on, P Caleb and Joshua, the deuteronomic review neither, though the writer had those in mind as appears from the next section; He reports the proposal to return to Kovut. P only a wish to die in the desert: P alone mer tions the proposal of stuning. - Each writer, as elsewhere, uses his own style, our passage being full of characteristic fleuteronomic physics. But its main distinction is its religious spirit. Summarising the JE narrative, with a few verbal coincidences, it finely indicates the mural character of the people's disaffection-opposing to their fears founded on a few mon's reports their own long and ludubitable experience of their God's unfailing providence.

26. ye would not! A phrase found seven times in D against three in the rest of the Pent.

rebelled, etc.] Heb, defeed the mouth of: another ilenteronomic parase.

Determined the mouth of: another ilenteronomic parase.

27 the LORD your God: and ye murmured in your tents, and said. Because the LORD hated us, he hath brought us forth out of the land of Egypt, to deliver us into the hand of the 28 Amorites, to destroy us. Whither are we going up? our brethren have made our heart to melt, saying, The people is greater and taller than we; the cities are great and fenced

27. and we untrattred [ Heb. ragan, not elsewhere in Pent. P uses a different verb.

in your tents] Transposing two consonants Geiger reads against your God. This change is unnecessary. Discontent with a report, originally suggested by the people themselves, and discontent that shaped itself (according to JE) to the demand for another leader,

would at first be uttered in private.

Because the LORD hated us! To this extreme of unbelief and ingratitude were the people driven by the report of a few among themselves, in spite of their long experience of God's leading. The passage is eloquent of the fickleness with which a people will suffer the lessons of its past-facts of Providence it has proved and lived upon-to be overthrown by the opinion of a few 'experts' as to a still untried situation ! To which the answer is memorable-Be the facts as the 'experts' assert. do ye try the situation and prove that God will be with you there as He has been with you before. to deliver us into the hand of ] A phrase frequent in D: 9 times, +10

in deuteronomic passages in los,, against 5 times in IE.

the Amorites | Sec on v. 7.

to destroy us | Another phrase so characteristic of D that in its active and pass, forms it occurs 28 times in the Bk + 5 in deuteronomic passages

in Jos, against 4 or 5 times in all the rest of the Hexateuch. 28. Whither are we coine up? That is, to what kind of a land or a fate? In the Hex. the Heb. prep is used only of place by JE and D,

only of time by P. made our heart to melt ] In the Hex. the phrase either thus or with the intrans, form of the verb is found only here, xx. 8, and in the

deuteronomic Jos. ii. 11, v. r. greater and taller | Sam. and LXX greater and more immerous, J. Num. xiii. 28, 31, strong ... stronger than we; E id. 33, we were in

our own sight as grasshoppers; P, id. 31, men of great stature.

cities | So Sam .: LXX and cities.

'great and fenced up to heaven] So ix. 1; J. Num. xiii. 28, fenced, very great. The presumably pre-Israelite walls of two cities have been excavated: Lachish (Bliss, A Mound of Many Cities, 27 ff.) and Gezer (Macalister, Bible Side Lights from ... Gezer, 141 ff.). Each is about 14 ft thick; the latter (a little later than 1450 B.C.) still in parts from 10 to 14 ft. high 'can hardly be regarded as much more than the underground foundations.' If, as is usually reckoned, the thickness was up to heaven; and moreover we have seen the sons of the

from \( \) to \( \frac{1}{2} \) of the height this wall was from \( 2 \) to \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \hat{h} \), its impressiveness increased by the scarps and slopes from which it rose and by the towers that crowned it. Sellin has laid bare in Jericho a 'cyclopean' outer stone wall \( \frac{1}{2} \) m. \( (16^2 \) ft), crowned by \( \frac{1}{2} \) hrick wall \( 2 \) m. thick and \( 6 \) or \( 8 \) in \( (16 \) to \( 26 \) ft is high. So that \( \frac{1}{2} \) ft is the height at which

hirds fly, is hardly an exaggeration.

Emerging from the desert, Isana were satetled by two face which
Emerging from the desert, Isana were satetled by two face which
Emerging from the desert shades are a substantial of the satisfier of the settled in abitions. No Arab enters without fear a walled city
for the first then, nor willingly posses the inglish there. Egyptian bareliefs and paintings distinguish the ampler figures of settled Syrians
from the least and meagure desert Arabs. To-day, as the present writer
from the least and meagure desert Arabs. To-day, as the present writer
the two classes. Cp. Burton (Phyrimage to At Mediumh and
Messa, II. 83, men. ed.) on the short stature of the Arabs of the Higaz.
The cause of this is the difference in usuriment (Doughty, Ar. Du.
Soms it one of many indication that they belonged to the normal or

Amh type of Semite. So far we are in the region of fact. sons of the Anakipil Heb, without the art, as in ix, 24; but sous of the 'A. ii. 11; sons of 'Auak, ix. 26; J. Num. xiii. 28, children of (yalide, Scot. 'bairns') the 'duak; cp. 22, 33. Both forms in Jos. The Ar. 'anaka is 'to overtop,' 'unk, 'neck,' and in plur. 'outstanding men,' a'nak, 'long-necked,' 'tall' ('anka, a mythical beast, Wellh. Ratte, 158, 216). In Jos. xv. 13, xxii. 11 (P or edit.) Anak has become the name of the ancestor of the Anakini (cp. LXX mother-city of the 'A., which shows how the personification grose). The root still occurs in place names 'Ain 'Enek, S. of Ma'an, and Jebel 'Eneik, S. of 'Aiu Kudeis, due perhaps to the shape of the ground. E. Num. xiii. 33, has there we have seen the Nobilim (to which an edit, hand has added sons of 'Auak which come from the N.) who in Gen. vi. 4 are said to be sprung from the sons of God and daughters of men, mighty men (LXX giants) of old, men of renown, LXX also render N. Giauts, and Nephila was the Aram. name for Orion, Giant par excellence. A note, ii. 11 (below), connects the 'Anakim with another racial name, R'pha'im, of whom 'Og, of the great sarcophagus, was one of the last, iii. 11. R. is also the name in later Heb. literature for shades or ghosts of the dead, as if flaccid or powerless. Applied to an aboriginal race of giants (cp. the allied collective form The Raphah, 2 Sam, xxi, r6) it may have meant either the axhausted and vanishing or the shadowy race, or perhaps limp and flaccid, in derision of the notorious flabbiness of monstrously tall men. LXX render R. by giants or Titans (Gen. xiv. 5; 2 Sam. v. 15, etc.).

NOTE ON THE GIANTS. The O.T. associates this vanishing race of giants with the neighbourbood of Hebron and the E. of Jordan, where structures of buge stones abound, and individual giants are said to have

2-2

29 Anakim there. Then I said unto you, Dread not, neither 30 be afraid of them. The LORD your God who goeth before you, he shall fight for you, according to all that he did for

lived in the time of David. The latter notices are perfectly credible; single giants being then as possible as they have been at all other periods. The present writer saw in the asylum at Asfuriyeh a Syrian of unusual height, who was born with six fingers on each hand like the giant in a Sam. xxi. 20. But the question of gigantic races in primitive ages vanishing before historic man must be judged in the light of the following. First, stories of such giant races are universal, e.g. among the Babylonians (Jeremias, Das A.T. im Lichte des alten Orients, 76, 120 f., 359), Phoenicians (Eusebius, Praep. Evang. 1. 10 from Philo Bybl.), Greeks (the stories of Titans and Cyclopes), the nations of N. Europe, modern Arabs and Syrians (Thomson, Land and Book, 586 f.; Doughty, Ar. Des. t. 22). Second, many of these traditions are associated with remains of cyclopean masonry, and have obviously arisen in order to account for these, the giant races being nearly always described as builders; moreover the glanta are generally derived by birth from the gods. Third, though stories have been current from time to time of the discovery of monstrous human skeletons and bones, e g. Plutarch, Pliny and even as late as Buffon, yet where it has been possible to test these the hones have been recognised as those of elephants, mastodons, etc.; while the discovered remains of pre-historic man show generally a stature under the average; this is also true of Mr Macalister's finds of pre-Semitle remains in Gezer (the sole exception seems to be the average of the Cro-Magnon remains and this is only s.830 feet). Fourth, the Hebrew tradition of a giant race exhibits the features already noted in such stories elsewhere; the race has disappeared, its memory is connected with cyclopean remains, it is said to have descended from the union of divine and human beings. These marks, along with the mythical names given to the race, Nephilim and Repha Im, make it clear that, like its analogies among other peoples, Israel's tradition of a primitive race of giants is horrowed from an imaginative folk-lore.

29. Dread not, neither be afraid} See on v. 21. Num. xiv. 9 has only the second verb and in a less emphatic form. Neither be afraid

(lo-ta'arsûn) not elsewhere in prose. But see xxxi. 6.

30. whe geeth kefore you! Itch emphatically, the geer before you is 4k, found only in D as here or with slight differences, i. 33, xa 4, xaxi. 6, 8; 1, Ex. xiii. 21, has the same part, without the def. art, and then the plant of feet §2, Ex. xiv. 19, the angel of Got going before the easy. It is in such differences of style as well. It is a such differences of style as well. in the distinction of D consists. See Driver on Ex. xiii. 13 and xiv.

he shall fight for you! Cp. JE, Ex. xiv. 14, and these deuteronomic passages: Ex, xiv. 24: Dent. iii, 22; Jos. x. 14h, 42, xxiii.

3, 10,

you in Egypt before your eyes; and in the wilderness, where a thou hast seen how that the LORD thy God bare thee, as a man doth bear his son, in all the way that ye went, until ye came unto this place. Yet 'in this thing ye did not believe at the LORD your God, who went before you in the way, to 33 seek you out a place to pitch your tents in, in fire by night, to shew you he what way ye should go, and in the cloud by

### Or, for all this thing

before your eyes? LXX omit. Cp. iv. 6, 34, vi. 22, iv. 17, xxv. 3, 9, xxviii. 31, xxix. 1, xxxi. 7, xxxiv. 121, Jos. x. 12, xxiv. 17. Here Moses insists that the people must prefer their experience of God to the reports of the spies about a situation not yet reached. See v. 27.

33. the wildernast, where them hart seen show that...(by God bare thee). The second of the Sex passages in this discourse. If we omit it the rest of the verse in the PL address follows suitably on the initial conjunction: and in all the way ye worst multiple counts to this Place. Possibly, therefore, the Sg. clause is a later insertion (so Stark, Stevern, Berth). Yet it may be append has the author has himself naturally changed the promise of the start of the start

Providence is frequent in the C.T., whether with the accompanying simile, at a man kit son, i. 4, will. 5; cp. 10c. si. 1; c) or with another, on eaglest wings, xxxii. 1; Ex xix. 4 (both [E]) or with no didition, 40c. si. 4; Ex xix. 4, bill, 5; or as implied in other words xxxii. 13, he mode kins to ride; xxxiii. 7; madermanth are the exetating arms. Isailah xivi contrasts the dead tools that need to be carried with the living God who carries His people. The same tleas, that religion is not what we have to carry last what carries us, is enforced nowhere more finely than in D in which faith in God to the contrast of the contrast

nuto this place] iii. 29, the valley over against Beth-Pe'or. Cp. ix. 7, xi. 5, and with a different peepos. xxix. 6.

32. Yet in this thing! Rather, in spite of this word. 19, 20—21.

32. Fet in this thing! Rather, in apite of this word, vo. 29-31. ye did not believe! Heb. ye were not believing (participle), i.e. ye continued, or persisted, not to believe.

33. who went before you! See on v. 30, and cp. Ex. xiii. 11. to 100 by 100 cm. 20, and cp. Ex. xiii. 11. to 100 by 100 cm. 20 cm. 20

34 day. And the LORD heard the voice of your words, and was 34-40. God's ANGER AND INDERMENTS.

Provoked by the people's words (34) God swore none should see the good land (35) but Kaleb, son of Yephunneh; because he had fully followed Jehovalt, to him and his children it should be given (36). Even with Moses was God angry for the people's sake, saying, Thou shalt not come in thither (37); Joshua shall lead Israel to their heritage (38); and the people's children possess it (39). Those addressed must turn back into the wilderness towards the Red Sea (40).4-The parallel account, Num. xiv. 10a-39, is divided (somewhat precariously) between JE and P. In JE, ev. 11-24, 31 (?) Jehovah asks how long the people are to despise Him. He will smite and disinherit them, making of Moses himself a greater nation. Moses argues that other peoples will then say Jehovah is unable to carry Israel to the Land; and pleads Ifis revealed mercy. Ichovah pardons, yet decrees that all who have seen His power but have not obeyed shall perish; only Kaleb who hath fully followed and his seed shall possess it, also the people's little ones shall be brought in. In P. vv. 10a, 26-30. 32-30a, the divine glory descends on the tent of meeting and Jehovah asks how long He is to bear with this evil congregation whose murmuring He has heard. All from 20 years old and nowards shall perish except Kaleh and Joshua. This sentence is then expanded, and the spies who have brought an evil report are struck with the pestilence.

All these accounts agree in attributing to the people's unbelief, after the report of the spies, a sentence of death on the ailult generation, characteristically defined by P. The differences are (1) the usual distinctions of language (see notes below); (2) D and P omit Moses' argument given by JE; I' substitutes the descent of the glory of God; (a) IE and D except Kaleh from the doom. P Kaleb and Joshua (but an addition to D vv. 37, 38 also excepts Joshua); (4) P alone (as usual) associates Aaron with Moses; (5) the addition to D extends God's anger to Moses for the people's sake; JE, on the contrary, declares God will make of Moses a greater people; while I' (see on v. 37) attributes Moses' exclusion from the land to his own six on an occasion 37 years after the present episode. Part of the analysis of Num. xiv. being precarious and the integrity of Deut, i. 36-30 being doubtful we cannot say whether these differences of fact are reconcilable. Yet their coincidence with the distinctions of style and religious feeling among the three documents cannot be ignored; and the probability remains that here as elsewhere we have more or less independent traditions of the same event. Since Calvin, who in his harmony of the four last Bks of the Pent. removes Deut. i. 37, 38 from its context to a connection with Num. xx. 1-13, the explanation has been offered that the denteronomic passage is not chronological; but even this arbitrary act of literary criticism does not meet the difficulty of the statement that Jehovah was angry with Moses for the people's sake.

34. the voice of your words) So v. 28 and not elsewhere.

wroth, and sware, saying, Surely there shall not one of these 35 men of this evil generation see the good land, which I sware to give unto your fathers, save Caleb the son of Jephunneh, 36

34. and was wroth] Heb. wasyriksoph, ix. 19 and twice in P, but not elsewhere of God in Pent. The causative form to provoke God only in ix. 7 fo. 12.

and sware] See on v. 8.

35. of this evil generation] Omit of; the clause being in apposition to these men. It is not in the LXX and is generally taken as a later evplanation that these men are not merely the spies but the whole alult generation (Diller). Whether a gloss or not the explanation is correct.

the good land] JE, Num. xiv. 23; Ex. iii. 8, a good land; cp. Num. xiii. 19, whether good or bad; P, Num. xiv. 7, a very, wery good land. Contrast the frequency of the phrase in D and deuteronomic passages, iii. 25, iv. 21 f., vi. 18, viii. 7, 10, ix. 6, xi. 17; 10s. xxiii. 16: a good

toll, Jos. xxiii. 13, 15.

36. save] Heb. zhlathi, in the Hex. only here, iv. 12 and Jos.

Caleb the son of fephunneh] In the O.T. Kaleb-probably mean ing dog (as from a tribal totem, W. R. Smith, Kinship, 200, 219), though other meanings have been suggested 1-is the name both of an individual and of a tribe, as among other Semites; Nabatean Kalba (Cooke, N. Sem. Inser. 237); Arab. Kilab (Wellh. Reste, 176 f., 217) and cl-Kleib, a small tribe (Musil, Ar. Petr. ttl. 120 (.). In HE frequently Kalebalone (Num. xiii. 30, xiv. 24; Jos. xv. 14, 16f.); those passages in IE in which he is called 10n of Yahunneh<sup>2</sup> are usually regarded as editorial, but it would be rash to say that the name of his father was not already found in IE by the deuteronomists. In D and P Kaleb the son of Y phunuch (Num. xiii. 6, xiv. 6, xxxii. 12, xxxiv. 19). According to J, Jos. xv. 17 (= Judg. i. 13) Kaleb was the brother of Kenaz (the sons of Kenaz were Eilomite, Gen. xxxvi. 11, 15, 42) and is called the Kenizzite in secondary passages of JE, Jos. viv. 6, 13 f., which also explain along with Jos. xv. 13 how Joshua gave him Hebron in fulfilment of Moses' promise to him. In David's time the clan was still distinct from Judah or at least the memory of its original distinction was then preserved, I Sam. xxx. 14. Yet according to P, Num. xiii. 6, xiv. 6, xxxiv. 19, Kaleb the spy was already of the tribe of Judah, and so the tribe or its ancestor is reckoned by the genealogies. I Chron. ii. 9, 18 ff., 42 ff., iv. 15. This history of the

<sup>1</sup> Sayce (Early Hist. of Hobr. 164) points out that is the Tell-el-Amaria letters and later Assyr, despatches knilm, 'dog,' is used of the king's officers; but surely this to a term of humility; Hommel (Geogr. n. Geach. d. alt. Orients) identifies Kaleb with Kalabu (Kalibu) 'prients.'

<sup>2</sup> He (God?) is turned: cp. Palmyreue Ithpani, Cooke, p. 276.

he shall see it; and to him will I give the land that he hash trodden upon, and to his children: because he hath wholly 37 followed the Lorn. Also the Lorn was angry with me for 38 your sakes, saying. Thou also shall not go in thisher: Joshua the son of Nun, which standeth before thee, he shall go in thither: concurage thou him; for he shall cause I snuel to

name proves that the tradition held Kaleb the spy and Kaleb the ancestor of the trifle to have been the same. Yet it is possible that there was more than one possessor of so general a name; in connection with which, notice that neither fa E, Num. xiii. I., nor in D is Kaleh described as a Kenizzite or indeed as anything but an I-vneilte.

to him will I give the land... and to his children] JE Num, xiv, 24, his seed shall passess it.

that he half tredden upon] JF, Num. xiv. 24, whereinto he went. to harmony with its more elevated style uses the choicer and more expressive word, xi. 24 f.; Jos. 1, 3, xiv. 9 (Driver).

heranse | Hels. ya'an "sher, JE. in consequence of, 'ekch.

hath whally followed the LORD! Heb hath fulfilled after Jehovah. John the speaker, we expect rather after me, as in Num, xiv. 24; and so doubtless it was originally here 'ah'rai, the last letter of which has been mistaken by a scribe for the initial of Jehovah. Sam, and LXX, after Jehoveh.

37. Also the Louis was augry with one for your sakes! The Hebs order is more emphatic, also with one was fobroak augry—aid/d-manghi, peculiar in the Pent. to D, and to its passages in the Pl. address, here, v. 11, ix, 8, 10-for your sakes, he/salkess. So in different terms iii. 50, nost augry, yith obber, for your sakes, Puno'ant'hem; and iv. 21, Alth'annagh and al distribution.

Thon also shall not go in thither] Heb. even thou or for thy part thou, etc. 38. Johna the son of Nun] So iii. 28; P, Num. xxvii. 18 ff.; not.

given in JE.

which standeth before thee] x. 8; so a servant stood before his lord, a courtier before his king, and the Levites before Jehovah. JE, Ex.

xxiv. 13 f., the minister of Moses.

encourage thou him] lit. him make thou strong. The vb hiccel, alone as here, or with the synonymous vb 'immer ili. 28; or in their intransitive forms xxxi. 6, 7, 23. Cp. xi. 8, xii. 23 (be firm).

cause...to inherif] characteristic of D: used of Joshua here, ili. 28, xxxi, F; Jos. i. 6; but of God xil. to, xix. 3. Outside D only in Jer. ili. 18, xii. 14; Ezek. xlvi, 18 and later writers. P uses, another form of the vb, Nu. xxxiv. 29; Josh. xiii. 33, xiv. 1, xix. 51.

Further Note to zv. 36-38. Because Moses has just been described as seeking to turn the people from their stn, 29 ft., and it is therefore unreasonable to include him in their punishment; because inherit it. Moreover your little ones, which ye said should 39 be a prey, and your children, which this day have no knowledge of good or evil, they shall go in thither, and unto them will I give it, and they shall possess it. But as for you, turn 40

7 v. 37 and 38 needlessly anticipate iii. 26, 28 and iv. 21; and because v. 39 in whole or part follows suitably on z. 36; therefore zv. 37 and 38 are taken by many (Dillm., W. R. Smith, Steuern., Berth, etc.) asa later addition to the text. And indeed the beginning of re 39 shows that the original has been disturbed by an editorial hand (see below). Steuern, would also omit v. 36 on the ground that Kalch has not been previously mentioned in this survey. But Kaleb is mentioned in JE on which this survey otherwise depends. In whatever way these textual questions may be decided, the parallel passages iii. 26 ff. and iv. 21 confirm the fact of a D tradition or statement that Jehovan was angry with Moses for the people's sake. This can only mean, their guilt was great enough to include the very leader who had done his best to dissuade them from their disaffection! Now neither JE nor P gives any hint of so remarkable a judgement. On the contrary, I' accounts for the exclusion of Moses by his own sin in striking the rock at Kadesh at years after this disaffection of Israel, Num. xxi. 10 ff., xxvii. 13 f.; Deut. xxxii. 50 f. The most reasonable explanation of such discrepancies is that they are discrepancies not of fact but on opinion. The earliest tradition, JE, merely held the facts that Kaleb survived and that Moses died on the eve of the possession of the Promised Land. The problem, which arose from this contrast of fortune, the deuteronomic writers solved by the statement that Moses was included in the guilt of the people when, startled by the report of the spies, they refused to invade Canaan from the S. in the second year of the wandering; and this agrees with the denteronomic principle of the ethical solidarity of Israel. But the later priestly writer or writers, under the influence of the idea, first emphasized in the time of Jeremiah and Ezekiel (Jer. xxxi. 29 f., Ezek. xviil.), that every man died hocause of his own sin, found a solution for the problem in Moses' own guilt in presumptuously striking the rock at Kadesh, 37 years later. In this double engagement, from two different standpoints, with so difficult a problem, note the strong evidence that the survival of Kaleb and the death of Moses before Israel's entrance to the Land were regarded as irremoveable elements of the early tradition.

39. Moreover your little ones, which ye said should be a prey! Tautologous with the rest of the verse and wanning in the LNN; therefore probably an editorial addition from Num. xv. x1.

who this day have no knowledge of good or evil] Who are not of a responsible age, fixed by the more exact P at 20 years and over, Num. xiv. 19. Sam, omits.

40. turn...take your journey] See on v. 7 and v. 9.

you, and take your journey into the wilderness by the way 41 to the Red Sea. Then ye answered and said unto me, We have sinned against the Loxn, we will go up and fight, according to all that the Loxn our God commanded us. And ye girded on every man his weapons of war, and 'were forward

girded on every man his weapons of war, and 'were forward 42 to go up into the mountain. And the Loans said unto me, Say unto them, Go not up, neither fight; for I am not among 43 you; lest ye be smitten before your enemies. So I spake

unto you, and ye hearkened not; but ye rebelled against the commandment of the LORD, and were presumptuous, and

## 1 Or, deemed it a light thing

by the way to the Red Sea] in the direction of; no definite road is meant. They are ordered back into the wilderness, when already on the verge of the good land.

41. We have sinued against the LORD] Sam. and LXX add our

God: cp. JE, Nitm. xiv. 406, we have sinued.

we will go up and fight] we, we will go up, etc. We ourselves, the doomed generation, and not leave the advance to our children.

E. Behold us, we will go up.

and were forward to go up deemed it a light thing to go up (R.V. marg.). The verb (tahthun) does not occur elsewhere in the O.T. and ancient translators gave it various meanings. In Ar. the same root is 'to be slight' or 'light' (see on v. 43); the causative Heb. form is best rendered made light of. This quick revulsion of popular feeling is true to life and admirably depicted. The change was too facile to be real. It is remarkable how alike Hosea and the authors of D are in their attitude to such ethical phenomena. As Hosea declares of his generation (v. 15 ff.), so the generation of Moses does not appreciate how deep is its evil disposition; and, therefore, its repentance is futile. Mere enthusiasm is no atonement for guilt. Men cannot run away from their moral unworthiness on hursts of feeling. The next verse tells that God rejected the light-minded offer; and the truth underlies both verses that He did not do so arbitrarily. Lack of the sense of the seriousness of obedience, of the difficulty of doing God's will, of the agony which Christ supremely felt, is as great a sin as the refusal to obey, Both are equally proof of unworthiness to work with God.. He can do nothing with such shallow natures.

42. Say unto them, Go not up, for I am not among you ] IE,

Num. xiv. 42. See previous note. lest ye be smitten, etc.] IE, Num. xiv. 42.

43. rebelled] See on v. 26.

and were presumptuous] Heb. boiled over, acted impulsively and with passion or rebelliously, xvii. 2, xviii. 20.

wefit up into the mountain. And the Amorites, which 44 dwell in that mountain, came out against you, and chased you, as bees do, and beat you down in Seir, even unto Hormah. And ye returned and wept before the Loxp; but 45 the Loxp. hearkened not to your voice, nor gave ear unto

the Amorites] So D characteristically (see above on v-7) mass the peoples whom J. Num. siv. 45, calls Amalekites and Canaanites.

as bees do] Swarming in their multitudes; cp. ls. vii. 18; Ps. cxviii. 12; Hiad, tl. 87 fl., 'As when the tribes of througing bees issue from some hollow tock.'

in Seir | Seir, the frequent name of the territory of Edom, extended to the W. as well as to the K. of the 'Arabah; and if that he here intended Israel's defeat took place on Edomite soil; Sam. 'in Gelala' (Gebal being a late post-exilic name for the N. part of Edom's territory on the E. of the 'Arabah, Ps. lxxxiii. 8: see 'Land of Edom' by the present writer in Expositor, seventh series, vol. Vt. pp. 331, 515). LXX and other versions read from Se'lr, which on such a reading would be a definite district in the N. whence Israel were driven southward to Hormah. And as Se'lr, rough or shaggy, appears as the name of other localities than the land of Edom (cp. Ios. xv. 10; Judg. in-26; Tell-el-Amarna Letters, Winckler's ed. No. 181, line 26) it is possible that this is but another application of it to some place on the S. border of Palestine. But in that case one must not think of it as the plain of Seer, S.E. of Be'er-sheba', which Trumbull (K. B. 93) identifies with the Edomite Se'lr (cf. Driver); for the spelling of that, first correctly given by J. Wilson (Lands of the Bible, 1. 345) and continued by Palmer (Des. of the Exod. 11. 404) and Musil (Edom. 1. 9. etc.), as Sirr, is radically different from Se ir.

inite Herinals] Not now to be identified. Mustl's lists, and maps theover to week place-name. The tradition of the origin of the name is idealle. According to JE, Num, xxi, 3, it was so called because thereign to the place the property of the place in the case place in the case of the place in the case place in the case of the place in the case place in the case of the place in the place in the case of the place in th

45. nor game ear] A poetic word used in the Hex. in prose only here and in the deutenmonic passage, Ex. xv. 26 (see Driver). The repentance of the people is not even yet satisfactory; see on 41.

46 you. So ye abode in Kadesh many days, according unto the days that ye abode there.

46. So ye abode in Kadesh] So JE, Num. xx. 1 b, but apparently of a later residence than this.

neary days, according unto the days that ye abode there] 'An example of the "idem per idem" idiom often employed in the Semitic languages, when a writer is either imable or has no occasion to speak explicitly! (Driver). Co. ix, 28, xxix. 16 [14]; 1 Sam. xxiii, 14, etc.

If this veries he from the writer of the rest of this discourse the time implied cannot, in the light of his further statements in ii. 1 and 14, amount to years; for the and of the 40 years was already either wholly or nearly exhausted and these verses state that all the next 38 were spent between Katlesh and the Moablic frontier. But are we shall see in the introd. On the next section IP. Entributes the people a very long residence in Katlesh, in fact the ball, of the 3 years. Probability, therefore, this discourse, but from an editor aware of the divergent traditions; in further evidence of which observe that he uses the shaple Kadlesh instead of the Katlesh barnest employed in the rest of the discourse.

### Cit. II. 1-8a. From Kanesii-Barnea' round Mi Se'fr.

The discourse continues: After the respits on Kadech (i. 43), Israel turned back towards the Red Sea, skirting MI Self- many days, list when Jehovah said, Brough, turn N.1 (xf.); in crossing Essai's land Israel must purchase bread and water (4-6); for—here the adial hand Israel must purchase bread and water (4-6); for—here the adial changes from Pt. to Sg.—thou hast lacked nothing there, ap years (f), the change from Pt. to Sg.—thou hast lacked nothing there ap years (f). The change of the skirting of MI Self's before they turned (N.) is to be defined, if not by the ap years of  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa$ , then by the datum in  $\kappa$ .  $\kappa$ ; as years from Kadesh to the Moabite herder. The section implies a crutten of Salest from Kadesh to lang MI Self's and asys nothing of a return to Kalest from Kadesh to lang MI Self's and asys nothing of a return to Kalest .

In J.F. the same march is differently described. After the repulse on Kardesh comes the story of Dathan and Abrian functioned with one by F of Korah's rebellion), Num. vvi., deaths of Milara and strine of the people with Moses, (interlaced with a parallel from F), Num. av., for the people with Moses, (interlaced with a parallel from F), Num. av. promising not to harm vineyand or held and to pay for water, and is refused (Num. av. 14—211). Lazed then turn from Edom, journeying from Kalchi (OZ 216, 220). Having defeated the Cananite king of Arall in the New Collection of the numer Hornath, campass, Edon, and mirrawaring at the length of the way are bitten by they scepted, where of many did till Moses much as a bronze sceput.

to which whoever looks lives (Num, xxi. 46-9). Then they reach the wilterness E, of Moab (116).

Willerness E, of Bload [11

According to I', as we have seen, the spics were sent from and returned to-not Kadesh in the desert of Sin as JF and D report-but the desert of Paran (Num. xii. 166, xiii. 1-3, 25, 260, xiv. 35) which lay S. of that of Sin (ep. Num. xiii, a with 21 b); and it was in Paran that the sentence of 40 years wandering was pronounced (Nunt, xiv. 33 f.). Some legislation follows (Num. xv.), the story of Korah interlaced with JE's of Dathan and Abinam (xvi. 1-40), the miracle of Aaron's rod (avii. 1-11), and other things (xvii. 12-10). Only now do Israel move to the desert of Sin (Num. xx. 1a) identified with Kadesh (Num. xxxiii, 26). The date of the removal is given as the ist mouth, but cariously no year is mentioned (Num. xx. 1 a). The last previous date in P was that of the start from Sinai, and month of the 2nd year (Num. x. 11), while the next stage after Kailesh is Mt Hor (Num. xx. 226), reached in the 40th year (Num. axxiii. 376.). But, since P notes at Kailesh only the people's murmuring for water and the struck rock (interlaced with a parallel from IE, Num, xx. 1-13), the bulk of the time of wandering, all in fact from the 2nd to the 38th year way, according to P, spent by Israel in Parau. The reason of the curious omission of the year of arrival at Kailesk, Num. xx. 1 a. is now elear. It would not harmonise with IE, which brings I rael to Kadesh in the 2nd year, and was therefore omitted probably by the compiler of JE and P (Noldeke, Untersuch, 83; Dillm.). After Mt Hor P mentions only one other stage 'Ohoth, before 'Ive 'Abarim an the horder of Moab (Num. xxi. 4a, 10, 11 a). I thus says nothing of the march from Kadesh towards the Red Sea and round Mt Se'lr. This agrees with the itinerary in Num. xxxiii., which carries Israel from Mt Hor across the N. (not the S.) end of Mt Se'ir by Punon or l'mon, nuw Fenán in el-Gebál, to 'Obern aud 'Iye-'Abarin (27: 41 f.).

Comparison of these three (or four?) traditions of Israel's march from Sinai to Moah is hampered by the uncertainty whether we have then complete or only in fragments. D's review is only a summary; if we had the HE account in its original form we might find the apparent difference between the two-IE assigning the lutk of the 38 years to Kadesh and its environs, but I) to the march between Kadesh and the S. end of Mt Se'lr-to be no real difference. They agree in carrying Israel from Sinai to Kadesh in the 2nd year; and as Dilliu, remarks on Deut, ii. 1. D's view uf the progress after the repulse of the attack on the Amorites 'is not so very different' from that of IE. But whether we have the full account of P or not, it is very clear from what we have, that according to P Israel spent from the 2nd to the 28th year in the desert of Paran from which they then passed N. to the desert of Sin or Kadesh, while IE and D bring them to Kadesh in the 2nd year and assign the years 2 to 40 to their residence there and their march to Moab. Again, the silence of P as to a return S, from Kadesh round Mt Se'ir may be due to the compiler's omission of this from P's original parrative; but there remains the itinerary in Num. xxxiii. which un2 Then we turned, and took our journey into the wilderness by the way to the Red Sca, as the Loan spake unto me: a and we compassed mount Seir many days. And the Loan 3 spake unto me, asying, Ye have compassed this mountain 4 long enough: turn you northward. And command thou the people, saving, Ye are to pass through the border of your

deulstelly brings brate from Kadsch to Moah across the N, end of Mt Selfz, Further, there is 11% combision of the 12 account of the embasy to Edom from Kadsch, with the request that brate paying their way might pass through Edom, and obviously across the N, part of Mt Selfz, which was refused; and we have instead the statement in this section that from the 'Archab Isseal, without previously seeking permission, passed round the S, part of Mt Selfz, charged by God to any their way. Unless we are to assume the very improbable alternative, that both things happened, we must see in these two accounts to the state of the decision of Lenel's match from Edole-h to Moah.

1. Then me harmed, etc.] See on i. 7.

by the way to the Red Sea] Rather, in the direction of the Red Sea. as the Lord stake unto me] i. 40.

and we compassed mount Seir] The range E. of the 'Arabah; see on h. 2, 44. IE, Num. xxi. 4 h, by the way to the Red Sea, to compass the land of Edom.

many dayz] As in i. 46, indefinite; that a long time is intended is clear from  $v_1$  14, which states that Israel spent 38 years between Kadesh and the Zered; while  $v_2$  7, whether from the same hand or not, implies that the 40 years from Egypt had practically all passed when the people turned N.

3. Ye have compassed this mountain long enough] For the idiom see on i. 6.

term pen ner/sterord] Marching from Kallesh down the W. of Mt. Self, Israel allo now renched not the see, but prombily the mouth of the W. of 1 thm (or Yim), which opens N.E. from the 'Artain across or the W. of the

4. Ye are to pass] The Heh. participle expressing, as often, the immediate future.

through the border] Rather through the territory. The preposition is the same as that used in Israel's request in JE, Num. xxi. 17, let us pass through thy land and in Edom's reply, thou shalt not pass through

brethren the children of Esau, which dwell in Seir; and they shall be afriaid of you; take ye good beed unto yourselves therefore: contend not with them; for I will not give you s of their land, no, not so much as for the sole of the foot to tread on: because I have given mount Seir unto Esau for a possession. Ye shall purchase food of them for money, that 6 ye may eat; and ye shall also buy water of them for money,

me. Had the meaning been on or along the border, another preposition would have been used. The territory of Edom appears to have reached the sea (r Kgs ix. 26), and Israel must needs cross it on the way to Moab.

your brethren, the sons of Esau] xxiii. 7; Am. i. 11; Ohail. 10, 12; Mal. i. 2.

which dwell in Seir] Se'tr is here equivalent to Mt Se'tr as the next verse shows; yet the range, running S., droops and gives way before the W. el 'Ithm is reached, up which we have supposed that Israel marched.

and they shall be afraid of you! Heb. so that they shall be afraid of you. This is the temper imputed to Edom by JE when Israel asked

leave to cross their land from Kailesh, Num. xx. 18-20.

take ye good h.ed unto yourselves] Another favourite expression of the deuteronomie writers,

the deuteronomie writers,

5. contend not with them] In its causalive form the Heb, verb

means to thir up, e.g. strife, Prov. xv. 18, etc.; here the reflex form is to excite oneself against another, to guarrel with them. In the Pentfound only in this chapter, vv. 9, 19, 24, for the pote of the foot to tread on! xi. 24; [os. i. 3.

I have given Note the claim made by the God of Israel over other

peoples (ep. Am. i. 3—ii. 3, ix. 7), also the memory or tradition that on their entry to Canaan Israel had not violated the rights of their kinsfolk. There is no hostile feeling towards Edom, such as became irrepressible in Israel after the Exile.

for a portusion I Heb. Privational, in the Hex. found only in this

discourse, vv. 5, 8, 12, 19 bis, iii. 20, and in the deuteronomic Jos. i.

 7 that ye may drink. For the LORD thy God hath blessed thee in all the work of thy hand : he hath known thy walking through this great wilderness: these forty years the LORD 8 thy God hath been with thee; thou hast lacked nothing. So we passed by from our brethren the children of Esau, which dwell in Seir, from the way of the Arabalı from Elath and from Exion-geber.

are no brooks but only cisterns or easily guarded springs, the peasant possessors of these will refuse to sell even small draughts to one or two passing travellers, as the writer has more than once experienced; cp. Musil, Moab, 132. It is conceivable how water would be still more igalously guarded from a large caravan or host, with appetites sufficient to exhaust the cisterns. It is implied in v. 10 that Eilom agreed to supply food and water.

7. For the LORD thy God both blessed thee \ Another formula recurrent in D.

in all the work of thy hand | Some Heb. MSS, LXX, Sam.,

hands; another recurrent phrase.

he hath known thy walking | Rather hath cared for. The Heb. verb to know means frequently, especially in a religious connection, to put the mind to, attend to, regard; cp. Gen. xxxix, 6: l'otiphar had no thought or care about anything in length's change, 1 Sam it, 12; Prov. ix. 13, xxvii. 23 ; Job xxxv. 15. Sec Book of the Tree/tv Pr., 1. 121 f. But LXX read the verly here as imperative, consider thy walking. these forty years | So exactly viii. 2, 4, also in the Sg. address, The tradition that the time of the wandering was 40 years, stated by Amos ii, 10, v. 25, is common to D and I' (i. 4; Num. xiv. 33, xxxii, 13; ep. xxxiii, 481, also in cilitorial passages in IE, Jos. v. 6, xiv. 10. The Semites frequently teckonell by multiples of 4 and 40; the latter express many round numbers in O.T. chronology, Forty years seems to have been equivalent to a generation. That Israel was 40 years in the wilderness agrees with the tradition that a generation ilied out there. For the same equation in Babylonian chronology see Modern Criticism and the Preaching of the U.T., 90 f., n. 1.

This verse is the third in the Sg. aildress. Note that in harmony with other Sg. passages it affirms the well-heing of Israel during the to years, while the 14, passages emphasise their dangers and losses, It is not necessary to the context, and therefore regarded as a later insertion. Yet it would not be unnatural for the same writer to change from I'l. to Sg. when taking a conjunct view of Israel's experience,

8. So we passed by from our brethren, etc. | The 11ch, prep. me'eth is from with; but probably we should read merely 'eth the sign of the aecus.: we crossed or passed through our brethren (ep. 4 and 20). So LXX. Sam, readings are various,

from the way of the Arabah? The 'Arabah itself forms in winter

the most convenient passage from 'Elath and the Gulf of 'Akabah to the Dead Sea, with branch roads to Helsron and Kerak; but suffers from want of water and great heat in summer. It was much used in the early Moslem period, and probably by Hebrew commerce with the

Red Sea under the monarchy.

Eduk) A port on the N-red of the Gulf of 'Akashab (1 Kgvis. 52 - Kg siv. vi.), perhaps the same as El-Faran (Gen. xiv. 6). The name, translated by LNX Ailón, and probably meaning folors, has pervisted. Stralos, vitt. vi. 4, Allana; Josephus, Ailana, Ilanis and Elathus ("now called Berenice"?); Flolemy, Elma; the Christian Fathers, Aila and Ailai (Mashen Geographers, Wallah, Ailai and 'Akadan Aila (Idris, ZDPP, vitt. 121); now el-'Akabah, a village and arcient ratins on the N.E. corner of the Gulf, with Turkish fort ratins, called lla (Rohinom, B.R.), 1, 26, 11, Doughty Ar. Det. 1, 44, 5; Musil, Edon., 1, 26, 25, 12, 12 the calture of the pann flourishes the types of the settled families are quite Jewish. In Greek times it gave its name to the Gulff as its successor 'Akabah does to day.

Exion-geber | Beside Elath on the Red Sea in Edum's land; there Solomon built ships, 1 Kgs ix, 26, and a ship (so LXX) of Jehoshaphat was wrecked, xxil. 49. LXX l'asian l'asep, and Eugeseian Lasep, 1 Kgs ix. 26. probably waters of Esion-Geber. Eusebius states that in his clay it was Alola (Jerome Essia); Mahrizi, 15th century, 'a once inportant town 'Asiûn.' Robinson (B. R. 1, 251) noted the curresponding consonants in the name W. Ghadian, and Musil (Edom, 1, 254, tt. 183 ff., (80) describes the oasis Ma' Ghadian in the 'Arabah. This lies 18 miles N. of the Sea; while the O.T. data place 'Esion Geher beside Elath. whose site, as we have seen, is certainly on the present N.E. coast of the Gulf. Musil, however, reports that a tongue of the sea may once have reached Ma' Ghadian; there are remains of fortifications and gardens across what is now ilesert (11. 199). His guide told of a town there whose inhabitants had many ships; but a violent rain brought down such masses of stone from some of the wadies that the sea was pushed back to el-'Akalın (ii. 187). If the Ar. name be derived from the tree ghada', alundant in this region, it may have been attached to more places than one; or may have drifted as names easily do in Syria. The likeness between the LXX Eugegeier and Musil's Ma' Ghadjan is noteworthy. The meaning of the second half of 'Esion-geber is uncertain, the transliteration of Josephus Γ. Γαβελός (VIII. Ant. vi. 4) may be due to confusion with Fe8dA, i.e. Eilon or Mt Se'ir.

### 8 $\delta$ —15. Arrival on the Border of Moab,

Israel, having crossed Edom from the 'Arabah towanis the wildenness of Monbi (8), is charged not to treat Monbi as a for. Jelovah gave 'Ar, their land, to the children of Lot: this is in Sg. aldress (9); and there follow notes on the predecessors of Monbi in 'An, and of Edow in MI Seff (no-12). The PL is resumed in a charge to Israel to cross

DEU2 ERONOMY

Digitized by Google

And we turned and passed by the way of the wilderness of Moab. And libe LORD said unto me, Vex not Moab, neither contend with them in battle: for I will not give thee of his land for a possession; because I have given Ar unto

the Wady Zered, which they did (13); their time from Kadesh to the Zered being 38 years, and all the condemned generation being now dead under Jehovah's hand (14 £).

For the parallels in JE and P (some of which have been already given) see below on the separate verses.

8 b. And we turned ] See i. 7.

and passed by the may of ] Rather, crossed (the land of Edom) in the direction of Having come up N.E. by the W. et Ithm to the plateau they would turn due N. as the Hajj route does towards Monb.

the wilderness of Moab | More exactly IE, Num. xxi. 11: the w. which is before Moab towards the sunrising. For this region, see Doughty, Ar. Der. t.; Musil, Moab, passim, full descriptions with map. Israel kept so far E. not only to avoid the fertile and settled districts of Edom and Moab, but for the same reason also as the Haji does, so as not to have to cross the lower stretches of the great canon between Edom and Moab, the present Warly el-Hsa or 'Ahsa. These lower stretches are deep, the sides steep and the roads over them difficult for laden caravans, The route of the Hajj, apparently that of Israel, crosses the much shallower head of this Wady on the desert border. Once over it they were in the wilderness E. of Moab. Probably in the Wady itself lay their station 'Ive ha dharim on the border of Moab. P. Num. xxi. 11 a: cp. xxxiii. 44. For here he still eairns or stone heaps known by the same name, placed to show the Aay across the damp, sunken soil. This tempts one to emend 'Ive has hearing usually interpreted as hears of the regions across Jordan (cp. Mts of the 'Abarem), to distinguish the place from 'lylm and 'Ai in W. Palestine, to 'lyê-ha-'Obrim, heaps of the bassenvers.

9. Vex not Moab Trent not Moab as a foe.

neither contend with them | See on v. 5.

Arl \(\frac{1}{Arr}\) Arr (Num. xxi. 1.2) or \(\frac{1}{Arr}\) of \(\fra

the children of Lot for a possession. (The Emim dwelt to therein aforetime, a people great, and many, and tall, as the Anakim: these also are accounted Rephaim, as the it Anakim; but the Moabites call them Emim. The Horites 12 also dwelt in Seir aforetime, but the children of Esau succeeded them; and they destroyed them from before them, and dwelt in their stead; as Israel did unto the land of his possession, which the LORD gave unto them.) Now rise 13 up, and get you over the brook Zered. And we went over

children of Lot ] Gen. xix. 37; Ps. lxxxiii. 8 (9).

V. q is in the Sq. address and elided by Steuern, as the addition of a later hand. But some such warning as it gives in regard to the relations of Israel to Mo'ab was to be expected in this discourse, similar to that on Israel's relations to Edom and 'Ammon. The change to the Sg. may be due either to the fact that Moses himself is addressed or because for the moment Israel, in relation to Mo'ab, is regarded as a single whole. Sam, confirms the Heb. Sg.; but LXX has the Pl.

10-12. An archaeological note, rightly put in brackets by R.V., written after the settlement in W. Palestine, as is clear from the end of This of course does not in itself prove that the note is by a later

hand than the rest of the discourse.

The Emim Only here and Gen, xiv. 5 which places the Emim in Shaweh-Kiriathaim, probably the plain of the present Kureivat, N. of Arnon. Whether the name is of an actual people or of mythical formation like Repha'im, Nephilim, etc. as if from 'emah, fear, or Ar. 'iyam ' serpent' (Schwally, ZATW, XVIII. 135 f.), is uncertain.

11. Rephaim ... Anakiw] See on l. 28.

The Horites Heb. the Horim; Sam, LXX, Hori. Possibly cave dwellers, cp. Heb. hor, Ar. hawr, cave or hole. Cave dwelling is ascribed by Jerome (on Obad, 6) to the Edomites of his day; and is fully verified for the Nabatean period, at least, by the remains about Petra :, but it is precarious to reason back from these facts to the meaning of the name of the primitive race, which preceded 'Esau in Mt Se'fr, especially as other etymologies of Horf are possible. Savce (Higher Criticism and the Monuments, 204) derives it from a root = white as if in contrast to the red-skinned 'Edom. R. A. S. Macalister has discovered at Gezer the remains of a pre-Semitic, cave-dwelling race, using stone-implements, and identifies these with the Horlm.

13. Now rise up) Sam., LXX, And now rise and break camp;

CD. U. 24.

and get you over the brook Zered ] Wady, or torrent-valley, Zered. IE, Num. xxi. 12. they marched thence, the E. desert of Mo'ah, and camped in the W. Zered. The name, LXX Zaret, does not occur again in the O.T. nor is it in Josephus. Euseb. and Jer. give it only as the name of a desert wildy. On the Madaha Mosaic map (5th century)

3-2

- 14 the brook Zered. And the days in which we came from Kadesh-barnea, until we were come over the brook Zered, were thirty and eight years; until all the generation of the men of war were consumed from the midst of the camp, as
- 15 the LORD sware unto them. Moreover the hand of the LORD was against them, to destroy them from the midst of the camp, until they were consumed.
- 16 So it came to pass, when all the men of war were con-17 sumed and dead from among the people, that the LORD

a mady flowing to the Dead Sca, S. of Kerak, bears the letters - APEA, according to some, but if this reading be correct it may be no more than a conjecture. The theory that the Zered was the W. el-Hsa is impossible; as we have seen, Israel was already N. of that S. frontier of Mo'ab. Equally impossible is the view substituted for this by most commentaries, that it was an upper stretch of the W. Kerak; for Brunnow and Musil have shown that the W. Kerak runs up E. but a short distance from Kerak. N. of the W. el-Hsa the Haji road crosses the W. es Sultani, the great S. affluent of the Moich or Arnon, and proper frontier between the fertile land of Mo'ab and the E. devert. The W. es Sultani forms a distinct landmark on this route, and, because of the water always to be found by digging in its bed, is a suitable camping place. So Musil, Meab, 316, 319 n., 15. But if this be the Zered, Israel crossed it not, as Musil implies, from E. to W.—for in that case they would have had to bend E. again to his probable site for 'Ar at Medeyyneh (see 2, 9), or cross the difficult lower stretches of the Arnon-hut from S.W. to N.E. as the Hajj road does now.

14. thirty and eight years] See above, introd. to ii. 1-8 a. until all the generation of the men of war were consumed. See i.

35: 39

16. the hand of the LORD D was no natural death of the whole generation, but by special plagues from Jehovah; cp. 1F., Nnm., xvi. 31; ff., xxi. 6; P., Nnm., xvi. 32, 37.

### 16-25. APPROACH TO THE 'AMMONITES AND AMORITES,

The adult generation having filed out (16), Jehovah charged Musch hat, being about to pass the border or cross the territory of Musch ( $\gamma$  I) and to approach "Ammón, Jusael (Sg. address) must not fight the attention for the problems of "Ammón (16)" and the sum of Lox (19). Follows an archaeological note on the predecessors of "Ammón (160—13); and then the "Sg., an assurance that Silbón should be given into Lurael's hamle, they must fight bim (14,4); for the dread of Israel would Jehol'ah put on all peoples at the more report of Israel's approach (12).

This section is perplexing, because of the apparently proleptic mention of Ammin, the use of the PL address only in 24 a, and the discrep-

spake unto me, saying. Thou art this day to pass over is Ar, the border of Moab; and when thou comest nigh over 19 against the children of Ammon, ves them not, nor contend with them; for I will not give these of the land of the children of Ammon for a possession; because I have given it unto the children of Let for a possession. (That also is ac-20

ancy between 24.5 25 and the next section, especially 277 27—30. On these grounds, read-lated the fact that there are no parallels in JK, on which document the rest of this discourse is based, there is a strong case for the opinion that this section is for the most part from another hand than the rest of the discourse. Stenern, indeed takes only 16, 17, 12 and soriginal. For details see notes,

18. Then urt ... to pass over | See on ix. 1.

Ar, the border of Moub! See on r. p. Here as there it is rloubtful whether 'Ar is to be understood as the territory of Mulab, their crossing of which brack are completing this day; or the N. limit of that territory

which they are about to cross. Probably the latter.

19. when thou comest nigh over against the children of Antmon And thou shalt approach to the front of the Bné 'Ammon. The expression is vague and the mention of 'Ammôn at this stage perplexing. It is true that, acc. to Judg. xi. 13, the 'Ammunites declared to Teplithali that Israel coming out of Egypt took away their land from Arnon even umo Jalibok. But the passage to which this belongs, Judg. xi. 12-28, generally regarded as late and confused, repels the 'Animonite claim and affirms (2, 22) that the land between Arnon and Jabbok hard been held by the Amurites. This, too, is the testimony of the oldest traditions JE, Num. sxi. 14, 24, 31 f., which also relate that the Amorites had taken that territory not from 'Aminon, but from Mo'ab (id. 26-30); co, the evidence both of IE and P in Num, xxii, ff., that the land N, of Arnon was Moabite. The evidence thus preponderates that 'Ammon was confined to a small territory on the upper Jabbok, where Rabbath-'Ammôn (chief town of 'A.) was attuated (though before the 'Amorite invasion of E. Palestine they may have held the whole course of Jabbok and the country immediately S. of that). On the Arnon, therefore, Israel was still some 35 miles from Ammonite territory and the Amorites lay between. The mention of 'Ammon at this stage thus appears proleptic, and coinciding as it does with a change to the Sg. address. may plansibly be maintained to be the insertion of a later writer, perhaps influenced by Judg. xi. 13. On the other hand it is just exissible that the reference to 'Ammôn at this stage was held by the author of the discourse himself to be necessary, as intended to rivert Israel from the due northerly direction which they had been pursuing and which, if continued, would bring them into conflict with 'Amnon'; and to turn them N.W. through the Amurites to the Jordan.

20-23. Another Archaeological Nate. On the Kepha'im, see i. 28. Zamaummim, a name held by some to be formed on the analogy of the

38 counted a land of Rephaim: Rephaim dwelt therein afore-

21 time; but the Ammonites call them Zamzummim; a people great, and many, and tall, as the Anakim; but the LORD destroyed them before them; and they succeeded them, and

22 dwelt in their stead; as he did for the children of Esau. which dwell in Seir, when he destroyed the Horites from before them; and they succeeded them, and dwelt in their 23 stead even unto this day: and the Avvim which dwelt in

villages as far as Gaza, the Caphtorim, which came forth out of Caphtor, destroyed them, and dwelt in their stead.) 24 Rise ye up, take your journey, and pass over the valley of

Arnon: behold, I have given into thine hand Sihon the

Gk 'Barbaroi,' as of a people whose speech sounded uncouth; Ar. samsamah is a distant, confused sound. Others suggest identification with the Zuzim of Gen. xiv. 5, of which Musil (Moab, 1. 275, 318, etc.) is reminded by the present Ziza, Ptolemy's Ziza on the N.E. frontier of Mo'ab. But the Ar. sisim is applied to rustling sounds in the desert by night, supposed to be the noise of the Jinn (see Driver's note, with communication from W. R. Smith, and Schwally, D. Loben nach d. Tode, 64 f., 137 ff.). The name would thus be another of those mythological terms for pre-historic races given above on i. 28. On the Horites, see v. 12. On the 'Avvim or 'Awvim cp. Ios. xiii, 3 f.; whether the name be ethnic or indicative of a stage of culture is uncertain. They dwelt in villages, Heb. haserIm (mostly in P and Levil. writers), used both in parallel to circles of tents, Gen. xxv. 16, and 10 collections of houses without surrounding walls, Lev. xxv. 31, and the dependencies of cities. Jos. xv. 46 etc. Kaphtor is most probably Crete. see HGHL 135, 170 f.

24. Rise ye up, take your journey, and pass over In this section the one clause in the Pl. address. Steuernagel connects it immediately with 16 f. On these formulas cp. i. 7, 19.

the valley of Arnon No one doubts that the Nahal Arnou and the modern W. el-Môjeb are the same stream and valley. It is more than a coincidence that Arnon = sounding, and that some forms of the root of Môieb, vajaba, mean to 'fall with a noise or rush.' The greatest of all the casions that cut the plateau of Mo'ab, one understands how it has so often been a political frontier. A little W. of the Hajj road a valley is formed some 250 ft below the plateau by the conjunction of several wadies, which have risen among the desert hills to the E. of the road. Under the successive names of W. Sa'ideh, Seil es Sefei, and W. el-Môjeb, it runs with a mainly W. direction, and a rapidly increasing depth (at 'Aro'er 1800 or 2000 feet below the plateau) between almost precipitous walls to the Dead Sea, about asso ft below the plateau. The valley is entered from N. and S. by other canons, of Amorite, king of Heshbon, and his land; begin to possess it, and contend with him in battle. This day will I begin 25 to put the dread of thee and the fear of thee upon the peoples that are under the whole heaven, who shall hear the report of thee, and shall tremble, and be in anguish because of thee.

which we are almost as long as itself. About 1 miles from its mouth it receives from the N its chief tribinary, a stream which with its willies which will be not be a stream which with its willies which be thigher up is known as W, es sudishi ; probably (see n. 1.3) the Zered of Iszael's march. About 2 miles from its mouth exters from the N. the W, el-Wideh, which denining all N. E. Modah has cut the plateau in a S.W. direction. All these three cations, with their tributaries, appear to be included in the [plant] valleys of Armon, Num. xxi. 14. But the sulley of Armon in the present verse is probably the direct E. and W. cafton on it to upper stretch, W. Scitdeh, on which Ar stond (see on s.y.) this is certain if the identification of Kedenoth, in TEEO, 1004, 121—272.

behold, I have given into thine hand, etc.] Sg. address resumed !

so too Sain., LXX. Cp. i. 27.

Sition the Amarite] For Sthon, see below on v. 16; for Amorite, see on i. 7. content with him in battle] This does not agree with, or at least it

should not come before, ev. 26 fl., the efforts of Moses to obtain a peaceable passage through Amorite territory; its originality is questionable if we are to assign to the discourse a reasonable measure of consistency,

25. This day will I kegin to put the droud of thee! Nor is his veries in harmony with v. 29. The trembling and singuish which it predicts on all people at the mere report of Israel is the opposite effect from that produced in Shifts, n. 29, by Bersel's request to rose his land, for this simply provided in Shifts and the same of the discovers inconsistently penned hold verses so near to each other; or that a compiler, with different documents before him and wishing truous all his materials, put them together. Here then we have an invalance in which the difference in the form of address coincides with a difference of attitude to the same event. The control of the discovers is the control of the discovers of the control of the discovers.

### 26-37. THE VICTORY OVER SÎHÔN.

From the desert N, of Atnon Moses sent to Slhôn asking leave to cross his land in peace, purchasing food and water (16—29). Slhôn refused, Jelovah hardening his spirit that he might be delivered into Israel's hauds (30 f.). They met at Yahas and Sihôn was defeated (32 f.). 26 And I sent messengers out of the wilderness of Kedemoth unto Sihon king of Heshbon with words of peace, saving, 27 Let me pass through thy land; I will go 'along by the high way, I will neither turn unto the right hand nor to the left.

## Heb. by the way, by the way.

Israel took his towns, put the population to the ban, but reserved cattle and spoil for themselves (34 f.), and occupied his land from the Arnon to Gile'ad, and up to the Ammonite border on the labbok (36 f.).

The parallel JE, Num. xxi. 21-32 (for the analysis of which into two narratives see the Comm. in this series), contains besides an old mashal or ode on the subject (27-30). E agrees in substance with D and there are verbal parallels, for which see below. As elsewhere D seems here based on E. with the usual variations of style and one or two details of fact.

On the relation of this section of Moses' discourse to the preceding see introd, and notes to the latter. On the historicity of the story see the present writer's HGHL, 662 ff. 1 and Early Poetry of Israel, 64 ft. 26. And I sent messengers, etc.] E. Num. xxi. 21, Israel sent mes-

sengers, etc. the wilderness of Kedemoth] So only here. A Levite city Kedemoth, belonging to Reinben, is given along with Yahay and Mepha'ath, P. Jos. xiii. 18, xxi. 37; 1 Chron. vi. 79 [64]. The name is a plur. = Rast parts; it must have him N. of Amon on the edge of the desert. Musil (Mont, 110, 122) compares the ruins el-Meshreik, 'The Orient,' 74 miles N. of W. Sa'ideh (v. 24) and looking towards the desert.

Sihon king of Heshbou] E, Nun. xxi. 21 : king of the Amorites; cp. v. 26. Sthon is transliterated Sthun in the Ar. Pent. (ed. Lagarde) but the proper Ar. analogue is Shthan, a man's name, also that of the saint venerated by the 'Ajelat tribe as the builder of the Kari'at Shihan, extensive ruins on the conspicuous Jebel Shihan, S. of W. el-Môieb. See the present writer in PEFO, 1904, 371 f.; Musil, Moab. 376, 382 with citations from Abu-1-fida and Yakût, Ethnol. Bericht (Ar. Petr. iii.) 110, 218.

Heshbord was his city. The mod. Hesban, with ruins of the Byzantine age and a Greek inscription, near the W. edge of the Moab plateau. at the head of a glen descending to the W. Hesban, and 600 it below the town, the copious 'Ain Hesban. A little S. of the latitude of Jericho, Heshlon lay on the main road, almost half-way between Arnon and Jahbok, a suitable site for the Amorite capital. See PEF

. Wem. E. Pulestine, 104 ff. 27. Let me pass, etc.] So E, Num, Axi, 22; LXX, we will pass. I will so along by the highway | Hely, and Sam, here by the way by the war; E, by the king's way, the main road, like the Ar. term Sultani.

I will neither turn, etc. 1 E. Num. xxi, 21: we will not turn aside into field or vineyard, not drink the water of the wells.

Thou shalt sell me food for money, that I may eat \$ and 28 give me water for money, that I may drink; only let me pass through on my feet; as the children of Esau which 29 dwell in Seir, and the Moabites which dwell in Ar, did unto me; until I shall pass over Jordan into the land which the LORD our God giveth us. But Sihon king of Heshbon 30 would not let us pass by him: for the LORD thy God hardened his spirit, and made his heart 'obstinate, that he might deliver him into thy hand, as at this day. And the 31 LORD said unto me, Behold, I have begun to deliver up Sthon and his land before thee: begin to possess, that thou mayest inherit his land. Then Sihon came out against us, 32 he and all his people, unto battle at Jahaz. And the LORD 33

#### 1 Heb. strong.

Thou shall sell me food, etc. 1 See on v. 6.

as the children of Esau ... and the Moabites In JE Num. As. 18 ff. Esan refused Israel's request made from Kadesh, but appears to have sold them bread and water when, later, Israel crossed the S. end of Mt Se'ir, ii. 6. In xxiii. 4 [4] Mo'ab is blamed for not meeting Israel with bread and water on the way-but does that mean did not sell them these?

30. Rut Sihou ... would not let us pass by him! E. Num. xxi. 23;

S. would not allow (another verb) Israel to cross his territory. for the LORD thy God hardened his spirit! Se. address; it is at least remarkable that the change coincides with a religious explanation of Sihôn's resistance, for which E has here no parallet. The phrase is found elsewhere in P. Ex. vii. 3, but with heart for spirit.

made his heart obstinate) Heh. strong, usually in a good sense, in a bad only here, xv. 7 and 2 Chron. xxxvi. 13. In E, Ex. iv. 21, the same meaning with another verb.

as at this day Another deuteronomic formula: iv. 20, 38, vi. 24, viii, 18, x, 14, xxix, 28; 1 Kgs iii, 6, viii, 24, etc. Here its appropriateness is not obvious; there formulas tend to creep in where they are not required.

31. deliver up before thee] Sec i. 8. The Sg. is retained as original by Steuern, presumably on the ground of its being addressed to Moses,

Sihon] LNN, Sam. add king of Heshbon, the Amorite.
32. unto battle at fahaz] F, Num. xxi. 23; went out to meet I.

towards the wilderness, came to Yahas and fought Israel. See on Kedenioth, 7, 26. The Moalite stone (18-21) implies that Yahas was near Dibon; Jer, xlviii. 21 places it on the Mishor or Moab plateau (see iii, 10); and 'Isai,' xv. a some distance S. of Heshbon. In our God delivered him up before us, and we smote him, 34 and his 'sons, and all his people. And we took all his cities at that time, and "utterly destroyed every "inhabited city, with the women and the little ones; we left none remaining;

1 Or, son 2 Heb, devoted. 1 Heb, city of men.

Enselbus' day it was pointed out between Madala' and Dibot (On. Sorr. Laora). Mini [Abosh, 197, 121] suggests Unmed-Walld, ruins on a strong site S.E. of Madala' on the right bank of the W. el-ller; undoubtedly a solitable place for Sligho in racet Israel. But there are other ruined sites equally satisfable on the probable fine of Israel's masch and on the E. of the plateau.

33. delivered him up before us) See in i. S.

his sons; So the Heb. vowels, LXX, Sant. E, Ninn. xxi. 240:

smote him with the edge of the sword.

36. And we took all his cities] E, Nima xxk 44a, porseared his land time Armon unter Jabble § 1, his 23: Lirned took all three cities and dwell in all the cities of the America, Healton and her towns Anciently his part of the Plateau was hisckly populated. From almost every elevation assertal groups of rains are visible, arouly Byantine, very elevation assertal groups of rains are visible, around Byantine, when the property of the control of the bealth. The land is very good for two sections.

utterly destroyed every inhabited city, with the women and the little ones Devoted-put to the harem or ban-every city-full of malea, with, etc. The first mention in Deut, of a custom practised also by other Semites. Meska (Moabite Stone, 14-17) records that having taken Nebo from Israel he slew the whole population for he 'had devoted it to Ashtar-Chemosh'; the same verb as in Heb. To Israel as to other peoples a war was from first to last a religious process (see on xx. 1 ff.) and the herem was the climax of a series of solemn rites. It consisted of the devotion to the drity, by destruction, of the captives and spoil. The name is from the root hem, 'to set apart' or 'shut off' (cp. Ar. haram 'sacred precincts' and haram) and was not confined to war. By the earliest code every idolatrous Israelite was put to the herent, E. Ex. xxii. 20 [10]; cp. Deut. xiii. 6-11 of idolaters, and 12-18 [13-19] of an idolatrous city; P, Lev. xxvii. 28 f. In war the full process was the slaughter of the conquered population and their cattle, the burning of combustible spoil, and the oblation of the rest to the sanctuary. So in the story of the fall of Jericho and Achan's trespass, Jos. vi. f. (especially vi. 17-10, 21, 24, vii. t, it ff. ), which however contains many editorial additions. But as we see from several narratives and laws, the actual practice varied from time to time under the competing influences of religious feeling, material considerations and humane impulses. The most illustrative

1 The various forms of this name are :—Hels, Mêdeld; Monbite Mohêdebà, Arab, Miklaliö; Greek Maiösβα, Meðaβα, Myönβαξ, Lat, Medaba.

only the cattle we took for a prey unto ourselves, with the 35 spoil of the cities which we had taken. From Aroer, which 36 is on the edge of the valley of Arnon, and from the city that

passage is r Sam. xv. Samuel charges Saul to devote all 'Amalek and their cattle; Saul spares the king and the best of the cattle, Either his excuse, that he reserved them for sacrifice, is an afterthought; or from the first he had been unwilling that the best cattle should be rendered by the herem unusable by the people in sacrificial feasts. Was the king moved by feelings of humanity? Samuel condemns his action as disobedience against Jehovah; so absolutely at that time was the herem conceived by the religious leaders. The deuteronomic directions, all in the Sg. address, distinguish between Israel's treatment of the seven Canaanite nations and of Israelite idolaters on the one side, and their treatment of other nations at a distance:-(a) vii. 1: the seven nations are to be put to the herem because of their idolatry and no league with them is allowed; 25 f. their isloss are to be burned with the silver and gold on them, for they are herem and if used by Israel would make the people herem or devoted to destruction. Similarly in xiii. 15 f. every Israelite community falling to idolatry shall be devoted, and their city, cattle, and spoil liurned to Jehovah thy God. But (b) xx. ro ff. directs that distant enemies if they submit shall be spared, though they must become tributary; while if they resist only the males shall be stain, the women, children, cattle and spoil being treated as booty. And in xx. 16, 17 it is repeated that the nations of Palestine shall be devoted. Religious feeling, the desire that Israel shall not be injected by the idolatry from which they ran most risk of infection, is obviously the paramount motive of these laws. But it is remarkable that the only instances of the herem recorded in Deut., those against Sihôn and 'Og, fully agree peither with the ticatment enjoined by the deuteronomic laws against the seven nations. nor with that enjoined against distant enemies, but combine features of both. The captive men, women, and children were slain, but the cattle and spoil reserved for booty, ii, 34 f., iii. 6 f. So too in Jos. (outside the story of Achan): - viii. 2, 27 spoil and cattle reserved, x. 28 ff., only the people devoted; xi. o horses houghed, chariots burned; 11-15, people devoted, cattle and spoil reserved. Except xi. o these passages appear to be editorial. - In connection with this subject note that Amos (i. 6, a) condemns as inhuman the selling into captivity of a whole population, just as to-day it is contrary to the Arab conscience to extinguish a kabila or tribe in war (Doughty, Ar. Des. 1, 335). Yet, just as by Samuel in the case of Saul, and in Deut., this natural conscience has often been overborne by the rigorous religious demands of Islam. The parallel is instructive; ep. xx. 10-18.-See on the use of the term in a criminal case, Ex. xxii. 20, with Driver's note

<sup>35.</sup> See previous note.

<sup>36.</sup> From Aroer, which is on the edge of the valley of Arnon | The

is in the valley, even unto Gilead, there was not a city too high for us: the LORD our God delivered up all before us: 37 only to the land of the children of Ammon thou camest not

Nahal 'Arnon = Wady Môjeb, see above v. 24. Edge, Heb. lip. 'Aro'er is frequently given in the O.T. as a S. limit:-e.g. of the territory taken by Israel from Sihon there, and iii, 12, iv. 48, Ios, xii, 2. xiii. 9, 16); of the kingdom of Israel (2 Sam. xxiv. 5 emended after 1.XX; 2 Kgs x. 33). 'I built,' says Mesha (Moalite Stone, 27), ''Am'er and made the high-way by the 'Arnon.' Jer. xlviii. 19 connects 'Arô'er with a high-road. Ensebius describes it as above 'Arnon, 'on the evelsow of the hill.' To-day the Khirbet 'Arn'er, tuins of a walled town on the N. edge of the W. Môjeb, here nearly 2000 feet deep, with an aucient zig-zag ruad flown the precipitous slopes to the beil of the Waily (Tristiam, Moab, 125 ff.; Musil, Moab, 331, with plan and views). It lies nearly 2 miles E. of the Roman road, the present high road across 'Arnou, and must not be confounded with the ruins called 'Akrala close to the latter (ep. Brünnow, Provincia Arabia, 1. 31; and the present writer, PEFO, 1908, 41); an error into which several travellers have fallen.

the city that is in the valley | The valley or nabal is, of course, the 'Arnon or Wady Môjeb, the S. frontier of Sihôn's kingdom. The site of the nunamed city is uncertain. Its frequent association with 'Arô'er as on a S. froutier (e.g. kere, Jos. xiii. 9, 16, 2 Sam. xxiv. 5) may imply that it his close under 'Aro'er on the stream; where to day rulus stand with the name Khreibet 'Ajam'; in which case the city has been ailded to 'Arô'er in order to define the exact border as the stream, and its namelessness is explicable by its having been a mere suburb or the toll town of 'Arô'er. Or else, since 'Arô'er lay towards the W. end of the S. frontier of Sihôn's kingdom formed by the 'Arnon, the city in the valley lay further up the 'Aruon and so defined the E. extremity of the S. burder. Musil suggests Medeyyneh on the upper stretch of 'Arnon, now the W. Sa'ideh or Sa'tdeh (Moah, 328 ff.). It lies on a projection of the plateau into the Wady, and might well be described as the city in, or in the midst of, the nahal. This is the same site as Musil proposes for 'Ar or 'Ir of Mo'ab, also given as a limit (see on ii. (8); the identification of which had already been made on Biblical data alone (Dillin. in loco).

even unto Gilead] E. Num. xxi. 24, defines more exactly unto the Jabbok, the next great natural frontier N. of Arnon. Gile'ad lay on

both sides of Jabbok, which divided it into halves.

too high for us The Heb. phrase is found in prose only here, and

cisewhere in the O.T. unly in Job v. 11. Further see i. 28.

hefore us] Sam. LXX: into our hands.

37. Change to the Sg. address. This, with the fact that the clause 1 There are other rules a little further E. mp the stream at its confluence with that from the S, and these Grove (South's D. B. ast ed.) takes as the city in question. near; all the side of the river Jabbok, and the cities of the hill country, and wheresoever the LORD our God forbad us.

is a mere qualification not necessary to the context, has led some to take it for a later addition.

all the side of the river Jabbok, and the cities of the hill country This defines the land of 'Ammon, which lay at that time on the unoci stretch of Jahbok, where the stream runk from S.W. to N.E. before turning in its main course W. to Jonlan; cp. JE, Num. xxi. 24. The country there is hilly in contrast with the Mo'alı plateau. and wheresoever? So Sam, : LXX according to all that.

forbad us] Rather commanded us; suitable to the preceding read-

ing of the LXX. Us is wanting in 11th, but is given to Saut, and XZ.I

## CH. III. 1-7. DEFEAT OF 'OG, KING OF BASILAN.

Israel advancing N. towards Bashan encountered 'Og at Edre'l (1). I chovah delivered him into their hands (2 f.); they took all his cities. 60 in Argoh, his kingdom within Bashau, fenced cities, with also many unwalled towns (4 f.); and devoted them to Jehovali, reserving the

cattle and spoil for themselves (6 f.).

Parallel are Num. xxi. 33-25, attached to the IE namative. Of these 33 f. agree verbally (except that the 3rd sing, is used for the 1st plur.) with 170, 1 f. of this section, while v. 35 summarises 77, 3-7. But while, as we have seen, D is usually based on JE (more particularly on E), the prevalence of eleuteronomic phrases not used in IE supports the opinion (from Dillm. onwards) that Num. xxi. 33-35 is an edirial addition to IE, borrowed from D. The campaign against 'Og is found elsewhere in Hex. only in Deut. i. 4, iv. 47, xxix. 7, the deuteronomic Jos. xii. 4, and Num. xxxii. 33; Jos. ix. 10, xiii. 30 f., all of late date. Thus the campaign against 'Og has not the same documentary evulence as that against Sihim, and is questioned by many who accent the latter. Proof one way or the other is impossible. On the one hand 'Og is associated with the mythical Repha'lni; a campaign in Bashan carries Israel away from their objective, the crossing of lordan; and nothing is said of the conquest of the intervening Gile'ad at this time; though the phrase in ii. 36, unto Gile'ad, may be intended to cover all Gile'ad to the Yarmuk, this is not probable; and there are indications that Israel's conquest of Gile'ad took place from W. Palestine at a later date (see on v. 14). On the other hand, 'Oo's defeat is bound up in Heb tradition with that of Sibien; it is hard to see how or why it can have been invented by the deuteronomists ('the tradition of the defeat of 'Og at Edre's is probably, predenteronomic ': Cheyne, E.B.). It is possible to argue that 'Og's kingdom included Gile'ad N. of the Jabbok; there are no geographical or historical obstacles to a campaign by Israel in Bashan, but on the

Then we turned, and went up the way to Bashan: and Og the king of Bashan came out against us, he and all his 2 people, unto battle at Edrei. And the LORD said unto me, Fear him not: for I have delivered him, and all his people, and his land, into thy hand; and thou shalt do unto him as thou didst unto Sihon king of the Amorites, which dwelt at 3 Heshbon. So the LORD our God delivered into our hand Og also, the king of Bashan, and all his people; and we

contrary it is as credible that Israel should have aimed at the conquest of all E. Pakstine before crossing the Jordan as it is certain that Pompey so aimed, and that the first Moslem invaders so succeeded.

1. turned, and went up | See on i. 7.

Bashan] Heb. the Bashan, so in all historical statements and sometimes in poetry in which however the article is oftener omitted (HGHL, 540 n. 7). In its wider sense the name covered all the land from the Yarmuk to Hermon, iv. 43, xxxiii. 22. But its proper application was confined to the land immediately N. of the Yarmûk and E. of Geshur and Ma'akah, the present Jaulan (see below v. r4, iv. 43): the S. enil of Hauran, including 'Ashtaroth (perhaps Tell el 'Ashari) on the W., Eilre'i on the S. and Salkah on the S.E. (i. 4, iii. 10, Jos. ix. 10, xii. 4, xiii, 11 f., 31), the district known in Greek times as Batanea, and in the 10th century still called 'Ard-el-Bathamych, containing Edre'i (Idrisi); but to-day the name has drifted N.E. to the E. of the Leia. Ar. Bathnah means level, loamy land (Freytag) and suits the region. See HGHL, 549, 553, 570 f.

Og The name 'Og, LXX Γώγ and 'Ωγ, does not occur except as that of the king of Bashan; the root meaning 'curved' or 'round' supplies some Ar. geographical names. W. R. Smith (Rel. of the Sem. 83) arguing that in Heb. a king's name is usually joined with that of his people or of his capital (e.g. Sthon, king of the Amerites, or of Heshbon) and that 'Og's is the only exception, takes 'Og ' who is a mythical

figure 'as presumably 'an old god of the region.'

Edre'i on the S. frontier of Bashan (v. ro), the Otara'a of Egyptian inscriptions, Adra of Ptolemy, Adras of Euseb., now Edhra'at. Dera'at or on Bedawee lips 'Azra'at, a strong site on the S. edge of the gorge that forms the S. limit of Hauran, and further entrenched by a tributary ravine. In the rock beneath the walled city, a labyrinth of streets with houses and shops was excavated. That this marvel is not mentioned in the O.T. proves it of later date, and indeed its architecture and Inscriptions point to the Greek period: HGHL, 576, ZDPV, xx. 118 ff. On the only possible remains in Bashan of 'Og's time see Driver, Deut., in loco.

2. delivered ... into thy hand See 1, 27. As thou did t unto Sthon, il. 33 f,

smote him until none was left to him remaining. And we 4 took all his cities at that time; there was not a city which we-took not from them; threescore cities, all the region of Argob, the kingdom of Og in Bashan. All these were cities 5 fenced with high walls, gates, and bars; beside the 'unwalled towns a great many. And we sutterly destroyed them, as 6 we did unto Sihon king of Heshbon, utterly destroying every sinhabited city, with the women and the little ones. But all the cattle, and the spoil of the cities, we took for a 7 prey unto ourselves. And we took the land at that time 8

Ot, country towns >2 Heb. devoted. 3 Heb, city of men,

3. none...remaining] ii. 34. 4. all the region of Argob! So raf. : t Kgs iv. ra and nowhere else. The Heb, for region means a definitely measured or outlined piece of land, and 'Argob seems connected with regel, clod, and analogous to our 'glebe' The Targums take it as Trachonitis or the Trachon of the Greek period, now the Leia, the mass of lava, 24 miles by 10 to 20, which lies on Hauran like an ebony glacier with irregular crevasses. Sharply marked off by its abrupt edge from the surrounding plain it holds considerable means of subsistence, with the ruins of many villages and towns, and might well have been, at this as at other periods, the centre or distinctive feature of a province or kingdom. The identification with 'Argob, accepted by many, is thus not unnatural; nor if we take 'Argob as meaning 'clumpy' Is this an unsuitable name for the cleft masses of lava, like frozen mud, of which it is composed. But other parts of Hauran are also distinct from the rest, e.g. the fertile en Nukra or 'Hollow Hearth' of the Arabs; or the almost as fertile W. slope of the Jebel Hauran. Both of these hear ruins of ancient towns, while some may be of immemorial antiquity. Nothing however has been discovered either there or throughout Bashan which is recognisable as older than the Greek period .- Euseb, and Jer. give Ragaba as a village near Geresa, in Gile'ad, cp. Jos. XIII. Ant. XV. 5; and to-day Rajeb or Rujeb is the name of a Wady and village also in Gile'ad. This is noteworthy

in view of the fact that one (). T. tradition appears to connect Argon 8. the unwalled towns] Heb. towns of the Parasi, or country-folk; herastth, Ezek. xxxviii. 1r, are open, rural places in contrast to fenced cities.

6. and we utterly destroyed them, etc.] See ii. 34 f.

### 8-17. ALLOTMENT OF THE CONQUERED LANDS.

Thus Israel had taken the two Amorite kingdoms, from the 'Arnon to Hermon (8)-on which a note is given (9)-that is, from S. to N.,

with Gile'ad : see below.

out of the hand of the two kings of the Amorites that were beyond Jordan, from the valley of Arnon unto mount 9 Hermon; (which Hermon the Zidonians call Sirion, and

the towns, of the Mo'ab Plateau, all Gile'ad and Bashan (10); then a tour on  $V_{3L}(1)$ ,  $N_c$  from 'An'er to half Mr Gile'ad Mosse gave to the order to all of Gile'ad Mosse gave to R'oben and Giod, the rest of Gile'ad and Bashan to the half-tribe of Manasset (12-13a). Follows a full rother (3b-1a) which additions from a later hand (3-12) unless (4b) be regarded as original to the discourse.—The parallels are eited in the north (3b)

8. the two kings of the Amorites ii. 26—iii. 7. 'Og's people have not previously been called Amorites; cp. iv. 47, xxxi. 4, and the editoral Jos. ii. 10, ix. 10, xxiv. 8, 12b. Amorite apparently in the same general sense as in E. e.g. Jos. v. 1, x. 'Og himself was of

the pre-Amorite Repha'im, v. rt.

Adjoind Jordan! As in 1. 5 the writer hetersy, his standpoint in W. Palestine. On the other hand the standpoint of Moses E. of Jordan is properly observed in 200, 205. Dillin, therefore takes 0. 8 as a later insertion. But must we assume a rigorous consistency in the writer of the liscousse?

valley of Arnen] ii. 24.

must ment thermon). This carries Issuel's conquest further N, then previously described; another sign of a later hand? Hermin, from the root term, succest (see on it, 34); either from a sanctuary on the mount or because the whole mount was beld accrete; or, judge, it is, Mr Berd the sources of Jerdin, and occurs also in the plant Herminian, Pa. 316, of 11. dominates all Hauran or Bashan, it wisble as far S. as the heighth above Ferichs, and forms the natural N, boundary of all E. Palestine, and the source of the plant Herminian of Bashan, it wisble as far S. as the heighth above Ferichs, and forms the natural N, boundary of all E. Palestine, and the source of the plant Herminian of the source of the plant in the source of the source o

8. ^ARCHAROLOGICAL NOTE. As a natural boundary, separating several nations, Hermôn has a name in the language of each. The Proceedings of the process of

the Amorites call it Senir:) all the cities of the 1 plain, and 10 all Gilead, and all Bashan, unto Salecah and Edrei, cities of the kingdom of Og in Bashan. (For only Og king of ti Bashan remained of the remnant of the Rephaim; behold, his bedstead was a bedstead of iron; is it not in Rabbah of the children of Ammon? nine cubits was the length thereof. and four cubits the breadth of it, after the cubit of a

### 1 Or, table land

10. all the cities of, etc.] This follows immediately on v. 8, showing that o is an inserted gloss, and details the land summarised in 8, from S. to N. the plain! Rather, Plateau (Heb. hant-Mishir), i.e. of Mo'ab;

E, Num. xxi. 20: field of M.

all Gilead From the N. end of the Plateau (exact frontier uncertain) up to the Yarmûk; divided into halves by the Jabhok.

all Bazhan All N. of the Yarmûk; see on r. 1.

unto Salecah and Edreil Sal'kah (with soft k) is the Arab. Salkhad. the Sarkhad of the Arab. geographers, the present Salkhad (Merrill, E. of Jordan, 50 ff.; Burckhardt, 100 f.), some 40 miles E.S.E. of Edre'i on the S.W. slope of the Jebel Hauran or ed-Drûz. Cp. Jos. xii. s. xiii. 11. It would represent, therefore, the S.E. limit of 'Oo's kingdom. while Edre't lay near the W. end of the same frontier. Why have two sites on the S. of Bashan been selected to define a conquest already described as extending N. to Hermôn? We should expect: from Edre'i even to Salekoh, or to some site further N. The text is confirmed, however, hy Sam. and LXX. Some therefore take Edre's here, not as the mod. Dera'at (r. 1) hut as Edhra' or Zor'a near the S.W. corner of the Lejá. This, bowever, helps little-

11. ARCHAROLOGICAL NOTE. OF was the last survivor of the Repha'lm (see on i. 38). Bedstead, rather sarcophagus, for though the Hels. . eres elsewhere means couch, its synonyms mitah (2 Sam. iii, 31) and mirhkah (\*1s.\* lvii. 2: Ezek, xxxii, 25) are used for hier and tout the latter too in Phoen.), and the monumental character of this 'eres proves it to have been the same Iron, rather basalt; I have often heard basalt called iron in Hauran. The cubit of a mon: the ordinary cubit. originally the length of the lower arm; later there was also, a longer cubit (Ezek. xl. 5, xliii. 13). Taking it as about 18 in., 'Og's coffin was 134 ft by 6. Some sites in E. Palestine are strewn with stonecoffins, e.g. Umm Keis, usually 7 to 8 ft by 24 to 4. That of Eshmunazar, the Sidonian, is 7 by 4: 'Hiram's Tomb' is 12 by 6. p. Doughty, Ar. Des. 1. 18, on marble surcophagi near Es-Salt, 'little less than the bed of Og.' and Cl. Ganneau, Arch. Res. 11. 233.

DEUTERONOMY

- 12 man.) And this land we took in possession at that time: from Aroer, which is by the valley of Arnon, and balf the hill country of Gilead, and the cities thereof, gave I unto 13 the Reubenites and to the Gadites: and the rest of Gilead,
- and all Bashan, the kingdom of Og, gave I unto the half

12. And this land we took The discourse resumed from v. 10; a more exact definition of the same lands.

from Aroer,...by the valley of Arnon ] 13 MSS and some Versions read on the lip of A., as in it. 36. hulf ... Gilead | As far as the Jabbok; to Reduben and Gad.

I'. Num. xxxii. I ff.: land of Ya'zer and Gil ad.

13. the rest of Gilead From the Jabbok to the Yamuuk. This, with all Bashan, the kingdom of 'Og, fell to the half-tribe of Manasseh, and is further defined as all the region of .trgob (see v. 4). R.V. following the Heb. punctuation adds even all Bashan, but as Rev. Marg. suggests, this phrase is part of the next note: all that Bashan is called a land of Repha'im. In Num. xxxii. 1-32, 34-38 (a section with obvious marks of P but containing earlier elements) only Re'uben and Gad are assigned land E. of Jordan. Moses' allotment there to the half-tribe of Manassch is recorded in deuteronomic passages, as here and Num xxxiii. 33 (editorial); while Deborah's song, Judg. v. 14, takes Machir as a W. clan, but J, Num. xxxiii. 39, 41, assigns the conquest of Gile'ad to Machir, son of Manasseli, and the capture of its towns to Ya'lr, son of Manasseh; v. 40, adding that Moses gave Gile'ad to Manasselt, is regarded as a later insertion both because of the statement just cited from Deborah and because Judg. x. assigns the Hawwoth-Ya'ir to Ya'tr, a Gileadite in the days of the Judges. There thus appear to have been two traditions of the occupation of Gile'ad by part of Manasseh, one as early as J (Num. xxxiii. 39, 41) followed by D, which dates it under Moses; and one, which records the conquering clan as settled first in W. Palestine, and thence invading Gile'ad under the Judges. This second tradition is preferred by many, e.g. Wellh. Gesch. @ 33, and Budde, who points out that the Bne Yoseph could not have complained to Joshua, Jos. xvii. 14-18, that they had only one lot if, besides this western territory which he gave them, part of them had already received from Moses land E. of lordan. He proposes to insert Gile'ad in los, xvii, 18, so as to make it the new lot granted by Joshua. But in that case some allusion to the crossing of Jordan would have been natural, nor would the occupation of Gile'ad have helped the Joseph tribe against the Canaanites of W. l'alestine. Moreover, Gile'ad is said to have been the father of Abi'ezer and Sheehem (JE, Jos. xvii. 2; P, Num. xxvi. 29 ff.) and therefore older in Manasseh's line than these W. septs of the tribe. So there is something to be said for the occupation of Gile'ad by Manasseh under Moses. But the whole matter is obscure. See further Hastings, D. B. 111. 230 f., HGHL, \$77. Cp. the next notes.

tribe of Manasseh; 'all the region of Argob, "even all Bashan. The same is called the land of Rephaim. Jair 14 the son of Manasseh took all the region of Argob, unto the border of the Geshurites and the Maacathites; and called them, even Bashan, after his own name, Havvoth-iair, unto this day.) And I gave Gilead unto Machin. And unto the 15 Reubenites and unto the Gadites I gave from Gilead even

### 1 Or, all the region of Argob (All that Bashun is called &c. 2 Or. with

 Arcitaeological Nove. It begins with the last clause of v. 13; see above. This reference of the conquest of Argob to Ya'lr contrasts with pp. 4-6, which assign it to Israel under Moses, and differs from Num. xxxii. 41, which places the Hawwoth-Ya'lr in Gilead; ep. t Kgs iv. 13, and r Chron. ti. 22, and as we have seen, on v. 13, Va'lr is assigned by Judg. x, 3 ff. to the time of the Judges. The plusse unto this day also implies a date for this note later than that of Moses. which is assumed through the rest of the discourse. The opinion, therefore, is reasonable, that the note is a harmonising insertion aftered from Num. xxxii. 4r. Note the awkward construction. The word them in called them Hawwith Ya'tr, confirmed by Sam, and LXX... has no proper antecedent (it cannot of course be explained by the preceding border), while in Nun, xxxii, 11 it correctly refers to the preceding tent-villages. Note, too, the awkwardness of all Bashan as it stands. Moreover, the characteristic of Angob was not tent-villages but fenced cities (v. 4) .- The Geshuri and Matakathi are placed by los, xii, s, xiii, 11 between Gilc'ad and Hermon to the W, of Bashan; that is the mod. Jaulan (Gaulanitis), but the Mankathi spread across Iordan N.W. to Abel-beth-Ma kah in Naphtali, 2 Sam, xx, 14 f., etc. These two were Aramean (Gen. xxii, 24: 2 Sam. xv. 8: 1 Chron. xix, 6): Israel failed to expel them (Jos. xiii, 3); David fought the king of Ma kal (2 Sant. x. 6, where the LXX Asaλήκ is probably an error; the G'shur of 2 Sam, iii, 3, xiii. 37 f. may be another tribe of that name S. of Judah, Jos. xiii. 2; r Sam. xxvii. 8); 1 Chion. ii. 33, where Geshur is said to have taken the II. la'ir, and xix, 6, arc corrupt,-Hotowoth, cp. Ar. hima'at "a collection of tents," -

15. And I gave Gilead unto Machie Nut irreconcilable with 7. 12 where the N. half of Gile'ad is assigned to half-Mauasselt, for Machir was held to have been the first and only son of Manasseh, and, apparently, is even taken for all Manasseh (Judg. v. 14; Num. xxvi. 29?). Yet there is force in Dillm.'s contention that the author who had just written 12 f. could hardly have immediately added the variant v. 14; hence the latter is reasonably taken as, like v. r4, a later insertion derived from Num. xxxii. 40.

16. And muto the Renbenites, etc.] Since this verse repeats what is already stated, it also is regarded as secondary. 'The language of

unto the valley of Arnon, the middle of the valley, 'and the border thereof; even unto the river Jabbok, which is the 17 border of the children of Ammon; the Arabah also, and Jordan and the border thereof, from Chinnereth even unto the sea of the Arabah, the Salt Sea, under the "slopes of Piscah eastward.

# 1 Or. for a border 2 Or, springs

16, however, is harmonious with that of ii. 36, and it is possible that this sequence represents the older form of the narrative, before the incorporation of the account of Og, for there seems no reason why an editorial expounder should thus imperfectly reproduce statements already made.' (Oxf. Hex., II. 151.)

the putddle of the valley for a border | That is, the exact border

was not the edge, but the stream-bed of the widy.

17. the Arabah also, and forday for a border The territory included the E. strip of the 'Arabah-hence eastwards at the end of the vetse-with the Jordan as its W. limit, and this between Chinnereth on the NY and the Sea of the 'Arabah on the S. On the 'Arabah see i. s. A'innéreth was a town (Ios. xi. s. xix. 35; the plur, Kinn\*roth a district, 1 Kgs xv. 20) either giving its name to, or taking its name from, the Sea of Kinnereth (Num. xxxiv. 11, P); probably the latter, if K. be from kinnor, harp, as this suits the shape of the Lake; in later times called the L. of Gennesaret, a name frequently but not plausibly derived from Kinnereth (HGHL, 443). The Sea of the 'Arabah (so iv. 40; 2 Kgs xiv. 48), the Salt Sea (50 Gen. xiv. 3; Num. xxxiv. 3, 12; los, xv. 2, 5, xviii. 19); both names as here in Jos, iii. 16, xii. 13; called also front or E. Sea (Ezek. xlvii, 18; Joel ii. 20; Zech. xiv. 8) in contrast to the Mediterranean the back or W. Sea, xi. 24. The Greeks gave the name Asphaltitis, 'The Dead Sea' first occurs under Augustus. Ar. Bahr Lut, 'Lot's Sea.'

the slopes of Pisgahl So iv. 49; Jos. xii. 3, xiii. 20. The Heb. "shedith is slopes rather than springs (A.V.) as appears from the masc. form of the word, Nunt. xxi. 15 (the eshed of the whites, which stretches to 'Ar's site and leans on the border of Moabi; slopes, too, is most suitable in Jos. x. 40, xii. 8, and with the use of the prepos, under in this verse. The Prepub (always so) is the name attached by E (Num. xxi. 20, xxiii. 14) and by deuteronomic writers to 'the western edge' (G. B. Gray), or the headlands, of the Moabite Plateau at the N.E. corner of the Dead Sea. The headland of the Pisgah, which Moses uscended, v. 27, is in xxxii. 49 (P) Mt Nebe (cp. their (dentification in xxxiv. 1), that headland S. of the W. 'Uyûn Musa which bears the names en-Neba' and Ras en-Neba', just opposite the N. end of the sea (HGHL, 561 ff.). One of its lower steps, called Wat en Na'am, is identified by Musil (Month, 272, 274) with the slopes of the Pisyah. The deep W. es Sevâle which cleaves this he takes as Abel Shittim And I commanded you at that time, saying, The LORD 18 your God halh given you this land to possess it: ye shall pass over armed before your brethren the children of Israel, all the men of valour. But your wives, and your little ones, 19

(Num. xxxiii, 49); but the latter is probably part of the Jordan valley. See further on Beth-P\*tor, v. 29. The name Pisgab has disappeared, unless we are to recognise it in the almost equivalent Rås Feshkah, a headland on the opposite coast of the sen.

### Directions to the Two-and-a-Half Tribes and to Joshua.

At that time Moses charged the two-and-a-half tribes to send their warriors over forday till the conquest there was completed, leaving their families and cattle in the cities already given them (18-20). At that time, too, he charged Joshua (21 f.).-To the charge to the twoand a half tribes the parallel is Num. xxxii. 16-32, which says that Reuben and Gad (these alone) offered to send their warrings to the W. campaign after bailding or fortifying cities for their children, and folds for their cattle E. of Jordan; and that Moses enforced this plan with threats of disaster if it was not carried out. Of this composite passage various analyses have been made; all that is clear is that IE narrated some such episode.-To the charge to Joshua, at that time, the Pent, has no parallel. On the ground that it anticipates 28f, and xxxi. 7 ff. it is removed by some after v. 28, where indeed it is suitable. but by others has been taken to be no original part of the First Discourse by Moses. Yet the Discourse is not so compact and free of repetition that we need deny to its author such an anticipation of his own words; nor would it be surprising that in the traditions with which he worked there were recorded more than one charge to Joshua or at least several emphases of the fact that Joshua was exhorted by Moses; cp. i. 38. On the mixed forms of address, thou and you, see notes below.

 And I communied you] Then would be more natural, which some read; retain you, a symptom of the want of absolute preciseness in the writer's style.

armed] It is doubtful-whether that is the original meaning of the Heb. word or with loins girt, or stripped of superfinous clothing, expeditus; the same word in Num. axxii. 21 ff. (]E?]: P also uses it but with a following noun id. 27, 29 and Josh. iv. 13. children of brazel. Not deuteronomic. See on iv. 44.

all the men of valour] Reb. sons of strength or valour. Like our force the Heb. haif is also used for army, but with the article (e.g. 2 Sam. xxiv. 2), which does not occur in this phrase. The meaning is all capable of bearing arms.

and your cattle, (I know that ye have much cattle,) shall as abide in your cities which I have given you; until the Loxt give rest unto your brethren, as unto you, and they also possess the land which the Loxn your God giveth them beyond Jordan: then shall ye return. every man unto his 21 possession, which I have given you. And I commanded Joshua at that time, saying. Thine eyes have seen all that the Loxn your God hath done unto these two kings: so shall the Loxn do unto all the kingdoms whither thon goos 22 over. Ye shall not fear them: for the Loxn your God, he it is that fighteth for you.

 much cattle] Cp. Num. vexii. 1. In the O.T. Mo'ab, Gile'ad and Bashan, the seats of the two and a half tribes, are celebrated for their cattle, imported thence to W. Palestine, which has inferior pactures. See the writer's fermatem, t. 307, 321 ff. and HGIII., 523 f.

which I have given you] v. 12 f.; so Num. xxxii. 29, 33, 40.
20. until the LORD give rest] So xii. 10, xxv. 19, the sleuteronomic

Josh, i. 13, 15, xxi, 44, xxii, 4, xxiii, 1, and not elsewhere in the Hex. in this sense, though the verb occurs in other meanings.

beyond fordard The standpoint of the speaker correctly observed as

in 25, 25.

unto his possession] See ii. 5.

21. Thine eyes have seen] Rather, Thine own eyes are they that saw. The appeal to personal experience is characteristic of Deuteronomy: cp. iv. 3, xi. 7. LXX reads your eyes; but thine is confirmed by Sam.

your God 1.XX B our God. Omit with Sam. The formula has

been achied by a enpyist.

22. W: shall not four them] We may either take this Pl. as intended to comprise all the people with Joshus, in read, with Sam, some could. If the LaX, and the Syrina, thou shall not four them, some could. If the LaX, and the Syrina, thou shall not four them, some could. If the LaX, and the Syrina, thou shall not four the America to the Pl. in the tast clause [LaX, H and Gol.], but to take it as therefore a late addition burrowed from 1,26 (Stenten,) is somewhat pedantic; the change from Sg. to II. is there very natura].

### 23-29. Moses' Prayer and its Rejection.

At that time Moses besonght Gold to finish what He had begun and show him all His greatness (2g.ft, b) telting him cross Jordan and view the whole land (1g). Wroth with him on I stael's account Gold refused (2f) and bade him accend the Pisgah and thence view the land (2f); also he must charge Joshus as his successor in leading lexad to their And I besought the LORD at that time, saying, O Lord \$\frac{2}{8}\$. (Sop, thou hast begun to shew thy servant thy greatness, and thy strong hand; for what god is there in heaven or in earth, that can do according to thy works, and according to thy mighty acts? Let me go over, I pray thee, and see the good land that is beyond Jordan, that goodly mountain, and Lebanon. But the LOND was wroth with me for your sakes, \$\frac{1}{2}\$6 and hearkened not unto me: and the LORD said unto me, Let it suffice thee; speak no more unto me of this matter. Get thee up into the top of Pisgah, and lift up thine eyes \$\frac{2}{2}\$?

bertiage (38). They abode in the ravine opposite Beth Peter (75).—To this parger there is no parallel in  $\Gamma_E$ ; for the E account of the accent of the Fagah see xxxiv.  $\delta E$ . Nor does  $\Gamma$  record the prayer; it ascribes the thin  $\delta E$  is the  $\delta E$  in  $\delta$ 

And I besought the Lord] In the Pent, the Heb, verb is used with the Deity only here; but to besech man in E, Gen., xlii. 21.
 O Lord God] Heb, my Lord Jebovah.

than hast logical [list not fulfilled in my sight! A pathetic enphasis, Mones prayed to see with his own eyes the completion of the great Providence carried so far at his hards. This temper Is characteristic of all Deuteronomys the passion to experience the full-rounded Providence of God in this file, absolutely no hope of another! As time went on a noble trust was bern. The servant of felovale of first the loud of the tribing, yet need of the transit of this soul must in (Philli ii. 8), for the foys at before him conducted the crust, despiting the thans (Hetu xii. 2). Let this cut plans from me, networkeless...thy well to done.

thy greatness) v. 24, ix. 26, xi. 2; and thy strong hand, see iv. 34. what god is there, etc.] Ex. xv. 11.
25. the good land 1, 35.

that goodly mountain. To this day in Syria a whole range is called in the sing, mountain; and in fact from Nebo and the Ghor helow it all W. Palestine appears one commact mountain mass.

and Lebonou] In clear weather Hermon, the summit of what is now particularised as Anti-Lebanon, is distinct from above Jericho and the opposite hills, as one looks up the Ghör

the opposite miss, as one looks up the Ghor

26. But the LORD was worth with ute! Heb, hith\*abber (lit. to exceed bounds) was etu aged, a stronger term than that in i. 37, the note ou which see for the whole of this verse.

27. the top of Pisgah] Rather, the headland of the Pisgah. See on 2, 17, and op. xxxii. 48 ff., xxxiv. r, and small print on xii. 2.

westward, and northward, and southward, and eastward, and behold with thine eyes: for thou shalt not go over this 28 Jordan. But charge Joshua, and encourage him, and strengthen hm: for he shall go over before him people, and he shall cause them to inherit the land which thou 29 shalt see. So we abode in the valley over against Bethpeor.

28. But charge feehaal. See notes betroit to this and the previous section. In P (Nam. xxvii. 13—11) the charge to Joshua precedes the arrangement with the two and a half tribes (Naw. xxxii.), while iv D it follows. No stress can be laid on this difference as D's term at that time is vague. But see Dil. in Inco. Cp. also xxxi. 1—8.

29. the valley over against Beth peor? Heb. the gai = hollow, glen, ravine, inapplicable to the Jordan plain; rather one of the glens descending to this from the Moal-plateau. That suits the probable meaning of Potor, gap or cleft (Ar. finghrah, 'a river-mouth'; cp. the 'other Phogor' of Euseb. and Jer. near Bethlehem, the modern Kh. Faghur, PEF Map Sh. xvii.l. Beth-Poor aldrev. from Beth-Ba'al-Pe'nr, shrine of the B of P. (ep. iv. 3). This gai of Israel's encampment, where also Moses was buried (xxxiv. 6), unnamed, but defined as over against Beth-pe'er (so too iv. 46), is also nameless in E, Num. xxi. 20, defined as in the region of Moab, and these words are added, headland of the Pisyah that looks upon the Pishlmon : and Num. xxiii. 28 gives a headland of Pe or that looks out upon the Y'shimon; while Beth-I'e'or is placed by P. Josh, xiii, 20, with the slopes of the Pismah and Beth-Y'shimôth. Again Eusels and Jer, describe Beth-phogor as near Mt Phogor opposite Iericho 6 Roman miles above Livias, the mod, Tell er Rameh, on the Jordan plain. These data suit the identification of the gai with the W. 'Uyûn Musa, on the N. of the Nebo or Pisgah headland (see on v. 17). So Dillm., G. A. Snrith (HGH1., 564) and G. B. Gray (Num. xxi. 20). Further, Musil (Moab, 344 f., 348) suggests for the headland of Pe'er the headland to the N. of W. 'Uvin Musa, and for Beth Pe'or the ruins and shrine esh-Sheikh Jayel on one of the steps of that headland, 'thence one gets the best view of the lower slopes and of the Jurdan valley.' The stream of the wady between these two headlands, before it reaches the Dead Sea, passes the rulus es-Sueimeh, in which there is a possible echo of Yoshinron, and Y shimoth; and the bare district about this lies in full view of both headlands. There is, therefore, no need to read Pisgah for I'e'or in Num. xxiii. 28 on the basis of Num. xxi. 20. On the whole the above identification of the Gat with the W. Town Musa is preferable to that with the next wady to the N , the W. Hesban (Driver). Conder's proposal for Beth-Pe'or (Heth and Moab, 146), the headland by 'Ain el Minveh, would remove the Gar too far south,

And now, O Israel, hearken unto the statutes and unto 4 the judgements, which I teach you, for to do them; that ye may

## CH. IV. 1-40. HORTATORY PART OF THE FIRST DISCOURSE.

The historical review closing with iii. 20, the rest of the discourse consists of exhortations to practise the Laws about to be announced and appeals to the nation's experience. Four obvious divisions; (1) 1-8, Commands to keep the Laws, with a reminder of Ba'al Pe'or; (2) 9-24, Against idolatry, with memories of Horeb; (3) 25-31, Predictions of the nation's destruction by exile if they fall into idolatry and of God's mercy if they then repeat; (4) 32-40, Appeals to their experience of the uniqueness of their God.-Though all four are concerned with the spiritual nature and uniqueness of Jehovah, their form and their contents both raise doubts of their unity, and of their connection with i. 6-iii. There is no regular progress; repetitions of, and apparent discrepancies with, i. 6-iii, occur; the passages on exile and repentance confined to 25-31 are held to be exilic; though the language is mainly deuteronomic there are curious outcrops of terms either found only in D and P. or elsewhere confined to v.-xxvi. On all these see below. Opinion is, therefore, divided as to the unity of this section, its integrity with i. 6-iii., and its date. Moore, Enc. Bibl., holds these further reasons for its exilic origin, that its monutheism is laftier than that of v.-xi., and that the greater part of it is but a homily on v. 25 ff. The first of these reasons is questionable-ep. r. 19-and even if true would be a precarious symptom of date: the second is also doubtful.

### 1 - 8. Enforcement of the Impending Legislation.

The main purpose of the discourse, the enforcement of the Law about to be given, for on the practice of these depends I cands's survival in the Land (i.f.)—let them remember Ba'si-Pe'or (i.g.f.)—as well as their wisdom and fame as a people (g.f.) with other has such a God with the property of the control of the with the control of the control of the control of the control of the such dependent of the control of the control of the control of the such dependent of the control of the control of the control of the such dependent of the control of the control of the control of the such dependent of the control of the control of the control of the control of the such dependent of the control o

And now | Emphatic call to the practical purpose of the discourse; the same in x. 12, the heginning of the last stage of the second introduction to the Code.

O Israel, hearken] Sg. imper confirmed by Sam. and LXX in n context using the Pl. form of address; an instance of the natural

transition by the same author from one to the other, ep. v., s and i. 8. the statutes and...the judgements] Heb. hubblen and misispatin, a common title for the deuteronomic Laws, iv. 1, 5, 8, 14, v. 1, xi, 3, xii, 1, xxvi. 16; sometimes combined with or varied by misrah, commandment, and 'educidy, adoptinply pronounced decree ; see on v., sab.

live, and go in and possess the land which the LORD, the 2 God of your fathers, giveth you. Ye shall not add unto the word which I command you, neither shall ye diminish from it, that ye may keep the commandments of the LORD your 3 God which I command you. Your eyes have seen what the LORD did because of Baal-peor; for all the men that followed

Hok aleans engraven or instituted, a statute covering 'positive institutious or enactments, moral, ceremonial, civil (e.g. vii. 1-3, xii., xiv., xvi. f. etc.)'; wishpat, lit. judgement, judicial decision, 'the

provisions of the civil and criminal law ' (Driver).

tokich I teach you The participle, am about to teach you; cp. 21 5. It is remarkable that in the Pent. D alone uses this verb-teach and learn -of religion and the Law, and this no fewer than 17 times. The idea is the same as that of the prophets, especially Hosea and Jeremiah, that true religion rests on the knowledge of God, the people sinning hecause not understanding with the heart (Heb. for the practical intellect) what God is and demands; and perishing for lack of knowledge.

that ye may live] as a nation! That the national existence depends on the keeping of the Law is a principle of the deuteronomic writers. Understood in a thoroughly spiritual temper it is uncontestable. Every nation lives by loyalty to law, and the people who were loyal to the spirit of this law would be strong and survive. As a matter of fact Israel preserved its identity among the nations and survived the influences which overwhelmed the religions of its neighbours by its obedience. The Law was a feace about the people. But their danger was to substitute the letter for the spirit, as according to both Jeremiah and Jesus they did. On live ep. xxx. 6.

Ye shall not add unto the word...ucither ...diminish from it So xii. 32 [Heb. xiii. 1], cp. Jer. xxvi. 2, Rev. xxii. 18f. That the Law was tampered with in Josiah's day is implied in Jer. viii. 8, the false per of the scribes has wrought falsehood. Our verse and xii. 32 have been interpreted as if the deuteronomic law gave itself forth as the full, final letter of the Divine Revelation. This is not so: cp. its promise of a

new prophet like to Moses, xviii. 14 ft. which I command you! Again the participle, am about to command.

Sam, and Luc, add this day,

Your eyes have seen | Cp. iii. 21.

because of Baal-peor] [leb, in Ba'al-Pe'or (=in Beth-Ba'al-Pe'or), a place-name as in Hos. ix. 10; cp. iii. 29. The sin and its punish. ment are related by JE. Num. xxv. 1-5; then follows, 6-16, a similar story about Israel and Midianite seductions, from P. Ba'al of Pe'or was a local deity, utherwise unknown to us. Driver (Dent. 63f.) questions the usual opinion that he was a prianic deity, yet the close association of the charge of worshipping him with that of illicit interBaal-peor, the LORD thy God hath destroyed them from the midst of thee. But we that did cleave unto the LORD your 4 God are alive every one of you this day. Behold, I have 5 taught you statutes and judgements, even as the LORD my God commanded me, that ye should do so in the midst of the land whither ye go in to possess it. Keep therefore and 6 do then; for this is your wisdom and your understanding in the sight of the peoples, which shall hear all these

course with the daughters of Moab, combined with the notorious impurity of the Syrian religious, appears to confirm the opinion, thy God...from the midst of thee] Note the change to the Sg. here

from the Pl. in the beginning of the verse. Sam. and LXX, probably less originally, give 14. throughout. For similar changes see 17. 25, 29, 34 i Xi. 13, 14, 4. ye that did cleave unto the LORD your God \ See on x. 20.

5. Behold, I have taught you! The perf. of the verb in contrast with the fut, in v. 1 raises questions. Does Moses now refer to laws which he has already promulgated from Horeb onward (so Driver)? Hardly, for the rest of the verse implies the same statutes and indeements as v. 1. Or is this verse out of place here, and horrowed from an address by Moses after the promulgation of the deuteronomic laws (Dillm., Westphal, Steuern., etc.)? Or is it the mistake of a scribe (Kosters)? Bertholet seeks a solution in the fact that when the Helt, verb for behold (re'ch, sing, but Sam, and LXX plur.) is followed by a finite verb the perfect is used even where we should expect a future (e.g. Gen. xli. 41, 1 Chr. xxi. 23). Thus the action in view is represented as if it were already past (for a similar illion cp. 'the prophetic perfect'). There is, therefore, no reason to question that r. 5 refers like v. 1 to the legislation imminent in Israel; alternatively it may include the laws given on Horeb, ep. v. 14. In any case the chief objection to taking rv. 5-8 along with t-4 is removed. whither ye go in to possess it] The only Pl. passage which gives this

phrase (though r. r has a variant) so distinctive of the Sg. passages that in them it occurs to times. See on vi. 1.

6. Aech therefore and do them | So eight times in D (as also eight in P); the similar keep (or observe) to do occurs some 20 times both with Sg. and Pl. This practical emphasis is characteristic of the Book, Men are often content to remember the commandments.

for this is your wisdom and your understanding] Not your mere possession of the law, but this your doing of it, shall be your intellectual

strength, Cp. John vii. 17.

in the sight of the peoples, which shall ... say! So actually it came to pass. Loyalty to the Law ensured not only the national existence of Israel (see on v. 1), but their fame among the Gentiles; who shall say, This great nation is a wise and understanding people. Most signally statutes, and say, Surely this great nation is a wise and runderstanding people. For what great nation is there, that hath 'a god so nigh unto them, as the Lore our God is 8 whensoever we call upon him? And what great nation is there, that that statutes and judgements so righteous as all this

# 1 Or, God

fulfilled by the fame of the Jews among illuminated Greeks after Alexander's compact of Asia. Hexataes of Abdera, Clearchus, Theophravtus, Megasthenes, Hermippus all call the Jews the philippular of the Bass (Greinalm, it., 40), etc.). The cause of such a fame was not of course the wise details of the Law, nor even that the mation possessed and lived by it, in a way unparalleled by any nation in religious splitt of the Law, its unique sumenfatum. And so the discourse now proceeds to speak of Issuel's God.

Surely] Heb. rak. See on x, 15.

7. For what great nation ... hath a god so nigh Both norm, elohim, and adj., Mrobim, are plural. Elohim may signify a god, or gods, as vi. 14 and elsewhere; or the general idea of Deity, this chiefly but not always in the mouth of, or addressed to, the heathen, e.g. v. 24, Gen. xx. 13, Exod. xxxi. 18; or may stand for the God of Israel (cp. the deuteronomic 2 Sam. vii. 23). Here it is either of the first three-a god, ga/t or God (R.V. marg.). The rest of the verse explains what is meant by night: He hears prayer and answers it by actual deeds. The prophets' contrast of Israel's experience of God with that of other ontions is constant and remarkable-a proof of the experimental, practical quality of their religion. Jeremiah insists that the gods of the heathen are vanities and do not profit them (ii. 8, 11, 13; broken cisterns, 28, xvi. 10 f. etc.); cp. the Prophet of the Exile ('Isai,' xliv, q f., xlvii, 12, xlviji. 17) and his argument that Jehovah alone promises and fulfils ('Isai, 'xli, 21 ff.). To all the prophets, but especially to Isaiah, God is not only the infinitely sublime, but the infinitely near, hearing prayer, ready to help, interested, vigilant and active in all the details of their everyday life. Legal Judaism lost this sense of the constant nearness of God, and did not compensate for the loss by its apocalypses,

8. And what great nation...hash stanter...w righteout? This challeg is an just as the preceding. Other great codes and systems of eithirs there undoubtedly were in Israel's world (e.g. the Code of Hammarhi and various systems in Eugept). But the deuteronomic Torali is rightly exalted above them—because of its pure religious fervency, its revelation of the Divine character, and its enforcement, in the details of human conduct, of the example of God Himself. Moreover, the Law of no other nation is larsel's world has exerced so practical an influence on the ethics of mankind. How necessary it was to impress fusel, both immediately before and during the Exile, with the div.

law, which I set before you this day? Only take heed to 9

tinction which the Law gave them among the nations is seen from such passages as Ezek. xx. 32, xxv. 8. The heathen said Israel is like all the nations, and Israelites were tempted to full back upon the eavier ethics of their neighbours, we will be as the heather. This is the temptation of all recipients of high ideals and duties; none are more exposed to it than Christians: they must remind themselves, as this discourse insists, of the privilege and responsibility of those who having known the better dare not be content with the easier. The substance · of these verses then is, Walk worthy of the vocation wherewith ve have been called. The abuse of such a conscience is the self-righteousness born of a merely formal fulfilment of the Law (Luke xviii. 11). ' Pharisaism and Deuteronomy came into the world the same day' (A. B. Davidson, Hastings DB 11. 5771.

set before you? Not prescribe or enforce; but offer for your decision and acceptance. So xi. 26, 32, xxx. 1, 15, 19. The affirmation of the people's responsibility is characteristic of D.

# 9-24. AGAINST IDOLATRY.

The truth that is beneath the whole Law: God is revealed not in images, but by words and deeds of redemption. Warned to lay their experience to heart (q), Israel are reminded of the revelation at Horeb, solely by wurds and the covenant (10-14); let them recall they saw no form (15) lest they make any idol of any living thing in earth, air or sea (16-18) or worship the host of heaven, assigned by Jehavah to other peoples (19), but no gods for those whom He hath redeemed for Himself (20). For their sake, Moses is not to cross Jordan (2 rf.); so he enjoins them to take heed. Jehovah is a devouring fire (23 f.). In substance the passage is a unity-except perhaps v. 10. In form it is in the Pl. address with a few transitions to the Sg.; all, except v. 10, confirmed by Sam, and LXX. These are typical of the various causes which may have led to frequent transitions. The Sg. is logically explicable in v, 9, perhaps too in 10; coincides in 19 with the only change of subject, and so possibly marks a later addition; in 21 may he due to the later addition of a formula; while 24 is possibly a quotation and the preceding thee in 23 due to the attraction of its Sg. The language is in the main deuteronomic, but the section has been taken falong with 32-40) as from another hand than i. 6-iv. 8 (alternatively i. 6-iv. 4) on these grounds: that the same author would not have repeated in 21 f. what he has narrated in iii 26; that 10 ff. imply that Moses is addressing the same generation as was alive at Horeb and are therefore discrepant with i. as ff. and ii. 16, while agreeing with the Second Discourse, cp. vii. 16; that of the phrases used nome are found in D only in v .- xxvi., xxviii. (lest thou forget, 9, 23, vi. 12, viii. 11, 14, 19, ix. 7, xxv. 19; which thine eyes have seen, 9, vii. 19, x. 21, cp. xi. 7; all the days of thy life, 0, vi. 2, xvi. 3, xvii. 19); thyself, and keep thy soul difficently, lest thou forget the things which thine eyes saw, and lest they depart from the theorem of the thine they have the they depart from the to the things and the things the things the things the to the things the things the things the things the state of the things the things the things the things the sid unto me, Assemble me the people, and I will make

them hear my words, that they may learn to fear me all the

others are found only in 1 (made and founds, twinged final, mything, that oregin, 1/3) or other labe winter (figure, 16, iron furnary). Note, too, people of inheritance, 26, for the usual postilar people. The discrepancy less belowly is not conclusive; notifier does the language necessarily imply an ealite date; even the phrases found elsewhere notly in Pare very general. The similarities to v. —xxvi, xxviii may imply a date subsequent to the latter; but are too few to render such an inference certain.

Ouly] Not restriction to one point, but emphasis on the principle
of the whole of the Law. For the use of this restrictive adverb so frequent in D see on x, 15.

take heed to thyself | Found in JE, Gen. xxxi. 24, etc., but frequent

in D -- 9 times thus, and 5 more generally.

keep thy soul dilignally | Rather, guard well thyself (cp. 23 Pl.)

or thy life; ep. 1, that ye may live.

Lest thou forget the things which thine eyes saw The experience of the

nation as a whole is meant, and not only that of the generation addressed. So the prophets frequently call on their contemporaries to reneuther what happened to the nation long ago. Hence the transition in this verse to the Sg. is natural and does not imply another author. Similarly throughout the following discourse  $v-x_i$ . See on  $x_i$  =  $t_i$  by hand? The seat not of the enoughous but of the precical in-

enforcement to hand on the religious tradition: 10, vi. 7, 20 f., xi. 19, xxxi. 13, xxxi. 46.

10. the day! Governed by lest then forget in v. 9; or an acc. of

10. The day | Governed by lest than forget in v. g; or an acc. of time.

thou stoodest before.. thy God J So Sams, the nation being still regarded as an individual; LXX ye stood,

Assemble me the people] See below on v. 22.

 days that they live upon the earth, and that they may teach their children. And ye came near and stood under the 11 mountain; and the mountain burned with fire unto the heart of heaven, with darkness, cloud, and thick darkness. And the Lorn spake unto you out of the midst of the fire: 12 ye heard the voice of words, but ye saw no form; only ye heard the commanded you to perform, even the ten which he commanded you to perform, even the ten

cp. Lat. 'religio' and our general use of 'religious' and 'religiously' —an earnest, anxious obedience; never a mere feeling, but the intelligent and loyal practice of a trust. See also on xiv. 23.

11. ye came near and stood under the mountain] E. Exod. xix. 17, took station in the nether bart of the mount.

burned with fire ] J. Exod. xix. 18, Mount Simi was all on smoke ... us the smoke of a furnace, and ... quaked greatly; E. Exod. xx. 18,

thunder, lightning, and mount smoking.

unto the heart of heaven] A characteristic deuteronomic addition;
co. i. 28.

with darkness, cloud, and thick darkness] The accumulation is characteristic; cp. F. Exod. xix. 16, thick cloud; xx. 21, thick darkness: P. xiv, 15 b. 184, cloud.

xxiv. 17, the glory of Jehovah like devouring fire.

the voice of words...only...a voice E. Exod. xix. 19, God answered.

by a voice; P, Exod. xxiv. 16, called out of the cloud; E, Exod. xix. 16, 19, xx. 18, reiterates the sound of a trumpet, exceeding loud. The omission of this by D is noteworthy.

ye unw no form! Heb. Emilianh, form or shape; E, Exod. xx. 4.

This feeling, that seeing is more sensuous than hearing, was shared by the prophets, who forbaid the presentation of God in any physical shape, yet did not hesitate to use words describing Him in the likeness of a man; father, husband, warrior, even as a travailing woman, xxii. 1.8 1/3ai. /xiii. zxi.

13. his commant, which he commanded you! Heb. M-thi (prob. from a root at a him) meant any compact, contract or largain: between friends; I Sam, axiii; 3; man and wife, Prov. ii. 17; master and servant, Job xii. 4; high and people; 2 Sam. v. 3; former fore, whether initivitudes, he iii. 17 f., or peoples; J. Exod. exili. 32; Dent. vii. 2 (the only instance in D of its non-religious use); congenere and conquered, 1 sam, xi. 1. B-tilk might apply either to the transaction or to the terms of the configuration of continuous. When the justice were of unequal power the terms were imposed by the stronger. So between Col and Instact, Illi conceasing which He configurated, preven advance.

¹commandments; and he wrote them upon two tables of stone. 4 And the Lord commanded me at that time to teach you statutes and judgements, that ye might do them in the land 15 whither ye go over to possess it. Take ye therefore good heed unto yourselves; for ye saw no manner of form on the

#### 1 Help words.

Used first in a religious sense by JE, Geu. xv. 18, etc. of God's covenant with the patriarchs; Exod. xix, 5, xxiv. 7 ff. etc. with Israel at Hnreb; less used by the prophets, e.g. Hos. vi. 7, viii. 1; Jer. xi. 10, xxxi. 32; but very frequent in Dent., iv. 31, vii. 12, viii. 18, etc., with patriarchs (cp. vi. 18, ix 5, xi. 9, etc.); iv. 13, 23, v. 2, ix. 9, 11, 15, at Horeh; xvii. 217), xxix, r, 9, 12, 14, 21, 25 renewed in Mo'ab. The terms commanded by God were the words of the covenant, ], Exod. xxxiv. 28, or the covenant alone as here, i.e. the Decalogue, but in xxix. 1 the whole Deuteronomic Code; book of the covenant, E. Exod. xxiv. 7, the Hareb legislation, but in 2 Kgs xxiii. 2f., 21, cp. Deut. xxix. 21, the Deuteronomic Code. The tables of the Decalogue were the tables of the covenant, ix. 9, 11, 15; hence D's characteristic name for the Ark, the Ark of the Covenant, x. 8, xxxi. 9, 25 and in Josh. A covenant was soleumised by a sacrificial feast, Gen. xxi. 28 ff., xxxi. 46, 54. Hence probably the phrase to ent or strike a covenant (karath berith), ep. boxia répreir. Beyond the frequent use of this phrase, e.g. iv. 23, D nowhere associates the covenant with sacrifice. God makes (karath) it and it is His; swears to it; forgets it not, keeps, fulfils and establishes it, iv. 31, vil. 12, vili. 18, etc.; keeping covenant and true love, vii. 9, 12. Israel enters into it, xxix. 12, and is bound to keep and to do it, passim.

the ten commandments] Words. So also x. 4. E, Exod. xx. 1, all these words. A gloss in Exod. xxxiv. 28 has the ten words. See Driver's

note on both passages; and below on v. g, 'The Ten Words.'

he wrote them upon two tables of stone) See below on v. 21. On the

he eurode them upon two tables of stone! See below on v. 21. On the covenants' mentioned in the Peutaleuch see Driver, Exod, p. 175.

16. And the LORD commanded me at that time, etc.] Heb. em-

phasises me; these additional laws given through Moses appear, from the following phrase, to be the laws he is now about to publish, cp. w. 5; yet the words at that time point to the inclusion with them of the laws at Horeb, E. Exod. xx. 22—xxiii. 33. whither ye go over to passest of | A phrase peculiar to passages in the

Pi. address. Contrast v. 5. See ou vi. 1.

Vv. 13 f. form a slight digression from the main subject of 9-24, and

are taken by some as a later intrusion. But this is to forget the general discursiveness of the author. See too next note.

15. ye saw no manuer of form! Resumes and repeats the reminder

10. ye taw to manuer of form; Resumes and repeats the reminder to n 12 in a way that would have been unnecessary but for the digression in 13f.; and proves that the latter is original. Form, Heb. t\*måuah.

day that the LORD spake unto you in Horeb out of the midst of the fire; lest ye corrupt yourselves, and make you a 16 graven image in the form of any figure, the likeness of male or female, the likeness of any beast that is on the earth, the 17 likeness of any winged fowl that flieth in the heaven, the 18 likeness of any thing that creepeth on the ground, the likeness of any fish that is in the water under the earth: and 19 lest thou lift up thine eyes unto heaven, and when thou seest the sun and the moon and the stars, even all the host of heaven, thou be drawn away and worship them, and

lest ye corrupt yourselves] Act perniciously.
 a graven image! Heb. pesel: any idol carved in stone or wood.

figure] Heb. sensel, only here; Erek. viii. 3, 5; 2 Chron. xxxiii. 7, 15, the Phoen. apparently for a statue, dropods (CIS t. i. 41, line 1; 88, lines 4, 5; 91, 1). So here of the human figure as the following words show.

the likeners, etc.] Rather, the build or mould, Heb. tabnith, of male or female.

17. the likeness ] Again tabutth.

winged fowl] Heh, bird of wing : cp. P, Gen. vii. 14; i. 21.

18. "the vader under the earth] The Hebrews conceived the sea not only as lower than and round the earth, but as passing beneath it (the earth being established or fixed over it) and so forming the source of all fountains, many of whith in Syvin are sait, and of all treams. Cp. Piss. xxiv. 2, xxxvi. 6, the great deep; Am. vii. 43 Jon. ii. 3—6, and see helmon xxxiii. 23.

 lest than lift up thine eyes unto heaven] Change to Sg., confirmed by Sam, and LXX.

thou be drawn away Rather reflexive, let thyself be drawn, xxx. 4,

Digitates by Google

serve them, which the LORO thy God hath divided unto all 20 the peoples under the whole heaven. But the LORD hath taken you, and brought you forth out of the iron furnace, out of Egypt, to be unto him a people of inheritance, as at 21 this day. Furthermore the LORD was angry with me for your sakes, and sware that I should not go over Jordan, and

your sakes, and sware that I should not go over Jordan, and that I should not go in unto that good land, which the LORD 22 thy God giveth thee for an inheritance: but I must die in worthie them, and serve them? Rather, bow down to them and

worship them. Cp. v. 9, viii. 19, xi. 16, xvii. 3, xxix. 26 (25), xxx. 17. and the addition to E, Exod. xx. 5.

which the LODD thy food hath divided anto all the popical Distributed, or allution. An interesting attempt by the writer to reconcile in great truth that Jebowsh is God alone with the fact that the other nanlons worship other gods (ep. xxix: 8). This is part of His supreme alone with the control of the providence. Some find also in the words the feeling that such calls with the control of the control of the control of the control of the control Alex, (Streen, VI. 14, 14 of VI. the start have been assigned to them, for a phy release diven yearly are the control of the control of the control of the Himself, 880 pp a cry before a rich theory a locality myshe their.

The coincidence of the change of address to the Sg. with the change of subject leads some to take be were as an intrusion by a later haddle. But it may be a later addition by the author of the context himself on consideration of wil, 3, and as this is in the Sg. form it would according to the Sg. bere. But note the parallel under the whole hearem with it, 32. In any case there is no need to take the passes as a post-exilie; the danger it would avert was, as the passages cited show, especially strong before the exilt.

20. But, etc. ] Heb. But you, emphatic, hath Jehovah taken. Israel,

so taken and redeemed, must worship Him alone.

out of the iron furnace, out of Egypt Cp. the deuteronomic 1 Kgs viii, 31 and Jer. xi. 4. The increase of references to iron-smelting from the 8th cent. onwards is noteworthy; ferusalem, t. 332.

a people of inheritance] cp. xxxii. 9; elsewhere in D a peculiar people, cp. vii. 6.

as at this day | See ii. 30.

21. Furthermore the LORD was angry with me for your sakes? See on i. 37, ill. 26. The fact is again introduced here as a relevant motive to the following exhortation; this answers the proposal to treat it, on account of its repetition, as an intrusion.

that good land Heb. the; see on i. 35.

which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance) Heb, partie, it about to give thee, xix, ro, xx, 16, xxi, 23, xxiv, 4, xxvl, 1; as an inheritance to possess if, xx, 4, xxv. vg; cp, xix, 31; only in D, and almost always with the Sg, address, but cp. xxix, 8. The transition to the Sg, is confirmed by Sam, and L.N.

this land, I must not go over Jordan: but ye shall go over, and possess that good land. Take heed unto yourselves, 23 lest ye forget the covenant of the LORD your God, which he made with you, and make you a graven image in the form of any thing which the LORD thy God hath forbidden thee.

For the LORD thy God is a devouring fire, a jealous God.

When thou shalt beget children, and children's children, 25
and ye shall have been long in the land, and shall corrupt

23. Take heed unto yourselves] See on w. 9, 15; covenant, see on v. 13; and for the rest v. 16.

24. a devouring fire Cp. ix. 3; a frequent description of God in Isaiah: xxix. 6, xxx. 27, 30.

a jealous God] v. 9, vi. 15. J, Exod. xxxiv. 14, Jehovah whose name is Jealous is a jealous God. These two expressions always occur in Sg. passages; and the Sg. here may be explained as a quotation. On jealous see Driver on Ex. xx. 5.

#### THREAT OF EXILE WITH PROMISE OF GRACE ON REPENTANCE.

If, with the slackness of increasing years, Israel give way to idolarly (3), Moses testifies that they shall perish from the land (26), and be scattered among the peoples (27) where indeed they must worship sencles; idols (18). So far the PL address. But if—change to the Sig.—in these latter days of tribulation the nation secks and returns to Jehovah it shall find Him (49). He will not fail nor forget lebovah it shall find Him (49). He will not fail nor forget it covenant (34).—As we shall see from the notes the threat of exile is no unificant ground for judging 28—38 to be an exile addition, but there are several phrases which only D and P have. Others are found only in exile the continuation of the continuation of

35. When thou that the ded...and ye thall have hear] Kenl, ye that leget. The sentence illustrates the difficulties raised by the variant forms of address. So quick a change from Sg. to Pt., confirmed by the mother nation; ye with entain and it is children). Yet the Sg. is more probably due to the attraction of the previous Sg., a copysis naturally confirming the latter (III the changed form arrested him. For thy God both Sam. and LXX read your God. Thus the Pt. is and in Pt. The word for deget only here, axvii, 1 and in Pt.

ye shall have been long] Or grown old or stale, used of old corn, Lev. xxvi. 10, and inveterate leprosy, xiii, 11. Here not metely living long

yourselves, and make a graven image in the form of any thing, and shall do that which is evil in the sight of the 26 LORD thy God, to provoke him to anger: I call heaven and earth to witness against you this day, that ye shall, soon utterly perish from off the land whereunto ve go over Jordan to possess it; ye shall not prolong your days upon it, but 27 shall utterly be destroyed. And the Lorn shall scatter you among the peoples, and ye shall be left few in number

among the nations, whither the Lord shall lead you away.

28 And there ye shall serve gods, the work of men's hands, wood and stone, which neither see, nor hear, nor eat, nor

in the land, but growing ageil in spirit, losing spiritual freshness. Similarly the propliets judged the wilderness days to have been the ideal period of Israel's history, the subsequent ages decadent.

corrupt yourselves! See on v. 16; graven image, etc., ibid.

do evil in the oyea of the LORD] ix. 18, xvii. 2, xxxi. 29, and P, Num.

xxxii. 13; or good, vi. 18, xii. 28.

to provoke him! ix. 18, xxxi. 20, xxxii. 16, 21, also in deuteronomic

passages in Kings and in Jeremiah.

26. I rail heaven and earth to mitness against you.] So xxx. 19, xxxi. ox, Berth, points on that the older steps leaserth and hammen. In controversy between God and Isaacl sature is introduced as the executioner of Histogenessis, or as suffering these with man; or as sillustrating the steady laws or principles on which God acts in the moral appeare; or as here, Nice. 14: His as witnessing against man. Enduring, the heavest God and man, and when His evil come will be able to testify that tiool had warned the people. But differently la xxxii. 1, g. 27.

ye shall soon utterly perish from off the land) Perish that is as a nation, vii. 4, xi. 17, xxviii. 20 and the deuteronomic Josh. xxiii. 16,

Scon, vii. 4, 22, ix. 3, 12, 16, xxviii. 20.

whereinto ye go over fordan to possess it] characteristic of the l'l. passages. See lutrod, and on are 5, 14 and vi. ... ye shall not prolong your days] Again, as a nation. In the Hex.

only here and iv. 40, v. 33, xi. 9, xvii. 20, xxii. 7, xxx. 18, xxxii. 47; and passive, v. 16, vi. 2, xxv. 15. Cp. E. Josh. xxiv. 31.

27. few in number | Heb. idiom men of a number, easily counted, instead of being innumerable, as the stars in heaven for multitude.

28. pr shall serve goals, this twokef most? hands, etc.] The acme of their punishment. They have chosen to serve idols; idols must every every in a land where the worship of Jebovah is impossible. This acom overseless idols, also in axvii. j. 5, xxviii. 36, 64, sq. xii. 17, xxxii. 39, is 2, xxii. 18, xxii. 19, xxiii. 19, xxiii. 19, xxiii. 19, xxiii. 19, xxiii. 19, xxiii. 19, xxiii

smell. But if from thence ye shall seek the LORD thy God, 29 thou shalt find him, if thou search after him with all thy heart and with all thy soul. When thou art in tribulation, so and all these things are come upon thee, in the latter days thou shalt return to the LORD thy God, and bearken unto his voice; for the LORD thy God is a merciful God; he will u not fail thee, neither destroy thee, nor forget the covenant

1 Or, if in the latter days thou return

29. But if from theuce ye shall seek...thou shalt find | The Pl. ye is due either to the attraction of the plurals of the previous verses or to a dittography. How easily the former worked is seen from the LXX which carries the Pl. as far as search after him. Read with Sam, thou shalt seck. Thus the Sg. stands throughout 29-31. Omit him after find; cp. Jer. xxix. 13.

with all thy heart and with all thy soul | Heart the seat of the practical intellect (see on v. o); soul of the desires, the two thus covering the whole man. See vi. 5, x. 12, xi. 13, xiii. 3, xxvi. 16, xxx. 2, 6, 10 (vi. 5 adds with all thy force), and deuteronomic passages in Josh. and Kgs; once in Jer. xxxii. 41 of God. This enforcement of spiritual thoroughness is characteristic of D.

30. all these things] Implied in 26 f.
in the latter days] The end or issue of the days; frequently m the prophets of what is beyond the period with which they are engaged. and hearken unto his voice! Found also in IE, this phrase much oftener occurs in D: no less than 17 times.

a merciful God | Cp. IE, Exod. xxxiv. 6.

he will not fail thee] Rather, will not let thee drop (Driver); will hold thee fast. Cp. xxxi. 6, 8; Josh. i. 5. nor forget the covenant | See on v. 13.

Further Note on 25-31. The two parts of this 25-28 and 20-31 are probably separate; note the change of address. Berth, says that the whole 'bears clearly the stamp of exilic authorship.' This is not true of 25-28, the threat of exile. After the exile of N. Israel in 721 and the precedents in prophecy for a threat of exile (ep. Amos, Isaiah and Jeremiah), and the notorious policy of Assyria towards subject races. it would on the contrary have been strange not to have found in the pre-exilic deuteronomists, with their prophetic temper, some foreboding of exile. Dillm. rightly says, 'the threat of exile has nothing surprising in it,' if we compare ch. xxviii. But the case is different with the promise contingent on the conversion of the people in exile. In itself it is as conceivable in D as in the prophets (whom it is impossible to regard, as a powerful school of criticism does, as predicters only of indrement), but as Dillin, points out it lies here too far away from the purpose of the exhortation 1. Add to this reasons of form, (1) that the

1 There is an analogy, however, in xxix, 6,



32 of thy fathers which he sware unto them. For ask now of the days that are past, which were before thee, since the day that God created man upon the earth, and from the one end of heaven unto the other, whether there hath been any such thing as this great thing is, or hath been heard like 33 it? Did ever people hear the voice of God speaking out of 34 the midst of the fire, as thou hast heard, and live? Or hath

for introducing 3.6 ft. has no relevancy to 39-31, but continues 25-28 (see Driver), and (2) the change from the Pt. to the Sg. address—and there is a strong case for taking 29-31 as a later exilic insertion like \$\partial{\text{c}}\] and there is a strong case for taking 29-31 as a later exilic insertion like \$\partial{\text{c}}\], and the strong case for taking 29-31 as a later exilic insertion like \$\partial{\text{c}}\], and the strong case of taking 29-32 as a later exilic instrain that \$18-38\$ are the natural constituation of \$\text{r}\], 32. We may, therefore, take 23-38 as integral, and only 9-33 as a later exilic instrain.

## 32-40. THE UNIQUENESS OF THE GOD OF ISRAEL.

This further appeal to the vole delty of Isneel's God is founded upon the nation's experience of the unparalleled revelations. He has naise to them, the asparalleled sheets which He has performen for open the process of the process of the state of the stat

32. For] The connection, as we have seen, is not with the imme-

iliately preceding 29-31, but with either 28 or 24,

ask now, etc.] The challenge is bold and characteristic of D. From the first of time, from one end of heaven to the other, nothing has ever happened like that which Israel has experienced at Horeb or in the deliverance from Egypt to which the next verses proceed.

the day that God created man) P, Gen. i. 27, v. 1, created, bara',

P's characteristic expression for J's made and formed.

whether there hath been Heb. brought itself into being, happened.
33. voice of God | Rather, the voice of a god, and with Sam. and LNX add living. Cp. v. 26.

and live] v. 23 ff. The well-known belief of ancient man that it meant death to come into close converse with the Deity.

God assayed to go and take him a nation from the midst of another nation, by <sup>1</sup>temptations, by signs, and by wonders, and by war, and by a mighty hand, and by a stretched out

## 1 Or, trials Or, evidences

34. Or hath God assayed Rather, hath a god. The verb nissah is rendered in xxviii. 56 adventured. It is also used for the tempting or testing of Israel by God, viii. 2, 16, xiii. 3 (4) (also in E), or of God by Israel, vi. 45 (also in E).

to go] Heb. to come, which is better, meaning to come upon earth.

by temptations, by signs, and by wonders) vii, 10, xxix, 2 (partly vi. 22, vi. 3). Temptations, rather tests, provings or experiments, massoth (from the verb explained in previous note), such as those applied to l'hara'oh; not only to prove him, but to offer him proofs that God was with Israel-so in the account of the plagues in IE, especially Exod, viii. off., ix. 27. Signs or evidences, 'othoth, in the widest sense, any distinguishing mark (e.g. blood on the doorposts of the Israelites, Exod. xii. 13; a family mark or ensign, Num. ii. 2); but usually of an action or event attached to an oracle, either to illustrate or enforce its meaning (Isaiah stripped and barefoot, Isai, xx. 2) or to prove its divinity (Isa. vii. 3, etc.). These last, though startling, were not necessarily miraculous: cp. 1 Sam. ii. 34, the death of Eli's sons, Isai. viii. 18, the prophet's sons with the ominous names and as above, Issi, xx. 3; but as in the cases before us they might be so. Orientals make no distinction, except of degree, between one kind and another. Wonders, mophethim (usually with signs; in addition to deuteronomic passages quoted above, and xiii. 1 (2), see Isai. viii. 18, xx. 3), rather portents, more closely attached to the idea of the extraordinary than sign is. Also with the particular sense of foreshadowing, prodicium; ep. Zech. iii. 8. See also Driver's Exedus p. 50.

by wor! To ask whether this implies a supernatural element, or simply the implication of Isarchi's armies, is to ignore the fact that Isarch themselves made no such distinction. Jebovah himself was their warpour; k, id. a.b. He disconfide the Egyptian start; j. id. 2s, life took of their charies-wheels, so that the Egyptian said, Jebovah fighted for them. But in other cases Isaach themselves also fought.

by a mighty hand] In D 10 times, both with Sg. and Pl.; iii. 24, thy mighty hand; followed by outstretched arm, as here, v. 15, vii. 19, xi. 2, xxvi. 8; alone, vi. 21, vii. 8, ix. 20; followed by great terrorz, xxxiv. 12. In JE (?), Exod. iii. 19, vi. 1, alone; cp. xiii. 14, 16, iterath of hand.

and by a stretched out arm! In D 6 times both with Sg. and Pl.; of which five times (as above) with a mighty hand, and once ix, 29 with great power. Elsewhere in the Hex. only in P, Evod. vi. 6, which also uses the verb stretch forth in Exod. vii. 5.

arm, and by great terrors, according to all that the LORD 35 your God did for you in Egypt before your eyes? Unto thee it was shewed, that thou mightest know that the LORD 36 he is God; there is none else beside him. Out of heaven he made thee to hear his voice, that he might instruct thee: and upon earth he made thee to see his great fire; and thou 37 heardest his words out of the midst of the fire. And because he loved thy fathers, therefore he chose their seed after them, and brought thee out with his presence, with his great 38 power, out of Egypt; to drive out nations from before thec greater and mightier than thou, to bring thee in, to give 39 thee their land for an inheritance, as at this day. Know

by great terrors] Heb. imbra'lm, terrifying things. LXX δράματα, mare Im, accepted by Gelger; but it is weaker than the other. Cp. x. 21, great and terrible things.

for you! LXX omits and for your God gives our God. The only plurals in this section; probably editorial.

before your eyes | Heb. thine eyes; the your of both EVV shows how

easy it is to change the original forms of address under the influence of attraction: there is a similar instance in A.V. iv. a you for thee. 36. Unto thee it was showed] Heb. Thou, thyself, wast made to

see it. Again an emphasis on the experimental character of Israel's religion. Jehovah does something! The formative effect of the tradition of the Exodus on that religion cannot be overestimated. 36. See on v. 15.

that he might instruct thee | discipline thee, 'that the people might be brought to a temper of becoming reverence' (Driver). 37. And because he loved thy fathers] So Hos. xi. + f. In Pent.

only here and x. 15; but cp. vii. 8, 13, xxiii. 5. The free grace and election of God is to the prophets and D the original motive of the wonderful and unparalleled history.

and chose their seed after them | So Sam., LXX, Syr., Targ. and Vule. Helt, has his seed after him which would mean Abraham. The change to the Sg. is interesting as showing how easily a writer pa-sed

from one number to the other. On chose see vii. 6.

38. to drive out nations from before thee] Helv. to dispossess. from before thee; ix. 4, 5, xi. 23, xviii, 12 (and the probably editorial Exod. xxxiv. 24); cp. vit. 17, ix. 3, 5. For another form of same vh also with obj. of person see on ix. 1. Both are characteristic of D and occur both with Sg. and Pl.

greater and mightier than thoul vil. 6. See ix. 1. to give thee their land for an inheritance] See on i. 38, v. 31.

as at this day! 'The reference may be either to the territory E. of Jordan, or (by an anachronism) to Palestine generally; the similar therefore this day, and lay it to thine heart, that the LORN he is God in heaven above and upon the earth beneath; there is none else. And thou shalt keep his statutes, and 40 his commandments, which I command thee this day, that it way go well with thee, and with thy children after thee, and that thou mayest prolong thy days upon the land, which the LORD thy God giveth thee, for ever.

language of vir. 1 end, ix. 1, xi. 23 favours the latter interpretation' (Driver).

Know therefore] The apollosis in the lung sentence 37 30 begins here and not us the R.V. gives it with chose in r. 37. See on vii. 9.

lay it to thine heart] Heb. bring back to thy heart, i.e. mind or memory. See on r. 29, and v. 6.

40. then shalt keep his statutes and his commandments. Return to the keynote in v. 1.

Profose the days. See on v. 26.

#### 41-43. HISTORICAL NOTE.

Then, i.e. at the time of the preceding discourse in Moab, Moses set apart three cities E. of Jordan as asylums for men, who unwittingly and without previous hatred had slain their fellows: Beser, on the Plateau, Ramoth in Gilead, and Golan in Bashan .- The style of this fragment is deuteronomic (see notes below). But had it belonged to the previous historical discourse it would surely have appeared somewhere in iii. 18-29 (before the subsequent exhortations); and have been expressed in the 1st instead of the 3rd pers, sing. Nor is it alluded to, nor presupposed by, D's law on the Cities of Refuge, xix. off.; indeed, it cannot have been known to the author of this law which directs Israel to set apart three cities in the midst of the land which God is going to give them, i.e. the whole land both E. and W. of Jurdan 1 (with the proviso that if God shall enlarge the land they may all three more). The fragment cannot have belonged, therefore, to the original D. P, in Num. xxxv. 9-34, records a law, as given to Moses in Moab, on the same subject; but states it (1) far more elaborately, (2) in a different vocalitalary, and (3) with some differences of substance (see for details, Intr. to Pent. 121f.). The cities are to be six, three on either side Jordan, and to be appointed after the people have passed over Jordan. In another P passage, Jos. xx. 1 f., this is said (again with some difference of terms) to have been done

<sup>1</sup> This is the only fair interpretation; if the law air, 1 ff, had menut three cities in W. Palsaine in addition to the three already set apart by Moses on the £. of Jordan, it would ustedy have althode to the latter. The law was obviously inade in consequence of the institution of the single sanctuary and without regard to any historical stadition of what Moses or Johan had done.

Then Moses separated three cities beyond Jordan toward 42 the sunrising; that the manslayer might flee thither, which slayeth his neighbour unawares, and hated him not in time past; and that fleeing unto one of these cities he might

by Joshua; and the three E. cities named by him are the same as here-From all these data the most reasonable inference is that this fragment is the work of a deuteronomic editor either employlng a tradition unknown to P; or (more probably) with P before him and making from it the natural inference that Moses had himself named the three cities E. of Jordan -If this be correct the fragment is an interesting illustration of the tendency (in many nations) to develop historical narrative out of law. In the earlier legislation (E, Exod. xxi. 12-14; see Driver's Ex. 215 f.) asylum is granted at every altar to him who has slain a man accidentally (but not to the wilful murderer). When all the altars were abolished by the deuteronomic legislation, except that of the Single Sanctuary, it became necessary to sanction asyla at a certain number of other places. This is done by D (Deul. xix. 1 ff.). The places were chosen partly (as is evident from the towns named W. of Iordan, Kedesh, Shechem, and Heliron) because they contained ancient sanctuaries and partly because of their convenience (evident equally from the towns chosen E. and W. of [ordan]. From this arose the tradition2 that the selection had been made in the earliest times; but one form of the tradition assigns the naming of the three towns E, of Jordan to Moses; the other assigns the naming of all six to Joshua.-Why the deuteronomic editor should have put the former just here it is impossible to determine.

41. Then Moses separated | Rather, set apart. In x. 8 the verb is used of God's solemn separation of Levi to bear the aik, etc., and in xxix. 21 (20) of the idolater to evil. The form of the verb here has the force of began, or proceeded, to set spart,

three cities ] On the number, and its contradiction of xix. 1 ft., see

above, note introductory to this fragment.

beyond fordin] As in i. I the writer writes in W. Palestine. This is put past doubt by the additional clause, toward the sunrising, cf. v. 47. I' pmits sun and writes towards the rising, p. 40 and Num, xxxii, 10. xxxiv. 16.

42. unawares, and hated him not in time past] The same terminology as in xix, 1 ff. For the E has lies not in wait but God delevers him into his hand (in contrast with wilfully), Exod. xxi. 12-14; but P gives another term, in error or inadvertence, Num. xxxv, 11, 18, Josh. Ax. combines both phrases 120. 3, 5, 9.

I The editor who compiled P with JED,

"The above data show that the tradition (1) could not have been earlier than the deuteronomic legislation, for every altar before that provided an asylum; and (a) that it was later than the desterosonic legislation.

live: namely, Bezer in the wilderness, in the <sup>3</sup>plain country, 43 for the Reubenites; and Ramoth in Gilead, for the Gadites; and Golan in Bashan, for the Manassites.

#### 1 Or, table land

45. Beart P Basar; described, as here, in Josh, xx. 8; and in Josh, xxi. 36 along with Yahas, Kedemoth, and Mepha'ath. The name also occurs on the Mostile stone, line 27. No modern equivalent has been recovered. The meaning of the name is the general one of wall or fonce.

Ranach in Gilear J Josh. xx. 8, xxi. 28 (with Mahanain), Ranach of Gileard, I. Rigs iv. 13, etc. 11 has been variously identified with Ex-Sult (because of the unitary and administrative importance of this six, and the statement of Emedians and Jerone that Ranach Gliead Iny rains, called el-Jalvid, 6 miles N. of ex-Sult. The Bibliott data, however, imply as it is N. of the Jabbob. Some have faced on Jerah with a site still further N. seems necessary. There Gadara (because it must always have been a fortress of importance, detactable between Israel and Aram, and because it is not orherwise mentioned in the O. T.) and Rembeh (both because it is not orherwise mentioned in the O. T.) and Kembeh (both because it is not orherwise mentioned in the O. T.) and to the order of the order order of the order of the order of the order of the order of th

Galani Josh, xx. 8, xxi. 27. The Parkiery of Josephus (XIII. Jah. xx. 3; 1 B.f. | iv. 4, 8) was in Eusekius' time 'a very large village in Batanea. 'To day the name Jaulian corresponds to the Parkiering of Greek period, E. of the Lake of Gailkee and between the Yarmith and Hermon. Schumacher identifies the town with the modern Sahen-ellaulan, trimiles E. of the Lake. See HGHE 4.44 n. 9, x86 v.

### 44 49. Introduction (or Introductions) to the following Discourses and Laws (v.—xxvi.).

The appearance of a fresh heading at this point—between the two distinct sets of discourse 1. 6—14, 40 and v.—3.4, which are further separated by the historical fragment, iv. 41—43—raises questions at the heart of the problem of the structure of the book of Deuteronomy. Does it signify that once the book began here and consisted only of the discourse v.—41, and the lines ali. —xvii.; 1. 6—14, 40 having been perfored hare? So Graf, Kong, Wellin, König, etc. Or is the appearance 1.—xxii. is the work of one author? So Dillin and Driver on the ground that a new title would not be unnatural where the actual experience of the state of the s

### And this is the law which Moses set before the children

independent titles; vv. 46-49 not only accumulate details after the manner of some other titles in the O.T. but contain a slight difference of style 1 in 47 D's towards the sunrising, but in 49 P's shorter form of the same (see on v. 41 and the notes below). Other non-deuteronomic phrases are set before and children of Israel, thrice (see below on v. 44); but both the contents, and with one exception the language, of 46-49 closely recall parts of chs. ii. and iii. Recently there has been a general disposition to break up the heading. Steuernagel supposes 44 and 45 to be respectively the titles of the two documents, in the Sg. and in the Pl. form of address, which he traces throughout clas. v. ff. ; Bertholet takes 44 as the transition from the first introductory address, i .--iii., to the legislation proper, xii -- xxvi.; and 45-40 as an introduction to ch. v.; Callen takes 44 with 45¢, 46 a as the title to the original environment of the Law code or 'Torah,' but 45 ab, 46 be as that of the first combined edition of the 'Miswah' and 'Torah' (see Introd. § 1). The variety of these hypotheses alone shows their precariousness; and there is this further objection to finding in the double title, 44 and 45, headings to the original documents of D, viz. that even in these verses non-deuteronomic phrases occur. The whole passage looks editorial: one piece (Dillmann) in the cumulative style beloved by later scribes rather than a growth from an original nucleus (Driver). Why then was it inserted just here? Dillm.'s and Driver's answer, because at last with ch. v. begins the actual exposition of the law, is hardly relevant; because in that case v. 44 or v. 45 would have contained some such verb as the expound which we find in the title i. s. Indeed, that title is more suitable here than where it stands, for it describes better the expository and hortatory character of v. ff. than the prevailing historical style of i, 6-iv, 40 - On a review of the data and these arguments it seems to the present writer more possible. and even probable, that part of i. 1-5 (and more particularly 5) originally formed the introduction to the combined discourses and laws. v.-xxvi.; that it was divorced from these by the prefixing to them of i. 6-iv. 40; and that when the whole book 1. - xxvi. was thus constituted, it was found convenient for its practical use to supply a new heading to chs. v. ff. (v. 1 being too slight for the purpose), which should at once indicate that a new set of discourses begins here, and at the same time furnish a summary of the historical situation in which the discourses and legislation were delivered as described in chs. ii., iii. Such a suggestion is at least suitable to the salient features of iv. 45-40: that the language is partly post-deuteronomic and that part of the substance is based on chs. ii., iii.

44. And this is the law | So too Sam.; LXX, Vg. and Pesh omit and. A slight symptom of the fact that this title once stood at the very beginning of an edition of D, the conjunction having been added when other matter was prefixed to it. On law, Törnh, see i. 5, xxxi 1, etc.

of Israel: these are the testimonies, and the statutes, and 45 the judgements, which Moses spake unto the children of Israel, when they came forth out of Egypt; beyond Jordan, 46 in the valley over against Beth-peor, in the land of Silion king of the Amorites, who dwelt at Heshbon, whom Moses and the children of Israel smote, when they came forth out of Egypt: and they took his land in possession, and the 47 land of Og king of Bashan, the two kings of the Amorites, which were beyond Jordan toward the sunrising; from 48

set before] Heb. sam liphuse instead of the synonymous nathan liphue usual in D.

children of Israel Heh. one Yisra'el. So E, x. 6; JE (?), xxxi. 19, 22 f.; P. i. 3, xxxii. 51, xxxiv. 8 f. and in titles here, vv. 45, 46, xxix. 1 (xxviii. 69). In D the usual term is all Israel (Bise Yisra'el in iii. 18, xxiii. 18 is no exception, for there and probably also in xxiv. 7 it means

only sons, i.e. males, of Israel.)

45. the testimossies An unsatisfactory translation of Ileb. 'Edoth. As the kindred verb signifies to solemnly affirm, attest, protest and warn, 'edoth may mean either (1) decrees or edicts, or (2) solemn exhortations. Its association with statutes and judgements, here and again in vi. 20, and with commandments and statutes in vi. 17, where it stands not before but between these two legal terms, favours the former alternative. Similarly Puses the related form 'eduth for the Decalogue. Stevernagel's opinion that 'edith here covers the following hortatory' discourses is therefore, while possible, less probable. Bertholet, limiting the reference of pp. 45-40 to ch. v. (see introd. to this section), suggests that 'edoth means the Decalogue in ch. v. , statutes, and the judgements | See v. 1.

children of Israel See v. 44.

when they came forth out of Egypt An illustration of the writer's late perspective. For thus to date legislation given in Moab forty years after the actual Exodus, was not possible for Moses himself or for a writer contemporary or nearly contemporary with him; but only for one viewing the whole progress of Israel from Egypt to the Promised Land from a very distant standpoint.

46. beyond fordan | Sec i. 1.

the valley over against Beth bear | iii. 20.

whom Moses and the children of Israel smote, etc.] This part of 21 46 and 2. 47 are, of course, superfluous after chs. ii. and iii. But their superfluity does not necessarily prove that they were placed here before i. 6-iii. was prefixed to chs. v. ff. For vv. 48 f. are based on ch. iii.

47. toward the sunrising See v. 41.
48. 49. from Areer, etc.] These two vv. are a summary, with one addition, of what has been parrated in it. 36, iii. 8, 17, 9 to

Aroer, which is on the edge of the valley of Arnon, even 49 unto mount Sion (the same is Hermon), and all the Arabah beyond Jordan eastward, even unto the sea of the Arabah, under the 'slopes of Pisgah.

## 1 Ot, springs

mount Sion] Still another name for Hermon (see iii. 9), confirmed by LXX. The Pesh. Siron is probably derived from iii. 9. The Heb. Si'on (not to be confounded with the Jerusalem Siyyon, A.V. Zion) means elevation.

eastward] ad orientem, P's equivalent for D's towards the sunrising. See  $n_{\rm c}$  41.

B. CHS. V.—XI. THE SECOND DISCOURSE INTRODUCTORY TO

This discourse is characterised throughout by emphasising, as the foundation of everything, Israel's relation and duty to Jehovalı their God. Without love, fear, and loyalty towards Him, without a knowledge of what He is and has shown Himself to be in their experience, without a grateful rementbrance of what He has done for them in Egypt and the wilderness, and an equal sense of their utter dependence upon Him for the blessings of the Land to which He is bringing then -- without in short a jealous guarding of their heart in reverent awe and warm, undivided affection to Himself-they cannot keep His Laws with any constancy or power. It is the warmth and singleness of aim with which this spiritual theme is pursued that weld these chapters into a unity. There are, however, not only many small intrusions by the hands of editors, interrupting what is the particular theme of the discourse for the moment (on these see notes to separate verses), but signs that the main body of the discourse has been compiled from more than one source. Throughout the Sg. and Pl. forms of address succeed each other for longer and shorter sections; and these sections are at the same time marked by certain differences of subject, of attitude and temper, and of language. The two principal sections in the Pl., chs. v. and ix. 76-x. 11, are mainly historical and retrospective; and the former includes the Decalogue in the So, as oliviously a quotation. The Sg. sections which form the bulk of the iliscourse are mainly, though not exclusively, hortatory; and it is they alone which dwell on the beauties and blessings of the Land, to which Israel is coming. For further details of the distinction between the two, see the separate notes; and for the general questions raised see the Introduction, § 8.

Cu. V. Prologue to the Second Discourse introductury to the Laws.

This chapter is fairly complete in itself; and—apart from its quotation of the Decalogue—carries throughout the PL form of address, whereas

immediately after it in ch. vi. a change is made to the Singular, which then prevals for several chapters. On these grounds and because the subject is peculiar to isself Berthelet takes ch. v. as a separate discourse to correlate the Decalogue with that code. But there is no reason why such a design should not have been extrail out by the suthors of the Code, whose scope included betavory as well as legislation. Steepengack, who analyses v.—v.. into two discoursests, one in the IT, address and of course, as belonging to the forester, of male by forestery, takes ch. v., of course, as belonging to the forester, of male by forestery, takes ch. v.,

Moses (no date or place is given, but the discourse is under the title iv. 45-40 which gives both) summons Israel to bear laws which he has to speak to them (v. 1). But hist he tells them of the origin of these (which is also alluded to in iv. 11-14). He reminds them that at Horeb and with the present generation (this in contradiction to il. 14 f.). God had made a covenant, addressing them directly out of the fire (while Moses stood between to declare the purport of the awful Voice) (2-s). The words of that covenant were the Ten Words which he now quotes (6-21). To these, spokes to the whole Assembly, God added no more but wrote them on two tables of stone (22). Moses witnesses that having heard the voice of God and being still alive the people had yet feared that the fire would consume them and if they heard any more they would die (23-26); that they had begged him to go near and hear for them what God had still to say, promising their obedience to it (27). Hearing their words God had directed Moses to dismisa them to their tenta (28-30), but himself to stay and receive a command, statutes and judgements to teach the people to do in the land He was about to give them (31). Instead of immediately announcing these commandments, uttered to himself alone at Horeb, he

first exhaus the people to obey them (32 f.). This narrative is expanded, with some alterations of terminology, from the fragments of E concerning the theophany and publication of the Decalogue on Horeb; Ex. xix. 15, 17, 19; xx. 1-21. (For the evidence that in Ex. xix. and xx. two accounts of the theophany at Horeb have been mingled and for the discrimination of E from I see Driver's Exod. 168 ff. and W. R. Smith, OT/C2, footnote on 336.) E states that God descended on Horeb in thunder and lightning (D with fire and darkness) and agrees with D (but see below) that the Decalogue was then propounced from the mount in the hearing of all the people, that fearing death they begged God might speak to Moses and not to themselves, and that Moses drawing near received additional laws. Then there is a great difference. In E the laws communicated to Moses alone are presumably the so-called Book of the Covenant which immediately follows, xx. 22-xxiii. 33; in D they are, it is evident, the deuteronomic Code xii -- xxvi., not revealed by Moses till the people were in Moab 38 years from the time they had been at The interesting suggestion is made by Kuenen that originally E bad similarly assigned the publication of the 'Book of the Covenant' to the time in Moab, but when that Code was replaced by the deutero5 And Moses called unto all Israel, and said unto them, Hear, O Israel, the statutes and the judgements which I speak in your ears this day, that ye may learn them, and observe z to do them. The LORD our God made a covenant with us in 3 Horeb. The LORD made not this covenant with our fathers, but with us, even us, who are all of us here alive this day. 4 The LORD spake with you face to face in the mount out of

nomic legislation, it was removed to the account of the occurrences at Horeb.

1. called unto] i.e. summoned together. So rightly LXX.
all Israel] D's characteristic phrase for the people: see iv. 44Hear, O Israel] The verb is the only Sg. in this FI, passage. So
in the same association in other PI, passages i iv. 1, xx. 3 (cp. i. 8).
the statutes and the judgements; also characteristic of D.

the statutes and the judgements; also characteristic of D.

observe to dol also characteristic of D; occurring some 20 times
both with Se. and Pl.: but many of the instances are editorial.

2. covenant) See iv. 13.

3. not with our fathers | Rather, forefathers, i.e. the Patriarchsthose great Grandfathers of the Church t'-with whom, however, D recognises a previous covenant, iv. 37, vii. 12, viii. 18. The immediate fathers of the generation had all passed away before the entry into Moab. according to ii. r4 f. Here it is said emphatically that those with whom the covenant at Horeb had been made were still all-us, all of us-alive here this day. Dillmann meets the contradiction by taking it. 14 f. as a later gloss. Others find in it a proof of the difference of authorship between the first discourses i. 6-iv. and the present series; but this still leaves upsolved the difference within the former between i. 30 and ii. 14 f. A more probable explanation is that the speaker is made to ignore the tradition of the death of those who had been adults at Horeh (of which the author cannot well have been ignorant) for rhetorical purposes: (1) to emphasise the contrast between the Patriarcha and Israel after the Exodus; and (2) to emphasise the new responsibility which the Horeb covenant had laid on the latter, in all its successive generations. What Dillmann on i. 30 says of the previous discourse is true of this one (cp. xi. 2-7); 'In the whole discourse Moses conceives the present generation as identical with the previous one."

4. face to face] i.e. person with person, without the intervention of another. The metaphor is hardly an instance of the tendency of D's style to hyperhole<sup>2</sup>. For although all that the people perceived was a

1 Donne, The Litanie, vii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is, however, an interesting illustration of how an O.T, where (like so many of the prophets), while forbidding strenously the representation of the Delity in any material form, does not benittee in one antibenously heart presentation of the Delity in any material form, does not benittee in the contract to man. Co. In v. 22, 15 and possible that literals as we amounter of flows in the is denied in fact, to as to acclude every accuse for phasic representation of the Delity, is allowed in metaphor.

the midst of the fire, (I stood between the LORD and you at 5 that time, to shew you the word of the LORD: for ye were afraid because of the fire, and went not up into the mount;) saying,

works, or sound, of words (iv. 12), this came at first directly to the whole people, and it was because they feared the effect of its directness that they begged Moses to mediate (iv. 24—27). But if not a hyperbolic the phrase fine to fate need qualification—it was only with Moses that God cliked (morally appealing) face to face (axxiv. 10, Ex. xxxiii) and qualification is given immediately in parenthesis in the next verse.

out of the midst of the fire | So in iv. 12 (but without the phrase preceding in the mount), 15, 33, 36; and v. 22, 24, ix. 10, x. 4.

6. [I took detracen the Likht and you, to show you he word] I thele a circumstantial clause: I standary electron plowers and you at that time, in order to publish, or declare, to you the word, etc.; to anticipate what though dearthy declared had been in its arbitross anticipate what though dearthy declared had been in the arbitross provided to a specific property of the proper

# 'THE TEN WORDS.'

In this series—see Driver, Expdus, 191 ff.; cp. Chapman, Introd. to the Pent. 11: ff.—the 'T-m Words' have already been introduced, analyzed and annotated. But a statement of the textual data and the questions they start is accessary also here, especially with reference to the relations of the two cellitons (in D and E) of 'the T-m Words' to the relations to the two cellitons (in D and E) of 'the T-m Words' to the relations of the two cellitons (in D and E) of 'the T-m Words' to all Horeb.

First, the Names for this central Hebrew code: (a) 'Words,' se E, Exod, xx. 1 (all these words); either in the broadest sense of the term sayings, utterances, or more specifically words of command or order as used for a king's decree, a Chron. xxi 4, 6, or for God's, Gen. xliv. 2, vivii. 30 and often elsewhere. (6) 'The Ten Words' only in D (iv. 13) x. a) rendered by A.V. The Ten Commandments, which has thus become the ordinary English title; the LXX translates more broadly τὰ δέκα ὑήματα and οἱ δέκα λόγοι, whence the single term ή δεκάhover. The Decalogue, the earliest known occurrence of which is in Clement of Alexandria, Paedagog. 111. 80, etc. (c) 'The Covenant,' also only in D: iv. 13 (His covenant), 23, v. 2f.; co. tables of the Covenant, ix. 9, 11, 13; ark of the Covenant of Jehovah, x. 8, xxxi. 9, 25 f.; when the same phrases occur in IE or other pre-deuteronounce writings they are to be explained as later intrusions (cp. Driver, Exed. 103); a fact sometimes betrayed by the disturbance of grammar which the intrusion has caused, e.g. Josh. iii. 14, 47; the deuteronomic origin

DEUTERONOMY

of this name can hardly, therefore, be doubted. (d) 'The Testimony' ("edüth), rather attestation or solemn edict (see above on v. 1). P's name, occurring 36 times in P and nowhere else.

Second, the I'wo Editions of 'the Ten Words' and their relations to

each other and to other 'Words' given at Horeh:

Like so much else in D'the Ten Words,' as revealed from God to Israel at Horeb, are also recorded in E (Ex. xx. 1 ff.), but in a form unusual in E for it contains a considerable number of deuteronomic phrases (vv. 2, 46, 5a, 10a, 10b, 126). It has besides a sentence (5b, 6) which echoes I; and another which both reflects the style of P and contains a statement found elsewhere only in P (Gen. ii. 3 : cp. Exod. xxxi. 176); on all these see the notes on Ex. xx. 1 ff. and the notes below.-Further, this E edition of the Ten Words is not called a 'Covenant' as in D. nor connected with a Covenant. E. however. does record a Covenant between Jehovah and Israel at Horeb, Ex. xxiv. 3-8, but associates this with other 'Words,' evidently the 'Words,' or decrees of moral and religious law, in Ex. xx. 22-26, xxiii. 10-23, which are distinct (as is now generally recognised) from the 'judgements' (mishpatim) or decisions in civil and criminal law, Ex. xxi,-xxiii. q, embedded between their two groups1. These 'Words' show a few striking parallels to the Ten Words.

I also records a Covenant at Sinai, Ex. xxxiv. 10, based upon 'Words,' 11-27, which have been eatled 'a second Decalogue.' But they are rather parallel to E's Covenant words, and like them are more in number than ten. (See the notes to Ex. xxxiv.) The phrase 'ten

words in v. 18 is probably a gloss-

In D's edition of the Ten Words now before us we find again all the features of E's edition except the last sentence of the 4th commandment. the sentence which reflects P (another of the many facts which support the argument that P is later than D). Instead another reason is assigned to the commandment in the language, and characteristic of the humane spirit. of D. In the same commandment D has its common keep or observe for E's remember, and adds the clauses as Jehovah thy God commanded thee, nor thine ox nor thine ass nor any of (thy cattle); in the 5th it adds the phrases as Jehovah thy God hath commanded thee and that it may go well toith thee; in the oth it gives a wider term groundless or vain for E's false; and in the 10th it adds to and rearranges the details with a finer ethical discrimination, using two verbs for covet or desire, and putting the wife of thy neighbour first and by herself, distinct from the rest of his household. Further, D asserts (v. 22) in contradiction to E that the Ten Words were the only words spoken to Israel at Horeb; and adds that He wrote them on two tables of stone. Note, also, that in D the Ten Words are introduced as a quotation in the Se, form of address in a discourse which uses throughout the Pl. P does not record the Ten Words. The legislation which it assigns

to Sinai, Ex. xxv .-- xxxi. (with a variant edition xxxv.--xl.), consists of

1 On this distinction between the 'words' and the 'judgements,' see Driver's Evodut, 202, 252 ff.; and the Oxford Hexatench

directions, given to Moses on the Mount and afterwards proclaimed to the people, as to the sanctiusry and priesthood (see Driver on these passages). The only parallel which this legislation offers to the Decadege is the law-of the Subbath (xxx), 21-21, xxxv, et al., But I' mentions incidentally the Testimony which I shall give the texts xxv. of and ways that God gave mus there when III had must are not of contrast to the contr

at Sinal Horeb. They start serious questions of literary construction and historical fact, to which several hypothetical, but no certain, answers

are possible.

The question which mainly concerns us here is that of the relation of the two editions of the Ten Words in E and D. To the argument that because so much else of law and narrative in D is based on E, therefore D must also have derived the Ten Words from E. there are the following objections: (1) E's edition has not only many deuteronomic phrases, lut in the 4th commandment reflects P; while D's is in style and spirit consistently deuteronomic. (2) E connects the Covenant at Horeb not with the Ten Words but with others. (3) These other Words, while offering some parallels to the Ten, are of a distinctly less spiritual character and apparently from a more primitive stage of ethical development; and it is difficult to conceive that E could have first recorded the Decalogue as given at Horeb and then based the Covenant there on other words of an inferior character. (4) Nor is it clear that E's narrative of the theophany, Ex. xix. 14-17, 19, xx, 18-21, implies that the people heard from God any articulate words at all, before Moses (because of their apprehension that God would speak directly to them) entered the darkness out of which His thunder had come and received for them the Words (Ex. xx. 22-26, axiii. 10-33) on which the Covenant was based.

On these grounds a strong case has been made out for the hypothesis that E did not originally contain the Ten Words; that these were the work of the denteronomic school, based on the teaching of the 8th century prophets and expressed throughout in deuteronomic phraseology; that D, while borrowing from E the tradition of a Covenant at Horely, substituted them as the basis of that Covenant for the other words which E had connected with it, or else did not know of those other words in E. for by distinctly asserts (v. 22) that God added no others to the Ten at Horeh; and finally that a late editor, with both D and P before him. intruded the Ten Words into E repeating most of their deuteronomic phraseology, but substituting in the 4th commandment for one of D's phrases a phrase based on P. This hypothesis finds support in the substance of the Decalogue, which it is maintained is suitable for an agricultural and not for a nomadie people; and especially in the prohibition of graven images, the early date of which is difficult if not impossible to reconcile with the use of images in Israel before the 8th century and particularly in the N. kingdom in which E was composed. All the data, however, do not thus support the hypothesis of the

6-

priority of D's Decalogue. It may not be certain that E's remember the sabbath day is earlier than D's keep or observe, nor is E's false witness necessarily more primitive than the wider value, or groundless, which D employs-although they would appear to be so (with the former cp. I's remember in the same sense, Ex. xiii. 3). But D's form for the 10th commandment, because more developed and of a oner ethical standard, is almost certainly later than E's; and so are the additions to the 4th and 5th commandments. Further, in the L edition the name of the Deity even in association with creation is not Elohim, but Jehovan.

This, however, only leads to the further question whether behind both editions there was not an earlier and much simpler form. In both the Ten Words are of very unequal length. In the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and toth the excesses over the others are hortatory enforcements in the language of D and in harmony with D's usual method of elaborating his materials and adding reasons and enforcements: teaching and expounding the Law to use his own terms. Remove these excesses and there remain, besides the preface, Ten Words of similar length and

divisible into two tables of virtually equal size.

I am Jehovah [thy God which brought thee out of the land of Egypt out of the house of slaves]. Thou shalt have no other gods before Me.

Thou shalt not make thee a graven image.

Thou shalt not take the name of Jebovah in vain. Remember the sabbath day to keep it holy.

Honour thy father and thy mother.

Thou shalt do no murder.

Thou shalt not commit adultery.

Thou shalt not steal.

Thou shalt not bear false witness Jagainst thy peighbourl. Thou shalt not covet [thy neighbour's house].

To sum np-it appears necessary to postulate some such brief form of the Ten Words as prior to the editions of them in E and D on these grounds: that all of the contents of these editions which is over and above this form consists of easily separable expansions of a hortatory or explanatory character, expressed in the language and the spirit of D; and that it was the general practice of D thus to expand, refine and enforce the materials of earlier traditions. Also D treats the Ten Words as a quotation (see above).

Whether this pre-deuteronomic Decalogue was originally part of E is more than doubtful. In E there is neither room nor reason for any 'Words' at Horeb before those on which E bases the Covenant; nor any trace that the Divine voice became at all articulate before the latter were spoken. The double tradition of E and I is that the Covenant 'Words' spoken by God in Horel-Sinai, while offering certain parallels to the Decalogue, were more primitive than this. And that excludes the only possible alternative theory, that, if these 'Words,' now associated in E with the Covenant, along with 'the judgements' that are embedded within their two sections, were originally assigned to Israel's residence in Moab, their removal to the Horeb period (see above) displaced the Decalogue from its association with the Horeb Covenant and pushed it forward to a point in the parrative at which it has no

proper connection with its context.

From the literary data, therefore, the most probable conclusion is that the Decalogue came to D from a source independent of I and E. Whether its origin was earlier than E and may even have been Mosaic or was later, and in fact the result of the teaching of the 8th century prophets, are far more difficult questions; for which answers must be sought, not in the literary forms, so much as in the substantial ideas, of the Decalogue. The theory that the Decalogue is later than E gets rid of the historical difficulties for an early date for the and commandment which arise from the use of images by leaders in Isrnel and especially in the N. kingdom, without any rebake from prophets before the 8th century, and for an early flate for the 4th commandment as one impossible of fulfilment by, and therefore unnatural to prescribe to, a people still in the pastoral stage of culture. And if I and E's record of a more primitive form of Covenant words at Horeb be regarded as reliable this is also a reason for assigning the Decalogue to a later stage in Israel's social and ethical development. On the other hand, there are good grounds for the possibility of the prohibition of images as early as Moses. Not only do the 'Worda' assigned by E to the Covenant at Horeb forbid gods of silver and gold (Ex. xx. 23) and by | molten gods (Ex. xxxiv, 17); but E and I never impute the use of images to the Patriarchs, while E (Ex. xxxii.) records Moses' anger and God's threat to destroy the people because of the golden calf which they had fashioned. More significant is the absence from all the historical records of any mention of an image in connection with the Ark, or the sanctuary at Shiloh or Gibeon or Jerusalem, or other place before the disruption of the kingdom. As to the Sabhath-law, the presumably oldest form of it is perfectly possible for a purely pastoral people; while the fuller forms, though evidently designed for an agricultural people, could not be literally observed even by them (unless the Heb. term for north be limited to field work), because they continued to have flocks and herds. As for the other Commandments there is not one of them in its shorter form which makes a date for it impossible before the settlement of Israel in Canaan-not even the first commandment, for it merely forhids the worship of any gods but Jehovah (henolatry), and does not assert His sole deity (monotheism). The possibility of the Mosaic origin of the Decalogue is, therefore, clear so far as its ideals are concerned. The real difficulty with regard to it rests upon its superiority to the 'Words' which the other traditions describe as the laws of the Covenant at Horeh. See further 'The Date of the Decalogue,' App. IV. to Driver's Exodus.

From whatever source the deuteropomists derived the Decalogue it is interesting that they developed it in more than one edition. For this we shall find analogies in their practice with regard to other laws (xii. xxvi.).

The Decalogue with its Preface has been variously arranged and divided. The LXX (cod. B) makes the commandment against adultery follow immediately on that to honour parents, thus naturally bringing logether the two commandments which concern family life; in Ex. that against murder follows, but in D precedes, that against theft. In the N.T, the order varies, following the Heb. order in Matt. v, 21, 271 (so far as murder and adultery are concerned), vix. 18, and Mark v. 10; but the Greek of D in Luke xviii. 20, Rom. xiii. q. The Talmud takes the Preface as the 1st commandment and the prohibitions of other guds and of images as together the and, on the ground presumably that the reason annexed to the latter is equally, or even more, smitable to the former. This cumunction was accepted by Augustine and through hint by the Roman and Lutheran Churches, but they keep the Preface as such and divide the 16th commaniment into two (though the latter half as we have seen is not priginal). Philo, Josephus, Origen and other fathers, the Greek and Reformed Churches and most modern scholars divide as follows: Preface; 1, Other gods; 2, Images; 3. Name of Jehovah; 4. Sabbath; 5. Parents; 6. Murder; 7. Adultery; 8, Theft; 9, False witness; 10, Covetousness.

With regard to the scope and spirit of the Ten Words it is enough to say that they lay down the double duty of Israelites towards God and towards men; religion and morality. The duty, lowards God is expressed with regard to the special templations of the people at the time-the belief that there were other gods actually existent and with divine powers and spheres of action, and the custom of worshipping the deity in images. The rst commandment is not the expression of a pure monotheism, and it is remarkable that the demeronomists did not expand it as well as those which follow it (but see below on 2), 7), Yet it has been found a suitable statement, not only of the sovereignty int of the openess of the Deity. Similarly the 2nd has been understood as a statement of His spirituality. The and forbids the irreverence which is the sin equally of the ignorant and careless and of the familiar last formal worshippen. Duty towards men is covered in its main aspects in the life of the family and of society by the 5th to the 10th 'Words,' the last miding the sphere of thought and feeling to that of action iletailed in the others. Between these two groups the 4th commanchness forms the transition, for while it expresses man's due to lick in selting aparl a regular portion of time to Him, it also in its expanded form enforces that the Sabbath was equally a duty to himself, his family, and his dependents. How fine and true was the instinct of the deuteronomists in thus expanding the Sahlath-law is shown by the saving of Christ that the Sahhath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath\*.

<sup>1</sup> So R.V.; Intl A.V. following another text has the order; adultery, nutrier. Matthew, Mais and Luke all give the 5th Commandment after the 6th—6th.

<sup>2</sup> The following may be noted among the Christian expositions of the theological and ethical constitute of the Decological From the Roman side, Catechian of the Courril of Trent, Pars vii. Capp. 1—x. From the Protession, the Larger Westminnter Catechian, John Forber (the Aberdeen Dorsel); Thompsign Morrells, and Rev. Catechian, John Forber (the Aberdeen Dorsel); Thompsign Morrells, and Rev.

I am the LORD thy God, which brought thee out of the kind of Egypt, out of the house of bondage.

Thou shalt have none other gods \*before me.

# 1 Heb. bondmen. 2 Or, beside me

For full notes on the separate verses the reader is referred to Ex. xx, 1-17. The following may be added: they are chiefly on the matter found only in Deut, or here expressed differently from Ex. xxi, 1-17.

6. 'The Preface' to the Ten Commandments: the same as in

Ex. xx. The process used though occurring much more favoured in D, are also made (all though occurring much more favoured) in D, are also made (either all the control control

The Preface states the Lawgiver's Name, and His obligations upon Israel, 'whereby He prepares their minds for obedience',' by calling on their loyalty and gratitude. This tenderness of the Preface (Matthew Henry contrasts it with the awfulness of the Theophany from which it issues) and its appeal to high motives are characteristic of D. But in all the traditions of the origins of Israel's religion the note of redemption is fundamental; Grace is prior to Law, God's saving deeds to His commandments. The stress laid upon the Preface by theologians in their practical application of the Decalogue to Christianity is therefore just. The form of the Preface is similar to the opening phrases on several Semitic royal monuments: the Monbite stone, 'I am Mesha son of Kemosh'; the Byhlus stele, 'I am Yehawmilk, King of Gebal, etc.'; the Sidon sareophagus, 'I am Talmith...King of the Sidonians, etc.' But see Driver, Sam,2 p. xxiv. The prologue to the Code of Hammurabi is a record of the lawgiver's achievements. house of bondage) bondmen, see on vi. 12.

7. The First Commandment as in Ex. xx. 3.

1. The FIRS Commissionment as in D.S. Xx. 3. In froats of mod 3 strong phress, last of what exact legree of strength is dambdint. Literally serv against my fact, or presents. By D it is becaused exist. (b) then is procedure, or profession, or joint to be included by the procession of the profession of the professi

Date, The Ten Commandments See also Prof. W. P. Paterson's art, 'The Decalogue,' in Hastings' Dict. of the Bible.
'Calvin

8 Thou shalt not make unto thee a graven image, the likeness of any form that is in heaven above, or that is in the

9 earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth: thou shalt not bow down thyself unto them, nor serve them; for

I the LORD thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the chitdren, and upon the third and to upon the fourth generation of them that hate me; and shewing mercy unto thousands, of them that love me and keep my commandments.

Thou shalt not take the name of the LORD thy God 1in vain: for the LORD will not hold him guiltless that taketh

his name 'in vain.

12 Observe the sabbath day, to keep it holy, as the LORII 13 thy God commanded thee. Six days shalt thou labour, and

## 1 Ot, for vanity or falsehood

them. Unlike its successors this commandment is without expansion, probably because ov. 96, to were intended to cover both the first and second commandments; unless indeed (as some suggest) they originally belonged to the first.

8-10. The Second Commandment; the differences from Ex. xx. 4 -6 are very slight (Ex. has the conjunction before any form and omits it before the third) and the Versions show them to be uncertain. On the questions of date raised by the prohibition of images see above, 2. 85. The substance of the commandment is very fully treated in

Driver's notes on Ex. xx. 4-6, which see. 8. any form? See on iv. 12.

9. a jealous God] See on iv. 24.

10. shewing mercy] better, loyal or true love; cf. vii. q, 12 keeping covenant and true love (Sg.). The Heb. term hesed as including both affection and constancy is peculiarly appropriate herc.

11. The Third Commandment exactly as in Ex. xx. 7. On the

need for this in Israel see on vi. 13.

12-15. The Fourth Commandment as in Ex. xx. 8-11 with the

following differences: 12. Observe A.V. keep, instead of remember, Ex. xx. 8. In D.

remember is used almost exclusively of historical facts, e.g. v. 15, vii. 18, viil. 2, ix. 7, xv. 15, xvi. 3; but once with God, the giver of wealth, as the object, viii. 18. Observe or keep, used of the feast of unleavened bread by E Ex. xxiii. 15, by J xxxiv. 18; the Sabbath by P Ex. xxxi. 13 f., t6, Lev. xix. 3, 30, xxvl. 2 (H); the month Abib by D xvi. 1. In Ps. ctii. 18 keep His covenant and remember His precepts are parallel.

as the LORD thy God commanded thee] not in Ex. xx, 8; cl. v, 16,

do all thy work: but the seventh day is a sabbath unto the 14 Loron thy God; in it it hou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy son, nor thy the seventh, nor thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thine ox, nor thine ass, nor any of thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates; that thy manservant and thy maidservant may rest as well as thou. And thou shalt 15 remember that thou wast a servant in the land of Egypt, and the Loron thy God brought thee out thence by a mighty hand and by a stretched out arm: therefore the Loron thy God commanded thee to keep the sabbath day.

here and there a needless expansion, for it cannot refer to some previous institution of the Sabbath.

in it] not in Heb. text either here or in Ex., but supplied in both places by Sam. and LXX; so too in the Nash papyrus (see Driver, Exad. 447).
 nor thy Dondman Ex. xx. 10 omits the conjunction. So too Sam.

and LXX here.

nor thine ox, nor thine ass, nor any of thy cattle] another obvious

expansion. Ex. has only nor thy cattle.

that thy bondman and thy bondwoman may rest as well as thou an additional characteristic of the humane spirit of D; cf. in the Laws

xii. 12, xiv. 26, 29, xv. r3 f., xvi. 1r, xxiv. 14-18. 16. A different reason for the keeping of the Sabbath from that given in Ex. xx. 11. It is relevant to D's addition in the previous v., and at first seems intended only to enforce the extension of the Sabbathlaw to slaves, remember thou wast a bondman in the land of Egypt and Jehovah thy God brought thee out; but before it closes it bases the whole observance of the Sabbath on the deliverance from Egypt as if the S. were a memorial of that event-wherefore Jehovah thy God hath commanded thee to keep the S. day. This historical reference and the humanity enforced by it are characteristic of D. But Ex. xx. tr. under the influence of P, recites as the motive for the observance of the S. God's rest on the seventh day from the work of creation. The influence of P on Ex. proves the D form to be the more original. Note that while it enforces the philanthropic motive for Sabbath-observance it is as theological as the other, and, like it, refers to God's action as the ultimate sanction of the Sabbath,

remember that then west a bondman] The same motive is expressed for the laws enforcing liberality to slaves, xv. 15; the duty of sharing the loy of the feasts with needy dependents, xvi. 12; and justice and penerosity to the poor, xxiv. 18, 22.

a mighty hand and...a stretched out arm] See on iv. 34. to keep] lit. to do or make, i.e. to carry into effect; used by D also of the Passover, xvi. 1; more frequently in P: of the Sabbath, Ex. xvxi. 16; of the Passover, Ex. xii. 4; f., 1 Num, ix. 4-6, etc.

- 6 Honour thy father and thy mother, as the LORD thy God commanded thee: that thy days may be long, and that it may go well with thee, upon the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee.
- 17 Thou shalt do no murder.
- 18 Neither shalt thou commit adultery.
  - 9 Neither shalt thou steal.
  - Neither shalt thou bear false witness against thy neighhour.
    - 16. The Fifth Commandment as in Ex. xx. 12, with however two additions:

as Jehovah thy God commanded thee] See on v. 12. and that it may go well with thee] Cp. v. 29.

giveth thee is giving or about to give.

13—30. The Sixth to the Ninth Commonweath, as in Ex. xx. 31—16, except that for the simple not used there, we have here and not = neither, to introduce the Seventh, Eighth and Ninth Commondments; and that in the Ninth instead of lether = false of Ex. xx. 16 there is the wider term shard = varin, greatedists, as in the Third Commondinent, and the property of the

21. The Tenth Commandment, carrying the Law from the sphere of action into that of thought and feeling, and therefore not superfluous even in so brief a summary of the Law nor after the Sixth, Seventh and Eighth Commandments (cp. Calvin, in loco). How necessary the Commundment is not merely as an addition to these Commandments, but as focussing the spirit of them all is clear from the experience of St Paul, who selects the Tenth Commandment to illustrate the power of the whole Law .: Rom, vii. 7, 8; cf. 14, the law is spiritual. The nature of this Commandment renders it peculiarly susceptible of expansion (as the Sixth to the Ninth are not); iletails naturally offer themselves under so general a precept; and here the deuteronomists had the opportunity which they loved to use, and were upon their own ground; ep. vii. 25, where the desire for, as well as the actual approprintion of, unlawful silver and gold is forbidden. The two expanded cilitions of the Decalogue here exhibit the most interesting of the differences which distinguish them. Ex. xx. 17, preserving the original form of the Commandment, Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's house, and repeating the verb, simply details, as upon the same level, the constituents of the house: wife, slaves, animals, all that is thy neighbour's. But this later edition in Deut, makes among these a fundamental distinction of far reaching moral consequence; takes the wife first in a class by herself, then-under another verb, as if to emphasise the difference-gives the rest together; and, with the peculiar Neither shalt thou covet thy neighbour's wife; neither at shalt thou desire thy neighbour's house, his field, or his manservant, or his maidservant, his ox, or his ass, or any thing that is thy neighbour's.

These words the LORD spake unto all your assembly in 22

regard which D has for the rural life, adds to them the field of thy neighbour.

The rendering of the reviews in Ex. xx. 17. The rendering of the reviews is not a happy one, because though the English ower originally useant inardinate deairs, it is naw generally used with other objects than wife. The A-N. deirs literally renders the Heb. verb, the useaning of which is neutral and has to be qualified by its object. In Kx. xxxiv. x 4 of dishonest desire for land; in Dr. vii. 15 for silver and gold (ep. Jos. vii. x1, JE); Mic. ii. 1 (ep. Ahah and Naboth's vineyard) for fields and houses. But in Prov. v.; 21 signifies loss after the beauty of women. So it should be rendered here, and so some of the other Eng. Versions render it. Similarly the "endoyar" of the LXX. Xautyast. Since the desired here, and the object: ep. Matt. v. 36. Xautyast. 31, vide gebinette mich and.

thy neighbour's wife] The way in which (in contrast to Ex.) the wife is placed here first, in a class by herself, may be compared with other laws of D which also seek the elevation of woman, xxi. 10—14, xxiii.

13 ff., xxiv. t ff.

destre} Instead of the repetition in Ex. of the original verb, another
verl is employed here of stronger menning but apparently intended as
only 'a rhetorical variation' (Driver) rather than as a climax. Of
longing for water, 2 San. iii. 15; for dainties, Frox, xxiii. 3.

couging for water, 2 Sahr, in  $\{x_2^2, 10^4 \text{ millites, } \text{row}, \text{xmi, } 3\}$ ,  $f_{\text{ref}}$  The norm sade or sade, which in Heb, poerly  $\{e_{\text{ref}}, \text{xxii, } 1\}$ ,  $\{u_{\text{ref}}, \text{x}, \text{-} 4\}$  appears to have the meaning of momentum that it has it using the term of the property of the property. It is to used by the prophets of the Bth cent.: 1s. v. 8; Mic. it. 2, 4. See the pre-sent variety of the property.

22. The Close of the Ten Words and the writing of them.

your assumbly] or congregation. The Heb. §abad, lik. gallecting, technically used throughout the O.T. for any assembly of the people or in representatives for organised, unational action: (a) In the extricting it is used used used to be solven gathering before Gold of all equalities of the organised organised organised organised organised organised or the organised oresearch organised organised organised organised organised organis

the mount out of the midst of the fire, of the cloud, and of the thick darkness, with a great voice: and he added no more. And he wrote them upon two tables of stone, and 23 gave them unto me. And it came to pass, when ye heard the voice out of the midst of the darkness, while the moun-

tain did burn with fire, that ye came near unto me, even all 24 the heads of your tribes, and your elders; and ye said,

15; cp. Ezek, xvi. 40; Prov. v. 14. (c) Also of the whole organised commonwealth or congregation of Israel, Mic. ii. 5; and in the denteronomic laws, xxiii. 1, 2, 3, 8. But D specially applies the term to the gathering of Israel to the Covenant at Horeb, so here (cp. the use of the yerly in iv. 10), the assembly, the day of the a. ix. 10, x. 4 (Pl.). xviii. 16 (Sg.). In the laws xxiii. 1, 2, 3, 8 (Sg.) it is called the a. of Jehovah. To this assembly P, which also uses hahal, applies his more favourite term 'edah, congregation of the sons of Israel, Ex. xxxv. 1, 4, 20 fa term never used in IE or D, but occurring over 100 times in P, which also sometimes combines the two, cp. Prov. v. 14). Otherwise denteronomic writers use kahal only of peaceful gatherings of the people; to hear the Song of Moses, xxxi. 30; to hear the Law read at Shechem, Josh, viii. 35; and for the consecration of the Temple, r Kgs viii. 14, 22, 55 (1 Kgs xii. 3 is a doubtful instance; I.XX omits it). For the post-exilic use of kahal and 'edah sec the present writer's Jerusalem, 1, 380 ff.

fire...doud...darkness...] See on iv. 1r. Sam. and LXX add darkness before cloud. The comparison of E, Ex. xx. 18—21 is very instructive thunderings, lightnings, mountain smoking.

with a great voice E, the voice of the trumpet.

and he added no more! On this contradiction of E we always, P. S., two tables of itsue! So iv. 3, is. 0 — 11, x. 1, 3, it he tables of the concount, ix. 9, 11, 15; f. two tables of the concount, ix. 9, 11, 15; f. two tables of them. Fix. xxxiv. 1, x. 1, E. tables of states. Fix. xxxiv. 1, x. xxxi. 18; f. two tables of the extinsions, Fix. 18, xxxii. 18, x. xxxii. 18, x. xxxii. 18, xxxiii. 18, xxxiii. 18, xxxiii. 18

23-27. The people, fearing the fatal effect of hearing God's voice directly, request Moses to act as mediator. See Ex. xx. 19-21, E, a much simpler form of the narrative, but containing in v. 20 a saying of Moses not repeated here.

23. ye came near unto me] i. 22.

even all the heads of your tribes, and your elders? Perhaps a gloss (so Dill., Steuern., Berth.), for v. 24 continues and ye (not they), and through the rest of the section the people as a whole are addressed.

24-26. See on iv. 33. It was contrary to expectation that the people survived the voice of God: they would not repeat the risk,

Behold, the LORD our God hath shewed us his glory and his greatness, and we have heard his voice out of the midst of the fire: we have seen this day that God doth speak with man, and he liveth. Now therefore why should we die? for 25 this great fire will consume us; if we hear the voice of the LORD our God any more, then we shall die. For who is a6 there of all flesh, that bath heard the voice of the living God speaking out of the midst of the fire, as we have, and lived? Go thou near, and hear all that the LORD our God 27 shall say: and speak thou unto us all that the LORII our God shall speak unto thee; and we will hear it, and do it. And the LORD heard the voice of your words, when ye 28 spake unto me; and the LORD said unto me. I have heard the voice of the words of this people, which they have spoken unto thee: they have well said all that they have spoken. 'Oh that there were such an heart in them, that 20 they would fear me, and keep all my commandments always.

Or, Oh that they had such an heart as this alway, to fear me, and keep all my communications, that &c.

24. his greatness] See iii. 24.

26. flesh | Emphatic; it cannot endure immediate contact with spirit

(1s. xxxi. 3).

the limit God Rather, a limit God, cp. iv. 33. The pirace always occurs in the O.T. without the article even when as in 1 Sa. viii. 36, id, and Jer. xxiii. 36 it is the living God who is meant. In Jer. x. io, i6, and Jer. xxiii. 36 it is the living God who is meant. In Jer. x. io is in indefinite as here. These are all the instances of this forum Kindred forms in Jos. iii. 10 indefinite; IIo. ii. 1, 2 Kg. xix. 4, 16 Xi. 47. Kg. xix.

and to His representatives (v. 23 and i. 22). E, using another verb, has and Motes drew near (Ex. xx. 21). For the rest of the werse E has simply Speak thou with us and two shall hearken (Ex. xx. 19).

28—30. Jehovah approves the people's request and dismisses them

to their tents. E simply, the people stood afar off (Ex. xx. 21).

26. And Jehovah heard the voice of your words] i. 34-

they have well said] xviii. 17. Yet-

29. Oh that there were such an heart in them, etc.] heart is in untilliesis to the said and spoken of the previous verse. Approxing their present mood as evinced in their words, God doubts its constancy, all my commandments] Sum, and LNX omit all.

alwayi] Heb. all the days. One of the many points of similarity between Hosea and Deut. is doubt, if not of the sincerity, yet of the

that it might be well with them, and with their children for "gever! Go say to them, Return ye to your tents. But as for thee, stand thou here by me, and I will speak unto thee all the commandment, and the statutes, and the judgements, which thou shalt teach them, that they may do them in the 3ª land which I give them to possess it. Ye shall observe to do therefore as the Lozn your God hath commanded you:

33 ye shall not turn aside to the right hand or to the left. Ye constancy, of the nation's feeling of repetitates or obedience; qu. 100. v. 15.—vi. 3, Israel's repentant prayer, with vi. —6.00d's rejection of it: your goodness tis as a marning cloud and as the dru that good early. See out i. Real the receipts and Divisit turns bear in relation.

it: your goodness is as a morning cloud and as the dru that goth early.
 See on i. 41. Both the prophet and D imist upon heart in religion.
 that it might be reall with them! vv. 16, 33. iv. 40.
 Moses is commanded to stand by God in order to receive other

laws (than the Ten Words) to teach the people subsequently.

all the commandment) or charge; Heb.  $m(push. ^{1.8} - The for this)$  or commandment  $T^{1.8}$  recurs vi.  $t_1$  vi.  $t_1$ , xxx.  $t_1$ ; yx with  $t_1^{1.8}$   $x_2$ , xy,  $t_2$ , y,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ , xy,  $t_3$ ,  $t_4$ ,  $t_3$ , xy,  $t_4$ ,  $t_4$ ,

the statutes, and the judgements! With Sam, omit the preceding and. The statutes and judgements (the usual deuteronomic phrase) are thus the contents or detailed applications of the Miswah, the separate laws to be subsequently given in Moab on the eve of the people's entrance to the promised land (as the rest of the verse declares), and which are

contained in chs. xii.-xxvi.

the land which I give them! Rather, am about to give them. So without addition iv. 1, xi. 17, in the Pl. address, and xv. 7, xviii. 9, xvi. 13, xviii. 8, 23, all passages in the Sg. address. With the addition to pareas it as here, iii. 18 (Anti given), Pl. 1; v. 6, Nii. 1, xvii. 14 (Anti Passer), Nix. 10, xvii. 4, xvv. 19, xvvi. 1, all Sg. Cp. xii. 10 canneth you, xvii. 2, canneth the, 16 intends the, 16 intends the 1, to intend the 1.

32. 83. Exhortations to obey this new charge: n member of characteristic intertenounic formulus. Because of this and specially because of the plantse which a fewer has provided function of the plantse which a fewer has not not been commanded you, these verses are taken be soon to be a later addition. Verit was warply quite logical for the writer of the rest of the chapter to put the plantse in the plantse

ye shall not turn aside, etc.] xvii. 11, 20, xviii. 14, and in fleuteronomic rassages in other books: cn. ix. 2. shall walk in all the way which the LORD your God hath commanded you, that ye may live, and that it may be well with you, and that ye may prolong your days in the land which ye shall possess.

the way which 3showsh over Got has communical youl that is through use and which I as now about to show you. The plates is also found ix. 12, 16, xi. 28, xxxi. 29 (tall PL), and in xiii. 3 (Sg.). Tweather in History, with 6 (Sg.), xi. 22 (FL). Bull (Sexial Perhalint der Ir. q) remarks on the suitability to nonnois of this metaphor; but surely it was equally ministed for pensants. No inference as to date can there one with the control of the N. T. § 2609 Acta ix. 2, xiv. 9, 25, xxii. 4, and the work must be.

may be well with you] tv. 16, 29, iv. 40.

prolong...days] used both in Pl. here and in iv. 26 (cp. xxx. 18), xi. 9, xxi. 47, and in Sg. iv. 40; that thy days may be long, v. 16, xi. 2, xxv. 15; cp. xxii. 7.

CH, VI, 1-25. THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF THE LAW; GOD'S NATURE AND ISRAEL'S DUTY.

Moses continues his discourse: After stating that he has now to give Israel the Charge (Miswah) given to him in Horeb, and statutes and judgements for observance in the promised land (1); Moses explains the motives for these: the fear of God and the benefits to be derived from observing them (2 f.). Follows the solemn enunciation of the basal principle, the oneness of Jehovah, and Israel's basal duty: undivided love to Him (4 f.). Therefore these words which he is about to give must ever be in the people's heart and mind and be diligently taught to their children (6-9). Especially must Israel not yield to that temptation to forget Jehovah, to which the people will be exposed among the material blessings of the land whither He brings it (10-12); nor go after the gods of that land; else He will destroy Israel (13-15). Israel must not try Ilim as at Massah, but diligently keep His laws, in order that it may be well with them, and entering the land they may possess it and see their enemies thrust out before them (16-19), When in future the children ask the meaning of these laws, their origin must be explained as the great deliverance from Egypt. Then was the nation born; by these laws it lives. Then Iebovah revealed His grace; these are to establish the fear of Him upon His people (20-25).

The construction of the ch. starts difficult questions so to its unity i for the same puzzling phenomena meet us here as elsewhere—the double forms of address Sg, and Pl., with the rapid transitions between them, and the accumulation of the small deueronomic formulas. Do the former indicate two sources? Or do hoth prove that editorial hauld have expanded the discourse? On the possible answers see the notes. 6 Now this is the commandment, the statutes, and the judgements, which the Loke your God commanded to teach you, that ye might do them in the land whither ye go zover to possess it: that thou mightest fear the Loke thy God, to keep all his statutes and his commandments, which I command thee, thou, and thy son, and thy son's son, all

1. Not a fresh title, marking the beginning of a separate discourse, but the natural continuation of the discourse from the previous ch. and

still couched in the Pl.

And this is! The confinction not merely continues the discourse, but has an antithetic force, therefore not not strongly rendered now by A.V. and R.V. What at that time in 11 orch was delivered to Mosse limed! (as described in v. 31) he now in Mosb proceeds to present, this is the commendance, the statutes, and the independent) 1.NX.

that is the communication, we make it, then are programmed as more probable. Because they, not there, is used, and because the separate laws do not come till the xii, no there where the separate laws do not come till the xii, the words statutes and judge-most are regarded by some as an editorial intrusion. But this indicates the properties of the properties of the properties of the principle of

whither propose is presented. A formula distinctive of the P1, passages occurring, besides been, V1, a.s. is  $S_1$ ; whereas where the  $S_2$  passages occurring the sides been, V1, a.s. is  $S_1$ ; whereas where the  $S_2$  passage use the verb power they add the fordaw, V2, V3, V3

common deuteronomic formulas; on these grounds regarded by some as an editorial addition. This is not certain, but very probable. Omit vv.  $v_s$   $v_s$  and v.  $v_s$  follows naturally on v.  $v_s$  as the beginning of the Miyarb, but couched, like the Decalogue in the  $v_s$  in the Sg. At the same time all of vv.  $v_s$   $v_s$  need not be editorial. Note that the one Pl. clause they contain is not  $v_s$  common formula.

2. fear Jehovah thy God x. 12, 20.

all his statutes and his commandments] Note the variation from v. 1. which I command that] am about to command that.

-the days of thy life; and that thy days may be prolonged. Hear therefore, O Israel, and observe to do it; that it may 3 be well with thee, and that ye may increase mightily, as the Lors, the God of thy fathers, hath promised unto thee, in a land flowing with milk and honey.

that thy days may be prolonged] See on v. 33.

3. observe to do] See on v. 1.

that ye may increase mightly]. A partial exturn to the Pl., and, with such a web, logical and matural. The phrase is not found elsewhere. This therefore may not be a mere editorial echo. But the idea of the multiplication of the people as a Divine Ideasing is constant in Deut, as in other O.T. writings. In their world of war all Semitic tribes naturally prayed for large numbers. Cf. Doughty on the Arabi- the soul of them is greely first of their proper subsistence and then of their proper increase.

the God of thy fathers | i. 21, xii. 1, xxvii. 3; of your f., i. 11, iv. 1,

cp. xxix. 25. So E, Ex. iii. 15 and J, Ex. iii. 16.

mito the. a land, etc.] The construction is defective: in supplied by R.V. is not in the Heb. LXX adds to give thee, which affords a good connection and is probably original; as the eye of a Heb. scribe may easily have confused the first and second thee's.

a land flowing with mile and housy] found in J and E and in both the Sg, and Pl. passages of Deat. For a list of the instances, and the meaning of the phrase, see on Ex. iii. 8. 'Only where rich wells or

meaning of the paraset, see on R.v. in. 8. "Only where rich wents or running water produce sufficient pasture for the whole year, is it possible always to get fresh milk; and therefore the desert-dweller dreams of such regions in which water and in consequence milk always flow." 'On long marches mothers comfort their weeping children thus; I will give you milk and honey' (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 14s, 188).

4-9. The Essential Creed and Duty of Israel, with enforcement of

the Armer Section 11 Lefect and 1 Legel 2 (1997), or 1 famely, which is eliminated to the control to the first bit of the fible which Jewish children have learned to wand to read, just as in has for many ages formed the confession of faith immong all memiers of the brisherhood of Judaisum (C. G. Montedore, created by a few twice daily if for particular see Scheiter, Genth. dee jud. Folker, § 97 and Appendix (grd Germ. ed. tt. 459f.; E.T. Div. 1). (n. pp. 97, 58.) The LXX inserts before it a longish title<sup>1</sup>, which shows how fate this critical is practice of inserting titles to important Heb., text.

1 'And these are the statutes and the judgements which the Loro commanded to the children of Israel, when they were coming out of the land of Egypt.'

DEUTERONOMY

Digitate to Google

Julgital from INIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN 4 Hear, O Israel: 'the LORD our God is one LORD: and thou shalt love the LORD thy God with all thine heart, and

Or, the LORD our God, the LORD is one Or, the LORD is our God, the LORD is one Or, the LORD is our God, the LORD alone

6. Hour, O Lorsel. So ix. 1, xx. 2, and similarly iv. r, vi. 3, 1 and numbere else in the Hexateuch. The S<sub>2</sub> is to be explained as in v. r; but the continuance of the S<sub>2</sub>, through the rest of this section is (especially if it is to follow immediately on r r, see above) analogous to the appearance of the S<sub>2</sub> of the Decologies in a Pt. commerce of the S<sub>2</sub> of the Decologies in a Pt. commerce in the S<sub>2</sub> of the Decologies in a Pt. context after the property of the Decologies of the Pt. Section 1 and S<sub>2</sub> of the Decologies in a Pt. context when the property of the Decologies in a Pt. context when the property of the Decologies in a Pt. context when the property of the Decologies is not because the property of the Decologies in the Pt. Section 1 and the Decologies in the Dec

the LORD our God is one LORD As the R.V. marg. shows, this is one of four possible translations of the elliptic Hebrew: Jehovah our-God, Jehovah One. The other three are : Jehovah our God, Jehovah is One; Jehovah is our God, Jehovah is One; Jehovah is our God, Jehovah alone. But the four are resolvable into these two: First, feliovale our God is One, an expression of His unity, appropriate at a time when we know from Jeremiah that by the multiplication of His shrines the people of Judah conceived Him, as Baal or Ashtoreth was conceived, not as One, but as many deities with different characteristics and powers over different localities, cp. Jcr. li. 18. Second, fehovah is our God alone: i.e. Israel's only God, cp. Zech. xiv. o.: Song of Songs vi. q ; r Chron. xxix. 1. These passages are all post-exitic, and in the first two one may mean unique, but that here it means only (for Israel) is probable from the following verse. Some interpreters take the verse as 'a great declaration of monotheism' (so Driver). But had that been the intention of the writer the clause would have run 'Jehovah is the God, Jehovah alone.' The use of the term our God shows that the meaning simply is Jehovah is Espace's only God. Nothing is said as to the existence or non-existence of other gods, and the verse is therefore ov an equality with v. 7, the First Commandment, and with vii. 9, which implies no more than that Jehovah is a or the God'indeed; cp. the curious iv. 19 b which seeks to reconcile His sovereignty with the fact that other gods are worshipped by other nations. Only in iv. 35, to does an explicit declaration of monotheism appear in Deut.; it is to be remembered, however, that on other grounds the post-exilic date of these verses is possible. At the same time the phrase used here lends itself readily to the expression of an absolute monotheism, which later ages of a wider faith read into it. It is interesting to compare with our verse St Paul's statement 1 Cor. viii. 4-6; we know that no idol is anything in the world and that there is no God but one: for though there be that are called gods ... ; as there be gods many and lords many, yet to us there is One God, the Father, of whom are all things. Note even here yet to us l

6. and those shall love Jehovah thy God] Love, mentioned in JE as: This is not ment to imply that some in Itsuel had not thrown off belief in the reality of other gods before the Evile. Jeremish certainly had e.g. ii 11.

with all thy soul, and with all thy might. And these words, 6 which I command thee this day, shall be upon thine heart: and thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children, and 7

an affection between human beings (father and son, husband and wife. slave and master) and in H as a duty both to neighbour-Israelites and to strangers (Lev. xix. 18, 34), is never in the Hexateuch described as entering into the relation of man to God except in D and deuteronomic passages, where it is enforced with impressive frequency and fulness as the fundamental religious duty; in the deuteronomic expansion of the Decalogue Ex. xx. 6 = Dt. v. 10; cp. vii. 9, also x. 12, xi. 1, 13, 22, xiii. 3, xix. 9, xxx. 6, 16, 20 (of which only xi. 13, 22 and xiii. 2 are l'l.), and the deuteronomic passages Josh. xxii. 5, xxiii. 11. It must be noted that prophecy had already used the term ethically (Am. v. 1) love the good) and religiously, for Hosea, besides frequently emphasising God's love to Israel (iii. 1, ix. 15, xi. 1, 4, xiv. 4), and in terms so warm as to inevitably excite their love to God, describes also the relation of men to their gods as one of love and calls Jehovali the husband of Israel (ii. 7, 13, ix. 10). In this also, therefore, we may venture to see Hosea's influence on D, but D has developed it with an originality and fulness that are very conspicuous and potential in the O.T. and in the N.T. still regarded as final. To D love to God is the distinctive mark of His true worshippers, Israel's necessary response to His mercies especially in redeeming them from Egypt (cp. We love Him because He first loved us, 1 Io. iv. 10), their central obligation, motive and power to keep His laws; in Christ's words, the first of all the Commandments (Mk xii, 20 f.). See further on Ex. xx. 6.

with all thrue heart, and with all thy soul] a favourite phrase in D. See on ir. 29 for meaning and list of instances. Here is added with all thy wight, as in 2 Kgs xxiii. 25. 'The One God demands the

whole man' (Smend, Rel. Gesch. 286).

6—9. Further enforcement of this creed and duty.
6. there merch with which I am charging the this day! Elsewhere the phrase in whole or part refers to the whole discourse of Moses (e.g. vi. 18), but here it must mean the two preceding verses as the escence.

of the law.

shall be upon think heart; si. 18, loy up in your hard and in your soul; Jer. xxxi. 33, I put my law in their inward parts and write it upon their hearts. As the heart was the seat of the practical intellect, this means to commit them to memory; but with a conscience to do them. 7. Lords then difficulty! It wheter sharper, xxxii. 41; make incisive

and impress them on thy children; rub them in, Germ. einschärfen. The Eng. inctaphorical use of 'sharpen' or 'whet' ('whet on,' 'whet forward') has usually for object the mind, not the material employed on it. Vet ep. Shakespeare's

'Thou hid'st a thousand daggers in thy thoughts, Which thou hast whetted on thy stony heart To stab at half-an-hour of my frail life.

7-

Oighteent Google

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest does not seen as when thou risest up. And thou shalt bind them for a sign upon thine hand, and they shall be for frondets between 9 thine eyes. And thou shalt write them upon the door posts of thy house, and upon the etaes.

And it shall be, when the Loro thy God shall bring thee

unto the children So not only in D, v. 20, iv. y, xi. 19, but also J, Ex. xiii. 8, etc. talk of them, etc.] xi. 19. With LXX and Sam, read the for thine

lalk of them, etc.] x before house.

3. thou shell bind them for a rign...for foundat, etc.] See for the cased meaning the notes on Ex. stills, 9.6. As there, ab here probably the injunction is to be taken metaphorically and not literally, at the later Jews understood it, though they carried it out not by tattooing, which seems the meaning here, but by writing these words as well as 1, 13—11 and Ex. still. 1—10, 11—16 on small pardment rolls, as 1, 13—10 and part part part of the property of

9. door posts] It was the custom of the ancient Egyptians to inscribe on lintels and donr-posts sentences of good omen (Wilkinson-Bitch, Anc. Ecoptions, to 361 (.); but we are not to infer that it was thence derived by the Hebrews (Driver), for it was the custom too in the Semitic world (for two inscribed tablets from Assyria in Brit, Mus, see King, Z. A. XI. 50) and prevails among modern Egyptians (Lane, Mod. Egypt. ed. 1806, 262 f.), and among the fellahin of Hanran, who in their helief in the magical efficacy of the written word will place the most mappromiste ancient Greek inscriptions (tombstones and the like) above or beside their doors, sometimes apside down! Later Jews have given the name menant (=door-post) to the small metal box or skin-log containing the above inscription and hung up the right-hand door-post inside. As he enters the pions Jew touches or salutes it (Driver, i.l.). It is not necessary to interpret even this verse in so literal a sense (Driver); even this the denteronomist may have intended to be metaphorical (Marti in Kantzsch's Heil, Schr. des A.T.).

10—16. The chief temptations to forget the duties just enforced will meet israel when they enter upon the enjoyment of the civilisation of the land they are about to reach: a civilisation to which they have not contiluted, and which they may be moved to impute to other gods than their own who is bringing them to it. The selevancy of this section to the preceding, and their close connection, are closured.

10. And it shall be, when Jebovah thy God shall bring the into, etc.] A formula partly derived from J (Ex. xiii. 5, 11, the land of the Camanite), but varied by D, which adds thy God and otherwise

into the land which he sware unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give thee; great and goodly cities, which thou buildedst not, and houses full of all good things, 11 which thou filledst not, and cisterns hewn out, which thou hewedst not, vineyards and olive trees, which thou plantedst not, and thou shalt eat and be full; then beware lest thou 12 forget the LORD, which brought thee forth out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage. 'I'hou shalt fear the 13 LORD thy God; and him shalt thou serve, and shalt swear

characteristically expands it. Similarly vii. 1, xi. 20. See also iv. 38, vi. 23, viii. 7, ix. 4, 28, xxxi. 20, 21.

which he sware] i. 8. Thus in the forefront of the warning not to yield to the worship of the gods of their new land the fact is emphasised in solemn phrases that it is Jehovah who brings then into it.

11. and houses ... and cisterns ... vineyards and olive trees ... ) With Sam. and LXX omit and before houses and eisterns. Such things form the principal wealth of the cities, better towns, of p. 10. That grain and flocks are not also mentioned (as in xxxii, 14) is not surprising, The description is a summary one; it is an agricultural civilisation to which Israel is succeeding, and in the agriculture of the W. Palestine hills fruit-trees were more valuable than either wheat or barley, and also their value was more dependent on the labour of previous generations,

and thou shalt eat and be full viii, to, 12, xi, 15, xxxi, 20; cp. xiv. 20, xxvi. 12. xxxii. 15 (LXX).

12. beware give heed to thyself or be on guard with respect to thrielf, apparently a common phrase from one person to another, Ex. x. 28 (1), etc.; addressed to Israel in the editorial passage, Ex. xxxiv. 12 and trequently in D: iv. o. viii. 11 (both followed, as here, by lest thou forget), xii. 13, 10, 30, xv. 9, all Sg. and in the Pl. iv. 23, xi. 16 (c).

which brought thee, etc.] Once more an emphasis on the providence of Israel's Gott,

house of bondmen] So in J, Ex. xiii. 3, 14; in Deut. only in Sg.: v. 6, vi. 12, vii. 8, viii. r., xiii. s. 10; the slaves' quarter (ergastulum).

13. him thalf thou fear...serve...swear by his name! Intended to cover the whole sphere of religion: the spiritual temper (on the frequent enforcement of the fear of God and its meaning see on iv. 10); acts of worship (the Hebrew tenn, though technically used of these, may cover nther duties as well, see Driver, i.L and co. on x, 12); and Invalty to Gold in all one's intercourse by word and deed with one's fellows. The reason for this last, which to our ears sounds strange in so brief a summary of religious duty, is clear. All the details of life are more explicitly connected with religion by primitive man than by ourselves. He naively and constantly appeals to his god for the truth of his state14 by his name. Ye shall not go after other gods, of the gods 15 of the peoples which are round about you; for the Loxn thy God in the midst of thee is a Jealous God; lest the anger of the Loxn thy God be kindled against thee, and he destroy thee from off the face of the earth.

Ye shall not tempt the LORD your God, as ye tempted to him in Massah. Ye shall diligently keep the commandments of the LORD your God, and his testimonies, and his statutes, so which he hath commanded thee. And thou shall do that

ments and the houesty of his husiness transactions. So was it in the Israel of the deuteronumists' time, Jer. v. 2. Thus a man's oaths were In his everyday life the profession of his faith. If he swore hy Baal, Baal was his god. Hence the need of the command to Israel here and in Jer. iv. 2, xii. 16. It is the duty of carrying out one's religion into the momentary details of life. Hence, too, the definition of Jehovah's true worshipper as he that sweareth by Jehovah, I's, lxiii. 11. But hence also the need for the presence among the Ten Commandments of one not to take Jehovah's name in vain. For the practice, however sincere in its origins, was terribly open to abuse, and was (and is) abused among Semitic nations beyond all others. Of the modern Arabs Doughty says, 'they all day take God's name in vain (as it was perhaps in ancient Israel), confirming every light and laughing word with cheerful billahs, and 'they will confirm any word with an oath' (Ar. Des. t. 265, 269). So Christ commanded, swear not at all. Ye shall not go after other gods, etc. ] only states explicitly what

is implicit in the preceding verses. As it is superfutous and introduces the P1 form into a Sg. context, it may be confidently regarded as an editorial addition. Other gods, specially characteristic of D and deuternoomic passages in the Heanteuch, occurs some so times; for go ofter other gods see will, 19, xi. 28, xiii. 2, xxviii. 14, etc.

15. in the midst of thee] So vii. 21, xxiii. 14 (contr. i. 42). Hosen has the same thought, xi. 9, and Jeremiah, xiv. 9.

a jealous God] As in iv. 24, v. 9; see note on Ex. xx. 5. lest the anger, etc.] Cp. vii. 4, xi. 17.

16, 17. Another interruption by the Pt. Because of this; because the reference to Massah is hardly relevant to the context, and because the perfect, he hath commanded, is not yet true of the separate laws; these sentences seem to be a later editorial insertion. The return to the Sg, at their close is explicable by the attraction of the Sg. in v. 18. 4. Y. shall not tempt, etc... | Rather, try, or put to the proof. On

Massah ep. ix. 22, xxxiii. 8, and see nn Ex. xvii. 2, 7.

16, 19. Resonation of the Sg. address; in spite of this the originality of these verses also has been doubted. It is at least curious that we have in them the divine name alone without the addition the

God, characteristic of D.

which is right and good in the sight of the LORD: that it may be well with thee, and that thou mayest go in and possess the good land which the LORD sware unto thy fathers, to thrust out all thine enemies from before thee, as 19 the LORD hath spoken.

When thy son asketh thee in time to come, saying, What to mean the testimonies, and the statutes, and the judgements, which the Lokd our God hath commanded you? then thou 22 shaft say unto thy son, We were Pharaoh's bondmen in Egypt; and the Lokd brought us out of Egypt with a mighty hand: and the Lokd shewed signs and wonders, 22 great and sore, upon Egypt, upon Pharaoh, and upon all his house, hefore our eyes; and he brought us out from 23

18. do that which is right, etc.] Cp. xii. 25. mayest go in and possess] See alsove on v. 1.

19. to thrust out, etc.] The Heb. is used of this event only here and ix. 4 [Sg.); also in the deuteronomic Jos. xxiii. 5.

as Jehovah hath spoken | Ex. xxiii. 27 ff.

20—36. These verses return to a favourite theme of Deat, the close relation between plovable Laws and His Deeds. When a fature generation shall ask the meaning of the Laws it shall be referred to the Lord's deliverance of the ation from bondage in Egypt and I lis conduct of them to the land He promised. Having thus made them nation, Ile would now preserve them as such by the Laws which He commands. These Ps., throughout in the Sg., expand to, 7.4, and contain nothing which leads us to doubt their originality. See on 7.5.

20. When, etc.] Read, with Sam. and LXX, and it shall be when. as in the opening of v. 10 and in Ex. xii. 14 (J), which the rest of this

clause follows.

the testimonies...the statute, and the judgements] as in iv. 45 9.7. With Sam. onit and before the statutes; the statutes and the judgements are the contents of the testimonies.

our God ] For the reason of this instead of the usual Sg. thy God see on v. 24.

hath commanded you? The perfect is natural to the time of the questioners' generation, when the laws would already have heen published. Fou (so Sam., but LXX ur) is, of course, the older generations; this, therefore, is not an instance of the PL address. 21. bondbarn! See on v. 6.

mighty hand See on iv. 34.

21. signs and wonders...before our eyes] See on iv. 34.

 and he brought in out] This translation stifles the emphatic and even exittant note of the order in the original: But ns He brought out from thence, ep. iv, 20. thence, that he might bring us in, to give us the land which 4<sub>2</sub> he sware unto our fathers. And the LORN commanded us to do all these statutes, to fear the LORN our God, for our god always, that he might preserve us alive, as at this day, 25 And it shall be righteousness unto us, if we observe to do all this commandment before the LORD our God, as he hath commanded us.

that he might bring us in] See on v. 10; some LXX codd, omit.
which he rwarel 1, 8,

38. Jaborah commanded are to do all these stantists] This phrace is natural to the time and standpoint assumed throughout set, 20—25, viz, those of the later generation before which the statutes will alreally have been published. Notice, too, how naturally followsh is used to be supported to the property of the standard of the standard set of the control of the standard set of the children; so, too, follows our God (thrive) is to be explained. Thus two of Steuernagel's reasons for counting the passage as secondary, as incarly published and that flavorsh our God does not elsewhere occur in the Sg.) are disposed to. He has missed the standpoint of the secondarities to of the passage—that it interrupts by its emphasis on gainst the worship of other godes—is insufficient earl of the warm against the worship of other godes—is insufficient.

uight preserve us alive] Sustain the national existence which He had begun by the redemption from Egypt (v. 21). The Law is given to preserve the life born in that deed of grace. See above.

alite, as at this day] "It deserves attention that this points to the composition (of the passage) as pre-exilic, for the Exile was felt as death' (Bertholet). This would be a good argument if the words were part of Moses' direct address to Israel, but they are spoken from the standpoint of a generation settled in Palestine.

26. It shall be rightenument unto us! The thought of the previous verse shows that rightenumes here does not mean goodness, uprightness, but rather justification, vindication, the right to live, and to consequence their life itself. Cf. the post-said "Issain, bit, 1, 1, bit, 1, 2, in which rightenumens is parallel to comme, to autoritor and to the object of the prevent writer's Standa ki.—New 127 Bi. Continut.

before Jebovah our God] Cp. xxiv. 13, where this phrase (bby God) follows immediately on rightheausurs must thee. That may, as some suggest, have been the order here, too, but the transposition is not necessary. 'To fulfil the commandment before Jebovah means so to fulfil it that IIe sees it, and that is a speaking feature of legal pirty (Neh. v. 19, xxii. 14, 2x, 3xi) (Berthdet).

When the LORD thy God shall bring thee into the land 7 whither thou goest to possess it, and shall least out many nations before thee, the Hittite, and the Girgashite, and the

# Heb. pluck off.

CH. VII. 1-26.

The discourse returns to the theme of vi. 10 ff., Israel's temptations in the promised land. He is to make no contract, nor show friendliness, nor intermarry with its peoples lest he be drawn to idolatry (1-4), but is to destroy their altars and other religious symbols (5). For Israel is holy and peculiar to Jehovah, who hath chosen him because He loved him and redeemed him in order to keep His oath to his fathers (6-8). He is faithful to His own to a thousand generations, but requites His haters by destroying them; Israel must therefore keep His laws (0-11). If so, Iehovah will keep His covenant with the people, securing the fertility of themselves, their soil and their cattle, and turning disease from them upon their enemies (12-15). These Israel must consume ruthlessly, for their gods will be a snare; and if Israel is afraid of them he must remember that what his God has already done to Pharaoh and Egypt He will do to them, for He is in the midst of Israel a great God and terrible (16-21). He will destroy then gradually (for His people's sake), but utterly (22-24). The chapter closes on its keynote; Israel must destroy the images of the gods of these peoples, not coveting even the silver and the gold upon these, which must be an alsomination to Israel (25-26).-Apart from certain editorial additions (see the notes), there is no reason to doubt the substantial integrity of the chapter; save with these additions-ove. 5, 7, 8 (except last clause), 12 a -it maintains the So. address.

1. shall bring thee into, etc.] See on vi. 10,

shall cast out, etc.] strip, or clear, off; v. 22, 2 Kgs xvi. 6: the only applications of this verb to the extirpation of human beings; in xix. 5 intrans. of the slipping of an axe-head from the heft, xxviii. 4 to thropping of olives. [E of drawing off sandals, Kx. iii.4; Jus. v. 15.

The list of seven nations which follows is of a kind frequent in JE, D(xx, x; y) and deuteronomic passages in other books; 'in many cases probably—Jos, xxiv, it is one that is very clear—introduced by the compiler' J(Di), but always with a rhetorieal purpose. The order and even the contents of these lists way; for details see Driver on this verse, and on EE, iii. 8.

Hittitie] Egyptian and Assyrian monuments record a liftitie power in N. Syria with a centre at Kadeko on the Cromoses. Judg. i. 4.6, iii. 2.4, Ios. 2i. 3. [in these lost two read Hittitie for Hittitie living the name as far as the S. end of M: Hermon. P mentions prooped of the same or a scale at the proof of the same or a scale 3. [in the control of the control of

Amorite, and the Canaanite, and the Perizite, and the Hivite, and the Jebusite, seven nations geneter and mightier a than thou; and when the LORE thy God shall deliver them up before thee, and thou shall smite them; then thou shall 'utterly destroy them; thou shall make no covenant with a them, nor show merce with them; and the shall thou make

### 1 Heb. devote.

Bittite. On these grounds (and others) the existence of at least Hittie colonies or surrenigates an S. Patstire has been maintained. But in P. Hittie may be used in the same general sense as Amortie in E and D and Camanaire in  $J_1$ : cp. Jos.  $J_2$  (deutercomorie) of the tense of the R1. at Syria, which the Assyrians also mean by 'the land of the Khatti's and F's Hitties at Hebron are called Amorties by  $F_2$ , Jos.  $g_2$ ;  $S_3$ ; which needs to the Excitation of mind, but may mean only to emphasize the inform heathersian of jerusalem. The queen only to emphasize the inform heathersian of jerusalem. The  $G_3$  is the substitution of the substitution of the substitution of the  $G_3$  is the  $G_3$  consistency of the substitution of the  $G_3$  consistency in  $G_3$  consistency  $G_3$  is the  $G_3$  consistency  $G_3$  consistency  $G_3$  in  $G_3$  consistency  $G_3$  in  $G_3$  consistency  $G_3$  con

Girgashite] in but a few of the lists; here, Jos. iii. 10, xxiv. 11; Gen. x. 41. Gen. x. 16 (f) puts them under the political supremacy of Canaan (begatter by C.) or Phoenicia. Their territory is unknown. The name seems onomatopoetic like Zanzumnim (ii. 20); cp. Arab.

'garas,' to make a low sound or speak softly.

Amorite...Canaanite| See on i. 7.

Periside] in all but two or three of the lists. J mentions this people, oling with the Cinnanties, a starely predecessors (Gen. xii, 7, xxxiv, 3c.; ludg.; b. (4), 5), and their land as in the centre of the range of W. Palestune (Jos. vvii. 18). The name has been derived, but not certainly, from present, topen region or "region of unwalled towns," press, the inhabitant of such ("iff. 8).

Hivite] in all the lists. In J they are subject to Phoenicia (Cannan, Gen. x. 17) and the Gibeonites are called Hivita (Jos. ix. 7); cp. the deuteronomic xi. 19). In 2 Sam. xxiv. 7 their cities are coupled with those of the Cannanites as now laxael's. The Heb. Hivari seems con-

nected with hawwah, tent-village.

febnite] in all the lists save one; according to J and other sources the inhabitants of Jeruselan and its land till their conquest by David [Jos. xv. 63; ] udg. i. 11, xv. 11; 2 Sam. v. 6, 8); cf. l's the shoulder of the febnite, that is ferusalem, Jos. xviii. 16, 18. See the writer's ferus. 1, 2361, 11, 18, 18.

2 deliver them up before] See on i. 8.

thon thalt utterly destroy them] put to the ban, herem. See on ii. 34. make no conemant with them] no treaty or alliance; so in ]E, Ex. xxiii. 32, xxxiv. 12; cp. Jos. ix. 6, 1 Sam. xi. 1 ff. (instances of such).

3. neither...make marrages with them] In the narratives in Genesis.

marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son. For 4 he will turn away thy son from following me, that they may serve other gods: so will the anger of the LORD be kindled against you, and he will destroy thee quickly. But thus 5 shall ye deal with them; ye shall break down their altars, and dash in pieces their 'pillars, and 'hew down their Asherim, and burn their graven images with fire. For thou 6

# <sup>1</sup> Or, obelisks

and Judges marriages are regarded as best when between members of the same family or tribe (Een. xxvii. 1, x E), and as unfortunate when the wives are foreign (Sen. xxvii. 3, 4, 6, xxvii. 4, 6; Judg. xiv. 3). But no law against marriage with foreigners is either assumed or implied. On the contrary, Moses (Ex. ii. x1), David (; Sam. iii. 3), Solomon (; Kg. xi. i), Ahab (; Kg. xvi. x), all marry foreigners, and there are offer instances. (Bath-sheba and Uriah, etc.). The deuternomic veto, therefore, may be assumed to be the earlised law against such marriage (Ex. xxvii. 6 is eilitorial) and to have become necessary by the experience of their eff consequences, conducte to loborary (Judg. iii. s, perience of their efficiences, and the contrary of the contrary

4. turn owey thy now from following met Expressed differently in Ex, xxxiv is 6b but the same effect, that the influence of the foreign wife on her Israelite husband will be to lead him into foldarty. From the method of the following from (16,1), in the speaker is Mores, the me has been taken to be the order of the following from (16,1). The the following from the foll

against you] Transition for the moment to the Pl. (confirmed by Nam. and LXX). It is impossible to say whether this is original or in editorial addition.

quickly] iv. 26.

6. The change to the Pla, together with the fact that the r. does not direct the destruction of the persons of the heathen (which would have been relevant to the preceding), but only of their altar, etc., marks its verse as a quotation or later insertion. F. 6 follows on 4. So Steuern. Berth. Cp. the editorial passages Ex. xxii. 44,6 xxxvv. 13. The original of all three passages. way be the deuterounde law, xii. 3.

pillars ... Asherini See on xvi. 21 f.

6—11. The reasons for the previous commands to destroy the peoples of the land, and to abstain from traffic with them, leading as this would to participation in their worship of other gods. I vanel are for Jehowsh alone: to shis end He loved, chose, and redeemed them. This is one of the many cases in Draut, in which the principles or riests offered for certain practices or acts of conduct commanded to Israel are shared to the contract of th

6. an holy people unto Jaharuh ity God] So xiv. 3, 11, xxii. 19, xxiii. 19, pc. Rxiii. 19, pc

#### HOLINESS IN DEUT. AND OTHER O.T. WRITERS.

The adi. haly (kadash), and the noun haliness (kadesh), with the various forms of the verb (prob. denominative) to be holy, and to hallow or saustify, require a separate note, especially in view of certain phenomena which distinguish the use of these terms in Deut. The meaning of the root 'k-d-sh' appears to be physical: 'cut off,' 'separate,' 'set apart.' But in Helt, and other Semitic languages the words derived from it are always used in a religious sense, both of God or the gods and of things and men in their relation to the deity. It is not certain whether they were first applied to deity as separate from, or at a distance abuve men, and then transferred to men and things belonging to the delty; or whether they were originally used of these as set about from common use fur the use of the gud and then transferred to himself. But this is clear, that as the meaning of the terms grew in Israel's use of them. the chief influence in that growth was the revealed nature of Israel's tion. At first the meaning of holy and holiness was purely formal, without ethical content, and negative. Even in Israel, and even with prophets who had very rich conceptions of the moral and metaphysical nuture of God, the terms still often retain their original and perative character. To Hosea God is Holy as the Utter Contrast of man, xi, q: God and not man, the Holy One in the midst of thee; so the Prophet of the Exile He is the Incomparable, 'Is.' xl. 25; to whom will ye liken me, that I should be equal to him? saith the Holy One. But as these passages show, the terms could not remain negative when used of God, but became positive and equivalent to godhead. In Phoenician (as A. B. Davidson points out the phrase 'the holy gods' just means the divine gods. Similarly in Israel the contents of the term Holy came to be the contents of the nature of fellovals as these were revealed to the prophets. To Hoose (ki. 9, see above) God's holiness. His utter contrast with men, is His love and power of longiving. To Isaish it is His transcendence, majesty and awful pairity, crushing and heryldering sirful man (vi. 1—2, high and lifted np, the foundations mored...the house were filled with mode...mee is me, I am undown...a man of nortican lipis, and His righteconsess or listed ve. 16, the Hely Out is norticant lipis, and His righteconsess or listed ve. 16, the Hely Out is these sturbutes are spoorpauled to His flory (vi. 3). Yet some of these sturbutes are spoorpauled to His flory (vi. 3). Yet none of these flurbutes are spoorpauled to His flory (vi. 3). Yet none of

As applied to things holy simply means that they have been ceremonially set apart for the deity; so of the Sabbath (hallow it), the firstborn (sanctify them to me | they are mme), the sanctuary (mikdash), its furniture, priests' clothing, and foods (virtually equivalent to clean), etc. Similarly men are holy not because of their character, but from their devotion to the deity or His service, e.g. t Sant xxi. 5 f. of soldiers (of tlivers characters) consecrated to war (see on xx. 1 ff., xxxiii, 3); of a prophet, 2 Kgs iv, q; and frequently in P of priests, Levites and Nazirites. In E, Ex. xxii. 31, holy is applied to the whole nation: they must not eat flesh torn by beasts of the field and not slain ritually, because they are men holy to Jehovah, His own and set apart for Him; while in Jer, ii, a huly = inviolable :, as holiness to Jebuvah, early Israel could not be devoured by other nations without guilt falling on these, Here also, however, the character of the God to whom Israel was sacred, grainally ethicised the term holy. This appears as early as [, Ex. xix. s.f. (unless this passage is editorial), where it is announced that the people will be holy if they obey God's voice and keep His covenant; and it is very clear in the formula, Be ye holy for I am holy, because of its connection with moral requirements, Lev. xix. 1-3, xx. 7. Even when Israel's holiness is emphasised as incompatible with attendance on heather cults, the notoriously immoral character of these implies that the holiness is not merely ceremonial but ethical as well. In Pas. xv. and xxiv, only the unright and pure are fit to dwell in the holy place of God; yet even here holiness may mean no more than an awful sacredness (cp. Is. xxxiii. 14f.). On the whole subject see A. B. Davilson, Theol. of the O.T. 144 ff., and I. Skinner, art. 'Holiness in the O.T.' in Hastings' D.B.

In Deut, in which the use of hely and helinear is not so frequent to characteristic as it is in the Trophets and I; we find only some of the meaning, described above; the whole range of them is not covered. The purely rinial sense, applied to things and men consecrated to God, is oftenest expressed: v. 1: (the Sabbath); v. 1: (first limited) and focks); xxiii. 1; (the camp, because of God's presence); consisting unless); v. xxii. 9; xxii. 9; where X. Y. Appried, popularly the exact meaning, is hiterally said; 9 where X. Y. Appried, popularly the exact meaning, is hiterally said; 9 where X. Y. Appried, popularly the exact meaning, is hiterally said; 9 where X. Y. Appried, popularly the exact meaning, is hiterally said; 9 where X. Y. Appried, popularly the exame then poer throughout the Sentiuc would (Kadash an Krédenskh). Five times is Israel called a hely popular—a people hely is fehrensh by God. But in one of these passages, xxxii. 1; (ii) im sensa a people distinct from other

art an holy-people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people unto himself, 7 labove all peoples that are upon the face of the earth. The

## 1 Or, out of

nations, and high above them in renown; and in another, xxviii. o (as the context shows), an inviolable people just as in Jer, li, a, though the condition of such inviolableness is moral, their obedience to all the commandments of Jehovah. In two others, xiv, 2, 21, the phrase is used as the ground for their abstention from mutilation for the dead, and from eating what has not been ritually slain; while in vii. 6 it is given (as we have seen) as a reason for not treating or trafficking with the heathen or engaging in their cults. In these last three cases a moral meaning is doubtless implied in hely, because of the notoriously immoral character of such cults, but it is not explicit. This is strange after what we have seen of the moral contents of the term holy in the Prophets. But stranger still as coming after the Prophets (see above) is the fact that holy is nowhere in Dent, applied to God Himself (though in xxvi. 15 heaven is called His holy habitation); and He is not styled as Isamh so frequently styles 11mm the Holy One of Israel. Did the deuteronomists purposely avoid the association of this name with Jehovah because of some superstitions use of it (cp. Jereminh's rejudication of Isaiah's conviction of the sanctity of the Temple, when this had become a mere fetish with the people), or because it was also applied to heathen gods?

Johnson, My God hatch chaten thee] The order of the original is much more emphatic; And (so Sam, LXX and some Heh MSS) dee hath //shewah thy God choun. Similarly iv. 37, x. 15, xiv. 2 with Sg., and with H; Goly with F. Can discovered and its expression are characteristic of deuteronomic withings, (yet ep. Amos iii. 3, but occurs frequently after the characteristic of deuteronomic witings, (yet ep. Amos iii. 3, but occurs frequently with the control of the control of

smooth, the teneracy of the property of kings, and the prity property of kings, Che also, if Eccl. it is in N. H. the verb from which it is iterived means to acquire property. Also in xiv, and xxv. it is, like this passage, in Sg. Not certainly found before D, for Ex. xix, 5 is editorial. For details see note on that verse. The adj, has the sense which the noun 'neonlist' retains in Eng.

7, 8. Change to the Pl. address. Because of this and because the

LORD did not set his love upon you, nor choose you, because ve were more in number than any people; for ve were the fewest of all peoples: but because the LORD loveth 8 vou. and because he would keep the oath which he sware unto your fathers, hath the LORD brought you out with a mighty hand, and redeemed you out of the house of bondage, from the hand of Pharaoh king of Egypt. Know therefore 9 choice of Israel by Jehovah is not mentioned in other I'l, passages, and

also because these verses are not necessary to the connection, they are probably a later editorial insertion-or at least a quotation.

7. set his love upon you ] The radical meaning of the verb ls to fix or bind, and it is used of a man's falling in love with a woman, xxi, 11; Gen, xxxiv. 8; cp. the Eng. use for this of \*attachment\* (also of a passion for building, 1 Kgs ix, 16). Of Jehovah's love for Israel only here and x. 15. For an analogous phrase see Hos. ii. 14, I will speak comfortably to her, lit, speak to her heart as from man to woman when he woos her : also Is, xl. 2.

ye were the fewest of all peoples | Cp. iv. 38, vii. 1, ix. 1, all Sg., and xi. 22 Pl. as here; on the other hand i. 10 Pl., x. 22 Sg. as the stars of heaven, iv. 6 Pl. a great nation, xxvi. 5 Sg. great, mighty, populous. 'The representation of Israel's numbers and power appears to vary in different passages, according to the thought which the writer at the

time desires to express' (Driver). Yet see on i. 10.

8. leveth roul With Israel's love to God (see on vi. 5) God's love to Israel is equally characteristic of D and not found elsewhere in Hexateuch; first expressed and very fully in Hos. i.-iii. and xi. 1-4. In Deut, of God's love to the fathers of the nation, iv. 37, x, 15, both Sg.; to the nation, vii. 8 Pl. (editorial), 11j. 13, xxiii. 5 So. : to the stranger, x. 18 So.

the oath which he sware | See ix. 5.

mighty hand] See on iii. 14.
reduented you] Heb. thee, and the Sg. is continued by Sam. and most MSS of LXX. This Sg. clause follows, not only conveniently upon v. 6, the last clause in Sg., but very appropriately because of its redeemed and the peculiar people of that clause.

redeemed 1 The ordinary term for ransoming beast or man from slavery

or death (see on Ex. xiii. 13), is used of the redemption of Israel from Egypt in D here, xiii, s. xv. 14, xxi, 8, xxiv, 18, all with the So., aml in ix. 26 in a Pl. context; and so nowhere else in the Ilexatench. 9, 10. A free paraphrase of the Second Commanilment.

9. Know therefore] A frequent formula in 1) in Sg. and I'l. iv. 30 (+ and lay it to thine heart), viii. 5 (A.V. and thou shalt consider in thine heart), ix. 3, 6 (A.V. understand therefore), xi. 2 (and know ye); cp. xxix. 4 Pl. (a heart to know); the passages where the object is other gods and the meaning therefore in to have experience of them, xiii. 6, 13, xxviii, 64 (Sg.), and xi. 28 (Pl.), also xxix. 26, xxxii. 17; and in a

that the LORD thy God, he is God; the faithful God, which keepeth covenant and mercy with them that love him and to keep his commandments to a thousand generations; and repayeth them that hate him to their face, to destroy them:

he will not be slack to him that hateth him, he will repay it him to his face. Thou shalt therefore keep the commandment, and the statutes, and the judgements, which I command thee this day, to do them.

12 And it shall come to pass, because ye hearken to these

similar sense, of other nations xxviii 3,3 of (8g), and of the diseases of Egypt vii. (8g), in and of man wiii 3, of (8g), and of Gan proving IIIs people in order to know, i.e. find out, what was in their heart, wii. 4 (8g), xiii 3 (19t). These passages and their contexts show that D uses the verb to harne with the same practical force, especially in reigious natures, will which House uses it. 'I is not to know so as to reigious natures, will which House uses it. 'I is not to know so as to acquisition and mastery, but as impression, passion. To quote Paul's distinction, it is not so much the apprehending as the being apprehended. It leads to a vivid result—either warm appreciation, or change of mind or practical effort... It is knowledge that is followed by shame, of the context of the con

he is God' the God, or God indeed, iv. 35, 39, x. 17; affirming not the soleness (Dilim.) so much as the reality of Jehovah's deity, as shown

(the vv. go on) in His working in history. faithful! A participle with gerundive force, who shows Himself One

to be trusted, i.e. by flis deeds.

keyeth coverant and mercy. The conjunction shows that the Heb.

word trans. mercy, hesed, is, as especially in Hosea, more than an
affection; it is a relation and duty better rendered by loyal love. But

see Driver's note in loco, that love him! See on vi. 5.

a thousand generations] 'a rhetorical amplification, rather than an exact interpretation, of the thousands of Ex. xx. 6' [Dt. v. 10] (Driver).

10. to their fare; i.e. in their own persons; inserted lest the sinner might flatter himself that the punishment of his sin would be deferred

to a later generation (v. 11).

he will not be slack Rather, he will not delay (it).

 the commandment, and the statutes, and the judgements] See on vi. 1. Sam. again omits and before statutes.
 And it shall come to pass? Cp. vi. 10.

because] better than A.V. if; Heb. means in consequence of, or as a reward for.

judgements, and keep, and do them, that the Lout by God shall keep with thee the covenant and the mercy which he sware unto thy fathers: and he will love thee, and bless 13 thee, and multiply thee: he will also bless the fruit of thy body and the fruit of thy ground, thy corn and thy wine and thine oil, the increase of thy kine and the young of thy flock, in the land which he sware unto thy fathers to give thee. Thou shalt be blessed above all peoples: there shall 4, not be male or female barren among you, or among your cattle. And the Loun will take away from thee all sickness; 15 and he will put none of the evil diseases of Egypt, which

ye hearken...and do them] Another Pl. clause and superfittous. The next clause resuming the Sg. fidlows suitably v. 11.

Jehovah the God shall keep with thee the operanan, etc.] Expansion

of v. g. y.v.

13. 'Inv., Mars., and multiply their [O., Gen. xxii x 1 (E.); xxxii. The libevings which follow are material; similarly have the classrate-mist addition dowe by D. The libevings which follow are material; similarly but varied in xxviii. 4, rt. 3, 4, xxx g. al. Sg. Note the interesting differences in Hosea's windler lists: bread, matter, mood, fax, old, draid, corn, nome, oil (Hos. i. 4, S. f., 15, 2). Hosea, writing for the X. kingdom, give that which D omits: 3 lib even are characteristic of Jachab. Hosea's which D omits: 3 lib even are characteristic of Jachab. Hosea's of the relation of lebrorals and Israel in research the material in less.

detail than D.

fruit of thy body] womb, as in A.V.; Gev. xxx. 2 (E).

comm., mbtte., off) xi. 14x xii. 17x xiv. 23x xxviii. 5t. The terms used denote these products in a less manufactured quie. Wine is rived in oil print, com degue not hitton oil print not shimm. Trish, though so centricy undermented of namelines (Hon. iv. 14), was neverthered and the continuous of th

the increase of thy kine] xxviii. 4, 18, gr: what drops from or is cust by, an animal; Ex. xiii. 12 (f) that cometh of a beast. Nowhere else.

Kine, rather cattla, the noun is mase.

the young of thy flock Lit, the 'Ashtoreths. 'A phrase like this, which

has descended from religion into ordinary life, and is preserved among the monothetistic Hebrews, is very old evidence for the association of Astarte with the sheep." (W. R. Smith, Rel. of the Semiter, 458.) in the land, etc.] See vi. to: after sware, Sam. and LXX read februah.

14. not...barren] Ex. xxiii. 26 (edit.); cp. above on p. 13.
15. take away...all sickness] Ex. xxiii. 25 (edit.).

eril diseases of Egypt] In Ex. xxv. 26 (edit.) the sichuesses (another

DIRECT GOOGLE

thou knowest, upon thee, but will lay them upon all them if that hate thee. And thou shalt consume all the peoples which the Loan thy God shall deliver unto thee; thine eye shall not pity them: neither shalt thou serve their gods; for 17 that will be a snare unto thee. If thou shalt say in thine heart, These nations are more than 1; how can I dispossess them? thou shalt not be afraid of them: thou shalt will remember what the Loan thy God did unto Pharaoh, and gunto all Egypt; the great 'temptations which thine eyes saw, and the signs, and the wonders, and the mighty hand, and the stretched out arm, whereby the Loan thy God brought thee out: so shall the Loan thy God out to all on the peoples of whom thou art afraid. Moreover the Loan the strength and the strength and the strength and the strength and the Loan the Loan

## 1 Or, trials See ch. iv. 34, and xxix, 3.

word) refers to the special plagues brought on the Egyptians by Jelovant for Isase's sake. Here the reference is rather to the natural silments of men of which in antiquity Egypt was notoriously the source; eighnatisals, "Aegypti peculiare makine" (Fling, M.N. XVXI.), oighthatima, hyporticy, but especially the bulsonic plague (Hecaticus, 6), which was the superior of the superior

which thou knowest] hast had experience of, see on v. 9.

16. consume] Lit. eat up, a common figure, JE, Nu. xxii. 4.

shall deliver] See on v. 2.

The rest of the v. Steuern, takes as an addition, because the theme of 12-16 is what Jehovah does; and this, a warning for Israel, breaks the course of the thought. But this is to impute too fite a logic to such a

course of the thought. But this is to impute too fine a logic to such a discursive writer.

thine eye shall not pity them] xiii, 8, xix. 13, 21, xxv. 12, all Sg.; elsewhere in Hex. only in the edit. passage, Gen. xlv. 20, and with a

different object, but common in Exek., of God's eye on the people, and also found in Jer. and other post-deuteronomic writings. Cp. v. 2, thou shalt not pity them, with another vb.

uither shalt thou seve their gods...mare unto thee] Similarly in

edit. Ex. xxiii. 33, xxxiv. 12. See note on former.

17. say in thine heart] say to thyself, or think, or imagine; but with

the force of really think, ix. 4, xviii. 11.

18. afraid of them | So simply, xx. 1; for the longer characteristic phrases see on 1. 21.

what Jehovah thy God did iv. 34, vi. 21 f.
19. temptations...signs...woudar See on iv. 34.

which thine eyes saw iv. 9.
mighty hand, and ... stretched out arm See on iv. 34.

thy God will send the hornet among them, until they that are left, and 'hide themselves, perish from before thee. Thou shalt not be affrighted at them: for the Loren thy 2 God is in the midst of 'thee, a great God and a terrible. And the Loren thy 30 de will east out those nations before 2 thee by little and little: thou mayest not consume them 'at once, lest the beasts of the field increase upon thee. But 23 the Loren thy God shall deliver them up before thee, and

## 1 Or, hide themselves from thee, perish

<sup>2</sup> Or, quickly

20. And also the hornests will Jehovah... send, etc.] E twice, Ex. will a.B. (so, xxiv: tz. 'By also D indicates that be will have the hernest understood not as the only weapon of God, but as an example of His weapons; by the rest of the verne he makes it sufficiently clear that he takes hernests in the proper sense of the word, in so far as they penetrate, into holes and corners' (Dillmanners').

21. Then shalt not be affrighted This, combined with the verb be afraid (v. 18), is found in Pl, passages.

in the midst of thee] vi. 15.

great God and ..terrible] Cp. x. 17, xxviii, 38, the same epithets of the wilderness i. 19, viii. 15, and of Jehovah's deeds x. 21. Terrible, in E. Gen. xxviii. 17 of the presence of God; nowhere else hefore D, for Ex. xxxiv. 10 is editorial, but very frequent in post-deuteronomic writings.

22. "cast out.] See on n. 1.

. Iditat and little [So, with the same reason attached, E. B., xxiii; 7),

. Iditat out. [So, with the same reason attached, E. B., xxiii; 7),

. So, the same state of the same sta

lett the boats of the field increase upon thee? Field, here in it eatile ease of unculvisted territory; beaut of the field are therefore wild boats. That this danger was real and great in partly depopulated lands illustrated in Fig. sexii. 24, I how constant the war of man against wild animals was in ancient. Falsatine may be felt from the promise of 6-m. See lite upsent writer 5 (mink 1:—xxxx). 186f. 6-m. See lite upsent writer 5 (mink 1:—xxxx).

23. deliver them up] See on v. 2.

8--2

shall discomfit them with a great discomfiture, until they be 24 destroyed. And he shall deliver their kings into thine hand, and thou shall make their name to perish from under heaven: there shall no man be able to stand before thee, until thou 35 have destroyed them. The graven images of their gods shall ye burn with fire: thou shall not covet the silver or the gold that is on them, nor take it unto thee, lest thou be snared therein: for it is an abomination to the Lown thy 25 God: and thou shall not bring an aboptimation into thise

discomfit] an onomatopoetic word implying the confusion, turmoil, and panic of defeat, especially under Divine Judgement.

24. make their name to period, etc.] Co blot out, iv. 14, xxv. 10.

xxix. 20.
stand before thee} Lit. keep himself standing to thy face, hold his post

in face of thee : only here, ix. 2 Sg., xi. 25 Pl., in this sense.

20. The graven images... shown with Bright v. 5. Curiously in the Fl, as there is an observise By. contact (the text is confirmed by Sun. and LXX). Steuern marks the verse as secondary, but unnecessarily it be loaled Fl. may be due to a scribe whose eye or ear was impressed with v. 5 (so, too, Bernhold). Burn, the body of the image therefore was the contact of the best of the property of the contact of the property of the contact of the property of the contact of the property of the pro

thou shall not covet the silver or the gold that is on tham] Cp. Jos. vii.
1, 21, Achan's traspars in the devoted thing. The former of these is editorial; the latter, with Achan's confession that he had coveted 200 sheekels of silver and a wedge of gold, belongs to JE.

snared] See on v. 16.

an abomination] The Heb. to ebah is that which is ritually unlawful, and therefore unclean and abhorrent, in respect to some religious system. Thus it is used of Israel's own sacrifices as unlawful in Egypt, which the Egyptians would stone Israel for performing there, Ex. viii. 26, I (see note on that verse). Similarly it is frequently used in D (either alone or followed by Jehovah) of the rites and religious practices of heather nations as unlawful and unclean for Israel, xii. 31, xiii, 14 (the effort to seduce to those rites), xvii, 4, xviii, 9, xx, 18; and by metonymy of the things used in those rites, vii. 25, 26, xxvii. 15 (images, cp. xxxii. 16 parallel to strange gods); of a blemished sacrihee, xvii. 1, and unclean food, xiv. 3; and also of persons participating in such rites, xvill. 12, xxlii. 18, or following other unlawful courses, xxii. 5 (wearing the garments of the other sex), xxv. 16 (using unjust weights); and finally, xxlv. 4, of re-marriage with one's divorced wife after she has been married to another. All these 16 instances occur in Se, passages with two exceptions, xx. 18, a Pl. clause in a Sg. context, and xxxii, 16 a line in the Song (the verb, to abbor, vil. 26, xxiii, 7). No such use of the noun with reference to Israel occurs in IK, but in house, and become a devoted thing like unto it: thou shalt utterly detest it, and thou shalt utterly abhor it: for it is a devoted thing.

And the commandment which I command thee this day 8 shall ye observe to do, that ye may live, and multiply, and go in and possess the land which the LORD sware unto your fathers. And thou shalt remember all the way which the 2

Lev. xvii. - xxvi., the Holiness-Code, it is used several times of the sin of unchastity. In Proverbs Ichovah's abomination has an ethical force.

26. a devoted thing] herem, see on ii. 34; cp. xiii. 17 (18). Persons using or touching anything that was herem or under the ban, themselves

became herem, cp. Jos. vi. 18, vii. 12,

ntterly detest, utterly abhor? The latter verb is that of the noun to ebah, abomination, see v. 25; the former verb, shikkes, with its noun, is also used with respect to what is ritually forbidden or unclean, but chiefly in P, e.g. Lev. xi. 10-13, 20, 23, 41 f.

#### CH. VIII. FURTHER REMEMBRANCES AND WARNINGS FOR THE PROMISED LAND

Remembering God's guidance through the wilderness, how it was both material and moral, sustenance and chastisement (1-4), Israel must keep His commandments (6); and in the land, whose richness contrasts so forcibly with the wilderness, must take beed not to forget Hinself, His commandments and His discipline, nor ascribe to itself the new wealth on which it is to enter (7-17). He is the giver of this, in pursuance of His covenant (18). If Israel forgets all that and worships other gods, it shall surely perish (19, 20). This section of the discourse is fairly simple and compact (yet in any other style than the deuteronomic, v. 6 would seem irrelevant and an intrusion). Except in vv. 1, 196, 20, probably editorial additions, the form of address is Sg. throughout, and no other v. need be regarded as secondary.

. 1. The change from Sg. to Pt. is confirmed by Sam. LXX has I'l. throughout the v. Is the Heb. and Sam. Sg. in the first clause due to the attraction of the Sg. in the previous verses? Or is the LXX Pl. due to a harmonising purpose? It is impossible to say. The suspicion of the originality of the v., which is raised by the Pl. address, is strengthened by the character of the clauses, all of them frequently recurrent formulas, dear to editorial scribes, and none of them necessary just here. On all the commandment, see v. 31; observe to do, v. 1; multiply, vi. 3; go in and possess, vi. 1.

3. thou shalt remember all the way | Another of the many cally in D to remember God's Providence (v. 15, vii. 18, etc.), but this lime to fresh aspects of that Providence, cp. xxix. s.

LORD thy God hath led thee these forty years in the wilderness, that he might humble thee, to prove thee, to know what was in thine heart, whether thou wouldest keep his 3 commandments, or no. And he humbled thee, and suffered thee to hunger, and fed thee with manna, which thou knewest not, neither did thy fathers know; that he might make thee know that man doth not live by bread only, but by every thing that proceeded to ut of the mouth of the

forty years in the wilderness] See on ii. 7.

humble thee, to prove thee? Cp. 20. 3 and 16, xm. 3. On prove (whether as here of man by God, 31 of God by man) see on iv. 34, and Driver's note on Ex. xvii. 2 (E). J also speaks of the manna as God's

proof of Israel, Ex. xvi. 4.

to home what was in thine hoord. Cp., 31ii. 3(4), and note on vit, whether they modelet they hat commandates 15 Stevenstein, sugment, that because the law was not yet given at the time of the provings described, therefore this clause must be regarded as a flat addition, is quite insufficient. For either we may take it as implying once previous charges by Got to Isszal, without which Issael could not have set out in the wilderness (so Bertholet); or better, we may take these trials as of the people's personal confidence in Jelovala and analysis of the people of the peopl

carried out. In the main these were two; first the banger of the people and then the provision of manna.

suffered thee to hanger] Heb. one verb, only here and in Prov. x. 3.

and fed thee with manna For manna see the full notes by Driver, Ex. xvi. 14 fo. 21-35.

which thou knewest not, etc.] See on vii. 9. So J. Ex. xvi. 15,

what is it? for they wist not what it was.

that not upon bread only dath man live but upon every thing that prevented out of the must of yabbornal. The language—in particular every thing—is ambiguous. It is usually read as expressing an anti-universal product of the product

Loxo doth man live. Thy raiment waxed not old upon a thee, neither did thy foot swell, these forty years. And thou s shalt consider in thine heart, that, as a man chasteneth his son, so the Loxo thy God chasteneth thee. And thou shalt 6 keep the commandments of the Loxo thy God, to walk in his ways, and to fear him. For the Loxo thy God bringeth 7 thee into a good land, a land of brooks of water, of fountains

Ming the LXX sways the meaning in another direction: that man lives not by material food only but by the spiritual guidance of God; and this is the antithesis which Christ appears to present in Matt. iv. 4; Although such a higher spiritual meaning in not expressed in this rene, in underlies the context, which reminds Israel that God's providence of them has been not only physical, but moral as well.

4. Thy raiment waxed not old upon thee] Similarly xxix. 5, Pl.;

Neh, ix. 11. On raiment see xxiv. 13.

neither did thy foot most!] or rise in bluters, only here and Neh. ix.

11. Rhetorically applied to the nation as a whole; the Pl. passages ilwell more on the damage to the nation and the destruction of one

whole generation of them during the forty years, ep. ii. 14.

5. And thou shalt consider in thine heart] Lit. know with thy heart;

cp. 'conscire vibi,' and see above on vii. 9. ... as a man chasteneth his soul disciplinath, cp. iv. 36, xi. 2 q.v.;

How si, 1.—4, also ii 1.4 on the wilderness as a school of discipline. In Deut, which so frequently emphasises physical suffering and adversity as God's punishment for sin this explanation of them as signs not of Ilis hostility, but of Ilis fatherly providence, in remarkable. It anticipates the more developed doctrine of later O.T. writings and of the N.T.

6. This v. has been marked by Steenragel as a later addition on the ground that tigives a strange turn to the main thought of the context. But the enforcement of the keeping of the communitarity is the left purpose of the whole discourse; and is more particularly relevant their particularly relevant to the property of the propert

bvingah thee] is about to bring thee; see above on vi. 10.
 good land] i. 35; Sam. and LXX add here and a large (Ex. iii. 8).

brooks of water...fountains...depths] The principal and characteristic naters of Palestine (for the hydrography of the land see especially

I Ia his Synoptic Geopole Mr C. G. Monteflore limits the meaning of Jesus to that in Geole. "Jesus asserts that the word of God will provide for his physical needs. God can by his cerative word fashion material whereby man's life can be sustained, as he did in the case of the manus. More simply, God will provide for the physical needs of his measurement of his measurement.

8 and depths, springing forth in valleys and hills; a land of wheat and barley, and vines and fig trees and pomegranates; 9 a land of oil olives and honey; a land wherein thou shalt

Robinson, Phys. Geog. of the Holy Land, eh. ii., Trelawney Saunders, Introd. to Survey of W. Pal,; also the present writer's HGHL, 77 f., 657 f., and Jerusalem, Bk I. chs. iii. -v.). Brook; nahal (ii. 13) is the Ar, wady, applied both to a valley with only a winter-torrent (e.g. Kidron) and one with a perennial stream (e.g. Arnon and Jabbok), the more exact name for which is nahal 'ethan (HGHL, 657). Fonntoins; "syanoth, springs of living water as distinct from cisterns (id. 77 f.). Depths; Phomoth, pl, of Phom, the mythical name not only of the open ocean round the earth, but of its supposed continuance under the earth (iv. 18, v. 8), from which the fountains, salt and fresh alike, seemed to be derived (Am. viii. 4); the depths here are therefore either the lakes of Palestine, perennial (Phinla or Birket er-Ram, Hulch, Gennesaret and the Dearl Sea) and seasonal (e.g. Merj el-Ghuruk, HGIIL, 317 n.), a possible meaning for thomoth in Ps. exxxv. 6: or the larger outbursts of water from underground, the births of full rivers (as at Tell el Kady) so characteristic of Palestine. This second meaning is the more probable here both because of the following springing forth, and the parallelism between depths and fountains (the larger word for fountains) in Prov. viii. 24. See below on xxxiii, 13.

springing forth in willips and hill]. Iti. in the wally and on the mountain. The phenomenon is due to the limenstone formation of the land, the larger outburnts necurring mainly at the foot of a full or great mound, where the harder dolonite limestone importantial by water mound, where the harder dolonite limestone importantial by water strain lie fleep the water ninks through them and domains are either scany or allogether wanting. Waller, high-sk, MCML, 68, 4.

8. whest and barley! Not the most characteristic products of Palestine, but put first as the staple food of man and the principal distinction of the cultivated soil from the desert, the land not soin (fer. it. 2). On the distribution of wheat and barley in Palestine see Armsalem, 1, 298 f. These two grains are followed by four fruits.

vines and fix trees and pomegranates...il slives] 'Yen more than any grain the staple products of the Judnean range have been its fruit trees and especially the great triad of the Olive, Vine and Fig. the tree which in the ancient parable the trees desire in turn to make their king' (Jerusalem, t. 1996, which see for the distribution of these trees and their power as factors in civilisation and human wealth). Here the Olive is taken spart from its usual companions Vine and Fig. either the contract of the contract of the contract of the companion of the contract of the

honey See on vi. 3.

eat bread without scarceness, thou shalt not lack any thing in it; a land whose stones are iron, and out of whose hills thou mayest dig brass. And thou shalt eat and be full, and to thou shalt bless the LORD thy God for the good land which

Mittehneer num Pers. Golf. t. 260).

whose stones are irou). Whether iron here means baselt as in iii. 11 (q.v.) is doubtful, for basalt is not confined to fertile lands, but is also found in the desert. More probably it is (row proper) not introduced to l'alestine till the arrival of Israel or perhaps later. Like copper it came from the North (Ier. xv. 12), where the Phoenicians and Araneans seem to have moulded and worked it in the Lebanons (Ramman-Nirari III of Asseria records it as tribute from Aram-Damascus; and Idrisi, see ZDPV, VIII. 134, mentions a mine above Beyrout). Josephus speaks of the Iron Mountain running as far as Monbitis (tv. B.J. viii, 2) and the Letter of Aristeas says that both iron and copper were brought before the Persian period from the Mts of Arabia. 'Some have denied that the promise to Israel of iron in the rocks of their own land is justified by the geological facts. But ancient sources of the ore have been discovered at Ikzini on Mt Carmel, and near Burme, N. of the Jabbok' (ferus. 1. 332). Some of the hot springs of Palestine are impregnated with iron (Driver quoting Burckhardl, 33 f.). The excess of the references to from and to furnaces in Jer. and Deut. over those in previous writers points to an increase of the metal in Israel before 640 B.C.

"Merrif" 1 In the O.T. this never refers to the altery of sine to while the term is now confined [1, 1]. I Glistone, PefC, 1898, 38,10 will use means either brazes, copper with alloy of tin, or pure copper, in W. Asia no source of thin has been certainly identified. But in a paper on 'Copper and its Alloys in Antiquity' (reported Institute given his left of the Antipropological Institute given his long before the two metals were strifficially mixed. The source of copper for Palestine were Cyprus, the Lebanos ('t) he land of Niphasabhi' or bronze). Edom, and N. Arabia (Telle-1-Amarua Letters (Winckler's O.), 25, 27, 31 ff.; see the present writer's article "Trade, etc.' in Eur. or Folia, the Pharinco of antiquity, see 'Masal, Endow, 1: 16 f., 287, 288, 373. It 7. 298, 373.

10. And then shalt eat...and...bless, etc.] 'The verse is the proof-

see on vii, 13.

to be hath given thee. Beware lest thou forget the LORD thy God, in not keeping his commandments, and his judgements, 12 and his statutes, which I command thee this day; lest when they have taken and set full and here half and the second

thou hast eaten and art full, and hast built goodly houses, 13 and dwelt therein; and when thy herds and thy flocks multiply, and thy silver and thy gold is multiplied, and all

and thou hast is multiplied; then thine heart be lifted up, and thou forget the LORD thy God, which brought thee forth to out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage; who

old the through the great and terrible wilderness, wherein seeze fiery serpents and scorpions, and thirsty ground where text for the Jewisk custom of prayer at table; possibly, however, the custom is older than our passage; cp. 1 San. ix. 1,2 (Herthole). D's renewed emolasis that Jelovach is the eight of the land and its fruits:

12. Beware lest thon forget, etc.] vi. 12, viii. 14.

in mel keping hir commandments, etc.] That this formula is a later intrusion (so Steuerungel) is possible: it changes the direction of the exhoration (no -tr) which is not against ide nation imagining themselves to be the authors of their wealth, which was cuttienly the gift of jehowsh: in fact r, 1; follows well on r, 10.

12, 18 contain in their proper order such items as characterise the condition of the settled agriculturis in distinction from that of the nonsait sufficiency of food (see on k. zk. wiii. g); the hailding of houses (see and the condition of the settled agriculturise in distinction of the condition of the cond

 thine heart be lifted up] xvii. 20; Hos. xiii. 6. house of bondage] vi. 12.

15. great and terrible wilderness] i. 19: cp. vii. 21.

form serpents and toopjoint] The former, in the collective singular modesh surgels, nor described in the plural in Nuns. 3s. i. 6 Er. ep. 1s. xxx. 6: the fixing sursph. If tamph really means burning and is not a foreign word (for deeping or the like), it refers to the inflammation produced by the serpent's bite. Scorptons is added characteristically by D.

was no water; who brought thee forth water out of the rock of finit; who fed thee in the wilderness with manna, which 16 thy fathers knew not; that he might prove thee, 16 do thee good at thy latter end; and 17 thou say in thine heart, My power and the might for mine band hath gotten me this weath. But thou shalt remember 18 the Lorn thy God, for it is he that given thee power to get wealth; if hat he may establish his coverant which he sware unto thy fathers, as at this day. And it shall be, if thou 9 shalt forget the Lorn thy God, and walk lafter other gods, and serve them, and worship them, I testify against you this day that ye shall surely perish. A she neations which the Lorn to

out of the rock of flint! Ex, xvii. 6 (E); Num, xx. 8, 11 (JE): in both cases only the rock. D's characteristic rhetoric adds of flint. The word does not occur before D, and elsewhere only in xxxii. 13; Ps. exiv. 8; Job xxviii. 9; In. I. 7.

See on vv. 2, 3 and iv. 34.
 to do thee good} xxviii. 63, Pl., xxx. 5, Sg.

thy latter end | Misleading translation. Lit. thine afterness, the later

interface is nothing exhaustion that have experience against the parameter of the parameter

17. thou ray in thine heart? That is not only as if convinced; but, whether or not thou sayest this expressly with thy lips, thou feelest and practically behavest as if thise own power and might had gotten thee

practically I this wealth.

 Renewed emphasis on the writer's chief principle that Jehovah is the author of the people's blessings and that because of His faithfulness vii. 9, 12 ff., etc.

as at this day) The writer again betrays his date; it is when Israel is securely established in the enforment of the wealth promised them:

cp. ii. 30.

19, 20. The change from the Sg. to the Pl. address (substartially so in Sam, and LXX) singgests that an expanding hand has been at work in these verses; and the suggestion is confirmed by the fact that the leading planess in them are found elsewhere only with the 'Pl. Further, the destruction of the nation seems regarded as imminent. 19, Itatify against jury Il liter begins the Pl. 1 the phrase is found.

only with Pl. passages, here, iv. 26, xxx. 19, xxxii. 46, cp. xxxi. 26, 28; elsewhere only in Jer. xi. 7, xiii. 19.

ye shall surely perish? Only here, iv. 26, AAX, 18 all Pl.

maketh to perish before you, so shall ye perish; because ye would not hearken unto the voice of the LORD your God.

Hear, O Israel: thou art to pass over Jordan this day, to

20. maketh to berishl is about to, etc. Here the writer is true to the standpoint of the speaker.

because ye would not hearken, etc.] The construction is found elsewhere only in another Pl. passage, vii. 12,

CHS. IX.-X. 11. WARNINGS AGAINST SELF-RIGHTEOUSNESS, ENDIRURD BY A RETROSPECT OF THE PROPER'S BEHAVIOUR.

Israel about to cross Jordan and face nations mightier than itself anist know that Jeliovah goeth before, quickly to destroy them (1-3). Israel must not thereafter say that He hath done this for Israel's righteouspess, for He shall do it because of the wickedness of those nations and to establish His promise to the fathers (4, 5). Israel itself is not a righteous but a stiffnecked people, provoking and rebellious from Egypt till now (6, 7). This is illustrated by a narrative of their conduct at Horeb, where, while Moses was on the Mount, receiving the two stone tables of the covenant. Israel made a molten calf. God threatened to destroy them, Moses brake the tables and fasted 40 days and nights before God, fearful of His wrath; but at his intercession God relented both with regard to the people and to Aaron, and Moses destroyed the calf (8-21). At other places also Israel provoked God, and have been always rebellious (22-24). But Moses' intercession at Horeb prevailed (25-20), and on two new tables of stone God wrote again the Ten Words and Moses put them in the Ark of wood which he had been hidden to make (x. 1-5). There follow a fragment of a subsequent itinerary of the people with the death of Airon (6, 7); a record of the separation of the tribe of Levi to bear the Ark (8, 9); and a renewed statement of Moses' intercession on the Monnt with the command he then received to continue to lead the peonle towards the land (10, 11).—So long as the discourse is hortatory it remains in the Sg. form of address (ix. 1-7a); but changes to the I'l, when the speaker begins the historical review, and the I'l, continues to the end of the section except for a couple of instances of the Sg. (ix. 76-x. 11); when with the resumption of exhortation, x. 12 ft., the So, is also resumed. For such a historical review a reporting author might naturally use another source; and in this case the supposition is supported by the sudden and clear change from Sg. 10 1% which is not explicable otherwise, e.g. on psychological grounds; but finally confirmed by what commentators do not appear to have noticed, the fact that in the historical section the divine name Jehovah is nowhere (save in ix, 16, 23) followed by your God as almost invariably in the hortatory sections. On the historical section see below on r. 76. Both it and the hortatory portions bear marks of expansion by editorial hards. 1. Hear, O Israel Vi. 4.

go in to possess nations greater and mightier than thyself, cities great and fenced up to beaven, a people great and tall, a the sons of the Anakim, whom thou knowest, and of whom, thou hast heard say, Who can stand before the sons of Anak? Know therefore this day, that the Loan thy God is a be which goesth over before thee as a devouring fire; he shall destroy them, and he shall bring them down hefore thee: so shalt thou drive them out, and make them to perish quickly, as the Loan bath spoken unto thee. Speak a not thou, in thine heart, after that the Loan thy God hath thrust them out from before thee, saying, For my righteous ness the Loan hath brought me in to possess this land:

thou art to pass over fordan this day. Similarly xxx. 18 (and cp. ii. 18), Sg.; iv. 14, 26, xi. 31, xxxi. 13, Pl., but apparently editorial. to possess or disposees. ii. 12, 21 f., xi. 23, xii. 2, 29, xviii. 14, xix. 1, xxxi. 3, with personal object as here. For another form of

same vb. see on iv. 38.

nation greater and mightier than thyself [Solv. 38 also Sg.; xi. 23.
Pl.: cu. Dox xxiii. q.

cities ... fenced, etc.] See on i. 28.

2. great and tall...Anakim] See on i. 28.

whom thou knowest, etc.] vii. 15; and hast heard say, i. 28; Nun, xiii. 28.

3. Know therefore] See on vii. 9.

he which roeth over before thee] xxxi, 3 (cp. [os. iii, 11).

a devouring fire Only here and iv. 24.

he shall destroy ... and he he emphatic.

bring them down before they. In D the vorh is found only here; it is used also of the subjection of Urael's enemies in the description. Judg. iii. 30, iv, 23, viii. 28, the late passage I Sam. vii. 13, and otherwise only in late writers, except for Judg. vi. 33 and z Sam, viii. 1 which may be pre-destronomic.

micht'l Omsted by LXS B int otherwise confirmed. See on

quickly] Omitted by LXX B, but otherwise confirmed. See on vii. 21.

as the LORD hath spoken unto thee? Ex. xxiii, 23 (edit.), 27 (E).

6. Speak...in thing heart? See on viii, 17.

thrust them out] See on vi. 1q.

For my righteousness] Here ethical: contr. vi. 24.

whereas for the wickedness., from before thee] The whole clause is wanting in LXXB and seems a gloss or expansion anticipating the next v. and weakening the connection (Valeton, Dillm., Driver, Steuern, Berth.).

S drive them out from before thee. Not for thy righteousness, or for the uprightness of thine heart, dost thou go in to possess their land: but for the wickedness of these nations the Loxo thy God doth drive them out from before thee, and that he may establish the word which the Loxo sware unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob. 6 Know therefore, that the Loxo thy God giveth thee not this good land to possess it for thy righteousness; for thoir 7 art a stiffnecked people. Remember, forget thou not, how thou provokedst the Loxo thy God to wrath in the wilderness; from the day that thou wentest forth out of the land of

thy God | Sam. and LXX B omit.

establish the mord, etc.] See on viii, 18; establish the communit, etc. It is true that the people must failft heir side of the covenant by obedience to its laws without which they shall not receive these unsterial blessings in the land; last God made the covenant out of His own free will, vii, 7, and will keep it because of His faithfulness, vii o, and not because of any merit of the people.

which the LORD sware] Sam, and LXX B etc.; which he sware.

6. Know therefore) See on vii. 9: the verse hegins by giving the

conclusion of the previous proof, but adds also another—

for then art a infinatedol popule. Apparently first used of Ivazel (in connection with the golden call) in J. Ext. xxxiii. J. xxxiii. J. (Ext. xxxiii. 5, xxx collicinal); then here and n. 13; cp. x. r. h. xxxii. 7, px. xxiii. 5, xx collicinal); then here and n. 13; cp. x. r. h. xxxii. 7, px. is, xxiii. 2, i. then art obtained, thy nach is an irean intenes the figure is, of an entimal recitosing to turn in the direction his ribert elevier. In the contract of the contract of

thou provokedst ... to wrath | See on i. 34.

7b. It is in this clause that the Sg. form of address ceases and the Pl. begins, to continue up to x. 9 or 11. Coincidently exhortation is

Egypt, until ye came unto this place, ye have been rebellious against the Load. Also in Horeb ye provoked the Load 8 to wrath, and the Load was angry with you to have destroyed you. When I was gone up into the mount to 9

replaced by a historical retrospect; a retrospect similar to the discourse in chs. i .- iii., not merely by being couched in the l'l. as that also is, but by other features of its style and by its dependence (even more full and literal) on IE. With no reference to the P narrative with which the If has been interlaced, Ex. xxiv. r1-xxxi. it is supplementary to i -iii. for it gives an account of the legislation at Horeb, which that discourse lacks. On these grounds the section has been assigned to the same author as i .- iii. (Horst, Bertholet, etc.); while Steuern, takes it as the continuation of the Pl. discourse in ch. v., and as having originally formed with that the introduction to the Law Code by the writer who used the PL address throughout (see Introd.). On this compare supplementary note at the end of the section; and for possible additions especially in vv. 10-14 see the separate notes. Driver, Deid, 112, gives a comparative table of the section and the corresponding passages in IE on which it is hased. Notice how the divine title is given simply as Jehovah without the usual deuteronomic addition they God inowhere except in lx. 16, 23). The style of the section is instructive both as to the way in which the original deuteronomic writer expanded IE and subsequent editors made further expansion by the addition of denteronomic formulas.

Sum and LXX differ from Heb. as to where the Pt. begins, reading yeared forth for these sentent forth; possibly original, the Heb. Sq. heing due to the omission of a consonant before its double in the next word; and the transition being more likely just here. Whether 16 whether 16 we have been supported by the property of the Pt. section 18 whether 18

until ye came unto this place] 1. 31.

ye have been rebellious against Jehovah] been acting rebellion (part, with auxil, verb: a frequent constr. in Deut.) with (i.e. in your dealings with) felawah. The same constr. v. 24, xxxi. 27. A different constr. of same verb i. 26 4.0.

8. Bron for particularly in Horeb! The most notorious rebellion

of all. Here begins the recital of the sin of the golden calf as in Ex. xxxii.—xxxiv, JE.

provoked, etc.] v. 7. was angry] See on i. 37.

 Based on Ex. xxiv. 13, 154, 186, E, xxxiv. 28, J, this verse omits E's reference to the elders and Aaron, Ex. xxiv. 14, and of course has no reference to the interfaced sentences of P. id. 15b—18a; 10 the

<sup>1</sup> Does the Pasak in the Massonetic text indicate a lost letter?

receive the tables of stone, even the tables of the covenant which the Lord made with you, then I abode in the mount forty days and forty nights; I did neither eat bread nor odrink water. And the Lord neithered unto me the two tables of stone written with the finger of God; and on them water written according to all the words, which the Lord paske with you in the mount out of the midst of the fire in 11 the day of the assembly. And it came to pass at the end of forty days and forty nights, that the Lord gave me the 12 two tables of stone, even the tables of the covenant. And the Lord said unto me, Arise, get thee down quickly from hence; for thy people which thou hast brought forth out of Egypt have corrupted themselves; they are quickly turned aside out of the way which I commanded them; they have sy made them a molten image. Furthermore the Lords paske

tables of stone it adds even the tables of the covenant, ect (see. 11 and 1s, see iv. 13 and v. 21 able 1s, show only, and op v. 2); the last fact, I did neither out broad nor drink water, was either transferred by D front J's story of Moses' second avector of the Monnt, Ex. xxxiv. 28; or was found by him in E's story of the first ascent from which it has now disappeared. Cp. Matt. iv. 2.

10. table of stone written with the finger of God? Taken exactly from Ex. xxxx. 18b. E: the divine name is not changed to the usual the Lobo thy God. With His own voice, face to face, God spake the words of the covenant (iv. 14, r. 4, a) and now with His own finger wrote them. Thus by a double metaphor is the directly divine origin and upperess manettip of the Ten Words emphasised.

all the words, which the LORD had spoken | Ex. xxiv. 3, E.

out of the midst of the fire] iv. 12, v. 4, 22.

The verse seems superflious after 9 and before 11, and is regarded as a later intrusion (Steuern, Berth.). Note that—

11 follows naturally on v. 93

Taken from E, Ex. xxxii. 7, 8a (on which see notes) with the
addition of quickly from here and the substitution of brought forth (D's
favourite expression) for brought up; and the omission of calf.

corrupted themselves] iv. 16, 25, xxxi. 29 also Pl. passages: while the 5g. passages use one form of the verb only in the sense to destroy: iv. 31, x. 102, xx. 19, 20: cp. ix. 26.

the way! See on v. 33. Here the particular reference is to the

a molten image] Hels. a molten (thing), Ex. xxxii. 4, 8 molten calf. Steuern, takes this v. as another doublet superfluors before 13, and,

unto me, saying, I have seen this people, and, behold, it is a stiffnecked people: let me alone, that I may destroy 14 them, and blot out their name from under heaven: and I will make of thee a nation mighter and greater than they. So I turned and came down from the mount, and the 15 mount burned with fire: and the two tables of the covenant were in my two hands. And I looked, and, behold, 16 ye had sinned against the Lordo your God; ye had made you a molten calf; ye had turned aside quickly out of the way which the Lordo had commanded you. And I took and

along with  $v_r$ , to when compared with the expanded Heh, text of Ex. xxxii.  $\gamma - \varphi$  (of which the LXX omits ports), illustrative of the manner in which an editor expanded parallel passages with each other's contents. But the superfluity of the  $v_r$  is not so apparent. Some mention of the motten insage seems necessary here.

13. stiffnecked] See on v. 6.

14. let me alone] desist from me; Ex. xxxii. 10 let me rest, give me

peace.

destroy] See on i. 27.

blot out their name, etc.] xxix. 20, xxv. 19: cp. synonym in vii. 24 q.r. Not in Ex. xxxii. 10. a ration mighter and greater] Expansion of great nation, Ex. xxxii.

10. This whole p. is illustrative of the expansive style of D. Bertholet sees the immediate continuation of the p. in x. 10 and points out how excellently p. 15 follows on p. 12. This would account for the omission of Moses' first intercession while still on the Mount, Ex. xxxii. 11—14. 15. So I turned and come down, etc. I Ex. xxxii. 12—14.

15. So I turned and came down, etc.] Ex. xxxii. 15, and the mount burned with fire] A circumstantial clause: the mount all the time burning with fire: not in Ex. In the next clause D adds two to hands.

15 a. Substantially the same as Ex. xxxii. 19 a.
16 b. Purely deuteronomic tradition: see v. 12 b.

17. Vivid variation and expansion of Ex. xxxii. 1961 and Mose? onger waxed hot and he east the tables out of his hands and brake them beneath the mount.

18. as at the first] Refers to what follows it—the length of time and the fasting—most to what precedes—the falling down before God. This intercession seems to be the same as that described in x, ro and raticipated here on account of its importance in the argument 'Ordver). Cp. Ex. xxxii, go which says that on the morrow of his meeting with the people Moses retunned to the Mount to intercede for them with

DISTILLE L GOOGLE

neither eat bread nor drink water; hecause of all your sin which we sinned, in doing that which was evil in the sight 19 of the LORD, to provoke him to anger. For I was afraid of the anger and hot displeasure, wherewith the LORD was wroth against you to destroy you. But the LORD hearkened 20 unto me that time also. And the LORD was very angry with Aaron to have destroyed him; and I prayed for Aaron 21 also the same time. And I took your sin, the calf which ye had made, and burnt it with fire, and stamped it, grinding it very small, until it was as fine as dust; and I cast the dust thereof into the brook that descended out of the 22 mount. And at Taberah, and at Massah, and at Kibroth-23 hattaavah, ye provoked the LORD to wrath. And when the LORD sent you from Kadesh-barnea, saving, Go up and possess the land which I have given you; then ye rebelled against the commandment of the LORD your God, and ye 24 believed him not, nor hearkened to his voice. Ye have

God; and Ex. xxxiv. 9 which says that he ogain interceded in the second forty days which he spent on the Mount. Which of these is intended here?

all your sm] Sam., LXX: sins.

in doing that which was evil, etc.] iv. 25.

to provoke him] A different verb from that in vv. 7, 8, and the same as in iv. 25 (q.v.), xxxi. 29; and not so characteristic of D as the other.

For I was afraid] or trembled xxviii, 60.

that time also | Obscure, and probably an editorial addition, unless the reference is to 2.10 or to Ex. xx. x5, xxii. 4f. and other occasions, It is possible there was originally no mention of God's answer here. It seems a little premature for the purpose of the discourse; and may have been added from x. 0.

20. To this there is no reference in Exodus.

Characteristically expanded, with variations, from Ex. xxxii. 20: one item in the latter, and made the children of Israel drink of it, is omitted.

Other instances of Israel's rebelliousness: Tab'erah, 'Burning-place,' because fire broke out on them there, Num. xi. 1—3, E; Massah, 'I'rnof,' for there they put God to the proof, Ex. xvii. 7, J; Kibroth-hat-ta'soah, 'Graves of Lust,' Num. xi. 3t—34, J.

ye provoked, etc.] As in vv. 1, 8, Kadesh-barnea} See on i. 19 f. ye rebelled, etc.] As in i. 26 g.v.

34. Ye have been rebellious] As in v. 7.

been rebellious against the LORD from the day that I knew you. So I fell down before the LORD the forty days and 25 forty nights that I fell down; because the LORD had said he would destroy you. And I prayed unto the LORD, and 26 said, O Lord Gop, destroy not thy people and thine inheritance, which thou hast redeemed through thy greatness, which thou hast brought forth out of Egypt with a mighty hand. Remember thy servants. Abraham, Isaac, and Iacob; 27 look not unto the stubbornness of this people, nor to their wickedness, nor to their sin; lest the land whence thou 28 broughtest us out say, Because the LORD was not able to · bring them into the land which he promised unto them. and because he hated them, he hath brought them out to slay them in the wilderness. Yet they are thy people and 29 thine inheritance, which thou broughtest out by thy great power and by thy stretched out arm.

36—38. And I prayed, etc.] details his intercession. Op. Ex. xxxII. 11—3, I.E. untropolarly editorial. Here the destroyouth additions for which then hast redeemed through thy prestners (greatners in P.) passages v. 4a, here and xi, v.) is don't must be stableware of like people, nor to their widedness (the mase, noon, while the feen, is used in w. 4, S. the three days of the red con its videdness (the mase, noon, while the feen, is used in w. 4, S. the three days of the red con its videdness (the mase, noon, while the feen is used in w. 4, S.).

### CH. X, 1-8. THE HEWING OF NEW TABLES OF STONE AND THE MAKING OF THE ARK.

The account of the former is extracted verbally from Ex. xxxiv. 1—4. Ex, which adds other details, but has now no mention of the making of the Ark. It is, however, more than probable and 'practically certain' that D derived his words about the Ark, equally with those on the tables, from the original text of JE, and that they were afterwards omitted from JE by the compiler as inconsistent with the more detailed purificultum, which he preferred, contained in the narrative of P. So must be about the state of the Ark and opinion to be a discounted from the introd. to the tol., p. Lavill, and the note, pa 978—980, on the religious ideas a succlused with the Ark and opinions we be in the contained of the tol., in the contained with the Ark and opinions we have the contained of the tol. It is only necessary to state here that the

9-2

Digitized by Google

10 At that time the LORD said unto me, Hew thee two tables of stone like unto the first, and come up unto me into the z mount, and make thee an ark of wood. And I will write on the tables the words that were on the first tables which too 3 brakest, and thou shalt put them in the ark. So I made an ark of acacie wood, and hewed two tables of stone like unto

date of the disappearance of the Ayk from Israel's central sanctuary is not known. No Ark was in the Second Temple, but whether it had perished in the fall of Jerusalem, 89 x.C. (c), a Eoli, x, 12), or even earlier, and therefore was not existent in the time of the deutero-monists (as may be inferred from the absence of any mention of it in the blacty after Solomon, and in the Prophets except for the quite ambiguous fer. iii. 16) is uncertain. See A. K. S. Kennedy, 'Ark in Islandige' J.K. [i, 150] and the present waiter's fermomen, 11, 16, 306 f. It is more than the properties of the Ark in Islandige J.K. [in 150] and the present waiter's fermomen, 12, 16, 306 f. It is morious contrast to the very developed conception of the Ark in Islandige J.K. [in 150].

1. Hero thee two tables of stone like unto the first 3 So Ex. xxxiv. 1a, 3E. and come up unto me into the mount 3 So probably in the original E:

J has, come up in the morning unto Mt Sinai and present thyrelf to me, etc., followed by a command to keep the Mount free of men and cattle, Ex. xxxiv. 2, 3.

and make then an ark of worf] Almost certainly from the original  $E_i$ , so general note allows. Ark or shefts, so in Asyr, and Arabic,  $E_i$  a  $E_i$  xii  $g_i$ ,  $g_i$  a chest for the temple-differing, a money box; in Ploen a coffin or starcophagus, and so in Gien. 1:6. Of wood, in  $P_i$ ,  $E_i$ , xxv.  $v_i = -t6$ , of acacia wood (as below in  $v_i$  3) with the dimensions  $2^i$  x  $i^i$  x  $i^i$  x  $i^i$  x bits. In the overlaid, it in and mit, with pure gold, with a moniding and rings of gold, and stares in acacia wood likewise overlaid with gold. A great contrast to the very simple statement of D. I. Further, according to  $P_i$ , the divine direction is not that Mores shall make the  $Ark_i$  but that  $Ark_j$  shall make it.

2. And I will write... which thou braket[] So exactly Ex. xxxiv. 16, B. cp. above v. 22, and tables of the covenant, ix, 9, 11.

and thou shalt put them in the ark] Not now in E for the reasons given above. Hence D's name, the ark of the covenant. See above on p. 64. For the same reason I' calls the tables the tables of, and the Ark the Ark of, the testimony.

3. So I made an ark of acacia twood | Not now in IE, see above.

P. Ex. xxv. 10, xxxvii. 1, Brall of made the air of acada wood, acada wood planted of shifting in the plur. of the tree shifting hiddle, Ar. sant, a name given to several species of the thorny acada; in Egypt to 'A. (minosa) Nilottes' (Lane, Ar. Exp. Lxx.), and by the Arals of the Desert of the wanderings of twale to the 'A. tortilis' and 'A. heafa' (Hant, Fasuma and Bytan of Sinai, Para and W.

the first, and went up into the mount, having the two tables in mine hand. And he wrote on the tables, according to 4 the first writing, the ten 'commandments, which the LORD spake unto you in the mount out of the midst of the fire in the day of the assembly: and the LORD gave them unto me. And I turned and came down from the mount, and put the 5 tables in the ark which I had made; and there they be, as

# 1 Heb. toonts.

Araba, §5). More probably the former, an upright tree, 10 or 15 feet high, with a thick trank and occasionally very munerous (o.g. a feet high, with a thick trank and occasionally very munerous (o.g. a feet of sections, chiefly 'tortilis', ten miles long in the Arabah, id; 31, cp. 8, 11; qp. and flound on W. el Hom, by which Israel probably passed to the Edomite plateau); the 'A. Iseta' is a tropical tree found only hid, 1981; and Puss (Flora, 2981 and art. 'Shittah' in Hastings' D.A. (studify the Shittah tree with the Seyyal acasia, but this in security the Shittah tree with the Seyyal acasia, but this in excelled 'Sant' by the Beeluin to-day, and indeed is distinguished by them from: 'Sunt' (Hart, pp. rd. 8.). Desglyin mentions an assets, only acacia wood which is not brittle, and is used by the Shidaba, or tritle of smiths and carpenters, for addic-trees and frames and wood for milk, and also on the Arabian cosst for ship-huilding (Arabia Destrat, 1. 1886, 1. 19, 1678).

and hewed two tables of stone like nuto the first] So Ex, xxxlv. 4 a,

and went up into the mount, with the two tables in mine hand ] So substantially Ex. xxxiv. 4 b, J.

And he wrote on the tables...the test words] Ex. xxxiv. 18, J.
This adds the words of the covenant, for which D has according to the
first writing, cp. ix. 10.
the ten words] See above p. 81.

in the mount out of the midst of the fire] Above ix. 10. in the day of the assembly See on ix. 10, v. 22.

in the day of the assembly | See on ix. 10, v. 12.

 And I mined and cause down from the mount] So is, 15 and -Ex. xxxii, 15, E, but of Moses first descent with the tables.
 and put the tables in the ark! This also certainly from the original

and put the tooles in the are 1 his also certainly from the original form of E, see above, general note on vv. 1—3. P, Ex. xl. 20 has put the testimony in the ark.

and there they be] Whether this is said in accommodation to the date of the speaker, or as still true of the writer's time in the seventh entury, is uncertain. See above, the general note on zw. 1—3. All that is certain is that such was the fact till at least the time of Solomon, ep. 1 Kgs viii. 9.

6. 7. Interruption of the address by a piece of narrative, recording certain stations of Israel with Aaron's death and Eleazar's succession, in

6 the LORD commanded me. (And the children of Israel journeyed from 'Beeroth Benejaakan to Moserah: there Aaron died, and there he was buried; and Eleazar his son 7 ministered in the priest's office in his stead. From thence they journeyed unto Gudgodah to Alfotakhah, a land of brooks of water. At that time the

# 1 Ox, the wells of the children of Jaakan

which Israel are spoken of in the ard pers., and the phraseology is not deuteronomic. Obviously the fragment of an old itinerary. Although the names it contains are also found in an itinerary given by P. Num. axxiii., they occur here in a different order; another name is given to the death-place of Aaron than P gives, nor do we find P's usual formula for Israel on the march they journeyed from ... and pitched at .... The fragment is therefore from another source than P. That this was E (D's main source) is almost certain. The fragment uses E's formula, they journeyed from thence to ..., and may originally have formed part of the same itinerary of E, from which there are fragments in Num. xxi. E, too, assumes the succession of Eleazar to Aaron, Jos. xxiv. 33, and therefore probably had already mentioned this. (So already Vatke, Einl. i. d. A. T. 377 f., 383; but more fully Bacon, Triple Tradition of Exodus, 207 f., 257 f., 343 f. So, too, Driver, Steuern., Bertholet, and Marti on this passage, and Cornill, Einleitung). Why the fragment should be inserted here is not clear, unless the historical retrospect originally concluded with x. s. It seems more in place after v. 11, but may owe its position here to the design of some editor to ascribe the consecration of the tribe of Levi to a later date than Horeb, in the attempt to harmonise the conflicting data of D and P concerning the tribe of Levi and the priesthood. For other explanations see Driver's Dent. 120.

6. children of Israel) Non-deuteronomic; see on iv. 44.

Besivith Bene-jankan] Wells of the tribe so-called; in P, Nun. xxxiii, 31, the place name is simply that of the tribe, without needls. Akan, Gen. xxxii. 27 n N n n, Chr. 1, 43, was a Horle tribe. The place would probably he he the 'Arabah. Mostrohi' Nunn xxxiii. 31, Mostrokit; the place is unknown.

there Aaron died, and there he was buried] This happened at Mt Hor acc. to P, Num. xx. 28, xxxiii. 38.

and Elenzar his son, etc.] P. Num. xx. 25-28, xxxii. 2, 28; but see above, general note.

 From thence they journeyed]. E's formula, Num. xxi. 12, 13. Gidgodah to fothathah]. P. Num. xxxiii. 32 f.; Hor-haggidgad and Volbalhah—maknown. Both names are possibly derived from the character of the landscape. Ar. 'gadgad' is hard, level ground; and Volbal, or Votbathah, is probably goodliness or pleasantness: a fama of LORD separated the tribe of Levi, to bear the ark of the

brooks of water. On all these names Doughty's remarks (Ar. Des. 1. 49) are instructive:

Here a word of the compiler grounds of Miness et Bheir names we say rever full again in these countries,—and wheefore! Because they were a good part record the did bredering and the state of the country, and the state of the country, and the state of the country, and the ton in the Bahalia, which we correspond states. The without the state of the without their bahalia which were a state of the state of the state of the without their bahalia which were the state of the state of the state of the without their bahalia which will be stated to the state of the state of the state of the without their bahalia which will be stated to the state of the state of the state of the will be stated to the state of the state of

6. 9. The setting apart of the tribe of Levi to bear the Ark and perform other priestly functions. It is not wholly certain whether this passage helongs to the address itself or its another intuition; yet with the opening chance (p. or.) It described the property of the property of

At that time | Cp. ix. 20, x. 1. If, as we have seen to be most probable, vv. 6, 7 are a later intrusion and out of place where they stand, that time is not that of the sojourn at Yothathah after Aaron's death (though the editor who inserted pp. 6, 7 may have meant to imply this; see the general note to these pp.), but the time at Horeb (x. 1). This conclusion is confirmed (a) by the subsequent v. 10, in which the retrospect still rests on Horeb; (b) by the natural connection between the mention of the making of the Ark and that of the appointment of its bearers; (c) by the fact that another line of tradition, P, assigns to floreb the consecration of Levites to priestly duties, and also makes this follow the order to build the ark (and sanctuary); and (d) because, although no such setting apart is recorded in IE, these lines of the tradition may also have originally contained it and even hint at it in Exed. xxxii, 29, immediately after the account of the zeal of all the sons of Levi in the punishment of the people's apostasy with the golden calf (see Dillmann on that and on this passage; also Driver's note on Ex. xxxii. 20).

the LNDD negarated] wet apart, with a solemn religious sense, as for Illimedel; the verb is used when Ille takes Isane from other people. Lev. xx. 24 (11); or when Moses is directed to separate the Levites from the midst of the children of Israel, Num. xvi. 9 (P), the Levites from the midst of the children of Israel, Num. xvi. 9 (P), the Levites may be wine, Num. xviii. 14 (12); or of the separation of the cities of refuge, iv. 44, xix. 2, 2; and oven of separating a post on to evil, xxix. 21 (20), and putting aside beasts that are unclean, Lev. xx. 25.

the tribe of Levi] Umanbiguous, leaving no question possible as to

covenant of the LORD, to stand before the LORD to minister

whether they are meant in part or whole-a question which would have

arisen had the term the Levites (in view of its narrower meaning in P) been used. Cp. xviii. 1, all the tribe of Levi.

to stand before the LORD to minister unto him | Both vlis, which are used of a servant's attitude and duty to his human master (stand before, 1 Kgs x. 8; minister, Gen. xxxix. 4) are also employed (with and without the name of God, and either together or separately), specially to express religious service and in particular the distinctive office and functions of the priests, xvii. 12 (before Jehovah) .\* Jud. xx. 28 (before the Ark), Ezek-xliv. 15; 2 Chron. xxix. 11. In D these are laid upon the whole tribe of Levi as here, in xviii. 5, 7, God hath chosen him, Levi, out of all thy tribes to stand to minister in the name of Jehovah. him and his sons for ever; and xxi. 5, the priests the sons of Levi ... for them hath Tehavah chosen to minister unto him. P uses the phrase to stand before Jehavah neither of the priests nor of the Levites, but says that the Levites stand before the congregation. The verb to minister (shārēth) P, both of Auron and his sons, the priests, and of the Levites. Of the priests either absolutely Ex. xxviii. 35, xxxix. 26, or within the holy place xxviii. 43, xxix. 30, xxxix. 1, and in the priests' office xxxv. 19, xxxix. 41; or of their ministry of the altar, i.e. the sacrifices, xxx, 20; and only once with regard to God Himself. xxviii. 41, to minister unto me. Of the Levites Procver uses to minister to lehovah; but either to minister alone, Num. iii. at; or to the camp. iv. o; or in the sanctuary, iv. 12, cp. i. 50; or at the altar (in preparing it for the priests), iv. 24; or to Aaron, xviii. 2. P and D then differ thus, that while D uses the double phrase, stand before and minister to lehorah of the whole tribe of Levi, P says that the Levites stand before the congregation, and uses the phrase minister to Jehovah only of the priests, and intends by it the most sacred priestly functions of sacrifice, etc., the Levites' ministering being confined to less sacred duties in

unto him, and to bless in his name, unto this day. Where 9 fore Levi hath no portion nor inheritance with his brethren; the LORD is his inheritance, according as the LORD thy God spake unto him.) And I stayed in the mount, as at 10

regard to the care of the fabric of the Tabernacle and the Camp and

in assisting the priests.

to bless in his name.] So xxi. 5 again of the sons of Levi, the priests. This is another of the distinctive priestly duties (though sometimes, discharged by kings, 2 Sam. vi. 18; 1 Kgs viii. 14, 55). It is twice assigned by P to Aanon: Lev. ix. 22, Num. vi. 23; and it is included in 1 Chr. xxiii. 18 among the offices to which Aaron was set apart.

unto this day ] Cp. for ever in xviii. 5.

Our detailed examination of this verse, and other O.T. passages relevant to the subject, makes it clear that in the Book of Deuteronomy all the tribe of Levi or sons of Levi are regarded as priests; and that every son of Levi, or Levite, could perform the distinctive priestly functions; whereas in P all these functions are limited to Aaron and his sons, except the bearing of the Ark, which is assigned to a Levite clan the Kohathites; while Levite has become a technical name for the non-Agronic members of the tribe, to whom priestly functions were forbidden and who had less sacred duties about the altar and sanctuary. These distinctions are unknown to D: to him Levites and priests are identical terms. It is impossible to suppose that D silently presupposed the distinctions in P. There is not the slightest sign anywhere in his language that this was the case. On the contrary his addition, that the exercise of the priests' office by all Levites continued to his own day and was for ever proves that he did not know P. And this is confirmed with its consequence, a late date for P, by the evidence of the earlier historical writings and especially by a comparison of Samuel and Kings with Chronicles. See further Chapman in Int. to the Peut, (in this series), pp. 154 ff., and App. VII. 5 and cp. below on xviii. 1-8. Wherefore i.e. because of God's separation of the tribe to Himself.

Levi hath no portion nor inheritance] xii. 12, xiv. 27, 29, xviii. 1 f.

In I' of Aarou Num. xviii. 20-

the LORD is his inheritance] What this means is defined in xviii. 1, they shall eat the offerings of fehovah made by fire, and his inheritance; details follow in 3.6.

according as...spake unto him] This is not recorded in the Pent., but may have been found in the original form of [E; sec on v. 8. LXX om. the Lord thy God, and so relieves the text from one of the two instances of the Sg. address in this section.

10, 11. These vo. present no little difficulty alike by their position, 'taleir language and their substance. They are separated from the historical retrospect by vo. 6—9. They are in the Sg. address, while it is in the Pl. Do they belong to it, or to vo. 12 fl., which continue

the first time, forty days and forty nights: and the Loran behavened unto me that time also; the Loran would not it destroy thee. And the Loran said unto me, Arise, take thy journey before the people; and they shall go in and possess the land, which I sware unto their fathers to give unto them.

the hortatory discourse? They record an intercession by Moses, and compare it with a previous intercession or intercessions. Is this identical with one of those recorded in the historical retrospect or a fresh one? The explanations have been many and various, but may be grouped under three heads: (a) v. 10 is secondary, the result of various attempts by scribes, working on Ex. xxxii. - xxxiv, and this passage, to arrange the different references to intercessions by Moses; while v. 11 a is the continuation of v. s and the conclusion of the historical retrospect (Steuernagel); (b) vv. 10, 11 are the natural sequel to ix. 13, 14, and with these form a summary parative parallel to the rest of ix. 9 ff.; they belong not to the retrospect, but to the hortatory discourse continued in vv. 12 ff. (Bertholet, who omits with LXX the troublesome words as at the first time). These arguments, though ingenious, are not convincing. On the whole, the most probable explanation is (c) that which takes v. 10 as a natural recapitulation of ix. 18 ff., carried in v. 11 to its proper conclusion. This view is supported by the possible Heb. pluperfect in v. 10, I had stayed; by the repetition from ix. 19 of the words: 'aud Jehovali bearkened unto me at that time also' (yet see on ix. 196); by the fact that it was natural to repeat these words once again after the prayer ix. 26-29, which otherwise remains without answer to it being recorded; and by the unfinished condition in which the retrospect would be left without r. rr (Steuern,'s instinct is right in retaining at least v. tta). The single Sg. would not destroy thee is a difficulty, but may be explained as due to the attraction of the neighbouring Su, in vv. 12 ff. Almost all MSS of LXX have you.

10. And I stayed The Heb. may well be translated, And I had stayed.

as at the first time] om. by LXX.

11. take thy journey] get thee to thy journey, lit. to thy breaking of camp. See on ii. 1.

CHS. X. 12—XI. FINAL EXHORTATIONS, INTRODUCTORY TO THE LAWS.

Historical by the preceding Retrospect, the discourse continues to arge its practical conclusions of full fear and love to God, by worshipping and obeying Him (12 and 13); because, though all howen and earth is His, He was pleased to love the fathers of Israel and to choose their posterity (t4, 15). Changing to the PL address, the discourse ungest Israel to circumsics their hearts and be un unor And now, Israel, what doth the LORD thy God require of 12

stiffnecked, for their God is the greatest God and Lord, mightiest and most terrible and absolutely impartial (16, 17). He secures justice for the widow and orphan and loves the stranger, as Israel, themselves strangers in Egypt, must do (18, 10). Returning to the Sg. exhortations follow to fear, worship, and cleave to Jehovah, for He is Israel's God who has done all these mighty things for the people, and out of seventy individuals who went down to Egypt, made them a multitude like to the stars; therefore loving God they shall keep His commandments (20-xi. 1). Once more in the Pl., Israel are reminded of the discipline of God, which they themselves have experienced in their deliverance from Egypt and guidance through the desert, and in the punishment for rebellion of Dathan and Abiram (2-7); therefore they shall keep the commandment, that they may be strong, possess the land and prolong their days upon it (8, a). Oscillating between Sg. and Pl. there follows a description of the distinction of the land from the flat and rainless Egypt, irrigated from the Nile by the foot of man: it is a land whose water comes from heaven and God's eyes are always upon it (10-12); if Israel observe His commandments there the discourse passes from Moses to the person of the Deity). He will give the mins in their seasons and fulness of crops (13-15). Let them not turn away from Him to other gods, lest in His agger He send drought and they perish (16, 17). Therefore they shall lay His words to heart, bind them as signs on their hands and brows, teach them to their children, and write them by their doors and gates that their days, and their children's, may be long in the land (18-21). For if they keep all his commandments (the discourse is already again in the person of Moses) God will expel all these nations and give them every part of the land they tread, from the desert to Lebanon and from the Euphrates to the Western Sea (22-25). The speaker, in short, has set a blessing and a curse before Israel on conditions respectively, and they shall put them up on Gerizim and Ebal on the other side of Jordan, which they are about to cross and then they must keep all the statutes and judgments now to be delivered to them (26-32). -So we reach the close of the discourses introductory to the Laws. The frequent changes between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address, sometimes coinciding with transitious to subjects not always relevant to the main theme of the discourses, are proof of the composite character of this closing section; and after the text (which, as the versions show, is by no means certain) has been corrected, furnish material for the question whether it is possible to discriminate two original discourses, introductory to the Code, one Sg, the other Pl., or whether the changes of address may be explained by the expansion of one original at the hands of editors.

12, 13 sum up once more the main demand of the discourses.

12. And now! in conclusion: in the same way opened the concluding

stage of the first discourses, iv. 1.
What doth...require of thee] what is...asking of thee. Cp. Mic. vi. 8,

thee, but to fear the LORD thy God, to walk in all his ways, and to love him, and to serve the LORD ty God with all thy 13 heart and with all thy soul, to keep the commandments of the LORD, and his statutes, which I command thee this day for 4 thy good? Behold, unto the LORD thy God belongeth the heaven and the heaven of beavens, the earth, with all that is therein is. Only the LORD had a delight in thy fathers to

seeking from thee. The force of the question lies in this, that it is nothing impossible or extraordinary or complicated, that God demands, but what is simple and within the people's duty.

to fear] iv. 10 (q.v.), vi. 2, 13, x. 20. to walk in all his ways] See on v. 33.

to love him ... with all thy heart, etc. ] See on vi. 5.

to serve] or worship; see on iv. 19, vi. 13.; combined with low or fact, v. 20, xi. 13, xiii. 4, etc., and deuteronomic passages in other books.

 to keep] or in that then keepest, for this is how they are to fear and love Him.

commandments...and statutes] Varied from vii. 11. for thy good, vi.
24. That the verse is made up of formulas does not necessarily prove

its secondary character (Steuern.).

14. This and the next v. state motives for the fear and love just

enjoined: for fair, because He is the greatest God, to whom all things belong; for fair, because the is the greatest God, to whom all things belong; for fair because, though He is such, He yet loved Israel's fathers and chose their posterity, even those whom Moses is addressing:

the heaven, etc.] A characteristic deuteronomic accumulation.

heaven of heavens) i.e. the highest heavens (the same idion as in v. r). Whether this idionatic superlaive (sint here and then echoed in later passages, 1 Kgs viii 27; 2 Chr. ii. 6; Neb. ix. 6; Ps. Itvili, 5g, Cxlviii. 4; 0 the plivatal positive heavens was the germ of the later idea of the plurality of heavens (in the Jewish apocalypaic looks and the North Co. Co. St. viii. 1 Chr. ii. 1 Chr.

10. Only Heb. rule. The use of this restrictive adverb with disjunctive force—a sharp word with the sound of a wrench in it—is found in many O.T. writings, but it particularly frequent in Deut, occurring no less than 10 intent, and in deuternounce passage elsewhere. It is prefixed to clauses which Bmit, qualify, condition, or offer according to the context, and therefore it is trunk. by different English adverbs or conjunctions in R.V. It introduces exceptions to, or recreations upon, statements of fact, only or its fit. 18, 25, 35, iii.

love them, and he chose their seed after them, even you 'above all peoples, as at this day. Circumcise therefore the 16 foreskin of your heart, and be no more stiffnecked. For 17 the LORD your God, he is God of gods, and Lord of lords,

### Or, out of

11. 19), o'r laws, notovilatanding, only (hetter, saving that), but (\sin i); ib, 23, 46, xv. 23, xvii, ib, xx. 14, 20); or a slifectent law illiferent circa mutance, and (xx. 6); or an indispensable condition to a command or promise, only, if orapi (v. 8, xv. 3); or an antichesis to excumand or promise, only, if orapi (v. 8, xv. 3); or an antichesis to statement, as if singling out the fast asserted and putting it beyond doubt, nursely, any (yr. 6, xx. xvii. 13, 3.3).

had a delight in See on vii. 7, set his love upon you. to love See on vi. 5.

chose) See on vii. 6.

even you] The only Pl. in this section, 12-15. It is explicable either by the attraction of the following Pls., or as a later insertion, and this is supported by its abruptness; even is not expressed in the text.

16-19. The form of address changes to Pl., and a qualification is made of the great statement just given. Though God has elected (for reasons of His own) to love Israel's fathers and to choose their posterity after them out of all peoples to be His peculiar people, He is not one that regards persons, but as He takes the part of the helpless within Israel so He loves also the foreigner resident among them, and therefore Israel must love the foreign sojourner, having themselves been sojourners in Egypt. No doubt all this is more or less relevant to the main theme of the discourse, but it is outside it, and as its introduction is coincident with the change to the Pl. address, the passage must be considered as a later addition, or additions (for 18, 10 is still a further departure from 16, 17). The same idea, that Israel cannot count on God's partiality for them if they continue to be stiffnecked, had been already put by Amos in a more striking form, Am. iii. 2, you only have I known of all the families of the earth; therefore I will visit on you all your iniquities. Cp. John viil. 31-45; and Acts x. 34; Rom. ii. 11; Gal. ii. 6, in which the argument of this passage is developed.

18. Circumstize the foreithin of your heard? The same metaphor in Jer, iv., 4 (cp. ix. 34), whether it is original to the propole or to D is impossible to determine. In view of the style of Jeremain's earlier discourses, in which abrupt and unrelated metaphors are frequently conjoined, and of the secondary charactes of these verses before us, the presumption is that the metaphor is here derived from Jeremina. Would be Jeremina surspringlich, Wellth. Comp. Hex. 193. Stevernaged states the converse opinion.

stiffnecked] See ix. 6 Sg. and 13 Pl.

17. God of gods, and Lord of lords] Heb. idiom for the highest God and Lord (cp. 19. 14, heaven of heavens).

the great God, the mighty, and the terrible, which regardeth 18 not persons, nor taketh reward. He doth execute the judgement of the fatherless and widow, and loveth the

19 stranger, in giving him food and raiment. Love ye therefore the stranger: for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt. 20 Thou shalt fear the Loro thy God; him shalt thou serve;

and to him shalt thou cleave, and by his name shalt thou 21 swear. He is thy praise, and he is thy God, that hath done

the great God, the mighty, and the terrible! The Hely can also mean, as in A.V., a great God, etc.; or the superlative, the God, the greatest, most mighty, and terrible. This is probably to be preferred. Yet even so there is no assertion, such as we find in exilic and post exilic writers, of the sole Godhead of Jehovah. See above on vi. 4.

regardeth not persons] Lit. lifteth not up faces (opposed to turning away faces), i.e. either by granting their requests (Gen. xix. 21) or receiving them graciously (Gen. xxxii, 20); or by being inordinately influenced by them (Joh xxxii. 21); or, as here, by showing them an unjust partiality (cp. xxviii. 50). The same idea concerning human judges is found in i. 17, but expressed by another verb.

reward] or, bribe, Ex. xxiii. 8, R.V. a gift. See further on xvi. 19. 18. fatherless, widow, and stranger | i.e. the foreigner sojourning in Israel. See on xxiv. 17. The three are combined there and in xxiv.

10, 20, 21, also in Ex. xxii. 21, 22,

19. Love we the stranger | This carries the principle further than it is expressed in Ex. xxii. 21, and even almost as far as Christ carried it. Cp. P. Lev. xix. 33.

for ye were strangers] So Ex. xxii, 21 (editorial) and frequently

20-zi. 1. Resumption of the Sg. address in possible, but not necessary, continuation of 27t. 14, 15. V. 20 naturally suggests the opening of 21, and is therefore not to be taken as a later intrusion because it repeats vi. 13 (Steuern.). 20. See on vi. 13, which this repeats (with LXX, Sam., read, as

there, and him) but adds another clause,

and to him shall thou cleave? This verb dahak is used in I of close and warm affection from man to woman (Gen. ii. 24, xxxiv. 3), and in I and D of the adhesion of evil (Gen. xix. 10 1 Dt. xiii. 17 (18) of the devoted thing, xxviii. 21, 60 of diseases). It is not applied to the relation of Israel to God in the Pent. except in D, x. 20. xi. 22, xiii. 4 (5), xxx. 20, in which passages it is combined with some or other of the verbs love, fear, obey, serve, walk after. In iv. 4 the adi, dabek is used by itself. Cp. deuteronomic passages in Ioshua xxii. 5. xxiii. 8. 21. He] in an emphatic position.

thy praise. Either the object of thy praise (cp. Ps. cix. 1, God of my

for thee these great and terrible things, which thine eyes have seen. Thy fathers went down into Egypt with three-zez score and ten persons; and now the LORD thy God hath made thee as the stars of heaven for multitude.

made thee as the stars of heaven for multitude.

Therefore thou shalt love the LORD thy God, and keep 11 his charge, and his statutes, and his judgements, and his

praise), or cause of thy fame, thy renown, viz. by the deeds 11c has

done for thee, Jer. xvii. 14.

great and terrible things] iv. 34 great terrors; cp. vi. 22, vii. 19.

which thine eyes have seen] So iv. 9, vii. 19, xxix, 3 (2), all Sg. as here; but in xxix, 2 (1) before your eyes; cp. xi. 2. The nation is regarded as identical through all its penerations. See on iv. 0.

22. The fathers need drown, etc.] A.V. and R.V. nivis both the emphatic order of the original and an idion in it. Translate, Security persons did thy father go drown into Ecypt, but unes, etc. The number is found elsewhere only in P. Gen. sivis. 27, Ex. i. g. and this D. Yet this round number may have been a common tradition once found in JE; and indeed P treats it as an accepted fact, to which he has to reconcile his other data. "The number po issues invented by P. since he guts it together in Gen. xivis. 8—27 only with trouble and difficulty? (Corrilli, Excludinare, 25 f.). There i cranism, however, the P. With the whole e., ex xivis. 1 certains do, though not confined to, P. With the whole e., ex xivis. 1 certains do, though not confined to.

made thee as the stars, etc.] See on L 10.

XI. 1. Therefore] The conclusion of the preceding verses.

thou shall love] See on vi. 5.

kep hit charge] 'Only here in Di.; often in P (esp. Numbers), but sundify in a technical sense, with gentitive of the object to be kept, as Num. i., 43, iii. 48; "Ichovah's charge "for a specific duty), Lev viii, 35, wiii, 50, xxiii, 50, xxiiii, 50, xxiiii, 50, xxiiii, 50, xxiiii, 50, xxiiii, 50, xxiiii, 50

statutes, judgements, commandments) See above.

2—0. A Pl. section recalling God's discipline of the very generation which is being addressed. The change from Sg. to Pl. has been explained on the logical ground that the specker is no longer regarding the nation as a single whole, but is addressing the adult generation as individuals distinct from their children (Bettbolet). This, of course, is noisible. Yet the alternative supposition, that some other source is here used by the compiler, besides being probable from what we have seen in other cases of the change of address, receives some support from the broken construction of the opening sentence as though it were a bad joint. It is significant, too, that the resumption of the Pt. coincides as

2 commandments, alway. And know ye this day: for I good not with your children which have not known, and which have not seen the 'chastisement of the Louro your God, his greatness, his mighty hand, and his sterched out arm, and his signs, and his works, which he did in the midst of Egypt at unto Pharioth the king of Egypt, and not all his land; and what he did unto the army of Egypt, unto their horses, and to their chariotis how he made the water of the Red Sea to overflow them as they pursued after you, and how the Louro, hath clearnoon the made the water of the Red Sea to overflow them as they pursued after you, and how the Josh.

# 1 Or, instruction

in ix, 8-x. 11 with a historical retrospect. On the one Sg, clause in the section see on v. 8.

2. And leaves ye! For this deuteronomic form see on vii. 9, Atrons what? The defective construction which follows leaves this discure. Some suppose that is the course of his involved serience she with the follows have the first which should write his following the object of house as well as the verb which should the state of the control of the state of the control of the state of the verb which should not write your children! I speak, who have not known our seen the intrinsing of pleavest your Gest, etc. It is, however, difficult to understand why by a solemn formula they should be called to recognise so obvious a distinction between themselves and their children. I seem preferable or with most commentators to read the discipline of following the control of the control of the state of the control of the state of the state

the charitement | 'midia' thenotes neither instruction (see on iv. 36) nor charitement (though this may be included), but more obtained or distribut (Six. readen) altended with greater (Pr. 18, 11; 16) v. 7;) or the severity (Pr. 1, 2, 8; iv. 1) as the case may be; the sight in class wereity (Pr. 1, 2, 8; iv. 1) as the case may be; the sight in cipirary influence, suithing waywardness and pride, prunoting cipirary influence, suithing waywardness and pride, prunoting humility and reverence, and educating generally their moral and

religious nature' (Driver),

his greatness, his mighty hand, and his stretched out arm] Sec on iii.

3. and his signs, and his works] See on iv. 34; cp. vi. 22, vii. 19.

4. the Red Sea] On the Heb. name, probably Bea of Reeds or Sedge, see note to Ex. xiii. 18. On the passage of the sea, see Ex. xiv. D does not mention it elsewhere than here; but see 1. 1, 40.

destroyed them] This form of the verb, 'ibbed, found in D only here and in xii. 2, 3, another Pl. passage. But both Sg. and Pl. use another form of the same verb.

you in the wilderness, until ye came unto this place; and 6 what he did unto Dathan and Abiram, the sons of Eliab, the son of Reuben; how the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up, and their households, and their tents, and every living thing that followed them, in the midst of all Israel: but your eyes have seen all the great work of the Loan? which he did. Therefore shall ye keep all the command: 8 ment which I command thee this day, that ye may be strong.

5. unto this place] i. 31.

6. what he did uuto Dathan and Abirau The severity of Gox's discipline was not only shown to Israel's enemies, but in the midst of all Israel to rebellious Israelites. Without such a recollection, the description of that discipline, especially in view of the alarm it was fitted to inspire, would not be complete. This answers Steuern.'s argument that the verse is secondary, on the grounds that there was no reason to mention specially this one out of all the divine punishments inflicted on Israel, and that with the phrase in the midst of all Israel the people are not directly addressed, and that the form of the discourse is thus broken. On the contrary, as shown above, the phrase suits the speaker's purpose, en. xvii. 4, 7, xxiii. 16 (17). The event is described in Num. xvi., a passage compounded of JE and P (see Numbers in this series). This yerse partly repeats the phraseology of IE, with some variations (e.g. a different verb for opened), cp. Num. xvi. 1 b, 26, 27 b itents), 30 (all that appertained unto them), 32 a. And, like IE, D mentions Dathan and Abram alone as the victims of the judgement. Instead of them P mentions Korah. This is another illustration of the consistency with which D follows IE, and was either ignorant of, or deliberately ignored P. It is interesting that Sam, adds to D's statement 'and all the men belonging to Korah.' 7. But your eyes are those that have men] Cp. x. 21 Sg.

all the great work LXX tworks; cp. the deuteronomic passage,

Jud. ii. 7.

8. On such recognition (v. 2 But know ye) of the awful discipline of

Got the discourse now bases another of its many appeals to the question observe the Law, with the usual promise of convecuent benefits. That the appeal and promise are composed in the usual deuteronousie phrases is no ground, by tiself, for considering that the verse is an editorial addition. So Steuera, who finds the immediate continuation virtually in the properties of the properties o

keep all the commandment] Again the Miswah of v. 31 q. v., vi. 1 and vii. 11.

which I commuted thee this day! The one Sg. clause in the section.

Sam. and LXX codd. A etc. have Pl., LXX cod. Vat. agrees with
the Heb. Sg. It is a good illustration of how many are the possible

DEUTERONOMY GOOGLE

Original from

10

and go in and possess the land, whither ye go over to possess 9 it; and that ye may prolong your days upon the land, which the LORD sware unto your fathers to give unto them and to

to their seed, a land flowing with milk and honey. For the land, whither thou goest in to possess it, is not as the land of Egypt, from whence ye came out, where thou sowedst thy seed, and

explanations of these smaller and sporadic changes of address. Either les S<sub>c</sub> is a clerical error which has alipped into the Heb. test and is to be corrected by the Versions; or it is original, and the readings of these reharmonistics, as in A.V. Or, if the S<sub>c</sub> is in decorrect seddings of these loans can just be been inserted by an eclic with the echo of will 11 h explanation. But any of the others is non-probable explanation. But any of the others is possible. In the probability of the others is possible.

and go in.

and go in and possess the land ] Cp. the variation in the Sg. ix. 5, go in to possess their land.

whither ye go over to possess it] a phrase peculiar to Pl.; see on vi. 1.

9. prolong your days] See on iv. 26.

which the LORD sware | See on L 8.

flowing with milk and honey] See above on vi. 3; and the note to Ex. iii. 8.

10-15. Another picture of the blessings of the land, ep. vi. 10 ft.

vii. 1 ft, viii.  $\gamma$  ff.; all like this in the Sg, form of address. But this the we see the land under a new aspect its contrast to the flat and rainless Egypt. The section illustrates well both what is obvious and what is obscure in the frequent transition of our Book from the one to the other of the two forms of address. For though it is nainly in the Sg, there are in the present text four interruptions by the Ft. is no in  $\pi$ . 10 (the Versions add another), one in  $\pi$ . 11, all  $\pi$ . 12, and one in  $\pi$ . 14, the following notes with show that while the last is only an apparent Pl, the Versions supplying a Sg., nearly all the others are clearly editional expansions.

10. whither thou goest in to possess if) The Sg. equivalent for the 1<sup>1</sup>, whither ye are crossing to possess if (v. 8). Therefore the Pl. reading of Sam. and LXX codd. A etc., ye are going in, is probably not correct. But see next note.

from whence ye came out] This Pl. is confirmed by the Versions. But with the preceding clause, whither thou goest in, &c., it may be a later addition. Neither is necessary, and indeed both rather break up the comparison which is the writer's main theme for the time.

where thou sowedst thy seed] This information is novel. We are not told elsewhere that in Egypt Israel practised agriculture for theuselves (thy seed). Yet even if they were confused to the land of Gosben (it is only J which affirms this), that land was parily

wateredst it with thy foot, as a garden of herbs: but the 11

fertile, and even a tribe of shepherds could hardly have refinited from the opportunities which it offered for the richer feeding of their eattle. P's account of Israel in Egypt says that they multiplied so fast that the land was filled with them; and that when the Egyptians brought them under bondage this included all manner of service in the field (Ex. i. 7, 14).

watereds it with thy foot The exact reference is doubtful and has been variously explained: to the working of the shaduf or machine by which a bucket of water is lifted from the river bed to the fields above; to the working of water-wheels; and to the distribution of the water through the fields by many small channels in the soft mul, which was removed by the foot of the peasant to allow the water to pass and replaced to divert it (Manning, The Land of the Pharaohs, 1887, p. 31, cited by Driver, Dent.3 p. xxi). The use of the shaduf in ancient Egypt is illustrated on the monuments (for an example see Erman, Life in Auc. Egypt, 426); but the employment of the foot in working it, i.e. by pushing or keeping down the weight that balanced the bucket, though recorded, cloes not seem to be usual. Again, 'water-wheels cannot be proved to have been known in ancient Egypt' (W. M. Muller, art. 'Egypt' in E.B. col. 1226, n. 1); though Niebuhr saw one worked by the foot in Cairo, and named accordingly (Reisebeschreibung, 1. p. 148, pl. xv.), and Robinson saw others in Palestine (B. R. 11, 351, 111, 21). The third explanation, the guidance of water by the foot of the peasant through the fields, seems therefore the most probable (cp. Conder on this method in Palestine, Tent Work in Palestine, 328); though W. M. Müller (loc. cit.) says 'most probably "watering with the foot" means carrying water." (It ought not to be overlooked that the words with thy foot may also have been meant to qualify thou sowedst thy seed; in Egypt, however, it was animals who were employed for tramping the scattered seed into the soft mud, rams (Ernian, 420) or pigs (Herodotiis, 11. 14, Pliny, H.N. XVIII. 47).) But to know the exact meaning of with thy foot is not necessary for the understanding of the writer. He is contrasting the laboriums personal labour reunired in bringing water to the fields of rainless Egypt, which Erman describes even after a high Nile as incessant over a large part of the country, and as an arduous, servile business necessarily enforced mon the peasants by an anxious government, with the heaven's own direct watering of the Palestine fields without any labour on the part of man. The contrast is, of course, not utter as the deuteronomist in his characteristic style describes it to have been the himself immediately qualifies it by his reference to the garden of herbs, which in Palestine it was customary to water by channels, cp. Is. i. 30). Nevertheless it is in the main true that in Egypt the fields depended for water on human ilrudgery of the most arduous kind; in Palestine their watering was the direct boon of heaven, beyond man's responsibility. In this connection Erman's remarks (14) on the influence of the Egyptian landscape are

10-2

- land, whither we go over to possess it, is a land of hills and 12 valleys, and drinketh water of the rain of heaven: a land which the LORD thy God careth for; the eyes of the LORD thy God are always upon it, from the beginning of the year even unto the end of the year.
- 13 And it shall come to pass, if ye shall hearken diligently unto my commandments which I command you this day, to love the LORD your God, and to serve him with all your

### 1 Heb, seeketh after.

relevant. The landscape is monotonous, not ecaleulated to awaken the inspiration of the soul; unconsciously the dweller in this country will become soler and prosale, and his gods will be pale forms with whom he has no sympathy. In fact, the Egyptian peasant could scarcely understand a living personal relationship between the individual and the deity.... Thus the Egyptian grew up under conditions unfavourable to the development of his spiritual life, but such as would fortify his understanding and practical industry.' And he contrasts the more vivid religious influences which the Greeks experienced from their landscapes -their mountains, forests, meadows and rains. This is virtually the same contrast as the deuteronomist here paints between the flat, minless Egypt, and Palestine with its rains, hills and vales, and consequent springs. In the latter Israel would more ensily feel the personal care of them by God Hintself (v. 12).

as a garden of herbs ] 1 Kgs xxi. 2; Pr. xv. 17. The inference is that the irrigation which in l'alestine was only applied to special spots was

universal in Egynt: see previous note.

11, whither ye go over to possess it | This Pl. interruption is redundant even for the deuteronomic style (cp. 8 and 10) and unnecessary for the contrast which the writer is making: most probably editorial.

a land of hills and valleys] This, too, is essential to the writer's contrast of the land with Egypt: for the configuration of the land (co. Erman's remarks on Egypt and Greece above) is not only utterly different from the flatness of Egypt, but affects the distribution of the rainfall, and is responsible for numerous springs (viii. 7).

According to the rain of heaven it drinketh water | So the emphatic

order of the original.

12. a land which the LORD thy God careth for Mt. seeketh after. The verb is used both in the sense of resort to or frequent (xii. 5, with another construction, All. v. 5), or investigate (xiii, 14 (15), xvii. 4, xix. 18), or to visit so as to care for ([er, xxx. 14, 17; Job iii. 4; Is. Ixii. (2). The last is of course the meaning here; a land which is under the personal supervision and providence of God: constantly are the eyes of Jehovah thy God upon it from the beginning of the year and even to the end of the year. Such is the emphatic Heb. order.

13. The verse is not only in the Pl. and a repetition of certain

heart and with all your soul, that I will give the rain of your 14 land in its season, the former rain and the latter rain, that thou mayest gather in thy corn, and thy wine, and thine oil. And I will give grass in thy fields for thy cattle, and thou 15

formulas, but it also changes the speaker (my communaturent: can only mem God's). It is evidently inserted by an elistic (so too Steuern. and Bertholet) (who also altered the opening of the next verse, g-r.) because he thought it again necessary to safeguard the promise by the community of the contrast because the thought it again necessary to safeguard the promise by into the theme of the writer which for the moment is only the contrast between the two lands. Out the contrasts of the x. see on x. 12.

14. that I will give the vain of your land.] The Heb. text is evidently due to the same hand which inserted v. ja, for it immediately follows that verse, and as evidently the original reading is that of San, LXX and Vulg.: that he will give the rain to thy land, which connects with v. 12.

in its season, etc. 1 The agricultural year in Palestine consisted of two seasons, a rainy and a dry. 'Towards the end of October heavy rains hegin to fall, at intervals, for a day or several days at a time. These are what the English Bible calls the early or former rain, Heb. yorch, the pourer. It opens the agricultural year; the soil, hardened and cracked by the long summer, rainless since May, is loosened, and the farmer begins ploughing. Till the end of November the average rainfull is not large, but it increases through December, Japuary and February, begins to abate in March, and is practically over by the end of April. The latter rains, Heb. malkosh, from a root meaning to be late, are the heavy showers of March and April. Coming as they do when the grain is ripening, and heing the last before the long summer drought, they are of far more importance to the country than all the rains of the winter months, and that is why these are so frequently passed over in Scripture, and emphasis is laid only on the early and latter rains1' (HGHL, pp. 63, 64). The annual rainfall is considerable: at Jerusalem it averages over 25 inches, about the same as the annual rainfall in London. Whether it was more copious in ancient times is a question much debated. For this and other details see the present writer's Jesusalem, t. 19, 77 f. The growth of the vine and olive depend, like the ripening of the curn, essentially on the latter rain; and the olive requires the rainless summer for the ripening of its lurries (op. cit. 300).

15. And I will give] with Sam. and LXXB read ha will give. grass] rather, herbago ('est's), including grass (d'th's'); for cattle as here, Jer. xiv. 6, 'Ps. evi. 20; but of human food, Geo. iii. 18.

<sup>1</sup> This has given people the idea that there are only two periods of raint in the Sysian year, at the vernal and the automate equinoces; but the whole of the winter is the rainy season, as indeed we are told in the parallel lines of the Song of Songs; Le the winter is past, the rain is over and gone (ii. 11).

16 shalt eat and be full. Take heed to yourselves, lest your heart be deceived, and ye turn aside, and serve other gods, 17 and worship them; and the anger of the LORD be kindled against you, and he shut up the heaven, that there be no rain, and that the land yield not her fruit; and ye perish quickly from off the good land which the LORD giveth you. 18 Therefore shall ye lay up these my words in your heart and in your soul; and ve shall bind them for a sign upon your hand, and they shall be for frontlets between your eyes. 19 And ye shall teach them your children, talking of them,

shalt cat and be full] vi. 11 (q.p.), viii. 10, 12 as here, with Sq.

16, 17. The enjoyment of so much blessing in the land suggests, as usual (cp. vi. 14 f., viii. 19 f.), a warning against being deceived into attributing it to other gods, i.e. the Baalim, already regarded in the land as the authors of its fertility, and worshipping them. Whether this warning is from the same hand as the preceding rw. is difficult to determine. The fact that it is in the Pl. while they are in the Sg., and that it is not so necessary to their argument as it is to the context in vi. r4 f. and vill. 19 f., suggests here another hand. At the same time it is relevant to what precedes, and in v. 17 directly attaches itself to that. Nor is it all compiled of formulas.

16. Take heed to yourselves] See on iv. 9; anly here and iv. 23

with Pl.

lest your heart be deceived | So Job xxxi. 27. and ye turn aside] With both Sg. and Pl., see on xiii. 5.

17. the anger of the LORD, etc.] See vi. 14 f., vii. 4. and he shut up the heaven .. fruit] These clauses found in D only

here that on, xxviii, 23 f. and the deuteranomic | Kgs viii, 35). Fruit, rather produce, y'bul, found, save for Judges vi. 4, only in the later O. T. writings from Ezekiel (xxxiv. 27) and D onwards, cp. xxxii. 22. Thus not only in the climate of Palestine, blessed directly from heaven, but in its interruptions also Israel are to see the personal Providence of their God.

and we terish anickly, etc. | So, with slight variations, iv. 26. the good land 1 i. 35.

18-26. The l'l. address is continued in a series of formulas, repeated with some variations from previous passages. The secondary nature of part of this section cannot be doubted. The emergence of the Sg. in v. 10 shows that the passage is a quotation (slightly varied) of vi. 6-0; it has been parily adapted to the compiler's Pl., while 2. 22 naturally follows on to v. rz. The rest only partly repeats, and contains some matter peculiar to this section of Deut.

18-21. See on vi. 6-9. Besides the form of address, Sg. there, Pl. here, there are the following differences: vi. 6-9 has shall be upon thine heart, and wants and in your soul; takes pext thou shalt teach, them diligently to thy children (a more natural place and a sign of the

when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by
the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou rises
up. And thou shalt write them upon the door posts of 20
thine house, and upon thy gates: that your days may be 21
multiplied, and the days of your children, upon the land
which the Lords sware unto your fathers to give them, as
the days of the heavens above the earth. For if ye shall 22
diligently keep all this commandment which I command
you, to do it; to love the Lords your God, to walk in all his
ways, and to cleave unto him; then will the Lord drive out 23
all these nations from before you, and ye shall possess
nations greater and mighther than yourselves. Every place 24
whereon the sole of your foot shall tread shall be yours
from the wilderness, and Lebanon, from the river, the river
Euphrates, even unto the 'hinder sea shall be your border.

#### 1 That is, western.

originality of vi. 6—9), and wants v. 21, which is repeated from other passages. See iv. 40, vi. 2, xi. 9. In v. 19 read with Sara., LXX, in the house. Vv. 18—21 break the connection: v. 22 follows naturally on v. 17.

31. at the days of the deasons above the earth] Not reposted in Deut; the phrase is equivalent to for ener, ep. So, kraxiz, 29; Joh kiii, 12. The eternity of the heavens was self-evident to primitive leard, and for long it appeared that they could be shaken only by the appearance of Gentleman and the shaken only by the appearance of Gentleman and the shaken only by the appearance of Gentleman and the shaken only the shaken of the shake

Repetitions of previous verses: diligently keep all this commandment, v. 31, vi. 17 (the commandment), vi. 1, this is the commandment; to love, vi. 5; to walk, x. 12; to cleave, x. 20. To I command you, Sam., LXX add to-day.

23. drive out | iv. 38.

possess nations greater, etc.] ix. 1, but Sg.

24. whereon the sole of your foot shall tread ] For the idiom see ii. 5; Jos. i. 3.

from the wilderness, and Lebanon [ Jos. i. 4; perhaps we should read and unto Lebanon (Gratz, Dillm, and others).

and from the river, the river Euphrates | See on i. 7.

unto the kinder sea] i.e. according to the Semilic orientation, the western sea, the Mediterranean. These limits are, of course, ideal, but observe how the promise is limited by the words every place whereon the sole of your foot shall tread.

25 There shall no man be able to stand before you: the LORD your God shall lay the fear of you and the dread of you upon all the land that ye shall tread upon, as he hath spoken unto you.

Behold, I set before you this day a blessing and a curse; 27 the blessing, if ye shall hearken unto the commandments of 28 the LORD your God, which I command you this day: and the curse, if ye shall not hearken unto the commandments of the LORD your God, but turn aside out of the way which I command you this day, to go after other gods, which ye have not known.

And it shall come to pass, when the LORD thy God shall bring thee into the land whither thou goest to possess it, that thou shalt set the blessing upon mount Gerizim, and 30 the curse upon mount Ebal. Are they not beyond Jordan,

26. There shall no man, etc.] So vii, 24, but Sg. the fear of you and the dread of you ] Sq ii. 25, but Su.

26-28. The summing up and elinching of the whole discourse, v.-xi.: a blessing to Israel if they obey the commandments of God, a curse if they do not obey but turn after other gods. Cn. xxx. 1, as here, blessing and curse; 15, 19, life and death, good and evil.

27. if ye shall hearken, etc.] See vii. 12, PL; xv. 5, xxviii. 13, Sg.

turn aside] See v. 16, ix. 12, 16, xiii. 5, xxxi. 29. to go after other rods | vi. 14.

which ye have not known] See above on vii. q. viii. 3.

29-30. A return to the Sg. form of address, with phrases peculiar to

that form (see vi. 10, vii. 1). Whether it is original liere, or dependent on xxvii, 12 f. (ep. los. viii. 33 f.), is doubtful. 29. shall bring thee unto the land, etc. 1 So vii. 1, 0.7.

the blessing upon mount Gerisim, and the curse upon mount Ebal The

two most prominent hills on the Western Range, whether seen from the Mediterranean or from the E. of Jordan, on either side of what is not only the natural centre of Western Palestine, but the part most open to approach from E. Palestine. See the present writer's HGHL, ch. vi., and pp. 335 ff. Gerizim lies to the S., or, according to Semitic orientation, the right hand and lucky quarter of the heavens; 'Elad on the N., the left or sinister quarter. But the visitor to the locality will also be struck by the sympathy between our verse and the contrasted aspects of the two hills as they face each other; the N. face of Gerizim, the mount of blessing is the more fertile; the opposite face of 'Ebal, the mount of curse, much the more bare. 30. A geographical gloss similar to those in i. 2, and in chs. ii., iii.,

and introduced by are they not, as iii. 11.

beyond fordan True to the speaker's position on the E. of fordan,

behind the way of the going down of the sun, in the land of the Canaanites which dwell in the Arabah, over against

so iii. 20, 25. Contrast, as untrue to the speaker's position, iii. 8 (part of Moses' speech), i. 1, 5, iv. 46, 47, 49 (all titles), and iv. 41 (a historical frameut).

behind the way of the gains drow of the rum. Of doubtful meaning, Behind is, of course, wast of incooring to the orientation alluded to above). But what is the sizy? It has been understood by most as the great road traversing Western Palentine from N. to S., to the immediate west of which the two mountains lie (Dillin,, who quotes Ritter, Endande von Satien, XV.; 685. L. Goge, of Pal. IV. 293 if, Driver, Marti). Steuern, proposes, by the addition of one letter, to read wort of it, let. the Jordan, and to translate the rest in the direction of the drivers of the course o

in the land of the Canaauites] Not D's usual name for the inhabi-

tants of the land; see on i. 7.

unhich dwell in the Arabah See on i. 1: the Jordan valley, not relevant to the position of 'Ebad and Gerizim. The whole clause is very probably a still later addition, especially as the following clause connects naturally with that position. So, too, the Massoretic punctuation of the text implica.

over against Gilgal, beside the oaks of Moreh] The Gilgal, i.e. stonecircle. There were several places of this name W. of Jordan and still marked by Arabic forms of it (see 'Gilgal' in E.B. lay the present writer); (1) One was the Gilgal near Jericho, and with this certain Rabbis, followed by Eusebius, Jerome, and a constant Christian tradition, have identified the Gilgal of our text. So, too, a number of modern commentators. Others, changing the punctuation, refer the words over against the Gilgal to the Canaantes which dwell in the 'Arabah. (2) A second Gilgal lay on the Western Range above Bethel (2 Kgs ii. 1-8) and has been identified with the present Jiljilych seven miles N. of Bethel, which, though actually lower than Bethel, stands on a hill so bold and isolated that the phrase to go down thence to Bethel would not be inappropriate. This also has been identified with the Gileal of our text, yet it is at a good distance from Gerizim and Ebal, and stands In no definite relation to them, (3) Dillmann supposed some Gilgal near Shechem, and his hypothesis has been justified by the discovery of the name Juleijil (Ar. dimin. of Gilgal) on the plain one mile E. of the foot of Gerizim and 21 miles SE, of Sheehem. This suits the data of our passage (including the following oaks or terebinths of Moreh), and its elaims have been defended in detail by Schlatter (Zur Topogr. n. Gesch. Palastinas, 246 ff.) and accepted by Buhl (Pal. 202 ff.); cp. the present writer in Critical Review, Oct. 1895, 346 ff., and art, 'Gilgal' in E. B.; and Driver, Deut, and ed. (1901), p. xxi. In soor the present writer visited Juleijil, and a thorough examination of the site convinced 31 Gilgal, beside the 'oaks of Moreh? For ye are to pass over Jordan to go in to possess the land which the Lorn your God giveth you, and ye shall possess it, and dwell therein.
32 And ye shall observe to do all the statutes and the judgements which I set before you this day.

### 1 Or, terebinths

him that it is the Gilgal of our text. A bill, some two hundred feet high, these from the Makhoch plain just opposite the valley between Gerium and 'Elal. The trace of a broad winding road leads to the summit, which is covered with ancient remains, including those of a large stonecircle couposed of large blocks. There is no more suitable safe for a coverage with all Palacities. C. 6. 14 [Line 27, 27, 27].

sanctuary in all W. Flestine. Cp. G. Hölscher, ZDPV, XXXII. 1981. besite the earls of Morel N. Bend, with Sum and LXX, the oat. The oak or terebuild of Morels, 'the Revealer,' takes us lack to Abraham who found it here by Shechem and built an altar, Gen. xii. 6 (1), from the control of the control of the control of the control of the land) may have been derived by the annotating citier. On trees, as impressing especially the nomals of the treeless desert with their speaking and oracular powers, see on xii. 2 and the Shechem Control of the control of the

addition to mark the transition to the actual laws which begin with xii. 1, or the close of an original introduction, in the PL, to the Code. The former is the more probable as the vv. are compounded of phrases characteristic both of the Sg. and the Pl. forms of address.

For ye are about to pass over fordan] A Pl. phrase; see on iv.
 vi. 1.
 to vo in to passess the land 1 Mainly a Se, phrase; see on vi. 1.

which the LORD your God is about to give you.

32. and ye shall observe to do] v. 32, etc.
all the statutes and the judgements] See on v. 31.

# C. Cus. XII.-XXVI. THE STATUTES AND JUDGEMENTS.

The Deuteronomic Code, of which all the rest of the book is the religious and historical introduction and enforcement, consists of some seventy separate laws, connected by and mingled with exhortations and courses. The laws fall into four divisions of unequal size, consisting of smaller groups distinguished by their separate subjects: the whole upon a manifest plan of arrangement which however in not perfectly observed but is broken at several points by the appearance of ningle laws or small following composerus:—ir more present control of the other control of the contr

The	Title to the whole Code	xii. ı
I.	Laws of Religious Institutions and Worship xii. 2-xv	
	21-7	cvii. 7
	Of the One Altar (in several forms) xii.	2-28
	Against Heathen Rites and the Worship of Other	
	Gods xii. 29	-xiii.
	[with perhaps xvi. 21—x	
		. 1, 2
		3—21
	Of Tithes 2	2-29
		I-18
	<ol> <li>for Israelite and foreign creditors (1-11),</li> </ol>	
	(2) for slaves (12—18)	
	Of Firstlings 1	9-23
	Of the Three Feasts: Passover, Weeks, Taber-	
		1-17
		11, 22
		cyli. I
	Against Worshippers of Other Gods For the last three see above xii. 29—xiii.	2-7
11.		
	Laws of Offices of Authority xvi. 18-20, xvii. 8-	-xviii.
	Of Judges and Justice xvi. i	
	Of Judges of Final Appeal xvii.	8-13
	Of the King	4-20
	Of Priests, Levites xviii.	1-8
11.		9-22
н.		-xxv.
		1-13
	Against Removing Landmarks	14
		5-21
	Of the Conduct of War, and who are Exempt xx.	1-20
	Of Communal Responsibility for a Murder xxi,	1-9
	Of Marriage with a Female Captive	0-14
		5-17
		8-21
		12, 23
	Of Humane Duties in various directions:— xxii. 1—4. A neighbour's lost property (1—3) and dere-	1)-8
	lict (4); sparing the mother-bird (6, 7); pro-	
	tecting roofs with parapets (8)	
	Wearing clothes of the other sex (5); mixture	911
	of seeds (9), animals (10), cloths (11)	
		12
	Of Procedure in Cases of Unchastity:—	-30 <sup>1</sup>
	Charges against a bride (13—21); adulterers	-30.
	Charges against a detide (13-21), adminerers	

<sup>1</sup> From this to the end of ch. xxiii, the verses are numbered one more in the Heb. text, in which xxiii. 1 is the Eng. xxii. 30.



							_	
xxiii. 1—8	5 ff.) thed  (2), nted	thout (2 t betso ) — gitimate but gra	) or wite gin not life (30) ation :- the illeg 3—6);	th (23 f a vir her's w Congreg ted (1), cabites	ered in the a ned virgin, with onsent; with ; with a fat o Enter the ( o the mutilat onites and Mo d generation	betrothe her con (28 f.); Of Right to Denied to Ammor		
9-14			ın	the Car	Cleanness in			
						Of Runaway		
15, 16	•••	***	***		erodules			
19, 20	***	alitar	m Irra		action of In			
21-23					*** ***	Of Vows		
24, 25		Coun	it- and	ere' Eur	Need of Oth			
xxiv. I-4		COIL			riage after D			
xxiv. 3-		irection			and Humani			
XXV. 4				,		. ,		
	vice	war-se	l from	marrie	on of newly	Exemptio		
	eces.	e the n	n pledg	taking i	5); against	(xxiv.		
	saties of life (6, 10-13, 17 l.), stealing							
	Ismelites for slaves (7), neglect of leprosy							
		(8 f.), withholding wages (14 f.), putting the						
		fathers to death for the children or vice versă (16), and inequity to strangers, fatherless, and						
	to of	nenes,	for the	learin	s (17 f.); on	uidona		
	nich.	ese par	2 001 111	) acoin	3 (171.), 01	the here		
		the harvest (19-22); against excessive punish- ment (xxv. 1-3), and muzzling the labouring						
		ac moon	areig vi	tire recei	AA11 1-3/1 0	0x (4)		
xxv. 5-10	***				Martiage	Of Levirate		
11, 12	***				s Assault	Of Reckless		
13-16					vers Weight			
17-19			***		k	On 'Amalek		
xxvi, 1-15		Prayers	noper l	with 1	al Procedure	Laws of Ritus	1V.	
xxvi. I-II					First Fruits	In Offering		
12-15		***			ting Tithes	In Distribut		
16-19		***	***	ion	ing Exhortat	Concludir		

Within this Code the laws are never called Toroth (applied in the Coole only to the oral directions of the priests, xvii. 11, xxiv, 8) but always Hukkim and Mishpatim, Statutes and Judgements. If we may distinguish these terms, as on the one hand decrees of religion, worship, and the theocratic constitution, and on the other civil and criminal laws and sentences with their relevant procedures (cp. debarim and mishpatim, Ex. xx. 12-axili. 33, Driver, p. 102), then to such a distinction the above arrangement roughly conforms. For of its four main divisions 1, II and IV are of the former class, but III of the latter.

As in the Decalogue and the law-book of E, Ex. xx, 22-xxiii., the laws of religion and worship come first because of their sacred character.

but also for the further reason, peculiar to D, that the law of the One Altar with which they open is the practical corollary to D's fundamental doctrine of the Unity of Israel's God (see on xii, 2-28). Accordingly this law is immediately followed by laws against heathen rites and seductions to the worship of other gods, xil. 29-xili., among which the similar laws, xvi. 21-xvii. 7, seem originally to have stood. The law of clean and unclean foods, xiv. 3-21, based on religious grounds. falls naturally into this group (though it may be a later addition); and the rest of the division, xiv. 12-xvi, 17, also deals with religious practices and institutions. The Second place is naturally assigned to offices of various authority in the theoremey, xvi. 18-20, xvii. 8-xviii. The Third division, xix -xxv., enforces the duties of the individuals of the commonwealth in their family, civic, and military relations; and deals with crimes against these social interests and the relevant procedures. In the Fourth, xxvi., more detailed ritual is enjoined with regard to two of the offerings commanded under the first group.

The chief Interruptions in the plan of the Code, which is so manifest broughout, are the separation of the religious laws, sv. i: 1-svii. 7, sxiii. 1-8, 17 f. and xxiv., from Division 1 to which by their subjects they properly belong. But further in Division III the laws on narriage and married life are separated from each other, two in xxi. to—17, not such that the same substitute of the control of the cont

respecting different things' (Calvin on Ex. xxiii. 19).

Sometimes this disorder is accessitated by the overlapping or crossing of the subjects of various laws; sometimes, as in the separation of xvi. 21-xvii. 7 from xii. 20-xiii., it may be due to the carelessness of a copyist. Other nossible causes are the gradual growth of the Code by the addition of laws instituted or adopted later than its original form, and the compilation of the whole Code from separate smaller Codes (as in the case of the Code of E; see Driver's Exed. 202 ff.). Of the former cause ch. xxvi. may be an illustration. But while gradual additions may have been made from time to time to the Code, the chief impression which the above list makes on the mind is that the whole Code, as it stands, is a compilation from various sources. And this impression is corroborated by the facts that several of the laws appear in more than one form-especially the first and fundamental law of the One Altar, but cp. also the Laws on the Passover and the Priests-and that some of these doublets are distinguished by being couched in different forms of address, Sg. and Pl. Thus the same phenomena as those which betray a plurality of sources in the introductory discourses, i. -xi., persist in the Code, xii.-xxvi., and prove the composite character of even 12 These are the statutes and the judgements, which ye shall observe to do in the land which the LORD, the God of thy fathers, hath given thee to possess it, all the days that ye

this the central portion of the Book of Deuteronomy. The proofs will

be given in the detailed notes.

The bulk of the laws are based either on those of E and (in fewer cases) of I, or upon the consuetudinary laws of which the Codes of E and I are the other precipintes. But their chief distinction from the Codes of E and I is that the latter have no counterpart to the law of the One Altar in D. On the contrary they imply that Israel may sacrifice to their God at many altars, wheresoever He records His Name (co. Chapman, Jutred, to Peut, 121 ff., and Driver, Exed, 207 f.). The law of the One Altar necessitated many other differences between the Code of D and the earlier legislation; for example in permitting at a distance from the One Altar the slaughter and eating of domestic beasts without ritual; in the laws on Tithes and Firstlings; and most of all in the institution of the Cities of Refnge, for which no equivalent was required in the earlier legislation, since according to this the man who slew his brother accidentally might find asylum at any of the many altars which it sauctions. On the details of the relation of D's laws to those of H and P see the notes below; here it need only be said that the laws of H and P give proof of belonging to a later stage than D's in the social and ecclesiastical development of Israel; and that in particular many of their differences from D's are due to the increased influence of the priesthood, its separation from the general body of the Levites, and its encroachment upon their rights and the rights of the lay worshippers.

### CH. XII. 1. THE TITLE TO THE CODE.

Like some other titles this is mixed of the Sg. and Pl. forms of address. Sam. confirms the Heb. text. The LXX harmonising gives Pl. throughout.

These are the statutes and the judgements: As in vi. 1 but minus
the Commandment or Charge (Miswah) because this, the introductory
enforcement of the religious principles on which the laws are based,

is now finished.

observe to do] See on iv. 6, v. 1.

God of thy fathers] See on vi. 3.
all the days, etc.] Cp. iv. 9, 10, xxxi. 13.

 FIRST DIVISION OF THE LAWS: ON WORSHIP AND RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS—xli. 2—xvi. 17, xvi. 21—xvii. 7.

Some 16 laws occupying because of their subject the premier place in the Code.  $\phantom{\Big|}$ 

Digitizen by Google

### 2-28. THE LAW OF THE ONE ALTAR AND ITS COROLLARY.

As we have seen the law of One Sanctuary for Israel was, in the circumstances of that people in the 7th century, an inevitable consequence from the prophetic proclamation of One God for Israel. For the practice of worshipping Him at many shrines, sanctioned by Himself in the earlier period of Israel's settlement, had, especially amany of the sites chosen were those of the Canaanite worship of local Ba'alim, tended to break up the people's belief in His Unity. He hecame to their minds many Jehovahs (see above on vi. 4); and at the same time their conceptions of Him were degraded by the confusiou of His attributes with those of the deities to whose shrines He had succeeded. Therefore as the Unity of Jehovah and His ethical character are the burden of the Miswah or Charge introductory to the Code it is appropriate that the first of the laws should be that abolishing the custom of sacrifice at many sanctuaries and limiting His ritual to a single altar. Note, too, how this is immediately followed by a warning against the worship of other gods (20. 29-31); and that the next laws (xii. 32xiii.) deal with those who entice, or are enticed, to that worship, Nothing could more clearly show how argently the concentration of the worship of Jehovah was required in the interest of faith in His Unity and in Ilis spiritual nature. How thoroughly such a law contradicts the earlier legislation about altars, as well as the divinely sanctioned practice of sacrifiee in Israel after the settlement; and how far it is incompatible with the corresponding laws in P, will appear in the notes.

The chapter has some obvious editorial insertions disturbing the connection (27. 3, 15, 16, 32); but there are besides repetitions of the central injunction of the law in the same or similar phraseology and introduced or followed by different reasons for it. A eareful analysis shows that these are not due to the discursiveness of one writer, but are statements of the same law from different writers of the same religious school. This conclusion is confirmed by the prevalence in vv. 1-12 of the Pl, and in vv. 13-28 of the Sg. form of address. But even within vv. 2-12 there is a double statement of the central injunction; on the other hand in pp. 13-28 the repetitions are either clearly editorial insertions, or due to the necessity of repeating the central injunction of the law in a practical corollary permitting the non-sacrificial enloyment of flesh to Israelites, too far from the One Altar to be able regularly to consecrate it there. Thus we may distinguish three statements or editions of the law, 1st pp. 2-7 Pl.; and zv. 8-12 Pl.; 3rd zv. 13-10 Sg., with the practical corollary or supplement to the law, vv. 10-17, the whole enforced by a general exhortation in v. 18. All three statements have much in common; defining the One Sanctuary as the place which Jehovah your (or thy) God shall choose to put His name there (1st and 3rd) or cause His name to dwell there (2nd); detailing the same list of sacrifices and offerings which are to be brought (1st and 2nd) or offered (3rd which has also 2 live upon the earth. Ye shall surely destroy all the places, wherein the nations which ye shall possess served their gods,

take and 20), but with some variations, for while all have huntofferings, vows, tithes, contributions (AV. and RV. heave offerings),
only the 1st and grad add sacrifices to brant-offerings, the and speaks of
choice wors, the pal defines the tithes to be in kind, the 1st all contributions to the descriptions of how the feasts are to be enjoyed
and who are to enjoy them are just such as might be made by different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers with the saree aim. But all three give different
but you pathetic writers and you pathetic writers and you pathetic writers

#### 2-7. FIRST STATEMENT OF THE LAW OF THE ONE ALTAR.

In the PL address, with one later insertion, v. 3, and possibly another \$6,1 he rest is a unity. It appropriately opens with the command to \$6,1 he rest is a unity. It appropriately opens with the command to about 10 disposars; for it was the use of these anottatels for the worship of Jehovah and the consequent confusion of Iliu with the worship of Jehovah and the consequent confusion of Iliu with the collapse of the consequent confusion of Iliu with the collapse of the worship of Jehovah and the cuit from which the only portion can be also presented as a present the confusion of the late of of

2. surely destroy] A form of the vb. used only with Pl. address, xi. 4, xii. 2, 3. Another form of the same vb. is used both with Sr.

and Pl., vii. 14, viji. 20, etc.

all the place?] The Itels makins, its place of standing up but used in the widest sense of spet or keedings, its to be understood throughout this ch. as holy or sacred place (cp. Gen. xii. 6, the makins of Sekedens) like its Ar. form, makins, "sacred place," whethere as the place where with the name of a some state of the sacred place. The place of the buried which still hants, or at which be once stood, e.g. "makins Turbalius" (Pocock, Specimen Hitt. Artohom, 124). But in this restricted sense the Itels. makins be rather the place of the Delty, Itis habitation: cp. v, y, "lan! ks. vt, place of my panetassy place of my feef; Eech. kill.

1. s. this Water, a visit when my feet, where I should, cc; Acts.

1. s. this Water, a visit when we have the sacred to the place of the delty.

wherein the nations which ye are to disposanse worshipped thrir gods] On disposses see ix. 1. Worshipped or have worshipped may be a sign of the writer's own time when the Cansanites were no more; yet

it is not incompatible with the standpoint of the speaker.

upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and under

upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and under every creen tree] A frequent combination in O.T. The part of a hill selected for a shrine was not the top but either one of the lower promoutories (so, and not tops, in Hos. iv. 13; Ezek. vi. 13), or a hollow below the summit or between two summits (e.g. the high-place at Gezer discovered by Mr Macalister) within reach of water. Green can hardly he the meaning of the Heb. rardnau, which is either luxuriant, branching and overshadowing, or mobile and ways, or full of sound; as variously appears from the forms of the same root in Ar. (=loose, with much motion, quickly changing, but also redundant and bulging), from the LXX translations of the Heb. fleafy, overshadowing, and the like), and from such passages as Hos. iv. 12 (they sacrifice under oaks, poplars, and terebiuths, for their shade is good), Ezek. vi. 13 (under every spreading tree and thick oak), xx. 28 levery thick tree). 'The luxury of the trees! (Bacon), 'ker leafy arms with such extent were spread! (Dryden). The presence of a god was suggested not merely by the power of life manifest in the greenness of the tree (W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 173) nor only by its conspicuousness in the landscape and the shade it gave from a glowing atmosphere, but also by the mobility (cp. the N.H. ra'al, to wave, and the Syr. rula, shaking) and the rustling of the tree which suggested the movement or speech of the deity; the sound of a marching in the tops of the mulberry trees, Jehovah gone forth before thee (2 Sam. v. 24), the sound of fehovah God walking in the garden in the wind (Gen. iii. 8), and terebinths of Moreh, i.e. Revealer, oracle-giver (xi. 30; Gen. xii. 6). It is among these ideas of luxuriance, shade, mobility and sound that the meaning of raduau is to be found. That it cannot mean green is also proved by its application to oil, 14, xcii, 10 (11), where LXX renders it by rich.

These piles, naturally a quantitatic to wearling, were used by the Semices at by other sec. On measuration, in expectably places of heart of devileng, see We, K. Smith, Semice of the second of the semices of the semi

DEUTERONOMY

District Google

3 every green tree: and ye shall break down their altars, and dash in pieces their 'pillars, and burn their Asherim with fire; and ye shall hew down the graven images of their gods; and ye shall destroy their name out of that place.

## 1 Or, obelisks

was hidden to go to Carmel, and build there an altar to Jehovah (xviii, 19 f , 22), and again west to Horeh the Mount of God (xix, 8 ff.). Deut, itself repents the account at Moses' attercourse with Jehovah on the Mount (ix., x.) and contains (xxvii, 4 ff., partly from E !) the command to put up stones inscribed with the Law and an altar upon Mt Ebal. Therefore down at least to the building of the Temple in Jerusalem, it was the custom in Judah and Benjamin to wurship Jehovah on such high places as those at which the Canannites worshipped their gods, and this custom was continued in N. Israel by Elijah. By the 8th century Israel appears to have promisonously adopted the Camerite shrines, and the prophets complain of their neonasy and licentious lites on the headlands of the mountains and on the hills and under every spreading fore with special mention of eaks, poplars, and terrbinths and predict the futility and disappointment of their trast in such places (Hos. iv. 12 f.; Is. i. 29; Jer, if 20, iii. 6, 8, 13, 83, avii. 1 £, 1 zek, vi. 13, aviii. 5 £, xx. 28; 1 sai. 1 vii. 5, lxv. 7). The prophets regard all this nea backthising from the pure worship of entirer times. Invaled ought to have known better than sunk to such trainrose, and degrading practices. But the prophets appeal to no law on the subject and it is clear that their objections to sites so natural for worship, and used by the Pattiarchs and leaders of largel with the sunction of Israel's God, is that both to the emergence with prophecy of a juner religion and to the experience throughout the intercening centuries of the exit effect on Israel of the resocrations of these rates with the insured practices of the Cannaultes and of the trust in purely material objects which they engendered in the worshippers. Nuthing child overcome these evils except the destruction of the high "places and the entrentration of the wurship of Jehovah upon one altar. Hence the rise of D's law, clearly nuknown to the Judges, Prophets, and King of Israel at least flown to Solumna and also to Elijah The law is therefore the result of the tenching of the purphets of the 8th century; but this conclusion thes not previute the positions of earlier sporadic attempts, especially in Judoh, to do nway with the heather sancinaries (see furned. \$ 11).

Destruction of altars, and other sacred objects in the Canaanite
places. Similarly viii. 5; cp. Ex. xxxiv. 73. But here the verse is evilently a later intrusion; it breaks the connection het ween etc. 2 and 4.
break down! Ralber, tear down; in O.T. of altars, high places,

walls.

altars] Lit. positions for shanghter and sacrifice. See Driver on

Ex. xx. 24. pillars...Asherim] For these see on xvi. 21, 22. The verbs burn and hew down oughl probably to be transposed (Grätz), cp. LXX and vii. 5, 25.

graven images of their gods] Apparently distinct from the pillars and 'sherim. Help part's as in vii. 5, 25 (also in Hos. and Mic.) another form of peed, iv. 16, 23, 25, v. 8.

and detroy their name ant of that place] \(\text{ii} \) \( 2\_i \) with mother form of the same wh. is co on \( v\_i \). To destroy the worship of a god is to prevent his manifestation to men, so that it is as if he cessed to he. Cp. the nanlogy in bratel, when Moses pleuts that Jelovak will not itestroy for His name's sade; if they perish, who will perpetuate It is name, i.e. Illis worship, this revelation, Illiser[27] See on \( v\_i \).

Ye shall not do so unto the LORD your God. But unto the place which the LORD your God shall choose out of all your tribes to put his name there, even unto his habitation shall ye seek, and thither thou shalt come: and thither ye shall 6

Ye shall not do so unto the f.ORD your God] Clearly this follows

oot the preceding verse but v. a.

5. the place which the LORD your God shall choose] Place, Sg., in contrast to all the places of v. 1. 'Jehovah chooses it (in contrast to the sauctuaries chosen by Israel themselves) for a sanctuary for Himself, as He has chosen the people that it may be holy to Him (cp. vii. 6). Hetis therefore no limited, local deity, tied to the soil, like the Ba'alim. He might have chosen another place out of all your tribes than Jerusalen '(Bertholet). The phrase is D's regular ilescription of the One Sanctuary: either alone, xii. 18, 26, xiv. 25, xv. 20, xvi. 7, 15, 16, avii. 8, 10, aviii. 6, axxi. 11; or with additions :- in one of thy tribes (xii, 14) = out of all your tribes (here LXX, in one of your cities); to put His name there, here v. 21, xiv. 24; to cause His name to dwell there, 2. 11. xiv. 23. xvi. 2. 6. 11. xxvi. 2. All these except xii. 4. 11 are iii the Sg. address. The only other passage in the Hex. in which the phrase occurs is the deuteronomic Jush. ix. 27. In E. Ex. xx. 24, the parallel but contradictory phrase is in every place where I record my name (see Driver's note). For shall choose Sam, has curiously hath thosen, abaudoning the standpoint of the speaker, assumed by the Heb. text, for that of the writer. The place is of course Jerusalem (cp. 1 Kgs viii. 44, 48 and other ileuteronomic passages in Kings). The naming of the place would not be compatible with the standpour of the speaker, and was superfittous to the generation for whom D wrote.

to put his name there | For other instances of the phrase in D and its alternative; cause his name to dwell there, see previous note. The name of God is just God Himself as manifested to men. So E. Ex. xxiii. 21. of the angel sent by Him before Israel: my name is in him; and I, Ex. xxxiii. 10, of the moral nature of Israel's God : I will make all my goodness pass before thee and will proclaim the name of Jehovah before thee. His sanctuary is the place of fehovah's name (Is, xviii, 7) because there He reveals Himself to Israel; to Jerusalem the nations shall gather to the name of Jehovah (Jer. iii. 17); cp. the deuteronomic phrase to build an house to the name of Jehovah (2 Sam, vii, 13; r Kes iii, 2, v. 3, 5 (17, 19), viii. 16-20, 44, 48.

even unto his habitation] So Heb.; hut LXX (as in v. 11), to cause it to dwell. If this reading be adopted the following vis. must refer back to the words, to the place, at the beginning of the verse. shall ye seek A technical term for resort to the Deity or his shrine:

v. 30, after other gods (but with sense of enquiring); J. Gen. xxv. 22, to Jehovah; Am. v. 5, to Bethel. In iv. 20 the seuse is not technical but has a moral force. For another meaning of the same vb. see xi. 12. and thither thou shalt come) The only Sg. phrase in this statement

11-2

bring your burnt offerings, and your sacrifices, and your tithes, and the heave offering of your hand, and your vows,

of the law; but either delete thou shalt come with LXX B, or read ve

shall come with Sam., LXX A and other codd, and Luc.

 Thither all sacrifices and sacred dues are to be brought; for variants in the other statements of the law see vv. 11, 13, 17, 27.

your birrest offerings and your sacrifices] 'Olitich and a'babins': the wo most ordinary forms of animal sacrifice,  $v_0$ :  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ;  $E_k$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $v_3$  ( $E_k$ ) but in  $E_k$   $x_k$ ,  $x_4$  ( $E_k$ ) 'olitich and  $x^{kl}$ stamin. The 'Olita', what goes  $x_k$  of the count of a sile of  $x_k$  is the sacrification of  $x_k$  of  $x_k$ 

it. The abols, in: the stangaturing—at first all skaughter of domestic animals was scarificial—was the more ancient and common form of sacrifice, of which the libod was poured out and the fat burned as the Delity's portion, certain other parts were given to the pricat as his due early Israel the abols is mentioned along with the minish flit. gifty, the excel of "meet officing (Sam. iii. 14, xxi. 19). The thank officing, R.V. peace offering (siter the L.XX), according to others thank offering, is more probably, because of its use (instead of abols) for sacrifices in ministray and because of its use (instead of abols) for sacrifices in the probability of the control of the sacrification of a sacrification of the sac

These ordinary sterifices, then, which the older law in E directs shall be made on an altar in every place where felowah shall record History mane (Ex. xx. 24), must, according to D, be brought to the One Altar. The necessary corollary is not given in this first statement of the law

but follows in the third, pp. 15 f., 20 ff.

your fithel or tenths: at first used generally in Eng.—'every tithe soul,' 'the tithe of a hair' (Shakespeare)—but like the Scots 'ticnds' generally limited to taxes of one tenth especially in kind; in D of corn, wine and oil, rs. 77, xiv. 23, of the increase of thy seed, xiv. 22, of the interease of each third verar, xiv. 28, xxiv. 12. See further on those

passages.

the heate offering of your hand] Heb. Personal from heriu, to raive, to the not as the Eng. translation suggests that which is devated ritially before the start; but th: 4 which is lifted off or and of a greater mass, as a superior of the start of the start; but the start of th

and your freewill offerings, and the firstlings of your herd and of your flock: and there ye shall eat before the LORD 7 your God, and ye shall rejoice in all that ye put your hand

reserved for priests and Levites, Eack, xlv. 7, 51; of the portions for priests lifted off the sacrificial victims, Lev. vii. 14; Ex. xxix. 27f. Contribution is therefore the Eng. word which comes nearest to it, but is not satisfactory. Of pour hand; it is not to be abstracted by an official but must be a direct and personal gift of the worshipper.

year town.] Things remed to God or to the sanctuary in connection with payers, for deliverance from some pressing danger or the success of an enterprise, see further on xxiii. 1-31 (13-34), and here note only the development from the simple directions of D to the elaborate and discriminating laws of P on the same subject, Lev. xxivii. r-93; Num. xxx. (forther in the Mishan tractate Netariny); and the risks practised with vows, Mal. i. 14, and the easisistry, Matt. xv. 4.6; Mk vii. 10.6.

your freewill offerings] Sacrifices you are moved to make without previous promise or legal injunction.

firstlings of your herd and of your flock See on xv. 19-13.
7. and there ye shall eat before the LORD your God i.e. sacra-

mentally; for the eating is as much a part of the religious rite as the offering of certain portions of the viction on the alter. Before your God (vo. 11, 78, xiv. 23, 50, etc.), in His presence; there is no statement that the feast was shared with Him, though of course the burning of the fat on the alter meant that He shared it; and there can be no doubt that this physical communion of the delty and his worshippers was the original meaning of such sacrifices (see W. R. Smith, Ret. Son. 2075). The respected in Do. the internent of any such ties was, however, to be

expected in D. And Perjoice in all that ye put your hand unto] Rejoice, so simply, xiv, 36; before februah loy God, xiv, 11, xxxii, 27 rejoice to simply, xiv, 36; before februah loy God, xiv, 11, xxxii, 27 rejoice to help februah thy God hand given thee, xxxii; in all the minimo or enterprise and reducing in all that them prefer they love the xxxii; in all the minimo or enterprise and reducing in all that them prefer they hand (ii. 7, xiv, 30, xvii, 14, xxiv, 10, xxxiii; 12, xxx.). This bxt expression is peculiar to D and synonymous with the novel of thy hand (ii. 7, xvi), 40, xvii, 14, xxiv, 10, xxxiii; 12, xxx.).

also an euclarist; a thanksgiving for the success of the year's toil.

It has been rightly emphasised (Externa and Berth.) that in so claborate a list of offenings, appearently meant to be complete, there is no mention of the sin and guilt offerings which are enforced in P; the property of the single property of the single control of the single property of the sing

<sup>1</sup> Transfer or conveyance is also possible,

with P.

unto, ye and your households, wherein the LORD thy God 8 hath blessed thee. Ye shall not do after all the things that we do here this day, every man whatsoever is right in his 9 own eyes: for ye are not as yet come to the rest and to the

communion with Jehovah and thankfulness for the material blessings

which He annually provides.

ye and your households] The family character of the worship is frequently emphasised by D and is very striking in view of his centralisation of Israel's worship. Here again there is a contrast

#### 8-12. SECOND STATEMENT OF THE LAW OF THE SINGLE SANCTUARY.

With a different preface from the first, contrasting Israel's duty after settlement to concentrate on the one aliar, not with the practice of the Canaanites, but with that of Israel itself in the time of the wanderings: for the rest substantially the same as the first statement, and like it in

the PL address, with one doubtful transition to Sg.; see on v. q. 8. Ye shall not do after all the things that we do here this day 1 That is in the time of Moses the speaker, and in Moab; but with reference (as the following vv. indicate) to the ritual practice of Israel during the whole forty years preceding their settlement. There may, however, he also here a reflection of the religious practice of the writer's own

time (Oettli). every man whatsoever is right in his own eyes] So with regard to the inultiplication of local shrines after the settlement in Canaan, Judg. xvii.

6, cp. xxi. 15. But if Israel and even Moses-toe!-worshipped, where every man thought good, what are we to make of P's account of the institution of the Tabernacle at Sinai, and of its use during the rest of the forty years and of P's rigorous and exact laws (e.g. Lev. xvii.) concerning the ritual? Obviously P either did not exist when D's law of the one altar was written, or was unknown to its author. Amos agrees with D. His challenge to Israel (v. 25), did ye bring unto Me sacrifices and offerings in the wilderness forty years? expects a negative answer in support of his polemie against all sacrifice. Jeremiah's report of a word of God (vii. 22): I spake not unto your fathers in the day that I brought them out of the land of Egypt concerning burnt offerings or sacrifices is also indicative of the non-existence of P in the 7th century; and though it continues to give expression to the essential contents of the denteronomic covenant in denteronomic language it is difficult to reconcile it with such a law as is now before us.

9. for ye are not as yet come to the rest, etc. ] The present irregular . form of Israel's worship is excused by their unsettled, wandering condition. It was then inevitable, but if so what becomes of P's central

inheritance, which the Loxo thy God giveth thee. But of when ye go over Jordan, and dwell in the land which the Loxo your God causeth you to inherit, and he giveth you rest from all your enemies round about, so that ye dwell in safety; then it shall come to pass that the place which the 11 Loxo your God shall choose to cause his name to dwell there, thither shall ye bring all that I command you; your hurst offerings, and your sacrifices, your tithes, and the heave offering of your hand, and all your choice vows which ye your unto the Loxo: and ye shall rejoice before the Loxo 12 your God, ye, and your sons, and your daughters, and your

sanctuary in the wilderness and his rigorous laws for the ritual? To the rest, i Kgs viii. 56 (deuteronomic); there the erection of the Temple marks the close of Israel's struggles fur possession of the land: cp. v. 10 b.

the inheritance which the Lours your God is about to give you.] See on iv. 21. Pheb. By and thee. But puolshly your and you should be read with Sam. and some LXX codd. (most read our God gireth you). At the same time inheritance is elsewhere used with passages in the Sig. address: If the Sig. be retained here the clause must be a later in-eriton.

10. when ye go over fordan] The usual phrase with the Pl., see on iii. 18, iv. 21; but ix. 1 is Sg.

causeth you to inherit | See on i. 38.

gively you rest, etc.] See on v. 9.

11. See on vv. 5f. where the expressions are the same or similar;

only cause his more to should there for put his vount there (s, s); all a materials command yon ( $c = r_1 + s_1$ ) for filling and permill officing are conitted; and for ever there is choice wors, Heb, all the choice y on a form y of y one words and y or y one. An independent of y is the choice y of have remote  $(c_1, k_2, s_3, s_4)$ ,  $s_3$  in which case the form of the law is under the y of y o

12. See on r. 7: cat found there is here omitted; and your household is defined as sons, demphere, boundown and houtmants, and the Levilte within your gates. So r. 18, viv. 11, 12 (+transper, plather) and the Levilte within your gates. So r. 18, viv. 11, 12 (+transper, plather) to the control of the contr

menservants, and your maidservants, and the Levite that is within your gates, forsamen as he hat no portion nor 13 inheritance with you. Take heed to thyself that thou offer 4 not thy burto offering and the that thou sees: but in the place which the LORD shall choose in one of thy tribes, there thou shall offer thy burto offerings, and they tribes there thou shall offer thy burto offerings and they

ribes, there thou shalt offer thy burnt offerings, and there is thou shalt do all that I command thee. Northstanding thou mayest kill and eat flesh within all thy gates, after all the desire of thy soul, according to the blessing of the Loron thy God which he hath, given thee: the unclean and the clean may eat thereof, as of the gazelle, and as of the hart. Only up shall not eat the blood; thou shalt pour it

#### 13—19. Third Statement of the Law of the One Sanctuary.

In the Sig address and with parases characteristic of that form. In substance much the same as the two previous statements, the \*thabim being curiously omitted from the list of offerings. \*Vr. 15.f. are clearly a later insertion. We see from this statement how a law sended in the hands of the deuteronomists to grow both in content and form. 13. \*Take head to threef! See on vi. 12.\*

burnt offerings] 'Oloth alone without s'bahim. This may have been

the original form of the law. Contrast 10.6 and 11.

In every place that thou seed? Peculiar to this statement: i.e. every sacred place used as such by the Camannies on the conspicuous positions described in 12.2. Thou seed, op. Ezek, xx. 48, when I had brought them into the land. Then they save (or looked out fory every high hill

and every thick tree and offered there, etc.

14. See on v. 5: here in one of thy tribes instead of out of all thy tribes.

13. 16. Astachistanting, ...Only Both = 11cb. rask, sucd to introduce exceptions or qualifications to the laws, to times, and to autor in the rest of the look (see on x. r.). On the contents of these verses see or no—25 which they anticipate, disturding at the same time the little connection of 17 with t. g is clear. On these grounds we will be connection of 17 with t. g is clear. On these grounds we t. 5, 16 are generally taken as a later insertion. Note, too, the Pt. y. stabil not out in 16. The Pt. does not occur in the rest of this statement of the law and may seel be due to the hand that has made this addition; as so altain the rest of the state of the rest of t

17. Direct continuation of 13. 14, completing the list of offerings to be brought to the one altar. On the contents see ou vv. 6 and

out upon the earth as water. Thou mayest not eat within 17 thy gates the tithe of thy corn, or of thy wine, or of thine oil, or the firstlings of thy herd or of thy flock, nor any of thy vows which thou vowest, nor thy freewill offerings, nor the heave offering of thine hand: but thou shalt eat them 18 before the Lore thy God shall choose, thou, and thy son, and thy daughter, and ty manserswant, and thy maidservant, and the Levite that is within thy gates: and thou shalt rejoice before the Lore the God and that thou puttest thine hand unto. Take 19 heed to thyself that thou forsake not the Levite as long as thou livest upon thy land.

11: the phraseology is however, unlike theirs, characteristic of the Sg.

passages.

Thus mayest not] Hels, lit. thou shall not be able: in the sense than must or darest not only in Sg. passages: here, xvi. 5, xvii. 15, xxii. 3, or with he. xxi. 16, xxii. 19, 20, xxiv. 4.

within thy gates Thy homestead or town of residence; used almost exclusively with Sg. (v. 14, xii. 17 f., 21, xiv. 21, 27; 18, 29, xv. 22, xvi. 11, 14, xvii. 8, xxiv. 14, xxvi. 12, xxxii. 12, cp. xxviii. 57). Only one Pl. passage has it. xii. 12.

18. See on vv. 5, 7, 12. 19. Take heed, etc.] See on vi. 12.

thou forsake not the Levite, etc. ] So xiv. 27.

#### 20-28. PRACTICAL COROLLARY TO THE LAW OF THE ONE ALTAR.

Originally among the Semites as among some other races all slaughter of doureste animals was ascramental; \*c, the I lebs and Arab, word for altra, Ili. Jaurghter place (see on r. 3). But if this law was still to could only be eliminated by the state of the state in the state of the state in the state of the state impossible to all who lived out of rach of the altracture stilled and cease to dwell in Jelovak's land, where alone sacrifice is are stilled and cease to dwell in Jelovak's land, where alone sacrifice is are stilled and cease to dwell in Jelovak's land, where alone sacrifice is the state of the state

<sup>1</sup> For the argument that this practice was due to belief in the kinship of the trible (and its god) with its animals and that in consequence these were too secred to be shain except with solean rites and in the presence and with the consent of the whole family, claim or trible, who all partook of the flesh and set apart certain portions and the blood for their god, see W. R. Smith, Ref. Sem. Lect. wit, i.s.

20 When the LORD thy God shall enlarge thy border, as he hath promised thee, and thou shalt say, I will eat flesh, because thy soul desireth to eat flesh; thou mayest eat 21 flesh, after all the desire of thy soul. If the place which the LORD thy God shall choose to put his name there be too far from thee, then thou shalt kill of thy herd and of thy flock, which the LORD hath given thee, as I have commanded thee, and thou shalt eat within thy gates, after the following verses but on two conditions, (1) that God shall have eularged Israel's territory, and (2) that the eaters do not live in the neighbourhood of the altar. On these conditions the eating of domestic animals shall be as that of game, in need of no ritual sanction (12). Only their blood must be poured on the ground (23-25). And all holy things, specially consecrated, must be brought to the one altar, and the 'oloth and the blood of the s'bahim put upon it (26 f.). The section closes with a general injunction of obedience (28).-There appears no reason to doubt the unity of this supplement to the law of the one sanctuary (apart from small, possibly editorial, insertious). It is throughout in the Sg. address, and logical in its arrangement. The return to the keynote of the law is natural. Note the religious advance which it involves. By separating the enjoyment of animal

animals to be poured on the ground), the law cut off the ancient primitive supersitions of the physical kinship of a tribe and their god with their animals, and rendered less possible the animal idolatry which these engendered.

30. thatle enlarge thy border] So xix. 8, also Ex. xxxiv. 24, probably editorial.

food from religious rites (as well as by directing the blood of the

at he hath promised thee] Helt. has said. To regard this as an editorial addition, on the ground that it anticipates 21 h (Steuern., Berth.), is precarious. The spirit of such a promise is in several previous passages: e.g. i. 21.

thy soul desireth. On the soul as sent of the appetite see xiv. 26, xxiv. 15; Gen. xxvil. 9; Pro. xxvii. 7. The frankness of this statement

is noteworthy.

ofter all the (or avary) desire of thy soul) The utmost freedom is granted. But the whole passage implies that flesh was eaten only seldom in early Israel, which is confirmed by Nathan's parable and the Book of Ruth (W. R. Smith, OT/C<sup>2</sup>, 249 s.).

21. [f] Rather, Because.

the place which the LORD thy God shall choose, etc.] See on v. 5. thon shall kill? The same vh. as is used of sacrifice but here in a non-ritual sense.

as I have commanded thee] Can only refer to v. 15 and if that, as we have seen probable, is a later insertion, this must be of the same character (Steuern., Bertholet).

all the desire of thy soul. Even as the gazelle and as the 22 hart is eaten, so thou shalt eat thereof: the unclean and the clean shall eat thereof alike. Only be sure that thou 23 eat not the blood: for the blood is the life; and thou shalt

within thy gutes | See on v. 17.

22. Even as the gazelle and as the hart is eaten | Gazelle, 11ch. S'bi, and Ar. saby or thobby (Doughty, Ar. Des. 11, 468) are both properly the gazella Dervas, a horned animal about the size of a rocbuck, but more graceful, numerous in Arabia and Syria; but as saby was used as the more general term for ghazil or gazelle (Lane), so s'bi probably covered several species of gazelle and antelope. Hart, [leb. ayyal, from 'ul to precede, as leader of the herd, perhaps the fallow deer cervus dama; but Ar. 'iyyal is mountain-goat (Lane). The two names occurring together here, v. 15, xiv. 5, xv. 22, are not to be taken specifically, but generally of many kinds of gazelle, antelope and deer eaten by Israel and the Arabs, but not allowed for sacrifice (except in certain cases among the Arabs, Wellh, Reste d. Arab. Heid. 112). The reason was that wild animals taken in hunting were not akin to man, and therefore needed not to be eaten sacramentally. Hence the following clause-

unclean and clean shall eat thereof alike! Both adi, used also in physical and ethical sense, here mean ritually unclean and clean: the injunction is found elsewhere in D, v. 15, xv. 22, and in P. Sam., LXX add among thee. Alike, Heb. together, the one as well as the other. so than shalt eat thereof i.e. of domestic animals; out of reach of

the sanctuary they may be slain and eaten without rites. What freedom the deuteronomic law thus effected, in contrast to putty and endarrassing scrupulousness engendered by the legislation of P and its elaboration in later Judaism, can be appreciated only by a study of the N. T. texts on the question of means. Co. Acts x. 15, what God hath cleansed make not thou common; 1 Cor. x. 25, xi. 20 ft.; Rom. xiv. 20;

1 Tim. iv. 4. and for the expression of a still higher principle Matt. xv. 11. 23. Only | Heb. rak, see on x, 15, and xii, 15, 16.

be sure! Lit, be firm or strong; usually in D with another yerb-

be strong and courageous; see on i. 38, iii. 28. that then eat not the blood] That there was at once a strong temp-

tation to partake of the blood and from the carliest times a national conscience against doing so, is seen in 1 Sa, xiv. 32 ff., according to which the people flew upon the spoil-sheep, oxen and calves-and slew them on the ground, without aliar or riles, and ate them with the blood .... So the people sin against Jehovak in that they eat with the blood, and he said, Ye have transgressed. For a similar conscience, and violation of ii, among the Ambs, see Doughty, Ar. Des. tt. 238.

for the blood is the life. The identification of blood and life was a matter of ordinary observation; as the one ebbed so did the other24 not eat the life with the flesh. Thou shalt not eat it : thou 25 shalt pour it out upon the earth as water. Thou shalt not eat it; that it may go well with thee, and with thy children after thee, when thou shalt do that which is right in the eyes 26 of the LORD. Only thy holy things which thou hast, and thy vows, thou shalt take, and go unto the place which the 27 LORD shall choose: and thou shalt offer thy burnt offerings, the flesh and the blood, upon the altar of the LORD thy God; and the blood of thy sacrifices shall be poured out upon the altar of the LORD thy God, and thou shalt eat the 28 flesh. Observe and hear all these words which I command

As life, the blood belonged to the Deity. Cp. P (Gen. ix. 4; Lev. xvii. 11, 14), in which, however, the belief was strengthened by the stress that P lays on the expiatory value of sacrifice. Other Semitic peoples shared the same belief. 'In all Arabian sacrifices, except the holocaust...the godward side of the ritual is sunned up in the skedding of the victim's blood, so that it flows over the sacred symbol, or gathers in a pit (chabghab) at the foot of the altar idol ... What enters the pit is held to be conveyed to the deity' (W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 321). The same authority points out that the practice existed also in some Syrian sanctuaries. That it was still older than the Semites is proved by Mr R. A. S. Macalister's discovery of the neolithic sanctuary at Gezer. Note, however, that D (unlike P) sets no atoning value on the shedding of the blood or life, nor any ritual significance on the slaughter of animals apart from the one altar, but simply states-

thee, that it may go well with thee, and with thy children

24. Thou shalt not eat it; thou shalt pour it out upon the earth as 26, 27. The return to the fact that solemn sacrifices shall nevertheless

water It shall have no other significance than that !

be made at the one altar is natural. On hely things co. No. v. of .. xviii, 10. On burnt offerings which, of course, included the blood, and ou sacrifices see ou v. 6. Of both the blood had a religious significance. 26. A closing injunction to keep the whole law of the One Sanctuary.

Observe and hear] See on vi. 3, vii. 12. that it may go well with thee | iv. 40.

29-31. Transition to the Laws in xiii. (and those in xvi. 21-xvii. 7h.

When settled in W. Palestine Israel shall not inquire into the manner of the worship of the local deities, and so be entired to initiate it in the worship of their own God, for the Canaanites in their worship practise every abunitation to Jehovah; they even burn their children after thee for ever, when thou doest that which is good and right in the eyes of the LORD thy God.

When the Lord by God shall cut off the nations from 20 before thee, whither thou goest in to possess them, and thou possesses them, and dwellest in their land; take heed to 30 thyself that thou be not ensnared 'to follow them, after that they be destroyed from before thee; and that thou inquire not after their gods, saying, How do these nations serve their gods? 'even so will I do likewise. Thou shalt not do 31

1 Heb. after them. 2 Or, that I also way do likewise

to the gods.-Here we meet one of the greater difficulties raised by the order of the laws in the code. For unless this short passage be merely one of the many exhortations, which, like a chorus, hreak in upon both the narratives and the laws of D, it is meant as an introduction to the laws against seducers to idolatry, which follow in ch. xiii. Yet, as such, it is abrupt and incomplete; n. 31 warns against every abomination to febovah, and then, instead of a list of those alominations, gives only one. Now others are given in xvi. 21xvii. 7; and that passage is clearly out of place where it stands, between laws relating to judicial authorities and procedure. The suggestion has therefore been made (first by Dillmann, ep. Driver on xvi. 21 and Bertholet on xii. 20 and Marti in Kantzsch's Heil. Schrift des A.T.) that xvi. 21-xvii. 7 originally stood between xii. 20-31 and xiii. 2 ff. There is much in favour of this suggestion; svi. 21-xvii. 7 naturally continues xii. 29-31 and has phrases in common with this (which thy God hateth and abomination), while its second part commanding the punishment of idolatrous Israelites as naturally leads up to the three laws in xiii. 2 ff. (xiii. 1 ff.). On the relation to xii, 20-31 of xviii, 0-12, also on the sacrifice of children. see on the latter passage. A further difficulty is xii. 32 (xiii. 1), see the note on it.

When the LORD thy God shall cut off the nations] So xix. 1
 denter. Josh. xxiii. 4f.); beyond this the verses differ.
 whither thou goest in to disposeess them] Characteristic of the Sg.

passages, cp. ix. 5; xix. 1 has whose land the LORD thy God is about to give thee.

and then shalt have dispossessed them ] So xix. 1: R.V. succeedest

them.
and dwellest in their land | xix. 1, their cities.

30. take heed to thyself ] See vi. 12.

ensuared to follow them] snared away after them; cp. vii. 16, 25. inquire not after] See on seck, v. s. How do these nations serve, etc.] Rather How used these nations to

worship.
so will I do, I also or in my turn] The lighter form of the pronoun,

so unto the LORD thy God: for every abomination to the LORD, which he hateth, have they done unto their gods; for even their sons and their daughters do they burn in the fire to their gods.

"mi, used in the Song xxxii, and throughout P, is found in D (which elsewhere uses the heavier form "ainkip") only here and xxix. 5; and is to the explained by the common O.T. msage of preferring "m" when the

namoun is emphyed in entithasis as here.

The whole verse is true to the religious situation in which Israel found themselves after settlement in Ganam. They came under be lebef, prevalent in antiquity, that not only most the gods of a land be propriated by its invaders, but that worship mast be offered only after the local minhput or ritual (t Sa. xxxi. 19; 2 Kgs xvii. 32 ft). So you of their own God, with the result of confusing Him with the gods of the land.

for even their sous and their daughters do they burn! or used to hunt. That the Sentites (as well as other nations necess) sacrificed children has been anaply proved. Mesha of Moah, hard pressed by Israel, slew his first-horn to Kennols (15 Kg. iii. 27) just as we know, through the Greeks (Dlod. Sic. XX. 14, Forph. agnot Earsel. Prage, Erwag, 15: 64, 4) was the practice of Phoeniciars and Carthagains in times of most dual danger or lissaser. On human sacrifices among them, the Syrians, and the Charatter that the work of the sacrifices of thilders by shappler and hirning is conclusive, both from the O.T. tests, and recent discoveries:

At Green count the first of the margitable for an axi, a) and born the what is not the High Pice, the earth was discovered in the arranged countering in which the declares of young inflation were barded. These inflates were more more than a weak to be a similar to the control of the pice of th

periods of calamity. That even among the Canasnites there was a revolt from it is proved by Mr. Macalister's discovery  $(\rho_k, \epsilon lt, 170.L, PEFQ, 1903, 8.f)$ , in some strata of the pre-t-vaelite-period, of lamps and bowls buried with the jars instead of children and as if in subalitation for these.

The practice by Israel of sacrificing children after the same fashion and from the same motives is proved by the narratives and laws of the Old Testament as well as by the prophets:—

The story, which is found in E. Gen. axii., that the divine word bade Abraham sacrifice Isaac and then revealed a substitute in the ram, is evidence that at one time among the Hebrews the belief had prevailed in the duty of futhers to slay their children, if required, as proof of their fidelity to their God, but that by His mercy a substitute was allowed. This is confitmed by the form of the law in J, Ex, xlti, 12. Though this sanctions the redemption of the firstborn son by an animal, the way in which it opens-their shall cause to pass over unto Jehovah all that openeth the roomb and every firstling which then hast that cometh of a beast-indicates that the original principle, on which Israel acted, was that the firstborn of men, equally with those of animals, were due in the cleary by sacrifice. In Judah in the 7th century the popular belief was that Jehovah Himself had given a law olthging the burning of children, for Jeresniah (or a deuteronomic writer whose words have been here placed among his prophecies) emphatically denies the existence of such a law: rivin h A commanded not, writher on me it into my mind (vil. 31, xix. 5) On the other hand kzekiel supports the opinion that I start's God had given such a law and explains that this was in order to punish the second generation in the wilderness, xx. 25; movemen I gave then also statutes not good and judgements whereby they should not live, and I polluted them in their own sifts, in that they coused to pass through (se, the live) at that openets the words, that I might make them should exert (see A. B. Invision's note on this passage in Euchief in this series).

There was therefore a memory in Israel that the fathers of the race had shared the general Semitic conscience that the sacrifice of children was sanctioned or even expressly commanded by Gul, but that from an early time lie had negnitted the substitution of an animal, which permission, I tells us, was expressly dictated by Moses at the Exodus. In the early centuries after the settlement there are no instances of child-sacrifice in Israel except in the story of Jephthah (and more cloudsfully in that of Hiel, the re-builder of Lerichol. And the cases which reent later are all explicable by the lad influence of the neighbouring heathen, and the panic produced by national disaster, either actual or threatened. So in the case of Ahaz (2 Ki, xvi. 4), the historical character of which there is no reason to doubt (see as against Moore, E.B. art, 'Molech' the present writer's Terusaleits. tt. 127, 264); and so with the recrudescence of the practice in the th century under Manasseh, and the use of the horrible Topheth or Tephath in the valley of Hinnom (Jer. vii. 31, xix. 5; 'Mi. vi. 6 f.; Ezek. xvi. 21, xx. 18 ff., xxiii. 39). The present Hebrew text of Jer. says that these sacrifices were offered to 'Molech,' but 'there are grounds for helieving that this was a divine title. Melek or King, rather than a name; and that the awful despot who demanded such a propitiation was regarded by the Jews as none other than their own God! (ferupilem, 11, 264). This is clear, as we have seen above, from the passages in Jeremiah and Ezekiel. And the reason is plain why D, a work of the 7th century, should alone of all Israel's law-books he

- 32 What thing soever I command you, that shall ye observe to do; thou shalt not add thereto, nor diminish from it.
- 13 If there arise in the midst of thee a prophet, or a dreamer

ardent, equally with the great prophets of the time, in repudiating child-sacrifice.

52. (xiii. i to Heb.) is remarkable here; and would seem more in place at the beginning of the section before 2p. The text is not certain LXX A harmonises to Sg. throughout, but other versions confirm to Heb., though variously (LXX B you and the rest Sg., but Sam. thee and the rest Pl.) in a change of address. This and the use of common formulas mark the verse as editorial. It may have been thought necessary, after the removal from here of xvi. 21—xvii. 7 (see above), as an introduction to xiii. If, (xiii. 2 of in Heb.).

command you] Sam., LXX add to-day. observe to do. See on v. r.

thou shall not add, etc.] See on iv. 2.

Cit. XIII. 1-18 (2-19 in Heb.). THEE LAWS AGAINST THE WORSHIP OF OTHER GODS.

The first is against the prophet, who, while able to give a sign or wonder, shall say, let us go after other gods; he is only God's test to prove Israel; hearken not to him but walk after Jehovah; as for the prophet, put him to death (1-5). The second is against the relative or friend who may similarly entice; consent not neither nity. nor spare him, but kill him by stoning (6-11). The third is against any city, drawn away by sons of Belial, to wor-hip other gods; in such a case inquisition shall be made, and if the thing is certain the inhabitants shall be slain and the city and its contents levoted (12-18). - The whole piece is a unity (with few editorial additions); but we have seen that it was originally preceded and led up to by xvi. 21-xvii. 7. Like this it is throughout in the Sg., except for v. 4, which has other signs of being an editorial addition. The variations in the use of the same formulas are interesting (e.g. vv. 1, 6, 13): even a law-giver was not bound to exact repetition! The rewler will keep in mind that in the Hebrew text the verses are numbered one later than in the English.

# 1-6 (2-6 in Heb.). Against the Prophet of Other Gods.

1. If there arise in the midst of thee] So xix. 13 and 16 also Sg. Cp. the synonymous if there be found in the midst of the xviii. 2, xviii. 10, xxi. ii, xxiii. 22, xxiv. 7. Steern, takes this as characteristics of the Pl. document, but like the other it occurs with the Sg. address; and we have seen that xviii. 2 may originally have belonged to the same section we xiiii. 1. No conclusion, therefore, can-be drafted.

a prophet, or a dreamer of dreams] In early Israel regarded as

of dreams, and he give thee a sign or a wonder, and the sign 2 or the wonder come to pass, whereof he spake unto thee, saying, Let us go after other gods, which thou hast not known, and let us serve them; thou shalt not hearken unto 3 the words of that prophet, or unto that dreamer of thearms:

identical; cg. the frequency in E of dreams as revelations, c.g. Genxa 3 to Akinendecht, xxvii. to 16ff, xxvii. to 16 pacob, and the oracle quoted in E, Nu. xii. 6: 1 if there be a persphet among you... I will speak of him through dreams. In later times the dream was discarded by the distinguished from the true word of God: the prophet that had a dream, let him tell a dream; and he that had no two the whole I that had a proper that the street of the street of the whole I that the street any word faithfully. What is the street to the wheat I that they are the street of the street of the street of the street of the street coverest, dreams, your dream that y the down Lay prophety by the in my name, I have not sent them; I zech, x. 2. These dreams of the false prophets would that environced of an and predicted disaster. D communications of felowide (v. 4) else, and oppose to his dream the

and he give like a sign or a wonder] or portent (see on iv, 34), not necessarily what we narrowly eall numical (stared making no distinct to the source of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the out the spox when he shoes received as his credentials, Ex. (v. 2-1), and Aaron wrought before Phanoh, vil. 9, P, nor like the Plagues brought upon Egypt 1 but (as is clear from the seat werse) predictuous of something that shall happen in the future like the signs foretald by Samuelto Soul (1 Sam. x. 1 ——6).

2. come to pass) Hebrew come in, arrive (1 Sam. x. 7, 9). Such a fulfilment of the sign is not to be any credential of the prophet's teaching, if he say-

Let us go after other gods] vi. 14 (q.v.), xi. 28, xxviii. 14, all 11.; viii. 19, Sg.; with or without the addition and serve, i.e. worship, them

as here. Cp. Jer. xxv. 6 (deuteron. ?).
which then hast not known] zw. 6, 13, 1, 28, xxviii. 64, cp. viii. 3.

3. This refusal to recognise miracle as necessarily a proof of the truth of a propher's doctrine is very striking. It is use in lianuouy with the eather helief in Isrnel, expressed in JE and no characteristic of the Semitic genius (ep. the unwillingness of the heatten Arabs to receive a Addin's or propher's judgement out an ethical question except on the performance of some worder, Wellmassen, Actae der Arabs to accept the Biblical miracles) that it governed both the official and the popular mind in Jesny to the very end: the few require a sign, 1 Cor. i. 22; cp. John vi. 30 and our Lord's words Mt. xii. 38.1; Mk viii. 11. f. Lu. xi. 32. F but it is in harmony with the teaching.

DEUTERONOMY

Digitizen 1/ Google

12

for the Lokn your God proveth you, to know whether ye love the Lokn your God with all your heart and with all 4 your soul. Ye shall walk after the Lokn your God, and fear him, and keep his commandments, and obey his voice, 3 and ye shall serve him, and cleave unto him. And that prophet, or that dreamer of dreams, shall be put to death; because he hath spoken 'rebellion against the Lokn your God, which brought you out of the land of Egypt, and redoemed thee out of the house of bondage, to draw thee

# <sup>3</sup> Heb. turning aride. of the prophets, who, except in the case of Isaiah, condescending to

the superstitious Ahaz (vii. 10), commend their truth to Israel solely upon its spiritual strength, or if they add proofs, find these in natural phenomena (the success or failure of harvests, plaques and the like) or in the events of history. But see further on xviii. 21 f.

proveth you] puttern to the proof or test. See on iv. 34: cp. viii. 2, 16.

to know] See on vii. 9, viii. 2.
whether ve love] Stronger!—whether it be that we love.

4. An accumulation of the frequent deuteron, phrases (walk after =

4. An accumulation of the frequent decleron, phrases (seal a gifter sexts, 16): Any common diments: [v. s+1s] times in Dent, both in Sg. and Fl., either alone or with lows, keep and four toky list notice, xxiii, 10, xxx. 2, 8, 20; towership and closurs; see on x. 20, which adds morar by this notice, xxx. 20, but they are arranged with adds morar by this notice, xxx. 20, but they are arranged with adds morar by this notice, xxx. 20, but they are arranged with adds morar by this notice, xxx. 20, xxx. 20, but they are arranged with bealthy see, and Him shall ye worship healthy see, and Him shall ye worship to healthy see, and Him shall ye worship and to Him shall ye closur, it is a difficult question whether v. 4 breaking in with the I'l. address is colloted; it has accumulated phrases the continuation of the with 3, yet the emphatic order is original and is continued into v. with 3, yet the emphatic order is original and is continued into v.

 Aud that prophet, etc.] Again emphatic, the usual Hebrew syntax being changed: bat as for that prophet, etc. he—

shall be put to death) The formal sentence, so xvii. 6, xxiv. 16 (cp. xxi. 22) and in E, Ex. xxi. 12, 15, 17, xxii. 19. The manner of

(cp. No. 24) and in C., Ex. 22, 12, 12, 12, 12, 11 in manner of death is not enjoined as in the next two laws.

because he hath spoken rebellion against, etc.) Turning aside, perversion or amoutany, also viv. 16. The corresponding web, is frequently

version or apostasy; also xix. 16. The corresponding verb is frequent in Dent. vii. 4, ix. 12, 16, xi. 16, 26, xxi. 15, xxxi. 29; with the addition, neither to the right hand nor to the left, v. 29, xvii. 11, 20, xxviii. 14, 40, ii. 27, iv. 9, xxii. 17, 20. the Loon thy God which brought thee] So Sann and LXX. The

The Lord any God march oronger along So Sam, and LAA. The Hebrew your and you are due to the attraction of the Pl. of v. 4redeemed thee out of the house of bongmen] See v. 6, vi. 12, vii. 8. aside out of the way which the LORD thy God commanded thee to walk in. So shalt thou put away the evil from the midst of thee.

If thy brother, the son of thy mother, or thy son, of thy 6 daughter, or the wife of thy bosom, or thy friend, which is as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying. Let us go and serve other gods, which thou hast not known, thou, nor thy fathers; of the gods of the peoples which are round?

to draw thee aside] See on iv. 19.

So shall then put many the entil Too weak! Rather harm out or common, as dung is burned, it Kgs six, to. The phrase either with from thy midds, here, xvii. 7, xix, 19, xxis, 19 (insucent blood), 21, xxii. 21, 24, xxiv. 7, or with from Irand xvii. 12, xix, 13 (insucent blood), xxii. 22, occurs only with the Sg, address. It is always at the end of a law and refers to the punblement of the law-breaker (but see for another application of it xxii. 13, 14), and except in xix. 19 always of capital punblishment.

This verse 'shows how the people is already invested with a spiritual character. It has to act as a spiritual community (cp. xvii. 4 ff.) which sits in judgement upon religious seducers, and the means of judgement is as radical as possible. Israel ought to be a community of saints'

(Bertholet).

#### 6—11 (7—12 in Heb.). Against Israelius, who entice to Strange Gods.

A subtler source of seduction to idolatry may be found in one's own kith and kin: one of the many proofs of D's sympathy with, and understanding of, the influences of family life.

6. If thy brother, the son of thy mother] With Sau. and LXX, after brother add the son of thy father or; so that both full brother

and half-brother are included.

or thy son, or thy daughter] Completing the blood relations (very significantly and characteristically father and mother are not mentioned as possible sources of temptation) only after whom we come to—
or the voit of thy besom! xxviii. 44, 56, cm. 'Mi.' vii. 51 a tribute (co.

or thy friend, which is as thine own sout j or self. I Sam. xviii. 1, 3
xx. 17.

cutice] or allure, in D only here.

secretly] In contrast to the public enticements of the prophet. saying, Let us go, etc.] See on v. 2.

of the gods of the peoples which are round about you] The Pl.
you (confirmed by LXX) shows that the words which are round-about
you are merely an editorial echo of vi. 14, and ought to be deleted;

12-2

Digitized by Google

about you, nigh unto thee, or far off from thee, from the one end of the earth we unto the other end of the earth; 8 thou shalt not consent unto him, nor hearken unto him; neither shall thine eye pity him, neither shalt thou spare, 9 neither shalt thou conceal him; but thou shalt surely kill him; thine hand shall be first upon him to put him to death; oan ad afterwards the hand of all the people. And thou shalt stone him with stones, that he die; because he hath sought to draw the away from the Lorac thy God, which brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage 10 And all I stane shall be are, and fear, and shall do no more

they are unnecessary and awkward with the following nigh unto

or far off from thes, etc.] By the 8th and 7th centuries (under Ahaz and Manasseh) the evil influence of eults of peoples at a distance had been added to those of the Canaanites, prevalent in the previous centuries.

8. consent] be willing, cp. i. 26, neither shall thine eye pity him] vii. 16, Nix. 13, 21, XXV. 12.

any such wickedness as this is in the midst of thee.

spare] In D only here.
conceal] That is by silence (Pss. xxxii, 5, xl. r1): cp. secretly, v. 6.

9. than that surely kill kine] No such previous procedure as in with 4 is necessary in this case, for the persons commanded to slay are themselves witnesses of the fact. Note, however, that LXX has here, thou that report or denounce him (darye) Okas wherey Okas when yellow the comment of the Ilehren text.
1 lehren text.
1 lehren text.

thine hand shall be first upon him] As that of the witness of his crime and also because the family responsibility precedes that of the people. But—

afterwards the hand of all the people] For throughout D the people

is the ultimate judiciary; see on i. 13, avi. 18.

10. time him unife istance] Also in xvii. § xvii. 21, xxii. 21, 24; cp. Josh, vii. 25. This form of english punishment was natural beare of the ready supply of stones on the svii of Palestine, became it was a torm in which all the people responsible for its execution could share, and also because of the belief that by covering the curpses the spirits of the dead were also finally laid to rest. For a curium sase of the stoning of women who had reviled (or blavphemed) the sun see Musil, Ethan. Ber. 31.

to draw thee away | See on v. 5. house of bondmen | Sec on v. 5.

11. ail Israel] D's usual phrase for the people: see on iv. 44.

Digitized by Google

If thou shalt hear tell 'concerning one of thy cities, which 12 the LORD thy God giveth thee to dwell there, saying, Certain base fellows are gone out from the midst of thee, 13

Or, in 2 Heb. sons of worthlessness.

shall hear, and fear] xvil. 13, xix. 20, xxi. 21.

do no more! Sam., LXX add till or again.

There is no more reason for taking this werse as secondary (Steuern.) than for taking as such the corresponding clause in v, f (q,v).

12—18 (13—19 in Heb.). Against a City seduced to Other Gods.

12. In one of the cities] So Hebrew. R. V. concerning is hardly possible (though ep. P. xxii. 12). As the words define not the place where the report has been heard, but the subject of the report, the guilty either the report has been heard, but the subject of the report, the guilty either the report of the report, the guilty either the report of the report of

cities] or forms; these social foans in Israel are much inver frequent in D than traites which under the settled conditions of the people towns gradually displaced; nearly always (exception xix. 1, xx. 6, two forms of the conditions) of the people towns gradually displaced; nearly always (exception xix. 1, xx. 1, xx. 1) and the cities of religion, xix. 1 ft, yield reminful responsibility for municing committed near them), 19 ft, xxii. 15 ft, x4, xx. 8, t there representatives being their albert. The ather phrase multitude flag gates is used of judiciary matters in xxii. 18, xxii. 2, 8 (atherwise it is reserved and officers are to be exheren constitute to the x-feet, xxii. 18 (for xibe.

see also xii, 5, 14).
giveth] is about to give.

33. Certain bas follown] Helven, men, mus of beind usually but doubtfully laked as merddearnes; ex if a camponal = ne net, people, multing fellows, Scot. "ue'e-sia-week." In early writings of the chertist observations; fellows, Scot. "ue'e-sia-week." In early writings of the chertist observation of the chertist o

are gone end! The vb. is used of going forth of set purpose to do onething [1904], its St: Sam. xxiii.  $\pm 1_0$  r, along with coming (u, o) all kinds of business (xxviii.  $\delta_1$  xxxi.  $\epsilon_2$ ). So here it might just necau deliberately and (of coarse) in public topp,  $exrety_1$ , v.  $\delta(i)$  but the addition from the unit of the convers the suggestion of a postary from 1 years: they want out from us that they wave not g in  $\xi$  in  $\xi$  in  $\xi$ . 10 in  $\xi$ . 10.

and have drawn away the inhabitants of their city, saying, Let us go and serve other gods, which ye have not know, 14 then shalt thou inquire, and make search, and ask diligently; and, behold, if it be truth, and the thing certain, that such 15 abomination is wrought in the midst of thee; thou shalt surely smite the inhabitants of that city with the edge of the sword, <sup>1</sup>destroying it utterly, and all that is therein and the locatile thereof, with the edge of the sword. And thous had gather all the spoil for it into the midst of the street thereof, and shalt burn with fire the city, and all the spoil thereof \*every whit, unto the Loxii thy God; and it shall be an 17 shan for ever; it shall not be built assain. And there shall

<sup>1</sup> Heb, devoting it. <sup>2</sup> Oτ, as a whole burnt offering <sup>2</sup> Oτ, mound Heb, tel.

drawn away] v. 5: draw thee aside (q.v.). Let us go and worship, etc.] See vv. 2, 6.

14. injurier] See xi. 14, xii. 30, xvii. 4, 9, xix. 18; in this sense or a similar darach is used elsewhere in the Feut, only in Lev. x. 16. Make search, habre, investigate, in D only here; elsewhere of exploring a land and of examining a case (Job xxix. 10). Ask diligently, well, or theroughly; ask, thad a, like darash, to make inquest.

and the thing certain] or the etory established or substantiated

(xvii. 4), or the case well-founded. aboutination See on vii. 25.

15. thou shalt surely | i.e. the whole nation.

with the edge of Hebrew, mouth of.
devoing or putting it to the ban or herem.
On this see it 34: it is the hardest form of the herem which is here

pronounced upon an apostate city of Israel.

and the cattle, etc.] Not in LXX; probably a later addition to the law and if so illustrative of the case with which its varied forms and

degrees of stringency (see on ii. 34) arose (but see Driver's note here).

18. street) brand or open place. So far as they have been unearthed the streets of ancient Cananite towns were as narrow as
those of the villages of modern Palestine. But there was always a
broad place, just inside the gate, where local courts and consultations
were held.

every whit] a whole offering, holocaust. Hebrew halil usually synonymous with 'olah (see xii. 6), but here used of the herem; so in Judg. xx. 40 of a city set on fire and its smoke: the whole offering of the eity went up to heaven.

an heap) or mound. Heb., as Ar., tel (tell), in both languages also applied to the mounds on which living cities stand, their dead selves;

cleave nought of the devoted thing to thine hand: that the LORD may turn from the fierceness of his anger, and shew thee mercy, and have compassion upon thee, and multiply thee, as he hath swom unto thy fathers; when thou shalt is hearken to the voice of the LORD thy God, to keep all his commandments which I command thee this day, to do that which is right in the eyes of the LORD thy God.

the remains of their previous gradual decay or overthrow; all the cities standing on their mounds (Tosh, xi, 13, etc.).

17. devoted thing] The thing banned, as well as the banning, was called herem. See on ii. 24. vii. 26. and cp. losh. vi. 18.

called herem. See on it. 34, vii. 20, and cp. Josh. vi. 18.

turn from the hereeness of his anger] So Josh. vii. 26, after the herem
was fulfilled on Achan.

and shew thee mercy, etc.] Jer. xlii. 12.

multiply the! Again this promise! i. 10, vi. 3 (q.v.), vii. 13, etc.

18. The usual condition attached to promises in Deut.: possibly editorial.

right] Sam., LXX add and good.

Cit. XIV. 1-21. INSERTED LAWS ON RITES FOR THE DEAD, FOODS CLEAN AND UNCLEAN, ETC.

Between two laws, which forbid to Israel, as holy to Jehovah, certain rites of mourning for the dead, xiv. 1 f., and the eating of what has died a natural death (with an appendix against seething a kid in its mother's ptilk), v. 21-both of which contain deuteronomic phrases-there lies a passage, vv. 3-20, on clean and unclean foods, in which the language is not denteronomic, but has phrases neculiar to P. The first law against the mourning customs cannot be earlier than the end of the 7th century when these austoms were not only practised in Israel but regarded as sanctioned. Further there are no parallels to these laws in IE, except to v. 21, but there are parallels to all the rest in the late legislation of the (or H): Lev. xi. 2-23, xx. 25. Again the form of address is, unlike the laws in xiii, and xiv. 22 ff., throughout in the Pl., save only for the denteronomic phrases in vv. 2, 3, and 21. All this is reasonable ground for taking the whole section as a later (exilic or post-exilic) addition to the code of D (with the possible exceptions of vv. 3, 21 which may be fragments of the original D). Note that there is no reference to such laws in the reforms of Josiah. The relations of this section to its parallel in Lev. xi. 2-23 are uncertain. Lev. does not contain the list of clean beasts which our form of the law gives, v. 4, but otherwise is more elaborate and detailed. Probably neither is derived from the other, but both are developments from a common origin. Further the I.XX version of our law varies from the Heb. Altogether then we have here another instance of the currency of various editions of the same law, tending to grow in different ways,

14 Ye are the children of the LORD your God; ye shall not cut vourselves, nor make any baldness between your eyes

### 1f. Against Certain Rites for the Dead.

No parallel in IE; but one in H, Lev. xix, 28 a.

1. Sons are ye to Jehovah your God The order of the EVV. misses the emphasis. Note not merely the change to the II, address but its cause, the conception of individual Israelites as the sons of Jehovah: not elsewhere in D. In the discourses in D Israel, the nation, is as the son of Jehovah, i, 31, viii, 5 and so more definitely in J, Ex. iv. 22 f., Hosea xi. 1, and Jeremiah xxxi. 20. The transition from this conception to the statement of Jehovah's fatherhood of Israelites as individuals was natural; the two conceptions occur together in the Song xxxii. 5, 6 and in Hosea and Jeremiah. The latter is already found in the 8th century, Ho. i. 10, Isai. i. 2. But as we advance through the prophecies of Jeremiah and Ezekiel, with their strong individualism, to the exilic and post-exilic writings we find a great increase of references to Israelites as the sons of lehovah. Jer. iii. 14, 19, 22, iv. 22, Ezek. (ii. 4?), xx. 21, 'tsni.' lxiii. 8, 16, lxiv. 8 (cp. lvii. 4), Mal. ii. 10, Deut. xxxii. 5, Pss. lxxiii. 15, lxxxii. 6, This is contemporary with the breaking up of the Jewish state and the destruction of the national worship. While then it is clear that une cannot take sons of Ichovah in this law as by itself proof of an exilic or post-exilic date, we can say that if it does not add to, it at least agrees with, the evidence in that ilirection adduced in the note below.

Many ancient nations believed in their descent from gods or demigods; and among them the Semitic peoples, e.g. the Mozbites are called sons and daughters of Kemosh, Num, xxi, 20. But the relation was conceived physically. In the O.T. God's fatherhood and Israel's sonship are historical and ethical, based not on physical generation, but on an act of love on God's part, on His choice or adoption (cp. Rom, ix. 4) of the people, and on His deliverance of them from Egypt ; until it is carried out by His providence of love and mural chastisement (see the references above and cp. Amos iii.), which is numbers more tenderly described than in this Book. But when all the O.T. references to God as the Father whether of Israel or Israelites and to them as His children have been reckoned up, how few are they in comparison to the number of times that sons, and children, of God occur in the N.T. God hath sent forth the Spirit of His Son into our hearts crying Abba Father (Gal. iv. 6); icint heirs with Christ

(Roin. viii. 17).

ve shall not cut or gash vourselves | So of the priests of Ba'al (1 Kgs xviii, 28) and in Ar. one form of the vb. is used of nunitations of animals, Lev. xix. 28: you shall put no incision on your flesh (co. xxi. 4) nor any tattooing upon you.

nor act a baldness between your eyes] I.ev. xxi. 5; not make a baldness on their head neither shave off the corner of their heard.

for the dead. For thou art an holy people unto the LORD 2 thy God, and the LORD hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people unto himself, 'above all peoples that are upon the face of the earth.

# 1 Or, out of

for the dead! That these customs were not practised merely from excess of grief, our only as testifying to the continuance of the mourner's islood-covenant with the tload, but also in acknowledgement of the hilvinity of the latter and as the mourner's consecution to them, is implied in the reason given in v. z for laxed's abstention from such to the continuation of the law among those against the worship of strange gods. Moreover fer, xvi, z describes a communion feat a part of the same ries. May not also the choice of the expression was any part of the same ries. May not also the choice of the expression was any part of the same ries. May not also the choice of the expression was any part of the same ries. May not also the choice of the expression was any part of the same ries. May not also the choice of the expression was any part of the same ries. May not also the choice of the expression was any part of the same time.

For the prevolence, among many ancient nations, particularly the Semile, is well as among notion project, of three canness of gashings the field and subjectly gast of a mong notion project, of three canness of gashings the field and subject to the subject of t

Unknown to Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and to those Shechem Jews who, in obsolvence to the central law of D, brought their offerings to the Temple, this law cannot have formed part of the original code of D<sub>1</sub> but is an exilic or post-exilic addition.

Por thou art an hely people, etc.] Almost exactly as vii. 6 (q.v.).
 Nite also the Sg. address in contrast to the l'L of the context. This v. is, therefore, probably an addition by the hand which inserted these later laws in the code of D.

# 3-20. OF CLEAN AND UNCLEAN BEASTS, FISHES AND BIRDS.

Paralleled with elaborations in II, Lev. xi. x=23 (see introductory note above p. 183; and cp. the comparative table in Driver's Dent. 137 fl.; the chief similarities and differences are noted in the notes below), and very summarily also in Lev. xx. 25, II: ye thall toparate between clean beatt and nucleon, and between clean food and clean.

Thou shalt not eat any abominable thing. These are the beasts which ye shall eat: the ox, the sheep, and the goat, 5 the hart, and the gazelle, and the roebuck, and the wild

and shall not render your souls detersable (ep. vii. 56, xi. 31, xii. 11) by botter of polio  $\sigma$  anything wheresults the ground creepts which I have reparated from you as unclean.—In JE there is no parallel.—The references below to Tristam are to its Fauna and Flore of Western Pulctine in the PEF Survey of W. Pal.; those to Doughty are to bis Arabia Deserta.

3. Thou shall not sat any aboninable thing! The same noun as abonination, vii. 25, p.v.; a term characteristic of D. The clause being also in the Sg. in a Pl. context (to which Sam., LXX have harmonised thy may be either the original law of D on this subject—cp. very abonination, xii. 31—or, like v. 2, an addition by the deuteronomic estitor.

4. These are the beasts which ye shall eat ] Lev. xi. 2-23 has no

list of clean beasts such as here follows.

ox, sheep, goat | For the sacramental nature of the slaving and eating of domestic animals see on xii. 20-28. In ancient times the enjoyment of flesh by ordinary people was rare; that of the domestic animals was limited to special occasions such as the arrival of a guest, or a family festival, but kings and the rich ate it every day, and successful raids were celebrated by feasting upon the animal spoil (e.g. Judg. vi. 19, 1 Sam. xiv. 32, xvi. 20, xxv. 18, xxviii. 24, 2 Sam. xii. 4, 1 Kgs iv. 23, Am. vi. 4). The flesh was, as still in Syria and Arabia, usually of sheep and goats; Arabs regard the former as the more honourable for a pucst. Bullocks and calves were slain much more seldom, except in great houses. So it is still with the fellahin; while in Arabia, where pasture is scarce and the oxen are for the most part meagre and stunted. ox flesh is very rarely eaten; and its place is taken by that of the camel (see below). Ancient Arab physicians held beef to be poisonous; in parts of S. Arabia it was esten only by the very poor; to set it even before a servant was regarded as an insult (Georg Tacob, Altarabitches Reduinenleben, 04).

6. Seven varieties of game; LXX B gives only five; bart, gazelle, crobuck, wilds on and giralfe [0]; cold. A?, etc. add after grazific leading and tragelaphos. It may not be unnecessary to remark that neither to the nomads nor to the fellabin is bunding sport; it is, especially to the former, a hard and hungry search for food. "The nomad is not a hunter (Duughty, I. 193). The hunters of Arabia we the Sleph, wantlering gypsies without cattle and camels: according to Burckhard (t), 13 they live on dried gazelic-fields. Besides the varieties of game given here as edible, the ancient Araba reliched also the flesh of the wild-ass (Georg Jacobs, p. etc. 115).

hart and gazelle] 'Ayyal, shi t see on xii. 22; cp. xii. 15, xv. 22; hart probably fallow deer, cervus dame; gazelle, gazella derras,

goat, and the pygarg, and the antelope, and the chamois. And every beast that parteth the hoof, and hath the hoof 6 cloven in two, and cheweth the cud, among the beasts, that ye shall eat. Nevertheless these ye shall not eat of them 7

# 1 Heb. bringeth up.

roebuck] Yahmûr also 1 Kgs iv. 23 (v. 3) A.V. fallow-deer. Vakhmûr is the name still given to a deer found on Mt Carmel (Conder, Tent Work, 1. 173) and identified as the roebuck, cerous capreolus; called in Gilead khamûr (Post, PEFQ, 1890, 171f.; Conder, id. 173); also seen on Lebanon (Tr. 4). Found throughout Europe it does not range farther S. than Palestine. As rocouck is the name of the male, roedeer is perhaps the better rendering.

wild goat] 'Akko only here, LXX AF τραγέλαφοι, Targ. ya'al, ibex such as about Engedi, a Sam. xxiv. 2. With 'abbo as if for 'anko cp. Ar. 'auak (= long-necked) goat.

preared As LXX mirgaron 'white-rump,' The Heb. dishon (as if from Heh, dash = tread, leap) is rather antelope; the large white addax (Tr. 5).

antelope] too only here and 'Isai.' li. 20, LXX oput, A.V. wild ox. Tristram (p. s) takes the name as generic and suggests that it covers both the antiloge bubalis, which, he says, is called 'wild-cow' in Monh and Gilead, and a leueoryx 'the Oryx or white autelone,' to which the Arabs of Arabia give the name of 'wild-ox' (G. Jacob, op. cit. 117, citing from Ar, poets descriptions of it as shining like a white washed house or as if with a white tunic); Post (Hastings' D. B. 'Ox ') proposes the oryx beatrix : Doughty (1. 328) takes the woth the of central Arabia, an antelope beatrix,' to be the O.T. re'em or wild ox. R.V. antelope and A.V. wild ax are thus probably both correct, the former giving the genus of the animal the latter its popular name among the Helirews and the Arabs. With repard to the Heb, name #'o or the'o I notice that Lane gives the Ar. sha' (sh and the soft th correspond) as applied to the wild-bull or wild-cow.

chamois] Certainly not this! This animal is European and is not found so far S. as Palestine. Helt. semer, Targ. disa, wild-goat. In the Mts of Vemen the wild maned sheep, ovis tragelaphus, was anciently numerous (G. Jacoli, p. 21). Probably mountain-goat or sheep.

Thus the names in this verse are all general and popular; each may have covered more than one species found in Syria or Arabia; to identify it with any one species is foolish.

 There might also be eaten any beast with both of these marks: that parteth the hoof, and hath the hoof cloven in two? Liv. and cleareth a cleft of two hoofs. The hoof must be entirely cloven (see below on camel); and cheweth the cud] Heh. bringeth up the gerah, Ar. girrah,

so called from either the straining or the gargling of the process. 7. Nevertheless! Not rak with which qualifications to laws are

that chew the cud, or of them that have the hoof cloven: the camel, and the hare, and the coney, because they chew the cud but part not the hoof, they are unclean unto you: 8 and the swine, because he parteth the hoof but cheweth not the cud, he is unclean unto you; of their flesh ve shall not eat, and their carcases ye shall not touch.

introduced by D (see on x. 15, xii. 15 f.) but 'ak, xvi. 5, xviii. 20, cp. xii. 22.

camel, hare, rock-badger | In Lev. xi, 4-6 taken separately and each with a repetition of the formula because it cheweth the end but parteth not the hoot. The camel chews the cud but its hoof is only partly cloven (see on v. 6); sacrificed and eaten by Nabateaus and ancient Arabs (Wellhausen, Reste Arab. Haid. 112, W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 201, 263, 320) though forbidden to Christian Arabs because of its use in heathen rites (rd. 265), the camel is still eaten in Arabia (Burton, Pilgr. to Med. and Mecca, 11, 217, Doughty, 11, 209, 345, Musil, Edora, t. 247, Ethn. Ber. 71, 150, 423, 483 (.); taking the place of the ox of the settled Semites (see on p. 4). The hare, arnebeth. Ar. 'arnob, does not chew the cud and its feet are neither hoofed nor cleft: there are several species in and round Syria (Tr. 8 f., who singles out the lepus syriaens), and the heast is common in Arabia, where it is eaten (Doughty, 1. 70, 567, 11. 238); hare's hone, foot and head were used as amulets (W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 362, G. Jacob, op. cit. 20). - The rock-badger, shaphan, Ar. wabr and tubsun; procavia (hyrax) syriaca (Tristram, 1) does not chew the cud. It seems, however, to the observer to chew the cud; 'both the jerbon and the wabr ruminate. say the hinters, because they are often shot with the end in their mouth (Doughty, 11, 238). It is eaten by all the nomods (id. t. 127) a 'altout the size of a small rabbit and has a superficial resemblance to that rodent.... The zoological position of the order is obscure, there are 14 species' (Shipley, E.B. 'Coney,' which see for further information). A.V. and R.V. coney, Old Eng. for robbit. Driver (Deut. " p. xxii) suggests the translation rook rabbit, a name given to an allied species of the Hyrax (11. Capensis) about the Cape of Good Hope.

8. swine hazir, Ar. khanzir: from the animal's indiscriminate feeting the flesh is liable to become the host of many parasites and therefore without care dangerous especially in warm climates. Used in heathen sacrifices, 'Isai,' lxv. 4f., 17. Nomad Arabs eat the wild boar : 'only the fellahin say that they do not eat the wild boar; their neighbours, however, assert the contrary of them' (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 151). On the sacredness of the pig among other peoples and the use of it in making charges and amulets see W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 272, 429. LXX has here a fuller text as in Lev. xi. 7. Note that no mention is made of the wilder beasts of prey; lion, panther, bear, wolf, hyaena or jackal. On the use of the hyaena, etc. by the present fellahin see PEFO, 1905, (20. Wolf-flesh is regarded as medicinal in Arabia (Doughty, 1, 337),

These ye shall eat of all that are in the waters: whatso-9 ever hath fins and scales shall ye eat: and whatsoever hath to not fins and scales ye shall not eat; it is unclean unto you.

Of all clean birds ye may eat. But these are they of 12 which ye shall not eat: the eagle, and the gier eagle, and the ospray; and the glede, and the falcon, and the kite 13

9, 10. On clean and unclean Fishes; Lev. xi. 9-12 substantially the same but more elaborate. On the numerous fishes of Palestine see Tristram, 162 ff. No species are here enumerated, nor in the rest of the O.T.; but, chiefly under foreign influence, specific names appear in the Talmud and Mishna. On their use as food see Kennedy in E.B. and the present writer's Jerusalem, t. 317 f. The rule given here, that only those with fins (points) or scales are clean practically rules out cels, lampreys and others, with of course all shellfish, some of which are wholesome fare. In inquiring for a reason for their exclusion, their likeness in shape to serpents must be kept in view; on the sacredness of fish (including cels) to certain Semitic deities see W. R. Smith, A'cl. Sem. 157 ff. In Arabia the practice varies. Fish are eaten in Madaba and Kerak and on the coasts of the peninsula; but inland Arabs though eating lizards and locusts appear to abhor fish : 'the most have never seen them and do not desire them! (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 21). The true Bedawee despises the fish-eater (Georg Jacob, op. cit. 25). Cp. Baldensperger, PEFQ, 1905, 119.

11-20. Of Birds, cp. I.ev. xi. 13-19; only the unclean are named; of clean birds we know of the dove, quail, partridge and barbur.

12. evgle] nesher, Ar. niv, the great values or griffon, gyps falons, identified by the baldness of its head and neck, Mic. i. 15: from its frequency and its size 'the most striking ornithological feature of Palestine' (Tr. 9xL): worshipped among Syrians and Arabs.

raceame (11, 95.5); worsampses among Syrians and Araos, give eagle) peres, the breaker, A.V. the ossifrage, the Lämmergeier of bearded vulture. It carries its prey to a great height and then drops it, repeating the operation till the prey is shuttered (Tr. 94), LXX, ypów.

surgest) variety of the CAX, delawers (the sea-eagle or osprey). Tristems (vill lakes) is either as genetic for all the eagles, or specific either for the golden eagles, not uncommon in winter over the whole country' but in summer only on Lebanon and Hermon or (102) the osprey, which would be likely from its full-eating babits to have a special narse. Readle eagle. In Aratia the small swart-brown eagle of the desert is eagle. In Aratia the small swart-brown eagle of the desert is eagle. [1, 238, 11, 218].

13. glede, falcon, kite] ra'ah, 'ayyah, dayyah, of which the first is probably a clerical error for da'ah (from da'ah, to dart, of the eagle, xxviii. 49), darter or swooper, and the third a later variant of the same, being a gloss on the first (the LXX has only two names in the v.).

Eels have indeed numerous small scales.

14 after its kind; and every raven after its kind; and the ostrich, and the night hawk, and the seamew, and the hawk 16 after its kind; the little owl, and the great owl, and the 17 horned owl; and the pelican, and the vulture, and the

Tristram (102, 98) suggests both the milvus migrans, the black kite, and the bites vulgaris, the common buzzard: Ar. 'akab is applied to all smaller eagles and buzzards. The wreak (from its cry: cn. Ar. very). Tristram (102) takes as muluns ictians, the kite or red kite, 'perhaps the keenest sighted of all the birds of prey, ep. Job xxviii. 7. Read black and red kite or bussard and kite. LXX, you and larger.

after its kind \ A phrase characteristic of P.

14. and every raven, etc.] 'oreb Ar. ghordb, covering all the species of the corvidue in Palestine of which Tristram (74 ff.) distinguishes eight : a carrion feeder with the 'agub and rakhum (Doughty, 11. 41, 218); that it was regarded by some tribes as sacred is seen from the use of its name as a personal name, Judg. vii. 25, and as a clan name among Arabs to day. LXX B omits this clause; other codd, have it.

15. ostrich bath hav-vataneh either dannhter of vreed or of the blain: Arabs call it father of the plains; they eat the breast (Doughty,

1. 132 f.). LXX. στρουθός.

night hawk] tahmas (violence; Ar. zalim also means both violence and ostrich). Some take it as the male ostrich. Tristram (00); the barn orel, strix flammea, LXX, yhave

seamew shahaph, LXX, lasot, cormorant; gull (Post, Hastings) D.B.); sterna floviatilis, tern (Tr. 124).

harok | nes, LXX, items. Tristram (106): generic for all small hawks, such as sparrow-hawk (accipiter pisses, 106), kestrel, etc. little owl | kds, LXX, PURTUROPEE (?); both night-jur and sciench.

owl. Tristram (93): 'probably' the southern little owl. Athene planer. one of the most universally distributed birds in the Holy Land.' It inhabits ruins, Ps. cii. 6 (7). Arabs call it 'mother of ruins.'

great out] yanshuph, LXX, elßer. Tristram (93): eagle-owl, bubo

as alaphus, haunting ruins and caverns.

horned out ] tinshemeth, A.V. swan. Tristram: probably the glossy ibis. Owls are eaten by one tribe, at least, in Arabia, for which they are derided by other Arabs (Douglety, t. 303). The owl is one of the birds to which most often the Arabs attribute human qualities.

17. pelican ka'ath, LXX, rekexáv, Tristram (108) suggests the rusente pelican, P. ono rotalus.

vulture? rahamah, At, rakhim, 'u small white carrion carle,' migratory, and haunting the abodes of men, one of the commonest carnon birds in Arabia, 'the white scavenger' (Doughty, passim; cp. Burton, Pilvrimage, etc., 11, 62); according to Tristram (66) the neothron percuopterus; in Arabia their flesh is forbidden meat, yet mothers give it to their children to expel worms (Doughty, 1, 393). The name appears to be derived from its affection to its young, which in xxxii. 11 is imputed also to the nesher. LXX, source, swan.

cormorant; and the stork, and the heron after its kind, and 18 the hoopoe, and the bat. And all winged creeping things 19 are unclean unto you; they shall not be eaten. Of all clean 20 fowls ye may eat.

Ye shall not eat of any thing that dieth of itself: thou 21 mayest give it unto the stranger that is within thy gates, that

cormorant | shalak, that hurls itself on the prey. LXX, raraparty.

Tristram (107): phalacrocorax carbo.

18. stork | hasidah. Tristram (111); white stork, ciconia albo; an nuclean feeder (on offal, etc.), its flesh is rank.

heron] 'anaphah. Tristram (109): the common heron, ardea cineres: an edible bird, in Europe once highly prized at table; but feeding on, hesides fish, many unclean land animals, snakes, rats, etc.

hoofoel duki thath, A. V. lapwing, Tristram (80): hoofoe, upupa epops, bat] 'mfalleph (ep. arrehagios, a kind of locust in N. Africa, Herod. iv. 172). In Palestine it haunts caverns and (as in Egypt) sepulchres, There is no doubt that the cheeping and muttering attributed to the dead (Is, vii.) was derived from the sound made by the crowds of this

animal when disturbed in sepulchres.

19. all winged creeping things are unclean Lit. swarming things that fly, all winged insects. To this Lev. xi. 21 f. adds that go noon all fours and excepts from the rule such as have jointed legs allove their feet to leap on the earth, i.e. various kinds of leaping locusts, as distinguished from the running locust (see Shipley and Cook, art. Locust' in E.B.). They come under the clean insects of the next v.

20. Of all clean winged things ye may eat ] R.V. forol is misleading; the term winged covers both birds and flying insects and here probably refers only to the latter. Arabs and other eastern peoples eat locusts not only in time of famine; fried or made into cakes they are considered a delicacy (Burton, Pilgrimage, etc., ts. 117; Doughty, 1, 472,

11. 245 f., 323; Musil, Ethn. Ber. 151).

Nothing is said of reptiles (from may be supposed to fall under the class of unclean fishes, v. 10). Lev. xi. 20 ff. counts as unclean, the weasely monse, lizards, chameleon and v. 41 serpents. Arabs eat lizards, 'very sweet meat,' though some abhor them as serpeuts (Doughty, 1, 70, 326, It, \$33; cp, for ancient Arabia, G. Jacob, 24, 95); and even one species of serpent is eaten (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 151). And mice are caten both by some Arabs and in N. Syria (Tristram).

21. Ye shall not eat of any thing which dieth of itself | Lit. any carcase, anything found dead, without being slain by the finder. There is a possible case in Doughty, It. 129; but usually when an Arab sees his camel must die, in consequence of an accident, he slays it forthwith.

thou mayest give it unto the stranger. The ger or foreigner settled in Israel (see on i. 16), distinct from the following foreigner, not settled, but trading, with Israel.

E, Ex. xxii. 30 (31) enjoins that flesh torn of beasts shall be given to

he may eat it; or thou mayest sell it unto a foreigner; for thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God. Thou shalt not seethe a kid in its mother's milk.

dogs; but H, Lev. xvii. 15, enjoins that neither that which dies of itself nor what is toru of beasts shall be eaten either by Israelile or by gir: obviously a later law, when the position of the gir was more established in Israel and he was brought further into religious communion.

for thou art au holy people] As in v. 2.

See further on Unclean and Clean Foods, Appendix I,
Thou shell us steeke as kill as it mether's multy [So E, Ex. xxiii. 19, and J, xxiiv. 40. The prohibition has a natural seemlines like those laws in H, Lev xxii. 17, is, which forbid the secrifice of a culf, family, or the method of the control of the co

#### 22-29. OF TITHES.

A title shall be taken of all the yearly produce of what is sown in the field, further tellined as corn, winc and oil, and earried to the Sanctuary and eaten before God by the offerers along with the firstlings of one and all length of the Tarelites who dwell too far from the Sanctuary for this may turn their tithes into money, purchase at the Temple whatever they desire, and least before Cod along with their temple whatever they desire, and least before Cod along with their to retain all the tithe within their gates for the Levites and other land less poor to consume (186).——In he Sg. address throughout, like the

Some have even supposed that it was meant to exclude kids from use as food they were weamed, which is neither agreeable to reason (Cniviu) nor to H's law quoted above.

3.M. Henry on E. x. vill. 19. He may have got this from Minimodes through landing eithnumbergor was a design of the control of the control

Thou shalt surely tithe all the increase of thy seed, that 22 which cometh forth of the field year by year. And thou 23 shalt eat before the LORD thy God, in the place which he shall choose to cause his name to dwell there, the tithe of thy corn, of thy wine, and of thine oil, and the firstlings of

third form of the law of the Single Sanctuary, xii. 13 ff., with which also it has in common some phrases and ideas not found in the Pl. form of that law:—the definition of the tithe, sorn, wine and sii, how shall not that law:—the definition of the tithe, sorn, wine and sii, how shall not permission to eat the state of the stat

There is no law of tithes (so-called) in E or J; those in P, Nu. xviii, 21-32 (with the corresponding practice, Neh. x, 37 L) and Lev. xxvii. 30 L, fundamentally differ from D's law of tithes. On this and the questions it raises and their solution in the later law of Israel, see

Additional Note below.

22. Thou shalt surely tithe] Heb. tithing thou shalt tithe: an idiom emphasising the bare fact.

increase] Lit. income (or in-brought), revenue, all the produce.
of thy seal] Not of cereals alone, but inclusive of plantations as the
next clause and the oil and wine of v. 23 show. Dillm. cites Isai, xvii.
10 ft. Ier, ii. 21: Ezek. xvii. 5.

field] sadeh, here in its latest sense of cultivated ground; see on vii. 22, xi, 15, etc.

23. eat before the LORD] See on xii. 7.

the place which he shall choose] Sam., LXX, which Jehovah thy God shall choose; see on xii. 5. Before this the tithe was offered at the local sanctuaries, Am. iv. 4.

corn, wine, and oil Defining that which counds forth from the fold.
A purely vegenable their: so always in D as in Nu. will. 27, 90, 90
of threshing flour, futures of winespees or nat (cp. D, xv. 14, xv. 14),
Nch. x. 35—37, 150—38), tithe of the ground (cp. D, xv. xvii. 9, on whether
of the used of the land or fruit of tree). To this an animal tithe is added
by Lev. xvii. 9, and a Chron. xxii. 6. Corn stands for all certain
is singular that nowhere is the fig. the third of the great triad of Israel's
fruit trees, mentioned along with wine and oil.

and the firstling, etc.] The law of firstlings is xv. 19 fi., here they are mentioned only incidentally, perhaps because the tithce were to be presented at the same time with them. There is no reference here to an animal title. "Mere firstlings, set apart from the yearly increase of the herea, distinct from the firstlorm and offered as a substitute for the animal title, are not to be thought of '(Dilling).

DEUTERONOMY

.

thy herd and of thy flock; that thou mayest learn to fear 24 the LORD thy God always. And if the way be too long for thee, so that thou art not able to carry it, because the place is too far from thee, which the LORD thy God shall choose to set his name there, when the LORD thy God shall be set thee: then shalt thou turn it into money, and bind up the

money in thine hand, and shalt go unto the place which the 26 LORD thy God shall choose: and thou shalt bestow the

that these mayest learn to fear) Such regular offerings mean the practice of the fear of God, for by them the offerers acknowledge that to God and not to their own labour the blessings of their fields are due. The same intention is attributed to making the people hear God's word, iv. 10 (px.), and to the injunction to the king to read always in the law, with, 10.

24.f. Another practical consequence of the centralisation of the worship, like that which permits the profane slaughter and enjoyment of animals, xii 21 ff.

if the way be too long for thee, etc. ] Cp. xii. 21: if the place ... be too far from thee, xix. 6.

when the LORD 184 Ged shall Metr thee] Means neither with a great extension of thy land (Knobel) nor with so rich a harvest that thou are unable to carry the tithe of it so far [Dillin-], but, more generally, with thy yearly harvests. Was there, then, no tithe when the harvest failed? shall thou turn it min omney! Heb, may mean either give it in,

or in acchange for, many. The Heb. hereph often miller, usually supposed to have been called so from its paleons (W. R. Smith, fourn. Phil. XIV. 12); but the root is just as probably to act off, or cut in place (Provaden, 1. 20), and hereph is therefore applicable, and is applied, proper were not in use in Israel before the Persian period; but from a very early date there was a metallic currency, partly in silver (cp. 1 Sam. in. 8, quarter of a silver theled, 2 Sam. xiv. 16, shelds stamped by David and partly in copper (which was current in Paleotine by Order and Control of the current was a control of the control of the current was not contro

thou shall bind up the money in thine hand] Heb. confine. As the Heb. for purse (Gen. xiii. 35; Frov. vii. 20) couses from another form of this root, we might use the Eng. denom. vb. thou shall purse it in thine hand. Usually money was carried in the girdle, but this seems to imply a form of purse attached to the fingers or wrist.

26. and those shall bestow the money] It was this law, which with other customs led to the rise of markets for cattle and other commodities in the Temple Courts with the consequent abuses, fostered by

money for whatsoever thy soul desireth, for oxen, or for sheep, or for wine, or for strong drink, or for whatsoever thy soul asketh of thee: and thou shalt eat there before the Lorn thy God, and thou shalt rejoice, thou and thine bousehold: and the Levite that is within thy gates, thou 27 shalt not forsake him; for he hath no portion nor inheritance 27 with thee.

At the end of every three years thou shalt bring forth all 28 the tithe of thine increase in the same year, and shalt lay it

the priests for their own enrichment, which our Lord chastised. Cp. Ier, vi. 14, vii. 11, xxiii. 11.

Jer. vi. 13, vii. 11, xxiii. 11.

for whatroever thy soul desireth...askth of thee] On the soul as seat of the appetite see xii. 20; on desireth, v. 21. The emphatic liberality of this provision is striking. Though the tithe is a vegetalite (me, flesh

may be substituted for it: cp. v. 23 according to which it was to be eaten with the firstlings.

or for union, or for tirong deink] The attempt is sometimes made to argue that the juice of the vine when prised or prescribed in the O.T. is never an intoxicating liquor. That is clearly contradicted here: properly from the property floronome, which because of its effects is condemned in Is. v. 11, 12, avviii. 27 Mie. li. 11; 1 Sam. l. 15; Prov. xx. 1, and is for bidden to priess on duy, Lev. 2, 9; p. Prov. xx. 1, and is for invalids. The salj from it rhither advantant. In Israel there was the and than table trypics! See on xii. 7.

thou and thine household As in xil. 7, 12, 18: the tithes or their equivalent are to be enjoyed, not as in P by the Temple Levites and

Priests but by the offerers and their families including-

27. the Levite within thy gates The rural minister, dispossessed of his allowances by the removal of the tithe from the local sanctuaries. thou shalt not forsake him! Not in LXX: which adds stranger, orphan, and widow, and other formulas—an instance of how readily

these were added by various editors.

28. At the end of every three years] xxvi. 12: when thou hast finished tithing all the tithe of thisse income in the third year, which is the year of tithing. See below.

thou shall bring forth) That is for public or profane use as opposed to the bringing in of offerings designed for use in the sanctuary; cp.

xvii. 5, xxi. 19, xxii. 15, 21, 24.

'all' the tithe? All, not prefixed to tithe in v. 22, has been variously interpreted either as meaning that the whole lithe was not exacted for the sanctuary in the first and second years but only a nominal tithe (as under Moslem law the tithe was sometimes only visit or even visit.

13-2

29 up within thy gates: and the Levite, because he hath no portion nor inheritance with thee, and the stranger, and the fatherless, and the widow, which are within thy gates, shall come, and shall eat and be satisfied; that the LORD thy God may bless thee in all the work of thine hand which thou doest.

of the crop), and was to be fully exacted only in each third year for charitable purposes; or else that in the third year no tithe was taken to the Temple but all the tithe was given to the local poor (Oettli, Berth, and others). The latter seems the more likely. Steuernagel thinks that every third year there were two tithes exacted, that for the poor being in addition to that taken every year to the Sanctuary. But in that case the law would not have described the third year tithe for the poor as all the tithe.

and shalt lay it up within thy pates | Rather, let it remain or (lit.) rest there; either in distinction to the tithes of the other two years, which are carried from home to the Sanctuary; or else because instead of being consumed at once like those tithes it is to be stored for the

continual sustenance of-

29. the Levitel because he is landless and through the abolition of the local shrines has been deprived of his means of subsistence, and ofthe stranger, the fatherless, and the widow] for they also are landless. D frequently emphasises the duty of caring for them, xvi. 11, 14, xxiv. 17. to ff., xxvi, 12 f.

shall eat and be satisfied] Here the words before Jehovah and rejoice. used in connection with the eating of tithes at the Sanctuary, are omitted; for this is not like that, a festal celebration. On the contrary the third year tithe is designed for the common daily sustenance of those poor persons. This secularisation of the tithe (as it would be called to-day) is interesting; see Additional Note.

that the LORD thy God may bless thee] xxvi. 13. Such devotion of the tithe to the poor is a condition of the increase of the crop from which it is made.

## ADDITIONAL NOTE ON TITHES.

According to 1 Sam. viii. 15, 17, a king if granted to Israel would be expected—in conformity with the practice of several ancient monarchies—to exact a tithe of his subjects' creat crops, vines, olives, bards and focks. No religious offering undus the name of tithe appears in the earlier legislation, the Holiness Code (Lev. xvii. -- xxvi.), name of title appears in the earner againston, the Bothese Good Clev. xvv.—xvv.), sail: 50 [40]. Note that the delay by futures are by trickling (see Briver's nope), LXX first fruits of the Sterebing four and wine-peers, like D's law of titles scanned or who fittings, v. 50 [40]. H, Lev. xxiii. v. dismands merely a sheaf of the scanned or who fittings, v. 50 [40]. H, Lev. xxiii. v. dismands merely a sheaf of the scanned or who fittings, v. 50 [40]. H, Lev. xxiii. v. dismands merely a sheaf of the scanned or who fittings, v. 50 [40]. H, Lev. xxiii. v. dismands merely a sheaf of the (Frameth) and the first fruit (reshift) of year obtainess. In this the century likelies were offered on the pid day of the feat as it the royal accultancy as Berbel (Am. iv. 4). see Wellh.'a note); and E, Gen. axviii. as ascribes to Jacob at the same sanctuary the promise to God to titke all He would give him.

From these data several inferences have been drawn:—(1) that the tither of D and

From these data several inferences have been drawn—(3) that the rither of 1 and of the earlier (break), fields of the 1, as 3 ft 5, ca, the symmyout someones of stangest and Seeters, Dion Halic, i. y 1, and Philo's swapped stranged for the petter field of the called freely strain of the same o

The title-law of D supply that must such outson presulted at the man assectatives bill his many others is had to be stapped to D law of Doe Sanctimery. This was the little many other in had not be stapped to D law of Doe Sanctimery. This was there the Innella farmer must take the filler, which is little of in money, to the contexts and they be nightly tears to fast Good part there belone Good, with his of calling belone Good. But this deprived both the latter and the other includes poor of cating before Good. But this deprived both the latter and the other includes poor of their rights in a but had included horselization for the man. Therefore every third without any religious rise, either in the offering of it (except the prayer axis, i. a Ki, or in their nellympart of it (note the consistent in eld. or notice given yeldered), fact had been the only real title (e.g. the expension for year of Asign, xxxi; i. x), a there of the anomal titless offered at the held statements. When these were direstabilished and the purely religious interests movived on the titles could only be quanting them. The whole of the titles year title, the cut because it was described to be per greater of the could not be greater to the could not be consistent. When these were direstabilished and the purely religious interests movived on the titles could only be greated in the could not be compared to the could not be greater than the could not be gre

In P the tithe-law, Num. xviii. 21-32, to very different. All the fithe in Israel, the tithe of the children of Israel which they offer as a contribution to Jehovah is given at an inheritance to the landless Levites, for the service which they terre, even the rervice of the fint of the meeting, the central sanctuary, and they in turn are to give a titbe of this tithe to Aaron the crieet. And this was that part of the law of God given by Mosts and eworn to by the people under Nebenish, according to which they were to bring in the tither of their ground to the Levites-the Levites take the hither in all the townships of our tillage-and the Levites were to bring the tithe of their tithe to the house of God (Neh. x, 37 f.). These injunctious are preconcileable with those of D. The tithe, which in D is enjoyed by the offerers, by the Levites of the rural sanctuaries, and by the poor and the gerim, is in P the indirectance of the Lavites at the central sanctuary. D and P represent not only differing practices, but incompatible principles of practice. Which is the earlier of the two? It is of course possible to argue that the original disposition of the tithe was purely religious or ecclesiastical and that D represente a later and more liberel epirit, which extended the enjoyment of it to the laity. But the converse is far more probable in view of that eleady increase of all the prieste' establishmente and revenues-with the consequent encroachmente on the righte of the people-which is so fully illustrated in the historical Books. For an juteresting and suggestive discussion of the problems arising from this subject eee 'The Deuteronouse Tithe' by Prof. J. M. Powis Smith in The Amer. Journ. of Thrology, January, 1924.

### CH. XV. 1-11. THE YEAR OF REMISSION: (1) OF DEBTS.

Every seventh year Israel shall make Remission or Release (1). Creditors shall cancel their loans to fellow-Israelites-it is the Lord's Remission-but not those to foreigners (2 f.). But there shall be no need for this law if Israel keep God's commandments, for then (under His blessing) there shall be no poor; and Israel shall lend to and not borrow from other peoples (4-6). Israel must not allow the approach of the year of Remission to operate as a motive for refusing loans to the poor, who shall never cease out of the land (7-11).-In the Sg. address throughout. The law proper (v. 3, see note) apparently cites an earlier law; vv. 4-6 are by some (e.g. Steuern., Berth.) regarded as being, or containing, editorial additions, partly because v. 4, there shall be no poor, contradicts v. 11, the poor shall never cease out of the land. But (apart altogether from the Oriental love of paradox) the two statements might naturally he made by the same writer, loyal on the one side to D's governing ideal that Israel's obedience will ensure their prosperity, and on the other to D's intense philanthropy as applied to the actual needs of the present. Both in the analysis of the text of Deut, and (as we shall immediately see) in its interpretation we must keep in mind that the legislation is governed at once by religious ideals more or less impracticable and by an equally religious passion to provide in a practical way for the immediate interests of the people, especially the poor and friendless. There is therefore no cause to doubt the unity of the passage; except that the parenthesis in v. 40 may he a later expansion, as it is superfluous before v. 6.

The other codes contain no exact counterpart to this law of D. But E, Ex. xxiii. 10 f., commands that every seventh year the ground shall lie fallow-thou shalt remit or release it-and so too the vineyards and oliveyards-that the poor of thy people may eat; and H. Lev. xxv. 1-7, enjoins that in the seventh year the land shall not be sown nor the fruit-trees pruned, it shall be a year of Sabbath or solemn rest. The law, of which these are successive editions, was apparently based on the original rights of the whole community to the land (cp. for other nations Sir Henry Maine's Village Communities East and West, 77 ff., 107 ff.; Fenton, Early Heb. Life, 24 ff., 20 ff., 64 ff.). The connections between this law and D's remission of debt are obscure. Is D's law meant as an addition to E's, or as a substitute for it in different economic conditions? The latter alternative is unlikely; though D (v. 3) alone speaks of loans to foreigners, which implies commerce, his directions as to loans to Israelites are not practicable in a commercial community and imply as purely an agricultural one as E's law does; but D has no law for the land lying fallow. Dillmann holding that a complete cancelling of debts every seventh year was impracticable, argues that D takes E's law for granted and has framed his own to meet the consequences of E's. If the land lay fallow for the seventh year the poor cultivators could not repay loans made to them by their richer neighbours, and therefore the repayment was suspended

## At the end of every seven years thou shalt make a release. 15

for that year only (cp. Driver, Deut. 177 f.). This is plausible; but there is much to contradict it. To begin with, it is very doubtful whether E's seventh year in which the ground was to lie fallow was to be the same year for the whole land 1; whereas D's seventh year of remission was (as we see from 22. 4-6) the same everywhere and for everybody. Again, the verb from which the Heb, pour for Remission comes means not suspension but total remission (Jer. xvii. 4). Again, if the law had intended merely a suspension of the loan there would hardly have been need for the warning in p. q. not to use the approach of the seventh year as a pretext for refusing a loan. This view is confirmed by the fact that the loans to which D's law refers were not business, but charitable loans, made for the relief of the poor, v. 6, and without any charge for interest, xxiii. 19 (20). It was no more impracticable to command their total remission in the seventh year, when after several harvests the debtor's inability to pay had been fully proved. than to command the initial granting of the loan itself. D's law was not for the regulation of commerce, but for the inculcation of liberality to poor neighbours. This line of argument also precludes the view held by some that D'a law does not refer to the repayment of the principal of the loan, but commands only the suspension for one year of the interest. As we have seen this class of loans bore no interest. And indeed zv, 2 f. are explicit that it is the whole loan which is to be remitted; whatsoever of thine is with thy brother. Nehemiah (ch. v.) found among the returned exiles the practice of exacting both principal and interest from poor debtors, and he abolished these exactions. The later Jewish law clearly understood the remission to be that of the capital sum, and because this was impracticable in the case of commercial loans, provided legal means of evading it in the seventh year-(Mishua, 'Shebi'ith, x. 3-7; Schürer, Hist. of the Jewish People, F. T. tl. i. 362 f.)

The above view, that the less insteads a boal reminsion of the loan, is held by Filling Chemia, Wells, Nowack, Berninger, Sterens, Berth, J. W. Rollings, the contains wells, Nowack, Berninger, Sterens, Berth, J. W. Rollings, Helman and Chemia, Wells, Nowack, Berninger, Sterens, Berth, J. W. Rollings, Helman and Chemia, Wells, Nowack, Berninger, Sterens, Berth, J. W. Rollings, Helman and Chemia and Chemia

At the end of seven years! So Heb. That is, in the seventh year, as is clearly put in v. 12 (cp. Jer. xxxiv. 14): see also xiv. 28.
 a release! or remission, Heb. sk\*mittah from shamat, to let drop

1 In H it may be the same year for the whole land (Driver), but even this is not operation.

a And this is the manner of the release: every creditor shall not exact it of his neighbour and his brother; because the JLORD's release hath been proclaimed. Of a foreigner thou mayest exact it: but whatsoever of thine is with thy brother thine hand shall 'release. Howbeit there shall be no poor with thee; (for the LORD will surely bless thee in the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance to 5 possess it.) if only thou diligently hearken unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to observe to do all this commandment 6 which I command thee this day. For the LORD thy God will bless thee, as he promised thee: and thou shall tend will bless thee, as he promised thee: and thou shall tend

### Or, release: save when there &c.

(2 Kgs ix. 33; let her drop) or lapse: Ex. xxiii. 11, thou shall let it (the land or its crop) lapse, i.e. lie fallow; v. 3 of a debt.

2. And this is the manner of the release] Lit. the word or law (or as

we might say test) of: cp. xix. 4 R.V. this is the case of. The following clause is a citation of an older law, as we see further from its phrasing. every creditor] Lit. every owner (ba'al cp. Ex. xxii. 14) of a loan of his hand, of anything he has lifted or made over at his own hand.

nairhhour! Heb. rf4', very seldom used with the Sg. address for fellow-froelite, and possibly always, as here, in quotations, xix. 4.f., xxiv. 10. The synonymous term, brather, is used by the writer of the Sg. about 25 times, and has probably been inserted by him in this citation (Steuern.).

the LORD's release] by His order, or for His sake.

hath been preclaimed] which shows that this year is the same for the whole nation.

 foreigner] nokrī distinct not only from neighbour- or brother-Irraditt, but also from ger the foreign client or settler in Israel (xiv, 21).

4. Howbit three shall be no poor with the! Dillin. etc. transl: theuld be no poor with their Dillin. etc. transl: theuld be no poor. But this is not a correct rendering of the thet, which uses the positive form of the vb.; and it weakens the writer's conflicter camphais on his ledeal. He is stating not so much what leaves the state of the

for the LORD will surely bless thee] Sam., LXX add thy God; cp. ii. 7, xxviii. 8.

giveth thee for an inheritance, etc.] See on iv. 21.

5. to observe to do] See on v. 1.

all this commandment, etc. | See on v. 21, viii. 1.

6. will bless thee] Heb. is stronger, shall have blessed thee.

unto many nations, but thou shalt not borrow; and thou shalt rule over many nations, but they shall not rule over thee.

If there be with thee a poor man, one of thy brethren, 7 within any of thy gates in thy land which the Loren thy God giveth thee, thou shalt not harden thine heart, nor shut thine hand from thy poor brother: but thou shalt surely 8 open thine hand unto him, and shalt surely lend him sufficient for his need in that which he wanteth. Beware that 9 there be not a base thought in thine heart, saying, The

thou shalt lend unto many nations, but thou shalt not borrow] Heb. shalt take, but shalt not give, pledges; cp. 8, xxiv. 10-12. This promise of a large foreign commerce, repeated xxviii. 12 f. (with the contrast in 43 f.) is peculiar to D among the codes of Israel. It covers, of course, not only the lending of money and bullion (banking proper), but the sale of goods on credit at interest, to other nations. Such a foreign trade appears to have flourished with great profit both to Judah and Israel under the long contemporary reigns of Uzziah and Jeroboam II (Is. ii. 7; Hos. xii. 7). There was large commerce with foreigners under Manasseh: cp. Ezekiel's name for Jerusalem, the gate of the peoples (xxvi. 2, LXX), and the king of Persia's refusal to allow the walls of Jerusalem to be rebuilt lest her former power of exacting tolls and customs should revive (Ezr. iv. 20). It is striking, however, that the fulfilment of D's promise was most fully realised not while Israel remained on their own land but after their dispersion among the nations, from the Greek period onwards. Strabo's words (quoted in Ios. XIV. Antt. vii. 2) are a remarkable acknowledgement of the political as well as financial superiority foreseen by D for Israel: 'These lews have penetrated to every city and it would not be easy to find a single place in the inhabited world which has not received this race, and where it has not become master.' See further Terusalem, t. 270 f., t1. 193 f., 392 ff.

7—11. One of the most beautiful as it is one of the most characteristic passages in the laws of D: Illustrating not only the human spirit, and the practical thoughtfulness of this code, but its extension of the Law to the thoughts and interests of the heart: cp. v. 21.
7. with thee a poor man, one of thy brethren! Heb, in thee as in

v. 4; poor, better needy.
in any of thy gates] or townships; see on xii. 12.

harden thine heart] See on ii. 30; cp. 1 John iii. 17.

lend him] See on v. 6.
 Beware | be on guard with respect to thyself; see on iv. 9.
 have thought in this expect | Lit. a more or thing in this expect.

a base thought in thine heart] Lit. a word or thing in thine heart, baseness, or worthlessness: bliya'al; see on xiii. 13 (14).

seventh year, the year of release, is at hand; and thine eye be evil against thy poor brother, and thou give him nought; and he cry unto the LORD against thee, and it be sin unto to thee. Thou shalt surely give him, and thine heart shall not

be grieved when thou givest unto him: because that for this thing the Loro thy God shall bless thee in all thy work, 11 and in all that thou puttest thine hand unto. For the poor shall never cease out of the land: therefore I command thee, saying, Thou shalt surely open thine hand unto thy brother, to thy needy, and to thy poor, in thy land.

thine eye be evil] cruel or grudging, xxviii. 54, 56; the opposite of tender or compassionate.

and it be sin unto thee} 'that which we think our Prudence oft proves sin to us' (M. Henry).

thine heart shall not be grieved, etc.] God loveth a cheerful giver
 Cot. ix. 7).

puttest thine hand unto] See on xii. 7.

11. For the poor shall never cease, etc.] See introd, note.

to thy needy, and to thy poor] Two of the three Hebrew synonyms for poor. The first is a passive form, forced, afficiend, then wretched, whether under persecution, poverty or exile, and so also subdued, mild, meek. The second is the Lat. egenus, needy.

### 12-18. THE YEAR OF REMISSION: (2) OF SLAVES.

If a Hebrew, man or woman, serves as a slave for six years, in the seventh he shall not only go free but he liberally equipped from his owner's property; as farsel was a slave and redeemed by God (1:--1), which is the state of the state of

The corresponding law in E, Ex. xxi. 3—5 (see Driver's notes), also directs the enancipation of a Herberw bondman start six years' service, does not mention bondwoman fire the slave-conculsive he has a further of the slave start of the slave slave

The law in Lev. xxv. 30—35 (H expanded by F) deals with both the therew and the foreign bondinana. The former is not to serve as slave but as a hired servant, up to the year of jubile (when all land returns to its original owners), and then go free with this children to his own family and his father's ponession; nothing, therefore, is said of a sense of the contract of the contra

The gradation of these laws, though not so marked as in the case of some others, is sufficiently clear. Es is the most primitive; D's dependence on E is probable but not so evident as in other cases; it might be a different colification of the same consueudanay law. Besides stating the law in his own phrascology (more particularly that of the Sg. address) and pleading motives for it which are characteristic of him (e.g. 19-12, 18). D has the equally characteristic addition about the equipment of the freed slave. Lev. ax. 19, 25, 35, 18 addition about upon Helvew slaves sold to foregreen, reflects conditions which may conjug after the happened before the Enile, but were more prevalent outwards after the surface of the su

Resides, the postponement of the emancipation from the 4th year to that of the builds seem to imply that E's and Dr laws which finded it for the former had been found immediately: P (or H 3) therefore prolongs the period of service, but constant (Driver, Drex. 145). Calvier, espansion—that the term jubils is caused to mean every seventh year; or that the allows to be freed at the jubils were those who critical endangements the several post or or that the allows to be freed at the jubils were those who critical endangements in the several year and being so fully in their converts power

### On the neglect of the law see Jer. xxxiv. 8 ff.; Neh. v. 5.

 12 If thy brother, an Hebrew man, or an Hebrew woman, be sold unto thee, and serve thee six years; then in the 13 seventh year thou shalt let him go free from thee. And

does not allow him to kill them (id. 20), and if he destroy the eye or tooth of a slave be must set him free (Ex. axi. 26 f.).

Similarly in Arabla 1-oday, where the condition of signer well illustrates their condition in Irana and especially their religious random. The treatment of course write according to the character of the master, and in particular discovering their states of the signer of the same, and in particular discovering in Arabla 2-oday and their states of all word. This no hard limit and all are members of the family controlled with the same of the same of

nater intan at notine. See turner to endee socious.

The Code of Hammurabl has this law (Fry):—If a man owes a debt and he has given his wife, his son or his daughter [as boatage] for the money, or has handed some one over 10 work it off, the boatage shall do the work of the crediter's house; but in the fourth year he shall set them free (C. H. W. Johos, Babylonian and Assyrian Latas, etc., 53.

12. thy brother] See on v. 2.

an Hebrew man, or an Hebrew woman) E. Ex. xxi. 2, an Hebrew Inter.

10. CT. Hebrew is used dither when foreigners are speaking of Interiors,
or in order to distinguish Israelites from foreigners. Here the Ites,
or in order to distinguish Israelites from foreigners. Here the Ites,
deling man (so [ser. xxix; or, 14; cp. Gen. xxiv. 12, Abram the
Hebrew and xxix. 17 [f] the Hebrew Islaw). The faller phrase Hebrew
man occurs in J and E. (Gen. xxixi. 14; Ex. xxiv. 13, Abram the
Hebrew (Gen. 21, 15; Ex. ii. 6, 13, etc.). Fem. sing only here and
addition Hebrew towans, see Interior § 2.

be sold unto thee] Lev. xxv. 39 A.V.: but the vb. equally means sell himself. E, Ex. xxi. 4, has if thou buy.

and serve] more probably he shall serve (cp. Ex. xxi. 2).

in the seventh year thou thall let him go) send or dismiss him. Neither in E nor D is there any hint of this number being suggested by the weekly sabhath; this association first appears in H'a law of the seventh fallow year, Lev. xxv. 2ff.

free] the same adj. in Ex. xxi. 2, 5, and elsewhere of freedom from slavery.

when thou lettest him go free from thee, thou shalt not let him go empty: thou shalt furnish him liberally out of thy 14 flock, and out of thy threshing-floor, and out of thy winepress: as the LORD thy God hath blessed thee thou shalt give unto him. And thou shalt remember that thou wast a 15 bondman in the land of Egypt, and the LORD thy God redeemed thee: therefore I command thee this thing to-day. And it shall be, if he say unto thee, I will not go out from 16 thee; because he loveth thee and thine house, because he is well with thee; then thou shalt take an awl, and thrust it 17

18. 14. Peculiar to D and characteristic of its philanthropy.

13. empty] In Pent. only in E (Gen. xxxi. 42; Ex. iii. 21,

xxiii. 15), J (xxxiv. 20) and D (here, and xvi. 16).

14. thou shalt furnish him liberally] Lit. make him a necklace (with emphatic repetition of the vb.). In this metaphor is the idea of loading or that of ornamenting (embellishing, equipping) the governing one? Probably both are combined; the metaphor rising from the primitive custom of hoarding the family wealth in heavy necklaces or headdresses. Less likely is the derivation from the use of the collar or necklace as a badge of rank or office (as it was in Egypt, Gen. xli. 42, and Persia, r Esdr. iii. 6).

A similar liberality is exercised in Arabia (Doughty, Ar. Des.

'It is not many years, " if their house-tord fears Ullah," before he witt give them 'It is not many years, "if their house-bord fears Ullah," before ne wug give them their ilberty; and then he sends them not away ampty; but in Upland Arabia (where only substantial persons are slave-holders) the good man will marry out his freed servants, make and fessale, endowing them with somewhat of his own substance, whether camels or palm stems. 'Cp. Snowel-Hurgerolle, Mekko, it, 'i,' the well-to-do-owner felse himself bound whare possible to provide for his loyal servant an establishment, and emancipation ranks in itself as a meritorious act: the family bond remains after as before it unbrokec. Musit (Ethn. Ber. 225) quotes as part of the emancipation formula: 'I disensis my slave and snadow bim'

flock, threshing-floor and wine-press | Cp. xiv. 23, xvi. 13. as the LORD thy God hath blessed thee vii. 13, xii. 15, xvi. 17.

28. The motive characteristic of D, v. 15, xvi. 12, xxiv. 18, 22:

CD. X. 10. And it shall be, if he say unto thee E, Ex. xxi. 5, more simply

And if the slave say. I will not 20 out from thee] E, I will not go out free. On go out, cp.

xiii. 13. because he loveth thee and thine house] On the treatment of slaves see introd. note.

17. thou shalt take an awl] Lit. a bover, only here and in Ex. xxi. 6. and thrust it through his ear Lit. set, or give, it; E, bore or pierce his ear. His ear because it is the organ of obedience. Cp. Ps. xl. 6, mine through his ear unto the door, and he shall be thy <sup>1</sup>servant for ever. And also unto thy <sup>2</sup>maidservant thou shalt do 18 likewise. It shall not seem hard unto thee, when thou lettest him go free from thee; for to the double of the hire of an hireling hath he served thee six years; and the LORD thy God shall bless thee in all that thou doest.

#### 1 Or, boudman

## Ot, bondwoman

ears thou hast opened; 'Isai,' 1. 4f., morning by morning he wakeneth mine ear to hear as the taught... The Lord febroak hath opened mine ear. In the Code of Hammurabi (§ 382) the slave who denies his master has

his ear cut off.

unto the door] E, to this door or doorpoot, i.e. of his master's house. See Driver on Ex xxis 6, and the meaning of the other phrase there, to the Elohim, which D omits, whether because it means the local sanctuary, abolished by D's law, or some domestic image of delty, still more repugnant to D. See Clay Trumbull, The Threshold Covenant, 130.

Thy bondman for ever] i.e. for life; 'again a good example of the relative force of the Heb. phrase for ever' (Berth.).

And also unto thy bondwoman, etc.] See introd. note.

18. It shall not be hard in thine eye] See on v. q. How well this legislator knew the hearts of his people may be seen from Jer.

xxxiv. 8 ff.

for to the double of the hire of an hireling hath he served they Jewish commentators inferred from this that the hired servant served only for three years 1 (Cornelius a Lapide in less). Calvin thinks that it means that a alsave under computsion owered twice as hard—which is contrary to experience. Rather, the cost of keeping a slave was only half of the current wave for a free servant.

and the LORD thy God shall bless thee] See v. 10.

#### 19-25. OF FIRSTLINGS.

All male firstlings of herd and flock are to be sanctified to Jelowsh; how of the or shall not work not those of the heep be shorn; their flow of the terms of the state of th

The earliest law on firstlings is found in variant forms in J. Ex. xiii. 11-16, xxxiv. 19 f. and E. Ex. xxii. 29 f. (see the notes in Driver's

All the firstling males that are born of thy herd and of 19 thy flock thou shalt sanctify unto the Lozen thy God; thou shalt do no work with the firstling of thine ox, nor shear the firstling of thy flock. Thou shalt eat it before the 20

Exod. 108, 135, 370 ff. with comparative table). These enjoin the passing over or giving to the Lord of all firstborn males, both human and animal; those of men and 'unclean' animals (i.e. unfit for sacrifice) may be redeemed. D does not give so full a law on the subject, for his only intention is to adapt the practice enjoined in these earlier laws to the new conditions in which sacrifice is lawful only at the one shrine. Hence he says nothing of the firstborn of men or of unclean beasts. And hence he omits the provision in Ex. xxii, 20f, that the first lings of ox and sheep were to be taken from the dam after seven days and on the eighth given to the Lord; because, while this was practicable when there were many local shrines, it is no longer so when there is to be one altar. Hence also he substitutes the general direction that the offerings are to be made year by year. No more clear illustration could be afforded of the fact that D's code was not intended as a complete legislation, but that its motive was simply to modify earlier codes or the consuetudinary laws of Israel to the new situation brought about by its central law of one sanctuary.-P's law on the subject, Num. xviii. 15-18, is similar to the others; but adds that the flesh of the firstlings of oxen, sheep and goats shall be the perquisite of the priests: an injunction irreconcileable with D's, that it is to be enjoyed by the offerer and his family, and indicative, like so much else in P, of the growing power of the priesthood to absorb what had previously been the rights of the laity.

19. firstfing) Hels blist, firstborn both of men (e.g. xxi 15f, at Ex. xi. x) and of animals; either collectively or of the individual fartiling. The root meaning is to break; and blist is defined (Ex. xiii, x, xxii. y) as that which opench, or cleared, bit work it covers, therefore, not the earliest births of every year in the herd or flock, but the firstborn of every dam. W. R. Smith, Rd. x44, compares the ambiguous Ar, form: A nother form, bitherine, is avoiled to furtherist in openent 2 blistman is the early for flotk.

1, etc.), made? At least a preference for male victims is found among the Semites generally, even where the dely is a goddess, W. R. Smith, Kel. Som. 180 n.; with instances from the Semite and African noces, the seminary of the seminary of the seminary of the forestened kindip through women and on the other with the fact that the cow foster man with its still.

thou shalt sanctify unto the Loro] So P, Ex. xiii. 2 (but with a different form of the same vb.); J, Ex. xiii. 12, thou shalt cause to pass over to frhovah; xxxiv. 19, all that openeth the womb is mine.

20, thou shalt eat it before the LORD thy God | See on xii. 7, 12, 18.

LORD thy God year by year in the place which the LORD 21 shall choose, thou and thy household. And if it have any blemish, as if it be lame or blind, any ill blemish whatsoever, 22 thou shalt not sacrifice it unto the LORD thy God. Thou

shalt eat it within thy gates: the unclean and the clean 3 shalt eat it alike, as the gazelle, and as the hart. Only thou shalt not eat the blood thereof; thou shalt pour it out upon the ground as water.

year by year] At one of the feasts, probably the Passover, hence the place of this law of firstlings; in D immediately before that on the Passover, in Ex. xxxiv. 19 immediately after that on unleaveoed heread.

in the place, etc.] See on xii. 5, 18.

thy household including the local Levite, as explicitly stated in xii 12, 18.

21. any blemish] See on xvii. 1. Thou shalt not sacrifice it, i.e. at the one altar where alone sacrifice was now lawful; but—

22. Thou shalt eat it within thy gates] as an ordinary meal without rites; see on xii. 21.

23. See on xii. 22.

#### CH. XVI. 1-17. THE THREE FEASTS.

Every year Israel shall celebrate three Feasts at the Sanctaury, First, in the spring month Abib, a Passover, Ferab, with the Feast of MayAth or unleavened loaves (1.—8, cp. 16). Second, seren weeks from the time the sikelie is put to the corn, the Feast of Weeks, Shabu'ski (p—1s). Third, after the ingrathering from threshing-floor and winepress, the Feast of Boosths, Subboth (3.—1s). Thus thrice a year all males shall appear before God, with gifts (16.1).—In Sg. throughout; on the questionable integrity of the passage see below

The same three leasts are prescribed in E. Ex xxiii. 15, a. 16, Magtable, Kept or Harvess, and Majtab or Ingathering, the last at the going out of the years, the early Insentite year ending in September; and in J. Ex. xxiv. 16, a. 3, a. Magtable to, x. p. rassover). Weeks (fastifulation of wheel-harvest) and Ingathering, at the transition of Magtable to the system of the system

Observe the month of Abib, and keep the passover unto 16

and on the 15th day of the seventh month a convocation with seven days

of sacrifices, and on the 8th another convocation.

See Chapman, Intr. to the Pent. 146ff., and the relevant notes in Driver's Exad. with a table (pp. 370ff.) of the J and E laws 'derived evidently from a common original.'

The three Feats, Marghth, Harrest or Weeks, and Ingushering or Booth ser tone of an agricultural people. The Pleasurer sides was possible to terms in their more of the production of the property of the production of the form their records a messers (Er. iii. 1, is. 1), or, air, r. and Direct south. The Ecologies is relaxed, programmed by J. E. J., iii. 2, r. 2). Detention of the Plant Ecologies and Ec

### 1-8. THE PASSOVER (WITH MASSÔTH).

To be kept in Abib-for in that month Israel was brought out of Egypt-by the sacrifice of a victim from herd or flock at the One Altar (1 f.). For seven days unleavened bread shall be eaten-Israel's food in the haste of quitting Egypt, -and no leaven shall be found in their borders, nor any of the Passover flesh after the first evening (a f.). The Passover shall be boiled and eaten, the people returning next morning to their tents (5-7); for six days Israel shall eat unleavened bread, and on the seventh hold a convocation and do no work (8). - The integrity of the passage has been questioned (Steuern., Stark, Berth., Marti) and with reason. For not only do zw. a f. on Massôth break the connection of 1 f. with 5-7 on the Passover, while v. 8 also on Massoth reflects the style of P; but v. 7, fixing the Feast for one day after which the people are to return home, is difficult to harmonise with the seven days of vv. af. and 8. Two explanations are possible:-(1) D's law originally consisted of vv. 1 f., 5-7, and dealt only with the Passover; and the wa on Massoth are from an editor. But there is no reason why the original code of D should ignore Massôth-for which certainly E has a law, Ex. xxiit. 15 4, and (Stevern, potwithstanding) J also, Ex. xxxiv. 18 a-unless Massôth, a purely agricultural feast, had become too closely associated with the cults of the Baalim. (2) More probably we have here a compilation of two laws of D, originally separate, one on Passover and one on Massôth. In either ease the combination of Passover and Massôth, which was not original and is not accepted even by H in Lev. xxiii. (5, off.; 6-8 are added by P), took place between the date of the original code of D and that of the final composition of the Book of Deuteronomy.

Observe) As of the Sabbath, v. 12.
 month of Abib] Abib = young ears of corn (Ex. ix. 31; Lev. ii. 14)

DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by Google

the Loan thy God; for in the month of Abib the Loan thy 2 God brought thee forth out of Egypt by night. And thou shalt sacrifice the passover unto the Loan thy God, of the flock and the herd, in the place which the Loan shall 3 choose to cause his name to dwell there. Thou shalt eat no leavened bread with it; seven days shalt thou eat unleavened bread therewith, even the bread of affliction; for thou camest forth out of the land of Egypt in haste: that thou mayest remember the day when thou camest forth

and the month fell in our March—April. So E and J (Ex. xiii. 4, xxiii. 15). The name, belonging to the early agricultural calendar, was replaced after the Exile by the name Nisan of the later priestly calendar, in which it was the first month (P, Ex. xii. 1f. etc.). and keep] bit make to perform; see v. 15.

passover | Heb. pésah, so named according to P, Ex. xii. 13, 13, 17, because God passed over (pasah) the Hebrews' houses when He smote the Egyptian first-born on the eve of the Exodus. Other etymologies suggested are :-(1) from the passage into the New Year (Reuss), but the Passover month did not become the first of Israel's year till after the Exile; (2) from pasah to limp (1 Kings xviii. 26) as if of some sacred dance connected with threshold-rites; (3) from its expiatory value; cp. Ass. pasahu, to placate the deity (Zimmera in Schrader's KAT's, 610 n.). Since the Passover was celebrated at night others (4) connect its origin with the phases of the moon. Whatever that origin may have been, the feast (as we have seen) was observed by Israel earlier than the Exodus and was possibly the same as the spring sacrifice of firstlings or other tribute from the flocks. common throughout the Semitic world. But its association with the Exodus was undoubtedly early and has ever since constituted its chief. if not its only, significance. The history and the meaning of the Passover have been so exhaustively treated in this series. Driver, Exod. Appendix 1., that it is unnecessary to discuss the subject further here. 2. of the flock and the herd) Sheep, goat or ox, and doubtless as

 of the Rock and the herd. Sheep, goat or ox, and doubliess as in J, a firstling. P. Ex. xii. 3—6, prescribes a male of the first year (see Driver's note), but limits it to a lamb or kid; in later practice a lamb

was invariably chosen.

in the place which Jahovah shall choose! To Jehovah Sam. LXX and thy God. In J. Ex. xi. at—26, the service is domestic; and P. Ex xii. 3f., also preserves its domestic character, cp. v. 46.

3, 4. See introd. note.

bread of affiction] The affliction of Israel in Egypt, Ex. iii. 7, iv. 31, culminating in the haste or trapldation (Driver) with which they are their last meal there. So P. Ex. xii. 11; cp. for the meaning of the word, xx. 3; 1 Sam. xxiii. 46; 'Isai.' lii. 12.

out of the land of Egypt all the days of thy life. And there a shall be no leaven seen with thee in all thy borders seven days; neither shall any of the flesh, which thou sacrifices the first day at even, remain all night until the morning. Thou mayest not sacrifice the passover within any of thy gates, which the Lose thy God giveth hee: but at the place which the Lose thy God shall choose to cause his name to dwell in, there thou shalt sacrifice the passover at even, at the going down of the sun, at the season that thou camest forth out of Egypt. And thou shalt 'rosat and eat 7 it in the place which the Lose thy God shall choose: and thou shalt turn in the morning, and go unto thy tents. Six 8

## I Ot, seethe

no leaven, neither thall any of the flesh...remain] The two prohibitions are connected because anything fermenting or putrefying was not admissible in sacrifice (W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 221 n.). Cp. P, Ex. xii. 10.

5, 6. See on v. 2. For at even, P, Ex. xii. 6, employs his technical expression between the two evenings, on which see Driver's note. Season, set time or date. i.e. hour of day.

1. And does thalf needthe! The Heb. bathel may be used in the general sense of cooling, but it usually means to beif [pr. as; 1 S.m. in 13, 15]. The R.V. rearf is due to the effort to harmonise this aw with that of P, Ex. xii. 9, which directs that the ascribes shall be reart work for; but P expressly adds that it shall not be boiled in water, and uses for this the same vo hazaka as D does. Clearly D and P enjoin different methods of preparing the paschal lamb. Bulling appears to have been the earlier preparation of the part of victime setten by the worthlypen; (log-vi. 10 gli., r. 20m. ii. 13 h) and rousing was considered as an innovation (s. 5mm. ii. 15). See however Direct Science and the second of the construction of the construc

thou shalt turn | See on til. 1.

and go unto thy tents] An interesting survival from the nomadic period of Israel's history; cp. (also for the time after the settlement in towns) Judg. vii. 8, xix. 9 (EVV. home); 1 Sam. xii. 2, x x. 22; 1 Kgs. xii. 16. The people then are to return to their homes on the

morning after the Passover feast.

8. See introd. note. The incompatibility of this n. with the preceding is obvious unless we are to explain nots as the shelters which pilgrims to the central sanctuary pitched during the feast. But (as we have seen) dent means the people's homes. The numbering of the days is not clear. If the Passover day itself is included there is no contradiction of n. 3, for that was the first day of unleavemed bread,

14-2

days thou shalt eat unleavened bread: and on the seventh day shall be a solemn assembly to the LORD thy God; thou shalt do no work therein.

9 Seven weeks shalt thou number unto thee: from the time thou beginnest to put the sickle to the standing corn shalt to thou begin to number seven weeks. And thou shalt keep the feast of weeks unto the Lonn thy Gop 'with a tribute of

### 1 Or, after the measure of the &c.

and this v. may be interpreted as also fixing seven days for the eating of such bread; but distinguishing them as as x plant a seventh on which in addition the solemn assembly was to be held. But if the Passover day was meant to be included it is strange that it is not mentioned. On the whole, and particularly because of the two expressions later bowever also in Deut. v. 13p, it is probable that v. 8 is an addition by the compiler of the two once separate laws on the Passover and the Magolic

### 9-12. THE FEAST OF WEEKS.

To be Joyfuly celebrated after seven weeks from the beginning of harvest, with free-will offering, by each Insertile, isong with his housebold and the local Levites and other poor at the Ore Altar (9-17). Whether v. 7: is original is obtained; see below. For corresponding to the control of the control of the control of the control associated in the O.T. with a memorable of the control of the Later Judaism assigned to it the giving of the Law on Sinal.

a. Seess works islant those number want thee; Hence the name of the Feast, Weeks, Sadavitch, vo. 10, 16, also in J. Ex. xxiv. 22. H, Lev. xxiii. r6, prescribes fifty days iron the sabbath after the presentation before the Aliar of the first sheef of the harvest, hence the Hellenistic name Pentecout, 'the fiftieth' (day) or the day after the conclusion of the seven weeks. The name gives by E, Ex. xxiii. i6, Harvest, implies that the harvest was by that time concluded. In the warnest parts of all setting bardey ripens in Agril, wheat later; but in The present writer has seen wheat reasped in Hauran as late as the second half of lune.

second material with subspirators, etc.] List from the start of the sield, (only here and white longitumers, etc.) List from the start of the sield, (only here and white longitumers, and the standing sorm, a variable date; to Lev. xxiii. 1gf., 30 days from the sableath after the presentation of the first theaf. It is significant that while D'e date starts from Maşoth, he says nothing to date Weeks from the Passover: another indication that the same control of the same start of the same start of the Massoft were not yet analesements. See introd, to we see the

10. feast] Heb. hag, as in Rabbinic Hebrew a pilgrim-feast, and

a freewill offering of thine hand, which thou shalt give, according as the LORE thy God blesseth thee: and thou it shalt rejetce before the LORD thy God, thou, and thy son, and thy daughter, and thy manservant, and the Levite that is within thy gates, and the starqer, and the fatherless, and the widow, that are in the midst of thee, in the place which the LORD thy God shall choose to cause his name to dwell there. And thou shalt remember 12 that thou wast a bondman in Egypt: and thou shalt observe and do these statutes.

Thou shalt keep the feast of 1tabernacles seven days, after 13

## 1 Heb. booths.

in Ar. pilgrimage (perhaps originally a sacred dance, Wellh. Reste d. Arab. Heiden. 111. 105, 155, and Ex. xxxiii. 5f.; cp. the vb hagag, Ps. xlii. 5, cvii. 27). So E., Ex. xxiii. 1x4, and frequently in O.T. of the three pilgrim feasts. See Driver's Exad. 242.

with a tribute of a free-will offering, etc.] Heb. (according to) the sufficiency of the free-will offering, etc.; i.e. with a gift (see on xii. 6) adequate to the competence of the offerer, as he has been blessed by God.

11. See on xii. 5, 7, 11 f. 18.

13. And thou thall remember, etc.] See on xv. 15. This clause is not relevant to the whole law, but only to the inclusion under it of the bondservant, x. 11. It can hardly be original, and as the rest of the v. is purely formal, the whole is probably secondary.

## 13-15. THE FEAST OF BOOTHS.

To be observed for seven days after the harvest of corn and wine by each family and their dependents, at the One Aire; and that altogether joyfully because of God's blessing.—For the parallels and the other name of the Fests see introd. to we. 1—17. This feats is also called the feast par excellence (r Kgs viii. 1, 65, etc., cp. Jod. xxi. 19ff.) not so much for its length, as because it crowned the year. See further xxii. 10.

3. Then that heat! High perform for threath, see on x. 1.

the feast of bootha feast, hag, as in v. 10. Booths, sukkbth, lit.

the just of DOULDA justs, Aug. as no. 10. DOULDA, 100500, All, platings or interactivings, whether natural hickets (Job xxxviii, 40, etc.) or artificial shellers of branches or planks, especially for the guardinas of vineyards (Ls. 8); a pplief fors by D, and explained by H, Lev. xxiii. 39—439 which prescribes that the people shall dwell throughout the feast in booths of plant-fronds, boughts of thick trees and poplars (Neh. viii. 15, ofive, myrife, palm and thick tree branches). H's reason for this custom is that lared dwelf in booths at the Exodus;

that thou hast gathered in from thy threshing-floor and from 14 thy winepress: and thou shalt rejoice in thy feast, thou, and thy son, and thy daughter, and thy manservant, and thy maidservant, and the Levite, and the stranger, and the fatherless, 15 and the widow, that are within thy gates. Seven days shalt thou keep a feast unto the LORD thy God in the place which the LORD shall choose; because the LORD thy God shall bless

thee in all thine increase, and in all the work of thine hands, 16 and thou shalt be altogether joyful. Three times in a year shall all thy males appear before the LORD thy God in the

but the general resort of the cultivators to booths in their vineyards at the time of the ripening of the grapes and the vintage, which still continues in Palestine (Robinson, Bib. Res. 11. 81), was no doubt very ancient and the real origin of the name of the Feast. After the centralisation of the cultus, the booths were erected in the courts and on the flat roofs of the city, Neh. viii. 14-17, which implies that before the restoration of Israel's worship under Nehemiah the custom had been in abevance. The term tabernacles is used in the EVV. in the sense given by Johnson of 'casual dwellings' (Lat. taberna a hut, tabernaculum a tent).

seven days] So H, Lev. xxiii. 39, to which P, Nu. xxix. 35, adds an eighth, with a convocation. Passover and Weeks are one day each,

threshing-floor and winepress] xv. 14. 14. and thou shalt rejoice! As in v. ra but slightly varied.

18. the place which the LORD shall choose. On the effects of the

centralisation of the feasts see introd. to vv. r-17. and thou shalt be altogether joyful Heb. only. Or nothing but.

joyful. This emphatic repetition of the command is remarkable, but hardly sufficient to answer in the affirmative Steuernagel's question whether the feast had before D's time begun to lose its ancient, joyous character.

16, 17 summarise the laws of the three feasts. v. 16 repeats (with a characteristic variation and addition of the divine title) the older commandment in J, Ex. xxxiv. 23, repeated (editorially) in E, xxiii. 17; three times a year shall all thy males appear before the Lord Tekovah. That only males are mentioned here, while vo. 11, 14 include among the worshippers daughters, bondwomen and widows, is no proof that this summary is from another hand than the three preceding laws (Steuern.). It is the same author but he is quoting the older law. In contrast with its confinement of the law to males D's inclusion of women is characteristic; see on v. 21.

shall appear before the LORD thy God Heb. shall let himself be seen at the face of, a possible but awkward construction. It is probable that the original reading, which may be restored without the change of a place which he shall choose; in the feast of unleavened bread, and in the feast of weeks, and in the feast of tabernacles: and they shall not appear before the Loxo empty: every man 'shall give as he is able, according to the blessing 17 of the Loxo thy God which he hath given thee.

Judges and officers shalt thou make thee in all thy gates, 18

## I Heb. according to the gift of his hana.

consonant and by merely altering the vowel-points, was shall see the face of. The motive of the present punctuation would be the desire to avoid the anthropomorphism involved in the phrase 'seeing the face of God.'

### II. Second Division of the Laws: the Officers of the Theographysical 18-20...xvii. 8-xviii.

Five Laws on Judges and Justice, Appeal to the Sanctuary, the King, the Priests, the Prophets; interrupted by an isolated group of laws on the Worship, xvi. 2r—xvii. 7.

### XVI. 18-20. OF JUDGES AND JUSTICE.

Judges with officers are to be appointed in every locality but according to tribes. Justice is to be pursued with strict impartiality.-Sg. Steuern, regards v. 18 alone as original on the grounds that while it commits the discharge of justice to special judges, vo. rof. addresses the whole people as responsible for it; and that while 18 presupposes Israel's occupation of the land, 20 b promises this as the reward of the people's justice. But the former variation, though a possible, is not a certain, mark of diversity of authorship. The same author, after instituting the judges, might well address to the whole people his enforcement of the principles which were to inspire the institution, especially since (as we shall see) he left to the popular courts part of the duty of discharging justice. 20 6, a couple of deuteronomic formulas, may well be a later scribe's malaprotos addition to the original law. There is no reason for doubting the integrity of the rest. v. 10 is a close, but not exact, quotation from E. On the substance of this law see notes to i. q-18.

18. fudges...shalt thou make thee} Heb. give or appoint for

and officers] scribes of marshals. See on i. 15.

in all thy gates] The law is another consequence of the centralisation of the cultus. In ancient Israel ordinary cases were decided by the meeting of the community at the town's gate, and the harder case referred to the local sanctuary for decision by its priest as God's representative; or, the Elohim in E, Ex. xxi 6, xxi 8 ft, r Sam. it 25.

LORD thy God giveth thee.

which the Loan thy God giveth thee, according to thy tribes: and they shall judge the people with righteous 19 judgement. Thou shalt not wrest judgement; thou shalt not respect persons: neither shalt thou take a gift; for a gift doth blind the eyes of the wise, and pervert the 'words 20 of the righteous. "That which is altogether just shalt thou follow, that thou mayest live, and inherit the land which the

## 1 Or, cause 2 Heb. Justice, justice,

On the abolition of the local sanctuaries the former, the popular, court continued, as we see from the dister mentioned in six. 12, xxii. 13,—11, xxv. 5,—10, and combined with the pudge in xxi 2. But other provision had to be made for the superior procedure hitherto carried out ment of local lay judges, and second in xxii. 8 f. by the constitution of the Priests of the One Altra as a court of final reference. Josephus' version, 1v. Anti. viii. 14,—seven judges for each township with two Levites as ascerous—probably refers the arrangements of his own

according to thy tribes] This survival of the old tribal interests (i. 13), alongside of the new arrangement according to locality, is interesting.

and they shall judge, etc.] i. 16.

19. Thou] The whole people are responsible for the impartial

discharge of justice: characteristic of D.

shall not werel judgement] E, Ex. xxiil. 6: the judgement of thy
poor in his cause.

thou shalt not respect persons] See on i. 17.

neither shall thou take a gift, etc.] So E, Ex. xxiii, 8, except that for the eyes of the wise it has the open-eyed or them that have sight.

a  $g(\vec{y})$ . Heb. shabed, of a present in order to influence justice, a Portiole (x, r), a prevalent templation of judges in the East, where he is regarded as still a just judge who takes gifts only from the party in the right, as it were a fee for his judgement or an indocement to hasten it. Here, however, the acceptance of any gift by a judge is forbidden. In Here Code of Hammarshi the she have, expelling from office the judge who alters his decition, implies that he does this for some unjur reason of the first of the property of the control of the property of the control of the property of the p

words] Statements or pleas, equivalent to cause or case.

20. That which is altogether just Heb. righteousness, righteousness, follow Not only desire but indefinitionly hunt after; cp. xiii. 14, inquire, mode search and seek diligntly.

that thou mayest tive, etc.] See note on iv. 1 and introd. to this passage.

Thou shalt not plant thee an Asherah of any kind of 21 tree beside the altar of the LORD thy God, which thou shalt make thee. Neither shalt thou set thee up a <sup>1</sup>pillar; which 22 the LORD thy God hateth.

#### Or, obelisk

XVI. 21-XVII. 7. ISOLATED GROUP OF LAWS ON WORSHIP.

This group of laws against heathen symbols and blemished sacrifices and the working of other gody—all of them shorminations to, or hated by Jebovah—is quite isolated, between two sets of laws on juddical procedure, xvi. H=10 and the Hall St. is and where seen reasons between xii. xp=31 and xiii. 1 (x)=18 (xp). The notes below will show that there are both similarities and dissimilarities between the two separated sections. The reason which Steermagel gives for supposing and the reason which Steermagel gives for supposing and of reform—vib. because he speaks only of an after and does not use the formulas found in xii. for the Om Altar—is not convincing the result of the results of the present section from xii. xp=xiii. 38 it must be remembered that within the latter there there are some editional additions the form of address in the Sg. it was the results and the results of the reserved to the control of the results of the present section from xii. xp=xiii. 38 it must be remembered that within the latter there there are some editional additions the form of address is in the Sg. it was the results of the results of

XVI. 21, 22. AGAINST THE USE OF 'ASHERIM AND MASSEBOTH.

21. Thou shalt not plant thee an Asherah] plant, because the 'Asherah (see general note following) was either a mast or artificial tree.

of any kind of tree] The Heb. construction is not in the genitive but in apposition; translate therefore: an'Atherah, any tree or any timber.

being the alter of the LORD ky God]. No doubt, the Heb. may mean either the lemot, or any, altern/for the latter see Ex. xx.  $x_1 \delta_0$ , where ny alter in the light of  $x_1 \delta_0$  must mean any of my alter). Yet the former partial in the light of  $x_1 \delta_0$  must mean any of my alter). Yet the former D of the permission of others that the latter of the l

22. Neither shalt thou set thee up a pillar] raise for thyself a Massebah (see general note following) or standing-stone.

which the LORD thy God hateth] Similarly xii. 31, but with the addition there of abomination, which is wanting here but found in the next verse.

# General Note on the 'Asherah and Massebah.

Two symbols or inhabitations of deity erected in sanctuaries throughout the Semitic world: frequently combined in the O.T. as present in Canaanite sanctuaries, and at first erected also by Israel but afterwards forbidden to them.

1. The 'Ashērah (plus. 'Ashērim, see xii. 3 and elsewhere, but 'Asheroth 2 Chron. xix. 3, xxxiii. 3), artificial tree or mast set up like the masseboth by the altars of Semitic sanctuaries, a work of man's fingers (Isai. xvii. 8: cp. 1 Kgs xiv. 15, xvi. 13, 2 Kgs xxi. 3), wooden (xvi. 21, Judg. vi. 26, the twood of the 'A.; ep. the verbs used of it : plant, xvi. 21, rise, 'Isni.' xxvii. 9, pluck up, Mic. v. 14, cut down, vii. 5, Judg. vi. 25 f., 30, 2 Kgs xviii. 4, xxiii. 14, 2 Chron. xiv. 2, burn, here, a Kos xxiii, 6, 14, in distinction from the breaking of the stone masseboth). Unlike the massebah the 'Asherah is never described as a aanctioned or tolerated part of Jehovah's sanctuaries. There was one by the alter of the Ba'al belonging to his father, which Gideon cut down (Judg. vi. 28 ff.); Ahab made the or an 'Asherah for the altar of the Ba'al in Samaria (1 Kgs xvi. 33), which appears to have been left by Jehn when he burned the masseboth there (2 Kgs x. 26 ff.; see however end of this note), for it still stood under Jehoahas (2 Kgs xiii. 6). The deuteronomic editor of Kings says that in Judah Rehoboam raised masseboth and 'Asherim on every high hill and under every appeading tree (1 Kgs xiv. 23): Jehoshaphat is said to bave removed them (2 Chron. xiv. 2, xvii. 6, xix. 3), but they were restored by Joash (id. xxiv. 18). Their removal is stated as part of Hezekiah's reforms (2 Kgs xviii, 4), but Manasseh, besides building altars to the Ba'al, made an 'Asherah (id. xxi. 3), and by the prophets they are counted among the idolatrous sina of Israel (Mic. v. 14, Jer. xvii. 2, 'Isai.' xxvii. q). That they were dedicated to Jehovah is implied in the prohibition, xvi. 21. The command to cut them down in Ex. xxxiv. 13 is a later insertion: there is no record of a law against them before D. Like the standing-stone the mast (or tree for which it atood) was frequently identified with the deity, and was probably the female counterpart to the stone. Several passages seem to imply that there was a goddess called 'Asherah (prophets of the 'A., 1 Kgs xviii. 19, image of the 'A., id. xv. 13, 2 Kgs xxi. 7, vessels of the 'A., id. xxiii. 4, and even houses, i.e. tents or deckings, id xxiii. 7: cp. the veiled 'Asherah below). Her existence has been denied by, among others, W. R. Smith (Rel. Sem. 171 f.). But his reason, that every altar, to whatever deity it belonged, bad an 'Asherah is hardly sufficient to prove an exclusively generic meaning for the name. Recent Assyriology appears to put beyond doubt the name 'Asherah as that of a Canaanite goddess and to give good reasons for her identification with Ashtoreth (cp. Judg. iii. 7, 1 Kgs xviii. 19). The Ass. name is Ashratu or Ashirtu, and in the Tell-el-Amarna letters we find a man's name 'Abd.'Ashratum, 'the worshipper of 'Ashemh.'

'The double meaning which 'Asherah has as 'sacred pole' and as the name of the goddes (α' Ashtoreth) is now placed beyond dount by the witness of the Tell-th Amarus tablets (Ashtirine-Fibter) and finds its explanation in a representation of the velled Ishinz-Ashera, as a bust running into a pillize in the fashion of the Herones, discovered by von Oppenheim at Ras ab-'Ain, the source of the Khabur' (Winckler and Jensen, pat d. of Schrieder's K-A' x-y, one so use, y, ab, y, ab, x<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>2</sub> x f.).

That the 'Asherah represented a female deity (in distinction from the male character of the masseboth) is perhaps the reason of the less tolerance

which it received in Israel.

2. The Maspehah (thing set upright) standing-stone (planul margin-dux, xiz), you can sath arrised by Jacob as the witness of his bargain with Laban (Gen. xxxi. 49, 21) and at Rachel's grave (id. xxxv. 20), or by Absalom in his own memory (a Sem. xxvii. 18); but usually oble large monoliths (R.V. marg. sheftish) beside the altars of Semitic shrines. They were regarded as the habitation of a deity (see Gen. xxvii. 22 below), but in the sense of being his embodiment; and so in ritual spoken of and treated as the food himself (W. R. Smith, Rec. xxviii. 22 below), but in the sense of being his embodiment; and so in triant spoken or and treated as the God himself (W. R. Smith, Rec. xxviii. 24 below). The third is the continuous co

Speciment were received discovered at Genes by Mr. R., A. S. Manafatter—in one high planes a row of my directed lawy and, of which only the strapps of two remains, high planes are one of my directed lawy and the strapps of two remains, bread by a ft of two, highes, and in another high place a row of a with the camps of a finite at Santa, by prob. Siller how prove of a canch, with a pair as in the high place at Petra here are a great Manashath of matters high, however out of the livings. Those at General recording the most of the living recording to the contract of the

In the earliest times magneted were erected by the Helbews: by Jacob (Gen. xwiii. 18, 21 &, xxxx. 14, I) in memory of God's appearance to him, and to be God's bosses—Bethed (cp. 6x Parvilows the two particles of the property of the property of the two particles of the two particles of the two particles of the two particles of the particles of the two particles of the particles of the grant particles of the part

 $^{1}$  We read also of great stress still by Johnsaia Johnsaia Sancausry Si Shechom as witness against the people (for xxiv;  $\phi E$ ) and all Giggs is memorials of the passage of Inedan (fed. 1v. 3), at Maspeh and Gibeon (f. 5m. vii. 1; 1 × 5m. xx. B). The According to the state is a present a larger as a symbol of a constant of the state of

17 Thou shalt not sacrifice unto the LORD thy God an ox, or a sheep, wherein is a blemish, or any evil-favouredness: for that is an abomination unto the LORD thy God.

a recognition of the mazyheth in the worship of Jehovah the command in xii. 3 to destroy the mazyheth of the Canannite anctuaries is of course compatible. But the same cannot be said of the injunction in xiv. 12 not to est up a mazyheth beside the allar of Jehovah, wheth marks that the deuteron, legislation is later than Hones. It is possible, however, that the deuteron, legislation is later than Hones. It is possible, however, that there had never been a mayebeal in the Temple of Jerusalem. In x Kgs x. 26.1 Jehu is said to have burned the mazyheth in the house of the Barla in Samaria, but because of the verb some read instead die \*Lisherad. On the whole subject is seen subject in the mazyheth in the mazyheth in the samaria that the subject is seen the same read instead die \*Lisherad. On the whole subject is expectally W. K. In B. B. B. Comme, and the same read instead of the same than the same subject is seen that the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the same subject is subject in the same subject in the s

#### Ch. XVII. 1. AGAINST BLEMIAHED SACRIFICES.

This law against the use of blemished victims for sacrifice comes naturally after those forbidding the 'Asharah and Maspaka, and that against child-sacrifice, xii. 31, for the blemished victim is not merely an irregularity but an abomination to Israel's God, which He batch: xii. 31, xxi. 22. It is also more natural that this general law, xvii. 13, abould precede, instead of follow, the more special xx. 21. The legislation in J and E has no corresponding law; nor has that in P, where, the certain the control of the control of

a blemish] or fault, any till thing; xv. an: lame or blind; Lev. xxii.: blind, broken, maimed, having sorea or scurry, mullated, creabed or broken; a bullock or lamb with any part superfluous or lacking may do for a free will offering, but not for a yow; Mal. 1.8: blind, lame, sick.

abomination] See on vii. 25.

### Against Worshippers of Other Gods.

If such be found in any of thy gates, and their crime established, they shall be stoned [4-94]; only at the mouth of two witnesses shall any one be put to death: so shalt thou burn out the evil from the midst of thee (6.6.)—The evil condemned is related to those which precede it by being like them one of all the abonizations to febouat which IR

strength' symbols of the Denry, but they did not stand in the inner sanctuary. W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 191 m. and 468, takes them as altar-pillars with hearths on their tops.

If there be found in the midst of thee, within any of thy a gates which the LORD thy God giveth thee, man or woman, that doeth that which is evil in the sight of the LORD thy God, in transgressing his covenant, and hath gone and 3 served other gods, and worshipped them, or the sun, or the moon, or any of the host of heaven, which I have not commanded; and it be told thee, and thou hast heard of it, ethen shalt thou inquire diligently, and, behold, if it be true, and the thing certain, that such abomination is wrought in Israel; then shalt thou bring forth that man or that woman, 5 which have done this evil thing, unto thy gates, even the man or the woman; and thou shalt stone them with stones, that they die. At the mouth of two witnesses, or three 6 witnesses, shall he that is to die be put to death; at the

hatch, xii, 31, and the law dealing with it naturally leads up to the three in ch. xiii., with which it shows some similarities of language, along with such variations as these three show among themselves. Like them it is in the Sg. throughout.

3. If there de found in the midst of the? xiii, 1 (2): if there arise.

If there be found in the midst of thee] xiii. 1 (2): if there arise, etc.; q.v.

within any of thy gates | xiii. 12 (13): one of thy cities; q.v. doeth that which is evil, etc.] See on iv. 25.

in transgressing his covenant] Josh vil. 11, 15, xxiii. 16 (all deuteron.). The same sin is in iv. 23 called forgetting the covenant. On covenant see iv. 13.

3. gone and served other gods | So xiii. 6, 13 (7, 14); and 2 (3) with slight variation.

sun, moon, etc.] See on iv. 19.

which I have not commanded Cp. iv. 19: which thy God hath arigned unto the peoples. The use of the first person here is remarkable; God Himself takes up the speech, as in vii. 4 and frequently in the prophets: e.g. Jer. vii. 31, xix. 5, xxxii. 35.

4. and it be told thee, and thou hatt heard] Similarly xiii. 12

that thou inquire, etc.] So, but with additions, xiii. 14 [15], q.v.

hat thou shalt bring forth, usuto thy gates? Cp. xxii. 24: the usual
place for stoning was without the gale, so that the city might not be
polluted (cp. Lev. xxiv. 14, Num. xv. 36); where also Stephen was
stoned. Acts viii. 58, under this law. On tenture see on xiii. 10 (11).

even the man or the woman. Omit with LXX.

6. At the mouth of two witnesses or at the mouth of three witnesses.

So Sam and LXX, as in xx. 15, where the law, here applied to a particular case, is more generally stated. Cp. P, Num. xxxv. 30.

7 mouth of one witness he shall not be put to death. The hand of the witnesses shall be first upon him to put him to death, and afterward the hand of all the people. So thou shalt put away the evil from the midst of thee.

8 If there arise a matter too hard for thee in judgement, between blood and blood, between plea and plea, and between stroke and stroke, being matters of controversy

The hand of the witnesser shall be first, etc.] Cp. xiii. 9(10): so
they would feel more seriously the responsibility of their testimony!
so thou shall put away the evil) burn out. See on xiii. 5 (6).

# 8-13. Of the Judges of Final Appeal.

Local cases too hard for the local courts (see xvi. 18-20, on which this passage immediately follows) are to be taken before the Priests, the Levites at the Sanctuary, and the Judge of the time (8f.), whose decisions must be strictly obeyed (10 f.); the man who presumptuously refuses to obey shall die (12 f.) .- Sg. address. The association of a lay judge with the priests is remarkable. Because of this and because he regards 86 and qa as doublets and 10 and 11 as another pair of doublets, Steuern. analyses the passage into two originally distinct laws (with editorial additions), one constituting the Priests of the Altar a court of appeal, the other recognising the Judge (i.e. the King) as the final authority. But 8 b and 9 a are not doublets, and although 10 and It are redundant it is impossible to discriminate in them two distinct sources. More probably the passage is intended to sanction the double practice prevailing in Israel from the earliest times, and during the monarchy, of the discharge of justice by both the priestly and the civil heads of the people. How the authority was divided is nowhere stated except in 2 Chron, xix, 8-11, which attributes to King Jehoshaphat (872-840) the institution of a double court consisting of Levites, priests. and heads of families. Over this the chief priest was set in all the matters of Jehovah, and a prince was set over it in all the Kins's matters. But it is uncertain whether the passage merely reflects the procedure of justice in the Chronicler's own day or is a genuine memory of that which prevailed under the monarchy. See the present writer's Jerusalem, I. 379 n., 387 f.

8. If there arise a matter too hard for thee] Heb. if a matter be too wonderful (or extraordinary) for thee; cp. xxii. 11. In i. 17, and Ex.

xviii. 22, 26 (E), hard translates other Heb. words.

between blood and blood] i.e. between accidental manslaughter and wilful murder, iv. 43, xix. 41, xi £; E, Ex. xxi. 12-14. between blea and blea! Probably questions of property, as in Ex.

xxii. 1 ff., etc.
between stroke and stroke] Questions of compensation for bodily

injuries, such as are defined in E, Ex. xxi. 18ff.

within thy gates: then shalt thou arise, and get thee up unto the place which the LORD thy God shall choose; and thou o shalt come unto the priests the Levites, and unto the judge that shall be in those days; and thou shalt inquire; and they shall shew thee the sentence of judgement: and thou 10 shalt do according to the tenor of the sentence, which they shall show thee from that place which the LORD shall choose; and thou shalt observe to do according to all that they shall teach thee: according to the tenor of the law 11 which they shall teach thee, and according to the judgement which they shall tell thee, thou shalt do: thou shalt not turn aside from the sentence which they shall shew thee, to the right hand, nor to the left. And the man that doeth 12 presumptuously, in not hearkening unto the priest that standeth to minister there before the LORD thy God, or unto the judge, even that man shall die: and thou shalt put

matters of controversy within thy gates] Summary of the previous clauses—all local cases. See on xii. 12, xvi. 18.

get thee up] Of resort to the Sanctuary, 1 Sam. i. 3, etc., Ps. cxxii. 4. the place, etc.] See on xii. 5.

unto the priests the Levies: See on x. 8, xviii. 1. The omission of these words by LXX B is due to careless copying, and in no way supports Steuernagel's analysis of the text into two laws (see introd. note).

unto the fudge that shall be in those days] That is of course either king, as in 5 Sam. xiv, 3 xv. 161. Kgg iii 16 fl., or some official or officials appointed by him, 2 Sam. xv. 3, and Jer. xxvi, according to which Jeremish was tried, on the complaint of the priests, by the sarim, lay officers or princes, under the King. The plat: is thus used in xix. 17; the priests and the judge sakit shall be in these days.

inquire] darash as in xiii. 14, q.v. shew] Heb. declare to or announce to.

sentence] Heb. word.

10. tenor] Heb. mouth; see on i. 26, 43, ix. 23.

observe to do] See on v. t.

11. [aw] Heh. torah, usually of the directions given by priests in

questions of ritual, covers here their decisions in civil cases as well. Teach, rather direct, is the vb from which Torah is derived. 12. presumptiously See on 1.43 and cp. xviii. 20.

presumpruoussy] See on t. 43 and cp. xviii, 20.
 unto the priest...or unto the judge] Again no information is given as

to how the cases are to be divided between the two. D's sole interest is to accommodate the procedure of law to the fact of the One Altar. that standath to minister, etc.] See on x. 8.

13 away the evil from Israel. And all the people shall hear, and fear, and do no more presumptuously.

14 When thou art come unto the land which the Lorn thy God giveth thee, and shall toposes it, and shalt dwell therein; and shalt say, I will set a king over me, like as all the ip, nations that are round about me; thou shalt in any wise set him king over thee, whom the Lorn thy God shall choose: one from among thy brethen shalt thou set king over thee:

put away the evil] See on xiii. 5 (6).

13. hear, and fear | xiii. 11 (12).

### 14-20. OF THE KING.

When Israel cleet to have a King like other nations, he must be chosen of God, an Israelica and no foreigner (14,6). He must not multiply horses, wives nor silver and gold [16]), the shall write a copy of the Law and always study it, that he may feer God, with a real not uplifted above his brethera, to the prolonging of his own and his children's days [18—20]. Peculiar to D, and in the Sg address, and in 16 h where usus you is due to the attraction of the Pl. in the quotation. The obvious references to Solomon and the eebo of the prophet's protests against Egyptian alliances confirm he other evidence which D furnishes for a date under the later monarchy.

Some takes the law as even later than the body of the Code, because, like  $x_{\rm LL}^2$ ,  $y_{\rm L}^2$  and Berth, who compares  $v_{\rm L}^2$  to  $y_{\rm L}^2$  the  $y_{\rm LL}^2$   $y_{\rm$ 

14. When thou art come, etc.] Similarly xviii. 9, xxvi. 1; ep. vi. 10, vii. 1.

I will set a king...like at all the nations, etc.]: Sam. viii. 3: make us (the same verb) a king to judge us like all the nations. Cp. I Sam. xii. 12, where the example of the Anmonites is given as the motive of Israel's desire, although felovah your God is your King. Evidently D is doubtful of the advantance of the monarchy. Like so

much else in the code this law is a concession to existing facts.

16. thou thalt in any wise set] The emphatic Heb. means either thou mayest certainty, or thou shalt only, set.

thy God shall choose So of Saul and David, 1 Sam. ix. 15 f., x. 24, xvi. 1, 12, 2 Sam. vi. 21, on which precedents D's law seems based. one from among thy brethren B a Hebrew, see on xv. 12.

thou mayest not put a foreigner over thee, which is not thy brother. Only he shall not multiply horses to himself, nor 16 cause the people to return to Egypt, to the end that he should multiply horses: forasmuch as the LORD hath said unto you, Ye shall henceforth return no more that way. Neither shall he multiply wives to himself, that his heart 17 turn not away: neither shall he greatly multiply to himself silver and gold. And it shall be, when he sitteth upon the 18 throne of his kingdom, that he shall write him a copy of this law in a book, out of that which is before the priests the

thou mayest not put a foreigner, etc.] No such attempt, or temptation, on the part of Israei is recorded; the veto upon it can hardly be intended to cover, or have found its motive in, the nomination of an Israelite king by a foreign power, e.g. Zedekiah. It was this law which caused Agrippa I, to burst into tears as he remembered his Edomite origin. Contrast Cyrus as the Shepherd and the Auginted, of Jehovah-of course, in relation to Israel ('Isai.' xliv. 28, xlv. 1). Only | Heb. rak, see on x. 15.

he shall not multiply horses, etc.] On the horse in Israel, see Jerusalem 1. 334 f. Horses came from N. to S. in W. Asia, probably from Asia Minor. Brought into Egypt by the Hyksos after 1800 B.C. they were never very common there, but the breed was excellent, (W. M. Müller, E.S. Egypt, § 9.) By 1600 B.C. they were used in Palestine. Solomon seems to have introduced them into Israel; and they and the chariots for which they were first employed became symbolic of the strength of the N. Kingdom (2 Kgs ii, 12, xiii, 14), The prophets mention horses nearly always with war and foreign subsidies, in which the people were tempted to trust instead of in God. See Am. iv. 10, Hos. i. 7, xiv. 3, Isai. ii. 7, xxxi. 1, 3, Ezek. xvii. 15, of which the last three passages and probably also (because of the parallel) Hos. xiv. 3, kientify them with Ismel's irreligious confidence in an Egyptian alliance. Lience the clause nor cause the people to return to Egypt. This does not mean that individual Hebrews were bartered for Egyptian horses (Steuern.). Like the prophets D is hostile to an Egyptian alliance, of which the clearest token would be subsidies of horses.

the LORD hath said, etc. 1 Not found in Exod .- Numb, 'It is probable that as in other cases (cf. on i. 22, x. 1-3, q. xvii. 2) the actual words were still read in some part of the narrative of JE, extant at the time

when Deut, was composed ' (Driver).

17. multiply wives ... silver and gold | Solomon notoriously did so. His marriages with foreign princesses were for political ends, but introduced heathen cults into Israel (1 Kgs xi. 1, cp. xvi. 31). 18. a copy of this law Lit. a duplicate of what was before, or in

charge of, the priests (xxxi. 9, 26). Here we have the beginning of DEUTERONOMY 15

Digitizen by Google

- 19 Levites: and it shall be with him, and he shall read therein all the days of his life: that he may learn to fear the LORD his God, to keep all the words of this law and these statutes,
- 20 to do them: that his heart be not lifted up above his brethren, and that he turn not aside from the commandment, to the right hand, or to the left: to the end that he may prolong his days in his kingdom, he and his children, in the midst of Israel.

that confidence in written revelation and the canon which brought so much good and evil to the religious life of Israel. On the mistranslation of this phrase by the LXX in the title they gave to the whole book see Introd. § 1.

19. it shall be with him ] Josh. i. 8.
that he may learn to tear, etc.] See on iv. 10, xiv. 22.

to keep...to do | See on v. 1.

20. that his heart, etc.] Cp. viii. 2. There not aside, v. 33, Prolong days, iv. 40. Cullen (40) thinks that in mentioning Torat and Misuah separately in vv. 19, 20 the writer selers to two distinct works. This is by no means clear; he may be using them here as parallel term.

### CH. XVIII. 1-8. OF THE PRIESTS THE LEVITES.

Of the priestly tribe of Levis, who have no land, J. Ichovah is the inheritance, and they shall live by the offenings to Him (i.f.), which are detailed [3f]: He chose Levi and his some as His priests for ever many there dicharge the priestly office and live by it equally with his brother Levites who already minister there [6-8]:—Sg. throughout and in D's phraseology; but the unity of the passage has been questioned because of the doublest in n. 1.f., the double designation of the priest the Levites and the review of Levis, and the parallel with the priest the Levites and the review of Levis, and the parallel with

In v. r. Steeren takes as original only all the tribe of Levi and attaches it as subject to we a George the formula, as the Acts by Amer, Co. J., 4. (except out of all subject to we as George the formula, as the Acts by Amer, Co. J., 4. (except out of all the Acts of th

Whichever analysis be preferred, the substance of this law is unmistakeable. It is not a complete law of the Priesthood, but like so The priests the Levites, 'even all the tribe of Levi, shall 18 have no portion nor inheritance with Israel; they shall eat the offerings of the LORD made by fire, and his inheritance.

#### r Or. ar

many others in D, is concerned only with the people's duties to its subject, under the new conditions introduced by the centralisation of the worship. In fixes the priest's share of the people's offerings (8.7) and provides for the dispossessed Levites, when they come to Jerus and provides for the dispossessed Levites, when they come to Jerus of Levi in priestly snak and rights (qualified only by the condition that these are valid only at the One Altar) is, as we have seen on x. 8, characteristic of D. It agrees besides with the spirit of the earlier procedure in Farnell— Kg xii y, y, Ezch. xiiv.  $-e^{-i}z$ ; and it proves practice in Inerall— Kg xii y, y, Ezch. xiiv.  $-e^{-i}z$ ; and they offer, probably later) distinction which y make between the sons of fore, probably later) distinction which y make between the sons of Auron, as along priests, and the rest of the tribs, who have not priestly rank and whose revenues are distinct from those of the priests. In Palso the revenues of the priests differ from those saginged in  $D_y$  see

nowe on x, or and Driver's Delta, 18 cm shell etitle, precliste to D, its found both in the Code, xxii a, 91, xxii x, 160, yxii x, 1 the princip the sens of Levi) and in xxiii a gledit?, len, xxii a, 2 By God's appointment (e. 3) all members of the twive of Levi were priests the fure, but in consequence of the law abolishing the rural alters and rendering priestly incinium improvable except in the T-emple, a member of the tribe while resident in the country is called Levite alone—the Levite unithin the years—and can secure the name and the rights of a priest only when he experies the country is called Levite alone—the Levite unithin the called Levite (v. 7). With this distinction the priests and the Levite rate to D synonymous. This is further emphasised by the addition.

all the tribe of Levi] The and prefixed by the A.V. and R.V. Marg. is not in the Heb., in which the phrase stands in apposition to the presist the Lexist. There is therefore no possibility in the interpretation that D intended by Levis' This interpretation is a forced attempt to reconcile D's law with those of P.

which distinguish between priests and Levites.

no portion nor inheritance with Israel] Cp. x. 9 (with his brethren), xii. rs (with you), xiv. 27, 29 (with the), and the deuteronomic Josh. xiii. rs, 33, xviii. 7. The tribe are landless. So in P, Num. xviii. 20, 236, xxvii. 02.

they shall eat ] live, or subsist, by; cp. Ar. 'ukul (from the same

root) 'means of subsistence.'

the offerings of the LORD made by fire] This expression, an early

instance of which occurs in r Sam. ii. 28, is found more than 60 times.

1 But at one time in Israel others than sons of the tribe of Levi were admitted to the priesthood and called Levites; see Exod. iv. 14, with Driver's note, and Judg. xvii. 7-23.

15-2

- 2 And they shall have no inheritance among their brethren: the Lord is their inheritance, as he hath spoken unto them.
- 3 And this shall be the priests' due from the people, from them that offer a sacrifice, whether it be ox or sheep, that they shall give unto the priest the shoulder, and the two 4 cheeks, and the maw. The firstfruits of thy corn, of thy
- wine, and of thine oil, and the first of the fleece of thy 5 sheep, shalt thou give him. For the LORD thy God hath chosen him out of all thy tribes, to stand to minister in the name of the LORD, him and his sons for ever.
  - in P and nowhere else (the grammar shows that it is an intrusion, Josh. xiii. 14).

and his inheritance) all other offerings to the Deity, such as are detailed in v. 4.

2. As in x. 9: read with Heb. he, his, him for they, their, them and see introd. to this law.

3. And this shall be the priests' due, etc. Heb. mishpat, as in

Sam, ii. 13, where render: and the printle due from the people, from them that after a saw jiele | Heb. 1sty, or surefice, a sawifee, a compensative phrase including every victim offered at the Altar where alone sacrifice was valid. This precludes the various theories suggested with the view of reconciling D's law with that of P (see next note), viz. (i) that the law reters not to animals offered at the P emple but to those first the property of the cutting of the control of the

the ishoulder, and the two cheels, and the many ] According to I Sam, ii. 13—77 the enrising practice had been that the prices's sevent with a three promped fock took what he could for his master out of and it was regarded as a sindly imporation when the sons of Eli demanded to receive their portions while the flesh was till raw, no doubt in order that they might secure certain derhite parts of the animal. This claim the law in D now legalises, maning the pieces of the victim scribes will better pieces, the breast and the right high [Lev. vii, 3 if, x. 14 fs, Num. xviii. 18). For the gradual increase of the priests' dues and of their other sources of revene from D onwards, see frematem,

1. 354-360.
4. the frestfruits] or, it may be, the best. Heb. reshith, not bikkstrim (xii. 6). See xxvi. 2f.; cp. E, Exod. xxid. 19. J, xxxiv. 26, and P, Xum. xviii. 12. On corn, weine and ed. see vii. 13, xii. 17, xiv. 23, xxv. yg-32. The first of best, of the steece is mentioned only

5. Sam, and some Codd. of LXX read: to stand before the LORD

And if a Levite come from any of thy gates out of all 6 Israel, where he sojourneth, and come with all the desire of his soul unto the place which the LORD shall choose; then 7 he shall minister in the name of the LORD his God, as all his brethren the Levites do, which stand there before the LORD. They shall have like portions to eat, beside that 8 which cometh of the sale of his partimony.

[thy God] to minister (unto him] and to bless in his name, as in x. 8 (g.v.); and for the unto this day of that v. some have all the days; others read, he and his some among the some of Israel.

6. a Levite. from any of thy gates; any of the tribe who had ministered at any of the rural sanctuaries now disestablished by the concentration of the cultus at Jerusalem. Thy gates, see xii. 12. Our

of all Israel, emphatic addition to the usual phrase.

where he sojournath] Heb. is a gêr, a landless resident, without
portion or inheritance. So in Judg. xvii. 7, xix. 1. D knows nothing

of the Levitical cities of P, Num. xxxv. 1-8, Josh. xxi.

and come with all the drive of his swil] The construction is uncertain. Some begin the apodosis of this conditional sciences beer, then he may not come, etc. (Steuern., Berth.), which is not probable; others preferably with the beginning of s. 7, EVEV., Wellh., Addis, Martil; others not till the beginning of s. 8, (Dillm., Driv.). Desire of his soul, see xii. 15, unto the place, etc.] See on xii. 5.

7. then he shall minister] See on x. 8. If he comes to the one place at which sacisfice is valid, the rural Levite may discharge the priestly office equally with the Levites who already minister there,

8. They shall have ] Sam. LXX: he shall have.

bestick that which counts of the sale of his paterimony] a paraphrase of the difficult Heat, seize the sales, or realised radaes, or profess on the fathers (LXX, which rift replaced rift grant warpade). EVV.'s paraphrase is generally accepted; o., fer. xxxil. 6. T., xxxil. 7. L., x

This law of D, establishing the rural Levites, who come to Jerusalem, in equat rank and privilege with their fellow-tribesmen already ministering there, was not

carried out. A Kga will, a starten has the frience of the kigh spaces came and up the alter of pleasand of permaiston that they did not understand bread among being brighters. Apparently the pleasants prices ascereded from the fair in facing in the prices are considered from the fair in facing in containing the prices are considered from the fair in facing in the containing the prices are contained by the fair in facing in the containing from the state trapelved of course exclusion from the prices is storn of the other gay. The told prices from the prices is storn of the other gay. The told was not being found to the prices of the prices are the prices are privately some pocular privings of the prices, yet underword break was not being found of the garden of the fair prices from prices are the prices are considered was not being found to the prices of the prices from the prices are productly means that though was not being found to the prices from prices and the prices from the prices of the prices from the prices of the p

### 9-22. Of Prophets in contrast to Diviners, etc.

In the promised land Israel must have nothing to do with the abominations of its peoples (o); with any one passing his children through the fire, or driving, soothasyer, asqur, sorcerer, spell-binder or thatficher with like dead (10 ft), for these are abominations to febrowah to whom farzel must be utterly loyal (12—14). A prophet shall life raise they had prayed Moses to be; to him shall live here they had prayed Moses to be; to him shall help herère (15—16). The prophet who presumes to speak in God's name what He has not spoken, or in the name of other peds, shall dist (20). The profit shall be non-fulfilment of his predictions (21 ft)—Sg. Moses to be; the shall be the one-fulfilment of his predictions (21 ft)—Sg. LXX, the last clause of 22. There are no other signs of a diversity of and consistent.

Marti reads on, q-13 as belonging to the law of the priests (1-8) 20d 14-22 26 a tater addition (so too Cornill), with this further evidence of its secondary character that it introduces Moses tola way unparalleled in the Code, and in 22 gives a onesided conception of prophecy. But it is most probable that the Code of D, founded on the reaching of the prophets, contained a law of the Prophet in succession to those on Judges, King and Priests; and the emphatic contrast, which the con-struction of the passage brings out between the native prophet and the foreign diviners (see on 15), is natural and leaves a strong impression of the unity of the whole. Indeed it is easier to argue the secondary character of ev. 10-13 (as unnecessary before 14 and as containing the term perfect not applied so elsewhere in D but found in F) than that of 14-22. Nor does 22 give so unperfect a view of prophecy as Martl supposes; the resemblence between it and the tests which Jeremiah applied to himself and the fatse prophets is wonderfully close. Steuern. takes 10-124 as an independent law to which an editor has added see, o and 126-224. composed by himself with the use of a PL nerrative (ch. v.) and perhaps an originally separate law on the Prophets. His anelysis has more to say for itself then the other but is not convincing. I agree with Berth, that ret. 20 ff. may as well be dependent on the to ff, as the converse.

It is significant but not surprising that the Law of the Prophet is peculiar to D and not found in other Codes, which contain, however, prohibitions of the foreign practices here forbidden to Israel, E, Ex. xxii. 18 (17), H, Lev. xxiii. 21, xix. 16, 31, xx. 26, 32, It is more important to notice Saul's suppression of those who dealt with ghosts

When thou art come into the land which the LORD thy God 9 giveth thee, thou shalt not learn to do after the abominations of those nations. There shall not be found with thee any to one that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire, one that used divination, one that practiseth augury,

(t Sam. xxviii. 3), and the frequent protests of the prophets, and their appeals to the word of the living God (Isai. ii. 6, viii. 19, Mic. iii. 6 L, v. 12 (11), Jer. xxvii. 9, xxix. 8), for in these we find the real basis of this law of D. as well as the example of its form.

In the Code of Hammsrabi there are no laws against divination, sorcery or magic. False accusations of iaying spells on see are punished, but the ordest by water is enjoined in one of the two cases mentioned—BF 1.0.

9. When thou art come into the land ] Characteristic of the Sg.; cp. ix. 5.

which the LORD thy God is to give thee ] Peculiar to D; see on i. 20, iv. 21 f.

learn to do] Only here.
abominations? See on vii. 25, and cp. xii. 31.

10. There shall not be found with thee \ xvii. 2.

that maketh his son... to pass through the fire | See on xii. 31: the

want of a conjunction following this clause (so also Sam. and LXX except in some codd.) is remarkable, and raises a doubt as to the originality of the clause.

On the following terms see W. R. Smith, Journal of Philology, XIII, 273 ff., XIV. 173 ff.; 'The Forms of Divination and Magic in Ds. aviii. 10, 11; Wellhausen, Rest despuis, Followshure's, 132—133; Driver, Drat. 273—285; T. W. Dussellanden and Magick, in E. E., F. B. Jevons, 'Divination,' Hastings' D. B., to all of which the references before are directly

one that useth defination) Heb. Morm & sumful. From its room and certain At. forms which—I to divide or 'a liab', the wh appears to have meant originally to drivine by the lot (dispated by Davies, E.B. 1900), e.g., by arrows as described in Eachs, xia; 11f. (10 ft.); practiced by the labelyonians (Lenormant, Chald. Mogie, 138 n. 2), and Arths (Korfan w. 4, where it is forbident; Sale, Pretinain, Discoviti, S. L.X. Liber and Charles an

the constraints in a principle of the constraints o

is or an enchanter, or a sorcerer, or a charmer, or a consulter 12 with a familiar spirit, or a wizard, or a necromancer. whosoever doeth these things is an abomination unto the

or an enchanter | Better, augur or observer of omens. LXX olurecourses. That this is the meaning of the Heb, nonahesh appears from the story of Balaam, Num. xxiv. 1 (where for enchantments read amens), from Gen. xliv. 15, of Joseph's divination with his cup (hydromancy; cp. for Ballylonia Zimmern in KAT's, 533 L, and for the Arabs, Doughty II. 188), the use of the vb in Gen. xxx, 27, 1 Kgs xx. 33, to observe, and its meaning in Syriac, 'divination from natural Others take it as onnmatopoetic, 'to hiss,' or connect it with nahash, serpent. On divination on the sand, see Doughty t. 162. or a sorcerer \ Heb. mekashsheph. For this and keshaphim, sorceries,

see Ex. vii. 11, xxii. 18 (17) (E's law against the sorceress, see Dri.'s note), Mic. v. 11, Nah. iii. 4, Jer. xxvii. q. Mal. iii. s. 2 Chron. xxxiii. 6 (of Manasseh) and 'Isai.' xlvii. 9, 12, Dan. ii. 2 (both of Babylon). W. R. Smith, comparing the Ar. form, suggests that heshaphlm were berns or other drugs shredded into a magic brew' (in Mic. v. 12, they are held in the hand); cp. the LXX φάρμακα, "magical potions." But the original meaning of the Ar. kispu is (Zimmern, Schrader's KAT', 605) spittle or foam from the mouth by which

a man might he bewitched; cp. Hammurabi, § 2.

11. a charmer] With Sam. LXX omit or: the name is in apposition to the preceding. Heb. hober heber, weaving spells, spell-binder; either of the tying of knots as malignant charms, common among Semites and other races (Campbell Thompson, Sem. Magic 162-173, Frazer, Golden Bough 1. 394 ff.; mentioned in the Korlin, Sur. cxiii., 'the mischief of women blowing on knots'; also practised in Europe, en, the French ' nover l'éguillette '), or of the weaving of incantations and spells (W. R. Smith), so LXX émacibor émacobir. In Ps. Iviii. 5 (6) of charming serpents. For spell-makers in Arabia, see Doughty t. 258, 313, 464 f.

a consulter with a ghost or familiar apirit | Heb. sho'd 'bb w'vidd'ont; 'bb was the spirit of a dead person, also applied to the medium, whose body it inhabited, speaking out from this in a chirping, twittering voice (probably imitated from the sound of bats haunting sepulchres), LXX eryastpluudos; see Lev. xx. 27, 1 Sam. xxviii. 3, 7, 9, Isai. viii. 19, xxix. 4, 2 Kgs xxii. 6, xxiii. 24. Viddont means either instructor (the form may be causative) or knower (cp. Scot. wise = with powers of magic, wise-wife = witch, wise-folk = fairies) or acquaintance, familiar (W. R. Smith), LXX, τερατοσκόπος.

a necromancer | Heb. enquirer of, or resorter to (doresh, see on seek, xii. s), the dead; a general description of the consulter of ghosts and familiar spirits. With Sam. LXX omit or.

12. abomination | See v. q. unto the LORD | Sam. LXX add the God, and LXX B omits this in next clause.

LORD: and because of these abominations the LORD thy God doth drive them out from before the. Thou shall be 13 perfect with the LORD thy God. For these nations, which 14, thou shalt possess, bearken unto them that practise augury, and unto diviners: but as for thee, the LORD thy God hath not suffered thee so to do. The LORD thy God will raise 15 up unto thee a prophet from the midst of thee, of thy

doth drive them out ] Heb. is to dispossess them, see on ix. 5; cp. iv. 38.

13. profect) blumedray; not elsewhere in D, but twice in P in this moral energic Gen. vi, og N onds, with 1 required of Abraham as the condition of God granting him His covenant) and frequent in a physical sense, Lev. k. 2, t. of Hi 1, etc. The sense of the Incompatibility of from all least Saul's time onward, and is very striculate in the great prophets. The institute was sound. That such practices divert men from the rational and ethical elements of religion and weaken both the plagment and will of those who record to them is notrolous in the plagment and will of those who record to them is notrolous in the blugoment and will of those who record to them is notrolous in the blugoment and will of those who record to Henni is notrolous in the blugoment and the propheta, neither will help be presented, if one virse from the doct. Let other nations bearine to soothsquere and diviners, God does not grain such to His people (n. 14). For them the living word of the three free national trans.

10. A propole from the mides (of thee) of thy breshres like union shall the LORD By God raise up to thee) Such is the emphasic order of the original, missed by EVV. A propher—not individual but collective], i.e. a succession of prophels, for the whole spirit of the passage is that God shall never fall to speak directly to His people—shot at the head of the sentence in forcible contrast to the diviners and necromancers just described, a speaker for God as Auron was polecuman for Mose (J. Es. iv. 6, via. I.) Like the king (vii. 1.8) he must be an Incellite (Sam., Jone the midel of the pherical (LiX.) as the contrast of the contrast one, i.e. (as the next n shows) in being the mediator of God; I be phrase does not imply equality in rank with Moses: according to

Cp. the mor of the sing, sing in well, a fig. and judge in Judge. It st., 'A support is used by cardiage for missing or granter programmer or property. Moses it here retenting of the support is used by cardiage for missing or grant property or the support is used in the support is such as the support is used in the support is such as the support is used in the support is supported by the supported

16 brethren, like unto me; unto him ye shall hearken; according to all that thou desiredst of the LORD thy God in Horeb in the day of the assembly, saying. Let me not hear again the voice of the LORD my God, neither let me see this 17 great fire any more, that I die not. And the LORD said unto me. They have well said that which they have spoken. 18 I will raise them up a prophet from among their brethren, like unto thee; and I will put my words in his mouth, and he shall speak upto them all that I shall command him. 19 And it shall come to pass, that whosoever will not hearken unto my words which he shall speak in my name, I will 20 require it of him. But the prophet, which shall speak a word presumptuously in my name, which I have not commanded him to speak, or that shall speak in the name of 21 other gods, that same prophet shall die. And if thou say in thine heart, How shall we know the word which the LORD 22 hath not spoken? When a prophet speaketh in the name

xxxiv. 10, there hath not arisen a prophet since in Israel like unto Moses, whom the LORD knew face to face, cp. Num. xii. 6-8. 16, 17. See on v. 22 (assembly), 25, 27 f. Cullen (pp. 143 ff.)

denies the dependence of pp. 15, 16 on v. 19-28. 18. I will raise ... like unto thee | These words are not in v. 25 ff.

put my words in his wouth ] Cp. v. 31, Jer. i. 9, v. 14.

18. whosoever will not hearken... I will require it of him ] Cp. the confidence of Teremiah, xxvi, 12-15, xxix, 8f., 18ff. (the punishment exacted for not hearkening to God's word), xxxv. 13 ff. LXX B omits

my words; Sam. LXX most codd. his words. Require, darash, xxiii. 21 (22). 20. the prophet, etc. | These special cases prove that throughout this passage no single prophet but a succession of prophets is meant.

which shall speak presumptuously, etc. | Heb. who shall be presumptuous (xvii. 12, see on i. 43) to steak a word, etc. It is notorious how many such 'prophets' appeared in Israel both before and during the seventh century (see Jeremiah passim). On the rest of the v. see on xiii, 1-5.

 if thou say in thine hear! viii. 17.
 The falseness of such a prophet is exposed by the non-fulfilment of his predictions. Jeremiah states the converse : if any prophet prophesy peace (which in the seventh century the false prophets usually did) and his word come to pass, then shall the prophet be known that the LORD hath truly sent him ([et, xxviii. q).

It is true that 'this test is explicitly rejected for the prophets of other gods (xiii, 1-5); nor is the higher Hebrew prophecy nearly so much predictive as inter-pretative (Wheeler Robinson in Loc). Yet we must remember that though the of the LORD, if the thing follow not, nor come to pass, that is the thing which the LORD hath not spoken: the prophet hath spoken it presumptuously, thou shalt not be afraid of him.

main burden of the prophets consisted of truths of morslity and religion (the unity and righteonsness of God and the ethical character of His demands) they were also concerned with the vindication of these in the actual experience of the people. To them truth was never merely abstract, they looked for its fulfilment by God in history. Prof. A, B, Davidson once said to the present writer t 'The prophets were lerribly one-idea'd men. Yel lhei; one idea was the greatest of all, that God was going to do something. So Amos iii, 4-8. The two most sprittual of the prophets staked iteh: credit as the beasers of God's word on certain bistonical issues. property season that create an experiment of the many season and the survival of a remnant of the propole of the season of the people (on this sea Rev. of Takel, & Phil. 111. ) by the present writes in answer to Guthe's Jeans in Religionageschickitische J'elkhöcker), and Jeremish was content to wait on avents for the decision whether he or Hansniah had the word of the Lord (Jet, xxviti. exp. 116, see Dnhm's fine remarks on 1bis chapter in the Kurzer Hand-Commentar). Again after reporting the word of the LORD, that his uncle should come to him asking him to buy his field, he adds when the uncle came and did so, then knew I that this was the word of the LORD (xxxii. 6ff ). Of course, behind all this was the faith that God had a futnie for Israel in the land, though the Babylonians had overrise it and Jerusalem must fall to them. If then feremiah himself so much depended for the proof of his message upon the issue of events, we cannot be surprised that D proposes to the popular mind the same test of a prophet's word.—Though beyond one immediate subject we may note that the word of the Lord by the irre prophet was not always infilled. This is explained in Joi. xviii. and Jonah iv. as due to a change in the namal situation. Such, however, is not a full explanation. Sometimes, as in the case of the non-finishment of Jetenials's own early predictions about the Scythians, and his slow arrival (only after the battle of Carchemish) at the conviction than Babylon was to be the exeentioner of God's judgements on Israel, the change in the prophet's word was due to altered solitical circumstences.

#### III. THIRD DIVISION OF THE LAWS. OF CRIME, WAR, PROPERTY, THE FAMILY, AND EQUITY. xix.—xxv.

Over so laws on all these relations and duties of the ordinary citizen. This division of the Code is distinguished from the two previous, (1) by being uninfluenced-except in the case of the first law, on the Cities of Refuge, and perhaps also in xxi. 1-9, xxiii. 15 f.-by the centralisation of the Cultus; (2) by a less orderly arrangement; and (3) by the appearance of new terms and ideas such as the elders (explicable by the fact that the subjects of these laws are not new institutions consequent on the centralisation of the cultus hut older local customs and organisation), the house of the LORD, the assembly of the LORD, etc. But we find prevailing the same deuteronomic language and style, the same proofs of compilation from earlier codes (double)s, traces of fusion, etc.) and the same signs of editorial expansion. The principle of grouping laws according to the relation to their subjects is sometimes observed but frequently departed from. The only other explanation of the order followed is the presence of corresponding catch words at the end and beginning of consecutive laws. See below.

19 When the Lord thy God shall cut off the nations, whose land the Lord thy God giveth thee, and thou succeedest them, and dwellest in their cities, and in their houses; thou

### CH, XIX, 1-13. OF THE CITIES OF REFUGE.

Israel shall set apart three of the cities of the land (i.f.)—to be selected after their position is taken into account and the land divided into three parts—so that every manulayer may have the chance of ayimn (j.h. And [s] this is the case of the namelayer who by flight as e.g. when they were hewing wood [1.—6]. Therefore three cities (j.) But (i) the will'll be added so the income thood be shed (8.—10). But (i) the will'll murderer who flees to one of these cities shall be brought thence by the elders of his commune and delivered to the averager, that the guilt be removed from Israel there are signs of compilation. As in xx. at It, an earlier law seems to be quoted, for, as there, meighbour is used instead of brother which is usual in Sg. passages.

Sinds (Greek i, Ode, u, u), Berth, and Marti lake vs. b— so a later than the rest of manhappine, (u) the inspector, and (t) the wifted. This is not at all errain. The first hand the second of the control of manhappine, (u) the inspector, and (t) the wifted. This is not at all errain. The state of the second of the control of the con

1-3 contain several formulas. On shall cut off, etc., see xii. 29; on whose land the Loro thy God is to give thee and giveth thee to possess it, see xviii. 9; on succeed (dispossess), see xii. 29; on causeth thee to inherit, see i. 38.

shalt separate three cities for thee in the midst of thy land, which the Lozn thy God giveth thee to possess it. Thou 3 shalt prepare thee the way, and divide the borders of thy land, which the Lozn thy God causeth thee to inherit, into three parts, that every manslayer may fice thither. And 4 this is the case of the manslayer, which shall flee thither and live: whose killeth his neighbour unawares, and bated him not in time past; as when a man goeth into the forest 5 with his neighbour to hew wood, and his hand fetcheth a stroke with the axe to cut down the tree, and the 'head slippeth from 'the helve, and lighteth upon his neighbour,

Heb. iron. 2 Or, the tree

2. rejected; set apart, iv. 4:1.
3. prepart the the say; Usually taken as making the road open and firm. But (though steuern.'s objection to this meaning, that such representation would give equal advantage to the persure with the pursued, is hypereriticall this has no relevance to the rest of the v, as the odder translations already saw and gave it another sense; LNX measures the distance. But a property of the property of the distance of the property of the distance. But a property of the distance of the land time of the rest of the land time.

cvery manilayer] The general lerm, iv. 42.

4. And this is the case of See note on xv. 2, and the introd. to this law.

whose amiteth his neighbour unawares...time past] See iv. 42, which has slayeth for smiteth.

 as when a man goeth] Heb. and who goeth, continuing the construction of the previous clause; but EVV.'s rendering is possible by a slight emendation of the Heb.

forest] As in most instances in which forest is used by EVV., the term miscades. Help, ya'ar was one antithesis to fertile or cultivated land (flash xzix., 7) and, as evident from the conditions of Palestine toway as well as intone reflected in the O.T. (felding, 86s.f., pran. 1, 78, 48 and 18 a

Advie] R.V. marg, tres; which offers the alternative meaning, that the edge of the axe slipped aside from the tree which it struck. But Heb. ½, which = both tree (as in the previous clause) and piece of twood, means here the latter, and the vb is 10 be translated thisphet off from (Ex. iii. 5, Josh. v. 15 of the sandal from the foot; cp. Deul. vii. 1, 2, 3, xviiii. 4, b). LXX faithté off (probably reading maphal for markal, cp.

that he die; he shall flee unto one of these cities and live: 6 lest the avenger of blood pursue the manslayer, while his heart is hot, and overtake him, because the way is long, and smite him mortally; whereas he was not worty of death, rinasmuch as he hated him not in time past. Wherefore I command thee, saying, Thou shalt separate three cities for thee. And if the LoRD thy God enlarge thy border, as he hath sworn unto thy fathers, and give thee all the land 9 which he promised to give unto thy fathers; if thou shalt keep all this commandment to do it, which I command thee this day, to love the Lord thy God, and to walk ever in his ways; then shalt thou add three cities more for thee, beside

2 Kgs vi. 5). 'One sees exactly how the law grows out of the actual

relations of everyday life' (Berth.).

he shall fee unto one of these cities and live ] Josh. xx. 4 (a deuteron addition to P's law) says that he shall first, at the gate, state his case to the elders.

6. attenger of blood] Heb. go'et haddåns (2 Sam. xiv. 11, Num. xxxv. 19-27, John Xx. 35, 9). The consecutionary law of the vendetta is not abrogated, but persists so far as the nearest, or other, kinsman of the slain still takes the duty of purishing the slayer. See 22 and Add. note.

2. 22 and Add. note. tubile his heart is hol] and he cannot discriminate between accidental and wilful murder. It was doubtless to avoid the same unjust passion

that the right of sanctuary arose among the nomad Arabs, bxause the way is long] to the One Altar, xiv. 24; cp. xii. 21.

mortally] Heb. to, or as to, the life (nepheth), v. 11; cp. xxii. 26. whereas, etc.] Heb. there being no case of death to (against) him (a circumstantial clause); cp. xxi. 22, xxii. 26.

7. Wherefore I command thee] Cp. xv. 11.

6. enlarge the border | See on xii. 20. and the introd. to this law.

as he hath sworn, etc.] See on i. 8.

and give thee...(hy fathers] Redundant after previous clause, and (though confirmed by LXX B and other Codd.) probably not original, Luc. omits. The readings here differ much in the versions and their Codd. shewing how readily scribes altered and expanded the lext.

9. A parenthesis, being the condition of the promise in v. 8. if thou shall keep all this commandment, etc.] LXX B, etc., hear all these commandments. Cullen, p. 141 lakes this passage as an actual quotation from xi. 12. On the formula, keep...to do, see iv. 6, v. 1. to leve...in his ways? These phrases (cp. vi. 5, z. 12) some LXX.

Codd. and Luc. omit.

then shalt thou add three cities more] is the apodosis to 8 a; all

these three: that 'innocent blood be not shed in the midst to of thy land, which the Losu by God giveth thee for an inheritance, and so blood be upon thee. But if any man rr hate his neighbour, and lie in wait for him, and rise up against him, and smite him mortally that he die; and he flee into one of these cities: then the elders of his city shall us send and fetch him thence, and deliver him into the hand of the avenger of blood, that he may die. Thine eye shall 13 not pity him, but thou shalt put away 'the innocent blood from Israel, that it may go well with thee.

# 1 Or, the blood of an innocent man 9 Or, the blood of the innocent

between consists of such formulas as later scribes were fond of inserting, and the evidence of the versions goes to show that they are not original.

10. that innocent blood be not shed] Cp. xxi. 8, xxvii. 25: here the blood of an innocent slayer.

which ... for an inheritance] Another standard phrase, om. by Luc.,

and some LXX Codd.

and so blood be upon thee] Upon the nation as a whole, on the principle of ethical solidarily so often illustrated in D. For the idiom, cp. 2 Sam. xvi. 8; for the synon. blood in the midst of Israel, see xxi. 8.

2 Sam. xvi. 8; for the synon. blood in the widst of Israel, see xxi. 8.
11. But if any man hate his neighbour, etc.] The wilful murderer must not escape through the provision of protection for the innocent slayer.

and lie in wait] Cp. E, Ex. xxi. 14. For mortally see v. 6.

12. In tidari of his city, etc.] It is not said who are to judge it willid murder has been committed (for this see Josh, xx, 4—9), but the elders of the murderer's town are responsible for his delivery into the hands of the averager it is assumed that they are satisfied as to his guilt. The control of the old custom—in which the punishment of a murderer was a family detyp—is in the hands of the public authorities. This is not without analogies among the Semitic normals (Musil, £2hm, Ber, 50f ft). Edders also appear in xx.1 = N, 6, 7 cf, xxii x; y=-16, xxv. y=-y, with justified or executive functions. On their relations to he judges use on xvi. 18. Dougshy (it. 398) mentions a case of murder to slay the marcieress and declined, whereupon she was executed by the molification that the public subscribes.

13. Only by such action on the part of the local authorities and the kinsmen of the murdered man can the guilt of the crime be removed from the whole nation. To this extent the ancient custom of the vendetta is recognised as part of the theocratic system.

thou shall put away] See on xiii. 5 (6).
that it may go well with thee] Another recurrent phrase; iv. 40,
v. 16. 20, etc.

Additional Note: The Vendetta, 'the one element of invisprudence in the wild life of the desert,' springs from the sample principle of blood for blood, still valid in the law of Israel, Gen. 1x. 6 Its moral effects are twofold and contrary. On the one hand it is a restraint upon manslaughter, the possibilities of vengeance which it lets loose engendering reluciance to take life except in self defence. On the other, when once a man has been slaio, there is no chance of a fair trial for the slayer; though his deed may have been an accident he may have to alone for it with his life; while the excitement of whole families and tribes to avenue it is a fertile source of disorder and of war, which may last and has lasted for a century. The duty of the vendetta extends sometimes to the third sometimes to the fifth degree of kinship, but among the Sinai Arabs to the sixth from the grandfather down (Jennings Brainley, PEFQ 1907, 135). Hence even in the wildest parts of Aughia there arose the right of sanctuary in any tent from which it was cisinied, and the respite was used for the investigation of the case, and even in cases of wilful murder for the arrangement of sonte compromise-financial or otherwise-between the slaver and the killsmen of the slain. In these negotiations the tribal authorities would often intervene. But even this has been found insufficient to secure order and justice, and wherever a central authority has been established among the Arabs one of its first efforts has been to control and regulate, or even to abolish, the vendersa. For modern examples—the Wahabees, Mohammed 'Ali, the Russians in the Caucaus and the Sublime Porte sea Von Oppenheim, Vom Mittelmeer sum Perz. Golf. Similarly in Israel. The earlier law (as we have seen) gave sauctuary at every alter of Jehovab. When only the One Altar remained the opportunity came to modify the whole consucudinary law; the vendetta was not abolished but controlled by the rights of sanctuary in cartain accessible cities and by the interference of the local authorities. These provisions, apparently first made by D and elaborated in P, secured a fair trial and the acquitted of the innocent slayer; but they do not allow any such compromise, financial or otherwise, as frequently takes place among the Arabs between the wilful murdsrer and the kuismen of his victim. In Israel the wilful murderer must die. Such distinctions of Israel's system from the customs of her Seminic penabbours. involving as they do both a greater humanity in one direction and a greater severity in the other, are of the highest ethical interest.

#### 14. AGAINST REMOVING BOUNDARY STONES.

In the Sg. address, but as m m, 4f., 11 and xv. 2, gr. with neighbour instead of franker, usual in Sg. passages; and followed by a deuternomic formula. It is significant that the formula is not only separable from the law proper (as in the previous law) but contradicts it. For white the law betrays its date as subsequent to fastel's self-tener in the fand—and with this agree the facts but there is no parallel in the earlier codes and that protess against removing boundary-stones papear in the prophets and lates tooks (bits. v. 8, Hos. v. 10, Frov. point of Moses, the land which the Loron is to give thee. Clearly, therefore, the law has been adopted from some other source into Code—op, the Decalogue—but there is nothing to show whether this incorporation was due to the sautoses of the Code or to editors.

It is difficult to explain the position of the law just here. Steuern, and Borth, artificial this is to see of the term gried, beautify, most allow in the previous law (e. ys, yes with a different meaning from berely; the former thinking that in its origination of the previous former than the previous former than the previous former than the previous former than the contract of the original Code. Notice rather than the law besides being in the Sg. address use the term explainer, and were therefore previously from the state source. Dillin points out explainer, and the previous former than the points out that the previous former than the points of the previous former than the points of the previous former than the

Thou shalt not remove thy neighbour's landmark, which 14 they of old time have set, in thine inheritance which thou shalt inherit, in the land that the LORD thy God giveth thee to possess it.

that in this ch. murder, theft and false witness appear in the same order as in the Decalogue, and Dri. compares xxvii. 17 ff.

Other nations expressed that same reverence for the secredients of boundaries, in fact, the first property of the secredient of boundaries, the state of the secredient of the

14. remove] Lit. so: re-move, move back, so as to make one's own field larger.

landmark] Heb. g\*bul, applied both to the border-line whether of private fields (here; and in E. Josh. xxiv. 30, cp. lexis cited above) or of urban (\*1s.' liv. 12) or tribal (ii. r8, iii. r6) territories: as well as to the area enclosed by the border (vv. 3, 8, ii. 4, xxviii. 40).

they of old time | Heb. rishonim, the former generations, the fore-fathers: LXX B etc., warfoes sow; A etc., wporepol sow.

in thine inheritance which thou inheritast] Part of the law proper: the portion of ground (LXX κληρονομία) that passes from one generation of a family to another.

in the land which the LORD thy God is to give theer etc.] the frequent deuteronomic formula, iv. 40, v. 3r, xii. r, xvii. r4, xxi. 1, xxv. 19; and in shorter form, xv. 7r xviii. 9, xxv. 15, xxviii. 2, xxviii. 8.

### 15—21. OF WITNESSES.

Two or three witnesses are necessary for a conviction  $\{r_2\}$ . If a witness, forcing his evidence, accuse a man of defection from the law, the two shall stand before God in the supreme court  $\{r_5\}$  be judges shall investigate, and if the witness be found false, he shall be denote to him what be devised for his brother; so shall evil be removed done to him what be devised for his brother; so shall evil be removed like for like be exacted  $\{x_1, -x_2\}$  (except for one slip) jato the PI, in  $x_1$  by with the use of the term brother and other terms usual in Sg. passages. There are no deatcronnel formulas beyond the legal ones.

On the subject of this law cp. E. Ex. xxiii.  $v_i$  Ex. xx. if, Dt.  $v_i$  so the oth commandment, and other passage cited below. By the Code of Hausmand High figures of the continuous continuous field of the same principle of like for like as here,  $v_i$  as  $i_i$  false evidence is punished on the same principle of like for like as here,  $v_i$  as  $i_i$  false as two winesses as necessary; if their charge is not brought home they must flee from the vengeance of the accused's relatives, with whom however they may come to an arrangement (Must., Elike, Ber. 137).

DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by Google

rfi

15 One witness shall not rise up against a man for any iniquity, or for any sin, in any sin that he sinneth: at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the mouth of three witnesses, shall a 16 matter be established. If an unrighteous witness rise up

against any man to testify against him of 'wrong doing';
17 then both the men, between whom the controversy is, shall
stand before the Lord, before the priests and the judges
18 which shall be in those days; and the judges shall make

# 1 Or, rebellion See ch. xiii. 5.

18. By xvii. 6 (cp. P. Num. xxxv. 30), a man may not be put to death save on the evidence of more than one witness. Here the same is enforced for all eases.

One witness shall not rise up] Ot, stand, that is, of course, as a valid effectual witness; the vb is the same as at the end of the v., thall a matter be established. But in the next v. rise up simply means appear, offer himself.

in any sin that he sinueth] Luc. omits.

16. But if ] So Sam. LXX.

ustriphrons uniters! Heb. witness of violence. So E, Ex. xxiii. 1, and Fs. xxxx 1, xaptaently one who force his violence, does violence to the truth or intends violence to his neighbour. Driver renders malicious, "neithers some cover violence himself or assists by faite testimosty the high banded wrong does." Maril "with whom night is not decided III he is taken before the indexes, proteptic, hie character

rise up] See on previous v. In this simpler sense in other Sg.

to testify avaiust him! Same vb as in v. 20 (17).

uroug doing) Heb. sarah same as rebellion, xiii. 5 (6) R.V. (ep. xvii.

delinquency or defection from the law.

17. I whall tunnt before the LORD, before the pricets and the judges, i.e.). That is in the supreme court to be instituted at the One Allar, viii. 9. The construction is sudward and betrays expansion. Steuers, and Berth, and Marit take before the judges as slone original, as these only are mentioned in the next w, and understand the reference to be, not to the supreme count but to the next judgets as slone judge of xvi. 18. But it to the supreme count but to the next judget instituted judges of xvi. 18. But it to the we constained, and that the rest was added from xviii. 9 by an editor. This is just one of the difficult cases, which in more primitive conditions were referred to some representative of the Deity and which, on the institution of the supreme count at Jerusalem, I sned was directed to take there (ep. xvii. 8, between plan and plan, the same Heb. term as is here rendered contrastory).

18. shall make diligent inquisition] See xiii. 14 (15), xvii. 4, 9; Sg.

diligent inquisition: and, behold, if the witness be a false witness, and hath testified falsely against his brother; then 19 shall ye do unto him, as he had thought to do unto his brother: so shalt thou put away the evil from the midst of thee. And those which remain shall hear, and fear, and ao shall henceforth commit no more any such evil in the midst of thee. And thine eye shall not pity; life shall go for life, 21 eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot.

false, falsely] Heb. sheker: so in Ex. xx. 16, but v. 20 has shav, vain.

brother] here and next v.: the usual term in Sg. passages for fellow-Israelite.

 shall ye do] the only Pl. in the passage, confirmed by Sam. LXX; either a clerical error or an instance of the possibility of a writer slipping from one form of address into the other. Read ahalt thou.

thought] Heb. zamam, deviaed.

so shall thou put away] Frequent in this Code, see on xiii. 5 [6].

20. those which remain, etc.] A curious parallel to xiii. r1 (12).

21. thine eye shall not pity] See on v. 13, vii. 16.

21. Inine eye shall not pity! See on v. 13, vii. 10.

life for life, etc.] The just talionis, more fully in E, Ex. xxi. 24 f.;

cp. H, Lev. xxiv. 18, 20. Very frequently in the Code of Hammurabi.

See further Driver's note on Ex. xxi. 28.

# CH. XX. OF WAR-THREE LAWS.

These laws, r- $\phi$ , 10-18, 19 fs, separate xix, from xxi: 1- $\phi$  (too) manishaghter) and are in phrase and substance ask in to xxi. ro-ora and xxiii, 9-14, cp. xxiv. 5. All are in the Sg. address, have similar introductions, and, while some breather the humanne spirit prevalent in D's code, all work on the same primitive beliefs in the sacredness of war and the consequent need of eliminating from the army, from its returnent of captives and from the spoil and the camps, all that might prover. Like other groups in the Code through the statement of the spirit and the camps, all that might prover. Like other groups in the Code through the continuation of the spirit and the captive and the creatment of their subject; they constain nothing as to the rites due on starting a cumpaign, or the place of the king in the bost, or the materials or moneys to be levied, or the mercenary soldiers, who from David's time convaries were an organised part of hards's force.

As we saw on the forces, it, s<sub>i</sub>, War was to the estited Senites a rifligure process. A people's array was fell by their got and a campaign endowed through out as a samment; on the Monhile Stone, the Assyr, and Eshyl, inscriptions and Eark, xii, sit, french's God war Zykonsky of Yudin, a name critical than the Eark xii, sit, french's God war Zykonsky of Yudin, a name critical than the (the of the Theories Projection, y<sub>i</sub> = x, b<sub>i</sub> = man of var (Ex. vv. y<sub>i</sub> = p<sub>i</sub> viv. t<sub>i</sub>). Fr. xxiv. b); and the symbol of Bill Presence the Ark went with the army to battle

16-2 '

(S. Iv., 1f., siv., 1f., 28. zi., 1t.). A campign was opened with bornt-offerings and enterprise and extensive as a substantial of the Delay, with the consequent presence of presence of the property of the

In these laws of D religion is seen sometimes mitigating and sometimes enhancing the ferocity of War.

### 1-9. OF WAR AND EXEMPTIONS FROM SERVICE IN IT.

When Israel goes to war with a for more numerous and having hores and charinos they shall not fear: Jehovah is with them (1). On the eve of the campaign a priest shall exhort the people (n-4). Officers shall discharge every man who has bull a house and not dedicated it (s), or plated a vineyard but not completed the rites of the control of the contr

Thus Storem's allotness of this port to his PL surbar loss one of its reasons. This other, the use in v of kkp polyllamin of Prantice content in Eq. paragraph (see on <math>1, 2kp), while the suggestion that  $v_1$  is between the content in kp polyllamin of kp and kp so a secondary (or leafly a secondary (or leaf

I see, therefore, no reason for doubting the unity and originality of the whole passage.

Exemptions from war-service are granted by most Asiatic powers, but their range varies much from time to time. In Palestine the Turks used to let an only son

When thou goest forth to battle against thine enemies, 20 and seest brores, and chaints, and a people more than thou, thou shalt not be afraid of them: for the Loke thy God is with thee, which brought thee up out of the land of Egypt. And it shall be, when ye draw nigh unto the battle, 2 that the priest shall approach and speak unto the people, and shall say unto them, Hear, O Israel, ye draw nigh this 3 day unto battle against your enemies: let not your heart faint; fear not, nor tremble, neither be ye affrighted at them; for the Loke your God is he that goeth with you, to 4 fight for you against your enemies, to save you. And the 5 officers shall speak unto the people, saying, What man is there that hath built a new house, and hath not dedicated

and widows' sous go free, and for a time every matried man. Later service was obligatory upon all except Christians and the tent-dwelling Arabs (Baldensperger PEPC), 1906, 13). Recently Christians have been obliged to serve.

When thou goest forth to war, etc.] So xxi. 10, cp. xxiii. 9 (10).
 On go forth see xiii. 13 (14). Enemies, so Sam. LXX; Heb. enemy (but collective).

and seest horses, and charlots] Foreign to early Israel, see on xvii. 16, Josh. xvii. 16, Judg. i. 19, iv. 3.

and a people more than thou, thou shall not, etc.] So Sam. LXX, Heb. omits and. On the rest see vii. 17 ff.

the LORD thy God is with thee] Cp. 1, 30, 42, vii. 21, Isai, vii. 14, viii. 8.

which brought thee up] instead of the usual brought thee forth, vii. io, etc. Was it on the strength of this verse that Josiah adventured on his fatal encounter with Pharaoh-Necoh in 612 B.C.?

 when ye draw nigh] LXX thou drawest nigh; see introd. note.
 to the war] Not battle. The captains had still to be appointed (v. q)

to the war! Not battle. The captains had still to be appointed (v. 9) and this must have taken place at the start of the campaign, not on the eve of engagement with the foe.

the print! Or (it may equally be) a priest; see introd.

Hear, O Israel] iv. 1; here as there with Pl. vbs following.

 fear not, etc.] neither the standing phrase of I'l. nor that of Sg. : see on i. 29.

4. to save you] Better, to give you the victory.
5. officers | shot rim, i. 15. xvi. 18.

a new house...not dedicated] The vh is used of the dedication of the Temple, t Kgs viil. 63 = 2 Chron. vii. 5, but nowhere else in the O.T. is there any mention of the dedication of a private house. (A.V. of title to Ps. xxx. is misleading.) At the present day in Syria, when a house

it? let him go and return to his house, lest he die in the 6 battle, and another man dedicate it. And what man is there that hath planted a vineyard, and hath not used the fruit thereof? let him go and return unto his house, lest he die in the battle, and another man use the fruit thereof. 7 And what man is there that hath betrothed a wife, and hath not taken her? let him go and return unto his house, lest 8 he die in the battle, and another man take her. And the officers shall speak further unto the people, and they shall say. What man is there that is fearful and fainthearted? let him go and return unto his house, lest his brethren's heart o melt as his heart. And it shall be, when the officers have

is built a goat or sheep is slain and the blood stamped (often by the open hand) on the door or walls, as the present writer has seen in Moab and elsewhere: cp. Doughty Ar. Des. 1. 136, W. R. Smith Rel. Sem. 133 1, Musil, Moab, 372, Ethn. Ber. 417. The sacrifice propitates the spirits of the disturbed soil. To leave for war without fulfilling such rites was regarded as fatal; see Schwally, or f., who quotes as parallel Iliat 11. 698 f.: Protesilaus, the first Greek slain by the Trojans, had left his house unfinished. That such a superstition prevailed in Israel is probable, but by the addition and another man dedicate it. D's motive for this law is shown to be rather one of humanity.

S. not used the fruit thereof ] As in xxviii. 30 EVV. paraphrase the Heb. halal, a ritual term for bringing into common use. In the 5th year after planting the vine, one might use the fruits which in the 4th were reserved for the Deity, and for the three previous years were left alone. See Lev. xix. 23 ff.

7. that hath betrothed, etc.) Cp. xxiv. 5, exempting the newly-married from service for a year. The reason can hardly be that he was unclean for, as in the case of other married men, this obstacle could be removed (2 Sam. xi. 6 f.). Evidently the motive is humane, in the wife's interests, or in order to secure descendants to the man himself.

8, shall speak further] The change in the formula is no proof that this is a later addition to the law (as Steuern, avers).

fearful and fainthearted] It is true that such were also supposed to

be possessed by evil spirits (Schwally). For a Celtic analogy see Scott's Fair Maid of Perth, in which Conacher's timidity is attributed by his foster-father to possession. But there is no evidence of such a superstition here. The rule is rather in sympathy with this Book's constant insistence upon whole hearted devotion in the service of God. In no direction of life ts He content with less. Cp. Judg. vii. 3.

lest his brethren's heart, etc.] 'Fear is catching.' (M. Henry.)

made an end of speaking unto the people, that they shall appoint captains of hosts at the head of the people.

When thou drawest nigh unto a city to fight against it, to then proclaim peace unto it. And it shall be, if it make rr

 they shall appoint] They, not necessarily the officers of the previous clause, but indefinite: those whose duty it is to appoint, or the people as a whole. Co. r Macc. iii. st f.

people as a whole. Cp. r Macc. iii. 55 f. captain of pheart] The chiefs of the main divisions, cp. 1 Kgs iii. 5. These are not appointed till the host has been sifted of all whom it was not proper to allow to accompany it, because the exemptions apply to all ranks. With these rules for sifting the host, cp. Cromwell's measures with the recruits for his Ironsides.

### 10-18. OF THE CAPTURE OF HEATHEN CITIES.

Before besieging a city Israel shall offer peace, and if it surrenders its prople shall be subject to service (o f.). But if will not, Israel shall asy steps, and having taken it; shall slay every male, but reserve women, children, cattle and spoil for booky (15—44), a milder form of the Aeron; so in the case of digitant cities. But of the cities of the land, onlying that breather is to be arred; to this severate form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the contract form of the Aeron could be accorded to the could be a

Coroll's opinion (Elas) so) that all of 15.—88 is secondary is too drawle: it is a fundamental principle of D not to allow mercy where there is say rink thereby to the purity of tract's religion. Steuern-a milder suggestion, that the formulas in x which probased the Good has griene there and to shick... its given the prince are rehereined and the line of metrics in 75 (so too Meyer, 2A/TP' 1, 175) are Canasalites when it was written see Introd, \$71. the law implies the survival of

Landanies which it was written see former, 977.

Landanies which it was written see former, 977.

Landanies which it was written see former in which have we usual at the time, but only whose been in one day of a faceab length generale by them to the worship of other gods. In modern Arab raids women and children are prever touched and no princents eet made. The men are killed if they defend their property or were left unharmed if they have nothing or see defencedess (Innings-Bramley 978/2 ppt, 37, 37 continued by other travellents). But Islam, the Innat, when

10. When thou drawest nigh) Cp. v. 2.

to fight against it] With another preposition the same vb is used of attacking or besieging a city, Judg. ix. 45, r Sam. xxiii. 1, etc.

proclaim peace unto it! Judg. xxi. 13. Negotiations between enemics on the even of battle were frequent (e.g. Judg. xl. 12—28, 1 Kgs xx. 16.) and it cannot have been unusual for besiegers to offer to the besieged their lives on condition of surrender (3 Kgs xviii. 28 ft). For a case among the Arabs see Doughly 47. Det. 11. 43.

The humanity here enjoined by D must be estimated in the light of the humanity here enjoined by D must be estimated in the light of the humanity, according to which for religious reasons heathen enemies were never to be spared. The injunctions therefore is not so much a mitigation of the rigours.

thee answer of peace, and open unto thee, then it shall be, that all the people that is found therein shall become 'tribu-'2t ary unto thee, and shall serve thee. And if it will make no peace with thee, but will make war against thee, then thou

13 shalt besiege it: and when the LORD thy God delivereth it into thine hand, thou shalt smite every male thereof with at the edge of the sword: but the women, and the little ones, and the cattle, and all that is in the city, even all the spoil

and the cattle, and all that is in the city, even all the spoil thereof, shalt hou take for a prey unto thyself; and thou shalt eat the spoil of thine enemies, which the Lox thy 15 God hath given thee. Thus shalt thou do unto all the cities which are very far off from thee, which are not of the

16 cities of these nations. But of the cities of these peoples, which the Lord thy God giveth thee for an inheritance, 17 thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth: but thou shalt

## Or, subject to task-work

common in Semitic warfare as a qualification of the religious real with which Israel (like Islam) fought their foes. For an instance in which after a siege had begun a Jewish beisger listened favourably to the petitions of the besieged see a Macc. xiii. 43ff. (Simon at Gezer).

11. triintary] Heb. its max. Max means a body of forced labourers, eg. of Israelites in Egypt, Ex. i. 11, or of Solomon's levies for work in Lebanon and upon his buildings, i. Kgs v. 13 (27), ixx. 15; but free the contract of the contr

12. But if it will make no peace with thee...thou shalt besiege, i.e. confine or blockade it.

13. when the LORD thy God delivereth it ] As to this D has no doubt.

thou shalt smite, etc.] See on ii. 34.

14. but] or only. Heb, rab, introducing exceptions. See on x. 15.

the women, etc.] A mitigated form of the herem—see on ii. 34—
urged not only from motives of humanity but on utilitarian eonsiderations.

take for a prey, etc.] ii. 35, iii. 7.

15. these nations] near or round Israel.

But] Heb. rak, introducing an opposite case, see x. 15.
 thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth] Heb. any breath, i.e.

'utterly destroy them; the Hittite, and the Amorite, the Canaanite, and the Peirzite; the Hivite, and the Jebusite; as the Lord thy God hath commanded thee: that they is teach you not to do after all their abominations, which they have done unto their gods; so should ye sin against the Lord your God.

When thou shalt besiege a city a long time, in making 19 war against it to take it, thou shalt not destroy the trees

#### 1 Heb. devote.

human life (Gen. ii. 7, 1 Kgs xvii. 17, 'Isai.' xlii. 5), cp. the deuteronomic Josh, x, 40, xi. 11, 14. Only in Gen. vii. 22 does the phrase cover animals.

17. \*\*\*ilterly destroy them) put them to the harem in its severer form (see on ii. 34). But from the passages quoted above on tributary, v. 11, we see that Israel did not put these nations to the ban but only to forced labour. Here D did not mitigate but aggravate the fate of the peoples conquered by Israel, and as Islam did, from religious motives.

the Hittite, etc.] Six nations, but LXX adds the missing seventh, the Girgashite. See on vii. 1.

as...commanded thee] may be an editorial addition founded on vii. 2,

25, cp. Ex. xxiii. 31-33.

18. The one Pl. passage in this law, see introd. note.

abominations: See on vii. 25, xii. 31, xvii. 1.

#### 19 f. OF SPARING THE FRUIT TREES IN A SIEGE.

In a prolonged siege, Israel, while eating of the besieged's fruit-trees, shall not destroy them (19). Trees which do not yield food may be cut down for siege-works (20).—In the Sg. address.

The practice of cutting down the exemyly fruit trees was commans. Several Anythin kings bear of  $\hat{n}$ ; to Tighesh Höhess III, (quoted in E.R. 45, 45)). The plantations of pains which abutted on his rampart I cut down. Both Penneys and Titus cleaved away the trees round jerusaken, the latter for a distance of op studie (Josephus v. B.f. i. r., vii. 1, v.  $D_i$ , iii. 2). Mehammed destroyed the pains of the Beam Nodil; and puttified this in an ercele, Kuran III. See also

Doughty &r. Dez. L. 23.
On invading Moab Israel cut down the fruit-trees and nopped the wells, in obcidence to a word of Jehovath by Elinha (a Kgs ili. 19, 23). That prophet, therefore, and his bloggrapher cannot have known of this law of D, which shows a real advance in the other of warfare.—Further on Sieges see O. C. Whitehouse art. "Siege" in E. S. Illistrecke, Farthengishus in Kelter Orient.

19. besiege...a long time] From this and build builtvarks in v. 19, we see that Israel were already familiar with siege-operations and did not depend on carrying a city by immediate storm, as the nomad Semites were obliged to do or retire.

in making war against it to take it ] Curiously redundant.

thereof by wielding an axe against them; for thou mayest cat of them, and hou shalt not cut them down; for is the tree of the field man, that it should be besieged of thee? 20 Only the trees which thou knowest that they be not trees for meat, thou shalt destroy and cut them down; and thou shalt build bulwarks against the city that maketh war with thee, until it fall.

by wielding an axe against them] The vb as in xix. 5.

for those mayes! Or, but. Even here a utilitarian reason is given. for is the tree of the field man...?] or human. So according to LXX and other versions. The Heb. pointing, which units the interrogative, gives no sense.

"that it should be besieged of thee] Lit. that it should come into siege before thee: the technical phrase, 2 Kgs xxiv. 10, xxv. 2. Cp. our state

works, or circumvallation. See Mic. v. s (iv. s<sub>4</sub>). Isai xxix 3, Jer. vi. 6, fell ye trees and heap up a wall against ferusalem, cp. Ez. iv. 2, Judg. ix. 46—49, 2 Sam. xx. s<sub>2</sub>. Specimens of such works, of wicker and wood, are seen in Assyrian sculptures. wattl if fell xvili s<sub>2</sub> Isai xxxii. P. Sai xxxii. vi. 2

# CH. XXI. 1-9. OF THE EXPLATION OF AN UNTRACED MURDER.

If a slain man be found in the open country and his slayer is not known the elders of the nearest town shall take a heifer not vet wrought with to an uncultivated valley with a stream and break its neek (1-4); and priests shall attend (5); and the elders, washing their hands over the heifer, shall testify that they neither shed this blood nor saw it shed, and pray for forgiveness, and the blood shall be forgiven and the guilt removed (6-9) .- Peculiar to D, it opens and closes in the Sg. address and with D's formulas (vv. 1, 8, 9); the latter also appear with the entrance of the priests (v. 5). The rest has no trace of the direct address (except in the doubtful 3a) nor of D's formulas. Note, too, in the opening of v. q, how emphatically the return to the direct address is made by a variation-and thon-of the formula with which D closes similar laws; as if he felt some such innetion were needed between what he had been quoting and his own addition. All this suggests that D has incorporated, and rounded off, an older law or custom; and the suggestion is confirmed by the primitive character of that custom, the fact that it implies sacrifice (see on 3 f.) which, according to D, is valid only at the One Altar, and that the earlier authorities in Israel, the elders, perform this. That the law is found only in D points to its having been a local practice. That he altered any of the original details cannot be positively affirmed; but it is noteworthy

If one be found slain in the land which the LORD thy 21 God giveth thee to possess it, lying in the field, and it be not known who hath smitten him: then thy elders and thy 2 judges shall come forth, and they shall measure unto the

that while the definition of the beifer and the place of its killing imply a sacrifice, and the running water may be held to mean that originally the animal's blood was shed into it, there is now in the law no mention of its blood, but its neck is to be broken, as if it were not a regular sacrifice.

It is possible that v. a, with its reference to the judges, belongs not to the law quoted but to D; and very probable that both the elders in that v. and the whole of v. g are additions later than D.—Steuern, assigns the bulk of the passage to the code of the PL author on the ground that adders are also mentioned in other passages; which he assigns to that, e.g. xiz. 1: £, and that his Sg. author does not know of the adders.

The principle of this law, that as untraced amorbe must be rimally explated, and the associated principle than the commentary in which it happened over exponential propoles. [Janouroshie enjoint (f 2) that if a highwaysnan has not been caught the man ribbeth shill always what he has loc; and have shift recovered by the power-time of the proposed propoles. [Janouroshie enjoint (f 2) that if a highwaysnan has not been caught the man ribbeth shill always a man of silver to the deceased's relativest to the contract of the proposed proposed proposed proposed proposed proposed of the place had to severe they were to the marketers (classify and directly and that in the Kildle & Aghend in 15, 1, 1, 1, 16 the responsibility for a Cp. Doughty Art. Do. 1, 15, 1 and a modern instance of communit responsibility white resembles the case in § 23 of [Janouroshi Code. In 150 white necessary the company of the place had been been seen to be a modern instance of communit responsibility to the community of the course of the place had been seen to be a modern instance of community of the course of the place had been seen to be a market of the place had been seen to be a course of the place had been seen to be a course of the place had been seen to be a course of the place had been seen to be a course of the place had been seen to be a course of the place had been seen to be a course of the place of the seen and the place of the plac

 If one be found ] So xvii. 2, xxiv. 7, also Sg. passages. which the Lord thy God is to give thee ] See on xix. 14.

lying Heb. falling but with perfect sense, fallen, cp. Num. xxiv. 4, Judg. iii. 25, v. 27. in the fall? sadeh, as in xxii. 24, 27, in its earlier meaning (see

on v. 21), the wild uncultivated country, remote from habitations.

2. thy elders and thy judges] The combination is remarkable, and recent commentators take one or the other as secondary. Steuern, retains elder (see introd. note), but Berth, and Marti are right in taking this as editorial since D does not elsewhere speak of the elders of the whole nation as P does.

3 cities which are round about him that is slain: and it shall be, that the city which is nearest unto the slain man, even the elders of that city shall take an helfer of the herd, which hath not been wrought with, and which hath not drawn in 4 the yoke; and the elders of that city shall bring down the helfer unto a valley with running water, which is neither plowed no sown, and shall break the helfer's neck there in

3. and it shall be, etc.] Lit. and it shall be as regards the city which...that the elders of that city shall take, etc. Similar construction in xii. 11, xviii. 10.

an heiter of the herd] 1 Sam. xvi. 2, Gen. xv. 9 (a three year old) for sacrifice.

which hath not been wrought with] Heifers were used for work, Judg. xiv. 18, Hos. x. 11, Jer. L. 11, but this one, destined for a sacred use, must not have been so profaned: cp. xv. 19, of firstlings, Num. xix. 4. of the red heifer.

4. the elders of that city] Luc. omits.

a valley voith running soater) i.e. with a perennial brook, cp. Am. v. 44 (and see Driver's note here). The running water is usually explained as meant to carry off the blood, but no blood is mentioned; unless it was so in the original law (see introd note). The primitive idea was rather the checking of a demon or of the spirit of the slain man. Cp. the belief in the preference of spirits for dry places (Luke xi. 24) and their aversion to running water (in modern times that ghosts cannot cross bridges, e.g., "Thus o' Shantley."

neither folumed nor noun] therefore unprofuned by common use, and so meet for a solemn rite. Dillim, (after head): 'that the soaked-in blood of the beast, vicariously killed, may not bereafter be uncovered by the cultivation of the ground but rather washed away by the brook,' See however, the previous note. Some object the impossibility of finding an uncultivated valley with a running stream, but there are

many such.

that break the heiget's neck] The same procedure as J. Ex xiii. 13, axix, 32, on joins for the firtiding of an as not redeemed; cp. 'Isal-lavil, 3 of a dog. In these cases there does not appear to have been shedding of the blood such as took place in all saxtimes proper. This state of the process of the saxtimes proper. This ceremony was it only conceived as a piece of sympathetic major symbolic of the execution of the murkers, and did D transform this into an explation? Or, conversely, was the original exementy a sacrifice, and did D, on his principle has ascrifice was wited only in the Ohe Alux, in 13—1 (P), the principle has ascrifice was wited only in the Ohe Alux, in 13—1 (P), the principle has a solid piece of the principle of the principle has a segret only in the other law of the original creamony.

the valley: and the priests the sons of Levi shall come near; 5 for them the Lord by God bath chosen to minister unto him, and to bless in the name of the Lord; and according to their word shall every controversy and every stroke be; and all the elders of that city, who are nearest unto the slain 6 man, shall wash their hands over the heifer whose neck was broken in the valley; and they shall answer and say, Our 7 hands have not shed this blood, neither have our eyes seen it. Forgive, O Lord, thy people Israel, whom thou hast 8 redeemed, and suffer not innocent blood for remain in the midst of thy people Israel. And the blood shall be forgiven them. So shalt thou put away the innocent blood from the 9 midst of thee, when thou shalt do that which is right in the eyes of the Lord.

8. the prints the sous of Levi shall come near] The same vha so the prints in xx. q. RV. approach. The appearance of the priest is remarkable, for they have nothing else to do in the ceremony. They have been introduced, then, either by Do x, since they are not designated by D's usual tile for them (the prints the Levitet), by an editor who, make the later priestly conceptions, stanct maggine such a gave it as his reason for bringing them in. For the formulas of which it consists see on x, x, xil, 8, 1, x, xiii, 5.

6. wash their hands] thus disowning their own and their com-

munity's guill. Pss. xxvi. 6, Ixxiii. 13, Matt. xxvii. 24.

over the heifer] As representing the murderer or the murder?
7. answer] testify, as in v. 20 (oth Comm.), and xix, 16.

Forgive the meaning of this technical term, kipper, is usually taken either from the Ar. form = to ever, or the Syr. = to wife away, the latter being also its meaning in Assyr. (Zimmern in KAT<sup>3</sup>, 601, 690). See Driver's note here.

whom thou hast redeemed In the Hex. peculiar to D, see on vii. 8.

suffer] Heb. give, i.e. appoint, attack or impute.

thy people Israel.] The guilt of such a crime affected not only the people of the commune where it was committed but all Israel (cp. Num. xxxv. 33). Was this idea in the original law, or added by D? Apparently D's addition begins with the opening of the next v.

Apparently D a admiton begins with the opening of the next v. 9. So shall those just around Heb., and those, thou shall put around, an emphatic variation of the formula with which D usually closes similar laws (see xiii. 5, (6), xix. 13, etc.), as if he only now resumed his own words.

when thou shalt do, etc.] To make the construction right we should prefix to this clause, the words that it may be well with thee. See vi. 18, When thou goest forth to battle against thine enemies, and the LORD thy God delivereth them into thine hands,

- and the LORD thy God delivereth them into thine hands, and thou carriest them away captive, and seest among the captives a beautiful woman, and thou hast a desire unto
- 12 her, and wouldest take her to thee to wife; then thou shalt bring her home to thine house; and she shall shave her
- 13 head, and pare her nails; and she shall put the raiment of her captivity from off her, and shall remain in thine house,

#### 10-14. OF MARRIAGE WITH A CAPTIVE OF WAR.

If a woman taken in war is desired for a wife ( $\iota(t)$ , the may be brought home, but the marriage shall not take place till abe has shaved her hair, pared her nails, put away her former garments, and mourned her parents for a month ( $\iota(t)$ . If the rubshand is love for her fades he may let her go out free ( $\iota_4$ )—In the Sg. address, with no feature humanity and of caution against intection by foreign idolaties. Yet in the light of vii. 3, forbidding marriage with the people of the land, and ax. 16 commanding that in war they shall all be put to death, this isw can only refer to captives taken in distant wars, xx. 1—15. See other codes:

Mohammed permitted a female captive (though previously married) to become at once the concubine of her captor. But this is not Atab custom. "Women are not taken captive in the Arabian warfare, though many times a poor valiant man might come by a fair wife thus without his spending for bride-money" (Doughty Ar. Des. 1t. 148).

When thou goest forth, etc.] See on xx. 1. Read enemy (sing.) because of the following: and the LORD thy God delivereth him into thine hands (see on i. 27); and thou takest captives from him (lit. capturest his captives).

11. the captives ] Sam. his.

hast a desire unto her] Heb. hashak, see on vii. 7. and wouldest toks her] So Sam. LXX. Heb. omits her.

12. to thine house] Lit. to the midst of thy household.

iskure her head, and part her nail!] Hab, make or dren her naili [8 Sam, xix, z, with plat and daard]. Benth, thinks these duties are part of the following mourning, the cutting off of hair being a mourning it (avv., £dim, Bern, 47). But because the has to do this at once and at the same time part off the rainent she was taken in; it is more made to the same time part off the rainent she was taken in; it is more health of the same time part of the rainent she was taken in; it is more healtherism (to most commendators); see above, pp. 42, f. On similar customs among Araba, ep. W. R. Smith, Afrithip, etc., 178, O'IJC<sup>3</sup>, 368, Wellh, Kette Arah, Beid, 146.

and bewail her father and her mother a full month; and after that thou shalt go in unto her, and be her husband, and she shall be thy wife. And it shall be, if thou have no 4 delight in her, then thou shalt let her go whither she will; but thou shalt not sell her at all for money, thou shalt not deal with her 'as a slave, because thou hast humbled her.

#### 1 Or. as a chattel

 a full month] Lit. a month of days, a usual period of mourning, xxxiv. 8, Num. xx. 29, etc., ep. Gen. 1, 3. Contrast Mohammed's practice above.
 be her husband] Heb. a ba'al to her; so xxiv. 1, R.V. marrieth.

14. let her gv] Lit. dismiss, the term for divorce, the right of which was the husband's alone, but in this case is qualified by the following, whither the will! Lit. according to her desire; therefore rather as

the will, as full mistress of berself; cp. Jer. xxxiv. 16 of freed slaves, thou shall not self her, etc.] So in E, Ex. xxi. 8, of the married slave whom her husband wishes to divorce.

deal with her as a slave! Only here and xxiv. 7. Although the

and with me of a state of only live and xxiv. 7. Affilingly the Ar, forms of the root imply rancour or malice, the Heb. vb seems only to mean deal with her as her owner (Driver, 'play the master over her').

because, etc.] Cp xxii. 24, 20.

*se*, etc. j Cp xxii. 14, 1

## 15-17. OF THE RIGHT OF THE FIRSTBORN.

If a man have two sons by different wives, one loved and one hated, and his firstborn's full eso not the latter, he must not give the first-born's double portion to the son of the favourite—Not in the direct dadress nor with any of D's characteristic phrases; possibly herefore a previous law adopted by D, but hardly an ancient one, as it vetoes what sat least the occasional prediction can apply larged. Like others it said, 3, 6, 13, etc.), it covers this almos, and bence is incomplete. We do not learn, e.g., whether the double portion included the family lands (Stade, Getzé, 1, 393, and Buhl, See. Verbällen. d. Gr. 5, 6n., 2, think nod) or anything as to the children of concellines (e.p. E, Gen. xi. 1 of.).

That In early level the furthern had special rights, arising probably from the according state for all infinitions flees.  $L_{i}$   $H_{i}$ ,  $H_{i}$  are given by the term  $f_{i}$   $f_{$ 

15 If a man have two wives, the one beloved, and the other hated, and they have borne him children, both the beloved and the hated; and if the firstborn son be hers that was 16 hated; then it shall be, in the day that he causeth his sons to inherit that which he hath, that he may not make the son of the beloved the firstborn 'before the son of the hated, I which is the firstborn.' but he shall acknowledge the first.

of the beloved the firstborn 'before the son of the hated, I which is the firstborn: but he shall acknowledge the firstborn, the son of the hated, by giving him a double portion of all that he hath: for he is the beginning of his strength: the right of the firstborn is his.

# t Or, during the life time of

londy forbidden in this law. Together the two laws Illustrate D's implied severily and equity. For these legislations on inhesitance sets  $P_i$ , Nun. savit,  $r - \infty$ , exact. On the rights of inherizance in Astryria and Babylonia see John,  $\varphi_i$ ,  $e_i'$ ,  $e_i'$ ,  $e_i'$ . On the rights of inherizance in Astryria and Babylonia see John,  $\varphi_i'$ ,  $e_i'$ ,  $e_i'$ ,  $e_i'$ . On the rights of the finally, and extrain power of allottonic by the oldest non, and quotes  $(p_i + q_i)$  very early fave by which parents might by the second of the contraction of the property of the proper

15. If a man have two wives ] Cp. Jacob, Gen. xxix. 16 ff., Elkansh, 1 Sam. i. 2.

hated] The extreme case, but covering others such as Jacob's Gen. xxix. 30 f.

16. in the day that he causeth his sons to inherit] When he makes

his will, Gen. xxiv. 36, xxv. 5; cp. 2 Sam. xvii. 23, 2 Kgs xx. 1. before] in preference to (see on v. 7), R.V. margin is improbable.

17. acknowledge] Gratz by adding one consonant reads, make him the first-born.
a double portion Heb. mouth or mouthful, of two, only here and

a double portion | Then mount of mounting of two, only here at 2 Kgs ii. 9, Zech. xiii. 8; cp. hand or handful, Gen. xliii. 34. bezinning of his thrength! Gen. xlix. 3.

and his is the right of the firstborn ] So some Heb. MSS, Sam. LXX, etc.

#### 18-21. OF A DISOBEOURNT SON.

If a man have a son, who, in spite of his parents' rebuke, fails to obey them (18), hey shall bring him forth to the gate, and state the case to the elders of the town (19 f.), and the townsmen shall stone him to death, so shall evil be put out of Israel and all take warning [21]. —Except for the closing formula this law is not in the form of address to If a man have a stubbom and rebellious son, which will 18 not obey the voice of his afther, or the voice of his mother, and though they chasten him, will not hearken unto them: then shall his father and his mother lay hold on him, 19 and bring him out unto the elders of his city, and unto the gate of his place; and they shall say unto the elders of as his city, This our son is stubborn and rebellious, he will not obey our voice; he is a riotous liver, and a drunkard. And all the men of his city shall stone him with stones, 2t that he die: so shalt thou put away the evil from the midst of thee; and all Israel shall hear, and fear.

Israel, and the term for storing is other than D's. Therefore probably another of the laws incorporated by D.

The power of parents over their children (E. Ex. xxt  $\gamma$ , Gen. xxxd :  $\gamma_b$ , even to puting them to death, which percentalled in early farcal also to this degree (Gen. szwini, asi ep. Buhl, Sac. Fordatta, d. Irr., ou), as among the Greeks and Romano, but the contract of the contract o

18. If a man have ] See introd. to vv. 15-17.

stubborn and rebeliious ] Jet. v. 23, Ps. Ixxviii. 8.

father or ...mother] Mark the equality of the parents, as in the next v. the Fifth Comm. and in E, Ex. xxi 15, 17; also in the Babylonian laws cited above.

chasten | See on viii. s.

lay hold] xxii, 28; cp. ix. 17. Bring out, xvii. 5, q.v., xxii. 21, 24. Shere of his sity, see on xix. 12 and xvi. 18. Gate of his place, as the local seat of judgement, xxii. 15, xxv. 7; cp. Ruth iv. 1f., Am. v. 10, 12, 15, 1sal xxix. 11.
 20. clders] Sam. LXX. mer.

riotous liver] Better, prodigal, lit. one who lavishes or squanders, Prov. xxiii. 20 (with flesh, a glutton) and 21, parallel to drunkard as here; xxviii. 7: a companion of prodigals shameth his father.

21. stone] Heb. ragum as in Ar.; only here in D, which elsewhere has sukal, see on xiii. 10 (11), but found in JE (Josh. vii. 25), H (Lev. xx. 2, 17) and P (Num. xiv. 10, etc.).

but away. etc.] See on xiii. 5 (6).

all Israel shall hear, etc.] See on xiii. 11 (12); cp. xvii. 13, xix. 20.

# 92 f. OF THE EXPOSED CORPSE OF A CRIMINAL

A corpse exposed after execution shall be baried before night; cursed of God it must not be left to defile the land. In the Sg. address and closing with a deuteronomic formula.

DEUTERONOMY
OF REAL GOOGLE

22 And if a man have committed a sin worthy of death, and he be put to death, and thou hang him on a tree; 23 his body shall not remain all night upon the tree, but thou shalt surely bury him the same day; for he that is hanged is accursed of God; that thou defile not thy land

which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance.

Hauping for impalments that below I was not the form of the criminal's death but was atthewagen in the execution and an aggravation of indishonour. This is the execution and as aggravation of indishonour that is one on only from n as had from Jon. Yill no, n at, 1. San. xan. 1 n, a San. 1 v. 1 and not not not provide the control of th

22. if a man, etc.] Cp. tw. 15, 18; lit. if there be against a man a sin, a sentence (mishpat), of death. This compound phrase seems a fusion of a sin of death, a cavital sin, xxii, 26, and a sentence of death.

a capital charge, xix. 6. Or mishpat is a gloss.

and thus hims him on a tree! Not necessarily tree but something wooden (see xis, l. LXX erd globau. It may have been a stake or pole, Eath, vii. 9, EVV, galdwar. Of the cross in Gal. iii. 13. So also hore, LXX sepadegree, may be lost here and in passages cited above affect or implete, Eath vii. 9, LXX erospois (but 11sh was in Persis for which ep. the serenceber(per of Hered. 1: 12). Impalement is implied in Erax vi. 11; and probably in a Macca xv. 35, Justifi xvi. 1, Lam. courtly immaded the bodies of their enemies, see

22. for the thing hanged is accurated God Plis a curse God. This was the meating of such exposure of the copys after execution. God's wrath was been along the risk of the became doubly unclean and therefore entithly changed with infection to its surroundings. The LNX version of these words and the surface of the surf

that then defit not! In D only here and xxiv. 4, but the idea, differently expressed, is frequent, yield the for an inheritance! xv. 4. See on iv. 21.

green ther for an innertiant; xv. 4. See on iv.

CII. XXII. 1-12. NINE LAWS FOR VARIOUS OCCASIONS AND TEMPTATIONS.

All in the Sg. address (and the first two besides with the term brother usual in the Sg passages) and without the opening formula general in the preceding group and resumed in the following. It is

Thou shalt not see thy brother's ox or his sheep go astray, 22 and hide thyself from them: thou shalt surely bring them again unto thy brother. And if thy brother be not nigh 2 unto thee, or if thou know him not, then thou shalt bring it home to thine house, and it shall be with thee until thy brother seek after it, and thou shalt restore it to him again. And so shalt thou do with his ass; and so a shalt thou do with his garment; and so shalt thou do with every lost thing of thy brother's, which he hath lost, and thou hast found: thou mayest not hide thyself.

Thou shalt not see thy brother's ass or his ox fallen down 4

difficult if not impossible to explain their appearance just here in the Code, or the order in which they are arranged. They have, however this in common that they modify some earlier laws or customs, and transform others or forbid others. Steuern,'s division of them between his So, and Pl. authors is unconvincing.

1-3. Of Restoring Lost Property. No Israclite shall see a brother's ox or sheep go astray without returning it, or caring for it till it is claimed, and so with an ass or garment or anything lost; D's expansion of a law by E. Ex. xxiii, 4f., which is (remarkably) of an enemy's property. As is evident from the parallel phrase, him that hateth thee, in E's next law, this is not a foreign, but a private, enemy. Therefore D's substitution of the term brother renders his law not narrower (so Marti and others), but wider, than E's. P. Lev. vi. 1-7, gives details for the treatment of a man who has not restored lost property found by him.

Hammurabi has four laws, \$6 o-12, on cases in which the finder has sold the lost property of another. For the Arabs see Doughty Ar. Dez. 1. 345 and Musil, Ethn. Ber. 262 ff. : If a man find no animal, this must be confirmed by two witnesses, that the owner may not charge him with theft and exact fourfold compensation Among the Sekhilt the animal remains with the finder till the owner appears, when it is returned; but after 3 years it belongs to the finder. Some forms of denouncing finders, who do not restore, are given.

1. po astray | Heb. niddahim, usually rendered as a passive part. has here, probably, as in Mie. iv. 6, Zeph. iii. 10, Ezek. xxxiv. 4. 16, a reflexive sense like the Scot. pass. part. wandered: LXX \*\auώμενα έν τῆ όδφ. Εx. xxiii. 4: if thou come upon thine enemy's ox or his ass straying. and hide thyself from them ] v. 4, 'Isai.' lviii. 7 (from thine own

Resh), Ps. lv. 1 (2); LXX, breptoeir. Cp. Luke x. 21 f., passed by on the other side. 2, 3. Wholly (except for his ass) D's addition to the law.

 thou mayest not ] As in xii. 17, q.v., etc.
 Of Assisting to Lift Fallen Beasls. D's more comprehensive and more simply expressed edition of E's law, Ex. xxiii. 5, which enjoins by the way, and hide thyself from them: thou shalt surely

help him to lift them up again.

5 A woman shall not wear that which pertaineth unto a man, neither shall a man put on a woman's garment: for whosoever doeth these things is an abomination unto the LORD thy God.

If a bird's nest chance to be before thee in the way, in any tree or on the ground, with young ones or eggs, and the dam sitting upon the young, or upon the eggs, thou shalt not

7 take the dam with the young: thou shalt in any wise let the dam go, but the young thou mayest take unto thyself; that it may be well with thee, and that thou mayest prolong thy days.

the duty of helping him that hateth thee to release (an archaic word) his animals when foundered beneath their burdens. On fallen, see xxi. 1.

An animal fallen under its load needs two persons to put it right: 'an operation which can be performed for a loaded animal only by lifting up the burden on both sides at once, miles it be infloaded and loaded again, implying which loss of time, and even this often cannot be done without assistance. Lew and Christian, Muslim and Koord mutually assist each other, though inimical to one another's faith' (Van Lennen, Bible Lands, etc., 231).

5. Against Wearing the Clothes, etc., of the Other Sex. Peculiar to D. As what is forbidden is styled an abomination to Jehovah, the law probably refers to heathen rites, for the practice of which, including the interchange by the sexes of their clothes, weapons, etc., leading to gross impurities, there is much evidence in records of the Syrian and other ancient religions. Calvin quotes Juvenal Sat., vi. 252.

> Quem praestare potest mulier galeata pudorem, Ouse fugit a sexu?

Lucian, Dea Syr. 15, 26, 31, Apol. Metamorph. VIII. 24 ff. Pausanias III. 197, Marcholine Sat. III. 8, Enselvius Vit. Court. III. 35, Jerome on Ho, iv. 14, Angustine Cir. Dri. v. 14, 3. Co. Movers. Phintisters. 1, 58 ff. Stark. Gage, etc. 36, W. R. Smith. OTIC3, 364.

that which pertaineth ] Heb. 441, covering weapons (i. 41), utensils (xxiii. 24 [25]) and ornaments, as well as garments or 'things' as we call them (Lev. xiii. 49, etc.).

abomination | See vii. 25; cp. xviii. 12, xxv. 16.

6. 7. Of Sparing the Mother-bird. Peculiar to D. No reason of ritual such as we found from xiv. 21 is traceable here. The motive may be prudence; had it been kindness to animals (as in xxv. 4, and H. Ley, xxii, 47 (.) we should have expected an injunction not to take the whole brood. Either D or possibly a later editor has in v. 7 added the same inducement which is attached to the Fifth Commandment, as

When thou buildest a new house, then thou shalt make 8 a battlement for thy roof, that thou bring not blood upon thine house, if any man fall from thence. Thou shalt not o sow thy vineyard with two kinds of seed: lest the 1 whole

### 1 Heb. fulness.

if reverence for motherhood were the motive. Steuern,'s idea that this

was suggested by axiv. 16 is far-fetched. Cp. Luke xii. 6.

8. Of Protecting Roofs. Only in D. E. Ex. xxi. 33 f., exacts from him who leaves a pit open the price of a beast fallen into it, but says nothing as to houses. D's frequent reference to building is another sign of its later date. Neglect of this law would be punished under the laws on manslaughter and maiming. Battlement, Heh. ma'akeh, only here (cp. Ar. 'aki, 'to hinder). Roof, Heb. gag, deriv. doubtful. Cf. Syr. geg, 'plaster' (M'Lean Dict. of Vernac. Syriac). Blood, LXX obvos.

Hammurabi fixes penalties for unsound building involving death or damage, \$ 229-233. In W. Asia roofs are flat, or where they are domed because timber is scarce, as in Jerusalem, flat terraces are left round the domes, so that they can be used for taking the air, private conversation, worship, etc., as in Jos. ii. 6, 1 Sain, ix. 26, 2 Sain, xi. 2, xvi. 22, tsai. xxii. 1, Jer. xix. 13, Zeph, i. e, Matt. xxiv. 12, Acts x. q. In towns there is generally a stone-wall on the outside and a paling on the inside above the court. But Baldensperger says (PEFQ, 1904, 261), 'the roof is designated hatf which means "protected," although, as a master of fact, it is not protected at all on the most dangerous side,"

9-11. Three Laws against Mixing (1) seeds, (2) animals in ploughing, (3) cloths in a garmettl. The first and third also in 11, Lev. xix. 10 (cp. P, Lev. xi. 37, against defiling seed), along with one against cross breeding; the second peculiar to D. The religious reason given for the first is to be inferred for the other two. To appreciate it we must keep in mind not only the attention of the mind of that time to the distinctness of species as created by God, Gen. l. 11f., 21, 24f. (Driver), but the principle stated by Isaiah (xxviii. 24f.) that all the husbandman's customs and methods including his discrimination and separation of different kinds of seed were taught him by divine revelation (cp. Lev. xix. 19 : ye shall keep my statutes); and the possibility that in a more primitive society different secils, animals and the stuffs produced from them were regarded as animated by different spirits whom it was unlucky to offend by confusing them (see on v. 11). But it is remarkable that Hammurabi's Code shows no trace of this. For the later more detailed Jewish law see the Mishnah, ' Kil'aim.'

9. thy vineward | which in Palestine is frequently so planted that there is room for the growth of vegetables, etc., between the vines, Lev. xix, 19, thy field. Why D mentions only vineyard is not explicable. The inference that his law is later than that in Lev. (Dillm.) is unjustified. More probably the wider term is the later correcting the narrower.

two kinds | Only here and Lev. xix. 19. The Heb, implies mutually er tusive kinds.

fruit be 'forfeited, the seed which thou hast sown, and the increase of the vineyard.

Thou shalt not plow with an ox and an ass together.

Thou shalt not wear a mingled stuff, wool and linen together.

12 Thou shalt make thee \*fringes upon the four borders of thy vesture, wherewith thou coverest thyself.

# Heb. consecrated. 5 Or, twisted threads

whole fruit Right; for the Heb., the fulness, means not the overflow (o Ges. as in Ex. xxii. 29 (28)) but the whole ultimate contents of the vineward, as the rest of the v. explains.

be forfeited ] Lit. as R.V. margin, consecrated, separated unto Jehovah and His sanctuary like things under the ban (Josh vi. 19); proof that the prohibited mixture was regarded as a religious, i.e. a ritual,

offence.

10. an an and an ars tagether] This is frequently seen in Palestine, a also a camel with one or dother of these two. Note that the on, was 'clean,' the ass 'unclean.' D does not, like H, probibit cross-breeding, Mules were common in Israel from David's times, see forest, 1, 266. On cross-breeding at the present day in Palestine see Musil, Ethn. Ber. 291.

11. a mingled stuff.] Heb. sha'atten, a foreign word, and perhaps greytian (doubtilly derived from the Copic sakt, 'woven,' and analy, 'faise'), LXX 4(384)set. Also in Lev. xix 19, which has a germent of the activation for the used and limen negation of D. According to Hos. Beatling and the season of the same negation of D. According to Hos. Baalin, and if as is probable different products were attributed to different Baalin, and if as is probable different products were attributed to different Baalin when are confirmation of the theory stated above in the introd.

note. Josephus, 1v. Antt. viii. 11, gives another reason.

12. Of Knots or Tassels. Colling, lit. twinted threath, are to be put on the four bonders of the quadrangular covering or outer garment (axiv. 13, Ex. xxii. 27). F(or II), Nam. xv. 37—41, calls them Syttik, and explains them as reminders of the commandments of their God, and their obligations, as holy to bim, not to go a whoring. It is singular that D does not explain them as, with this meaning, they are analogous been used as symbols of contracts, cit., and memorials (see also no xxiii. 11). These enjoined by the Law may be the successors of the armlets worn in a more primitive state of society. LXX, sysperid, and for jitth, appleteble. Variate, it covering.

## 13-30. Sex Laws on Cases of Unchastity.

Of these the first five prescribe the procedure in criminal cases:—
1st. Of a Husband's Charges against His Bride (13—21); and. Of
Adultery (22); 3rd. Of Dishonouring a Betrothed Virgin with her con-

Diploment Google

If any man take a wife, and go in unto her, and hate 13

In considering these plain-spoken laws it is just to remember that with all their imperfections they represent an advance in social elibics; an upward stage in the struggle against debasing practices and the animal passions of men. That we do not need some of them to day is due to the fact that their enforcement under religious sanction was needed at the time of their origin. It is only ignorance or inguatitude

which can cavil at their spirit or their form.

13—21. Charges, against a Bride. He who, from a base motive, falsely accuses his wife of unchastily before marriage shall after solenn rebuke from the elders be fined 100 silver pieces and have his right of divorce withdrawn (13—19); but if such a charge be true she shall be stoned to death (20 f.)—No direct address to Israel except in the closing formula which is Se.

The physical evidence, on which the secura is acquitted, was regarded as created by many sooner mean and in still called in an add indigated drive cody in control in the control of the cody in the c

13. If any man, etc.] For this opening cp. xxi. 15, 18, 22. Take a wife, xxi. 11, etc.

14 her, and lay shameful things to her charge, and bring up an evil name upon her, and say, I took this woman, and when I came nigh to her, I found not in her the tokens 15 of virginity: then shall the father of the damsel, and her mother, take and bring forth the tokens of the damsel's 16 virginity unto the elders of the city in the gate: and the damsel's father shall say unto the elders. I gave my daughter 17 unto this man to wife, and he hateth her; and, lo, he hath laid shameful things to her charge, saving, I found not in thy daughter the tokens of virginity; and yet these are the tokens of my daughter's virginity. And they shall spread the 18 garment before the elders of the city. And the elders of 19 that city shall take the man and chastise him; and they shall amerce him in an hundred shekels of silver, and give them unto the father of the damsel, because he hath brought

and hate her] Note this feature in the case; the man had entered on marriage merely for the satisfaction of his passions, and when this was achieved turned against his wife by a revulsion of feeling known in such characters.

14 and lay shameful things to her charge ] So some versions, and so still Marti. But others following Dillm. trans, frame wanton charges against her (Heb. "lithth abarim, cp. the cognate tatalulim, caprice or wantonness, Isai. iii. 4, lxvi. 4, and Ps. exli. 4). So Dri. Berth., and the Oxford Heb. Lex. Aq. has εταλλακτικά ότματα, but LXX προφασιστικούτ λόγουτ. Steuern., 'evil deeds that are only words.'

bring up 1 Heb bring out, techn, term, tokens of virginity | See introd. note, and cp. v. 17.

18. father of the damsel, and her mother | Together as in xxi. 18 ff. Damsel, Heb. na'ar, the masc. form used in the Pent. for the fem. 21 times, 13 of which are here (but fem, form in v. 10) and the rest in Gen, xxiv, and xxxiv, ; co. Kuth ii, 6, iv. 12. elders of the city in the gate ] xxi. 10.

17. to her charge | So Sam. LXX; omitted by Heb.

18. chastise him] According to Josephus, IV. Autt. viii. 23, he received 30 stripes; see on xxv. 3. But the vb probably means merely to rebuke, cp. xxi. 18.

19. amerce | Or fine, also in E. Ex. xxl. 22. On the estimate of the silver shekel as = 25th. 9d., this came to £13. 15th. It is paid to the father who had been responsible for his daughter's integrity (ep. v. 16, I gave my daughter to this man) and whose family name had been damaged by the slanderer; but also the national name, ep, a virgin of Israel. By & 127 of Hamnurabi the false accuser of another man's wife was branded.

up an evil name upon a virgin of Israel: and she shall be his wife; he may not put her away all his days. But ao if this thing be true, that the tokens of virginity were not found in the damsel; then they shall bring out the at damsel to the door of her father's house, and the men of her city shall stone her with stopes that she die: because she hath wrought folly in Israel, to play the harlot in her father's house: so shalt thou put away the evil from the midst of thee.

If a man he found lying with a woman married to an 22

and the shall be his wi(r). Helv emphasic; and to him shall have (continue to) h is wi(r). It is just that he should not be free of his obligations to her, for the motive of his shader had been to get fid of her. But for her li is rough; buside. A woman could not divore a man. By § 142 of Hammunshi, if a woman reputsized her husband had belittled her she took her marriage portion and went back to her father's house.

20. But if this charga be true, etc.] If the physical signs were alone relied on a miscarriage of justice was possible. Other evidence, however, may have been forthcoming. Indeed it is possible that the clause, the tokens, etc., is not original.

21. the door of her father's house! Not at the town's gate (as in other cases, v. 24, xvii. 5), because it was her father's house which she had dishonoured. Therefore instead of to play the harles, etc., real with Sam. LXX. turning her father's house into a harlot's.

folly ] Rather, aenselessness.

Hels releated from nealest "very difficult porender in English." Foot" and "folly" are inadequate,... The fault of the nealest is not weakers of reacop, but moral and religious to the contraction of the

folly in Israel] this phrase, implying the sense of a national ideal and standard, a national conscience, which is found in J, Gen. xxxiv. 7, Josh. Wi. 15, and in Judg. xx. 6, 10, does not elsewhere occur in D, and is evidence (so far) that we have here an earlier law interpreted by D.

so shalt thou put away.] See on xiii. 6 (5); and introd. note to this law.

Of Adultery. Both guilty parties shall die; so H, Lev. xx. 10.
 By inference from 79. 21, 24 the death was by stoning; so Ezek. xvi. 38—49. John viii. 5.

So la Arabia to this day; Burton, Polgr. to Mecca, 11, 19, Musil, Ethn. Ber. 210; among the Arabs of Sinal the man alone is killed, the woman may be divorced and

husband, then they shall both of them die, the man that lay with the woman, and the woman: so shalt thou put away the evil from Israel.

23 If there be a damsel that is a virgin betrothed unto an husband, and a man find her in the city, and lie with her; 4 then ye shall bring them both out unto the gate of that city, and ye shall stone them with stones that they die; the

city, and ye shall stone them with stones that they die; the damsel, because she cried not, being in the city; and the man, because he hath humbled his neighbour's wife: so thou shalt out away the evil from the midst of thee.

25 But if the man find the damsel that is betrothed in the

pays the britle-price (Jennings-Brandey, PEPQ, 1995, 184, 115). By 4 159 of Hjammarshi both parties were stranged and exist into the water, but they hashade might save her and the king his sevent (1); by 4 151 as wife stoned by her hashade might save her and the king his sevent (2); by 6 151 as wife stoned by her her house; but by 5 151 if suspection was raised against the choses house his better than the saved river (orders by water). Other cases deal with except the control of the saved river (orders by water). Other cases deal with except the saved river (orders by water). Other cases deal with except the saved river (orders by water). Other cases deal with except the saved river (orders by water). Other cases deal water orders of the saved of the saved of the saved orders of the saved of the saved orders or the saved orders orders or the saved orders order orders orders orders orders order orders order orders order orders orders

married to an husband] Heb. be ulath-ba'al, only here, xxi. 13, and Gen. xx. 3. But cp. Hos. ii. 16.

33—37. Of Intercourse with a Petrolhed Virgin: (1) πc. 3 ft, with reconsent, in which case both hist and the man are stored, as in the case of Adultery (π, π), for the bride-price having been paid at becase of Adultery (π, π), for the bride-price having been paid at betterhal the woman is as good as married (fore, πxix π, 1, 0c) (1 8); (2) πc, 35—37, without her consent, in which case the man alone dies and nothing is done to the woman. These two have are peculiar of the price of the price

For such cases Hazmurabli has but one law, f 130: If a man has ravshed another's betrethed, who is virgin, while still in her father's house, and has been cought in the act, that man shall die, but the woman go free. Among the Arabs if the woman is unmarried her relatives are not obliged to kill her, hat no one may unarry her (Muith, Ethen, Rev. 210).

23. betrothed] See on xx. 7.

in the city] Cp. v. 24. In the city she would have been heard had she cried, but as she did not she must have been a consenting party.

24. bring them both out unto the gate of that city, ctc.] See on xiii. to (11), xxii. 5. became, etc.] This construction is found in 1) only here and xxiii. s.

25. But if in the field the man find, etc.] So the emphatic Heli

Digitizen L/ Google

Humbled, v. 20 and xxi. 14.

field, and the man force her, and lie with her; then the man only that lay with her shall die: but unto the damsel as thou shalt do nothing; there is in the damsel no sin worthy of death: for as when a man riseth against his neighbour, and slayeth him, even so is this matter: for zy he found her in the field; the betrothed damsel cried, and there was none to save her.

If a man find a damsel that is a virgin, which is not 28 betrothed, and lay hold on her, and lie with her, and they be found; then the man that lay with her shall give unto 29 the damsel's father fifty zhekelt of silver, and she shall be his wife, because he hath humbled her; he may not put her away all his days.

order. Field here in its wider and probably earlier sense, of the uncultivated, therefore uninhabited, land. So v. 27, xxi. 1. force! Rather, seize, lay hold of, as in xxv. 11.

26. thou shalt do nothing | Sam. LXX, we shall, Pl. as in v. 24.

no sin worthy of death ] See introd. to xxii. 13-30.

riseth against...and slayeth him: ] xix. 11, but here Heb., using a stronger vb, unnecessarily adds tife from xix. 6 and 11.

27. cried] Here at least the woman has the advantage of the

28, 29. Of Intercourse with a Virgin not Betrothed. The nann shall pay a bride-price (see on v. 21) and marry her without yower of divorce. For seduction E, Ex. xxii. 16 L, exacts the bride-price but the father may refuse his daughter to the man. Among the Tiyikha Arabs the seducer of a woman pays the blood-price of two men; if he will marry.

her he must furnish the full hride-price (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 210).

lay hold on her] Not the same vb as in v. 25, usually explained as rape, but this is not certain.

and he be found] So LXX. Heh. they is due to dittography.

29. humbled | See v. 24. He may not, etc., as in v. 10.

30. (Heb. ch. xxiii. 1.) Against Intercoorse with a Father's Wife, p. xxvii. o, and Ul, Lev. xviii. 8, xx. 11, where the prohibition is extended to other female relatives. Either D's has is earlier than Ul; or D did not know of H's. Its limitation to this special case is explained by the fact that such intercourse had been regarded as proof of succession to the father's property (5 Sam. iii., x, xvii. x<sub>2</sub>; 1 kgs ii. x<sub>2</sub>) and the property of the conformed by the survival of a disk. Xxvii. x<sub>3</sub> 1 kgs ii. x<sub>2</sub>) and in early times (but conformed by J. Gen. xxxv. x<sub>3</sub>. Xxv. x<sub>4</sub>).

Thus among the ancient Arabs a man succeeded to his father's wives along with other heritable property. But this was forbidden by the Kofán, iv. 26. For instances in Syria see W. R. Smith, Khekify, etc., 85-90, 077/C<sup>1</sup>, 266. By \$158.

30 A man shall not take his father's wife, and shall not uncover his father's skirt.

of Unamourable a man caught after his father's death with a step-mother who has borne children, in cut off from his father's house; by \$157 incest is punished by hurning. Co. H. Lev. xviii. 7.

uncover, etc.] xxvil. 20, for the sense see Ruth iii. 9, Ezek. xvi. 8, and cp. the Ar. parallel quoted through W. R. Smith in Driver's Deut. 259, n. 1.

CH. XXIII, 1-8 (2-8), FOUR LAWS: OF RIGHT TO ENTER
THE CONGREGATION.

There shall not enter any enumeh (1); nor the son of an unleaver dimarriage, nor descendant (3); nor Anmonite, nor Mosiler, or descendants (3=6); but the third generation of Edonite or Egyptian way enter (r).—These laws have negative operating like the preceding and like the series which follow in vv. 15 $_{v}$ =10 (16=1) after the interprinting law,  $-\mu_1$  (10=12); hence possibly their position just here. The form of address to Israel does not appear till 4a (4a) where it in Fl., but in 4b—78;. Other features are the use of  $bahal_1$  congruence, for the commonwealth of Israel, not elsewhere in D, the difference of  $bahal_2$  congruence of  $bahal_3$  congruence of b

Some this q = 6 (q = 7) as secondary, and the revt as original to D. But it is marriy as plautible to prection part or all of q = 6 as V in redition to our brill have and to argue for the primitive origin of these (see blood). Borth, holds that all v = 0 (v = 0) behavior of the primitive origin of these (see blood). Borth, both that all v = 0 (v = 0) behavior of the primitive original problems which the found in Jarvaslers. As there as norbing at that since to explain f = 0 (G = 0) be lockly suggests the origin of this for the Metcokheap problet (G follow) of G = 0; and f = 0 read, v = 0, and the secondary in the original problems of G = 0.

1 (2). The Mutilated shall not Enter the Congregation. The reason is either the general one, which may well have been primitive, that a blemished man was ritually usfit for a community, formed like all ancient communities on a religious basis (ep. H., Lev. xxt. xo, for the pricets shote); or the particular one that such timesed persons often ment of enumbers was part of the foreign Aureus system introduced by Solomon. There is therefore no reason to doubt the possibility of an early date for this law.

On its use of hahal for the congregation of tyrael see below. Both argues that it rigroom exclusion of europhs implies a date later than the earlie or post-callic passage. \*last. 'bit, 3t, "which promises the childles conuch, surfs, a basing name in I trust, better than sons or daughters, if he keep Johovah's covenant. But this promise, it is to connection with a similar one to the son of the foreigner, reash as the

Digitizes by Google

He that is wounded in the stones, or hath his privy 23 member cut off, shall not enter into the assembly of the LORD.

A hastard shall not enter into the assembly of the LORD; a even to the tenth generation shall none of his enter into the assembly of the LORD.

An Ammonite or a Moabite shall not enter into the 3 assembly of the LORD; even to the tenth generation shall none belonging to them enter into the assembly of the

grast, node: the influence of a more spiritual and genarous pixty (c.o. or. 6), of privileges hitheron denied to the physical ensurch by custom or low. Or has arrive here the same symbolic meaning which it bears in Matt. six. 12. Nor does the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the making with process and private, by physical cuntods but are trather chambelalasis or other high efficials. Jenness derives the word (nontraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the sastes. On contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the pickermage, etc., 100 p

wounded in the stones ] Lil. wounded by crushing (the testes), cp. H, Lev. xxi. 20; this and the other operation here described are both practised in the East.

the assembly] or congregation. For the Heb. kahal see on v. 22. The earlier instances of the term cited there shew that it sue here cannot be taken as proof of an exilic or post-exitic date. This in answer to Berth. Not used in this meaning elsewhere by D; it spreache here may be due to D's employment of an earlier law (cp. Dillm.). But cp. XXXIII. 4.

2(3). Nor shall the Son of an Unlawful Marriage Enter the Congregation nor his Descendants.

batters! This meaning is derived from the LXX is vdprys. More probably the Heb. manner (lesswhere only in Zech. is, 6) signifies the offspring either of such unlawful unions as are exemplified in xxii. you (xxiii. t), which was the opinion of the Rabbis (Mishma). "Vebamoth' iv. 13, cp. Levy, Chald. is. Newhork. Worterbuck, xwb vocc), or of the equally (orbided marriages whis foreign wires, Neb. xiii. 3).

3—6 (4—7). Nor shall Ammonites, nor Moabites, nor their Descendants Euter the Congregation (3), for these nations gave no provision to Israel on the way from Egypt (4a), but he (r) hired Balaam to curse Israel (4a, 6); Israel must never seek their welfare (6). V = 3 is quoted in Lam. 1: to: evidence in favour, but not conclusive, of it is being an original part of D's code. The originality of ∞, 4—6 is more doubtful.

They make the law longer than the others of this group, on the desterosomic additions to the Ten Words. P. 3 is sufficiently accounted for through its connection with the previous law, by tha increasures neglin of Ammon and Mash (J. Gen. xx. 30–35); but vv. 4–6 besides being quotainons (see below) give other reasons for the law. The question is further complicated by the introduction of

- 4 LORD for ever: because they met you not with bread and with water in the way, when ye came forth out of Egypt; and because they hired against thee Balaam the son of 5 Beor from Pethor of Mesopotamia, to curse thee. Never-
- 5 Beof from Petror of Aresponantia, to curse thee. Revertheless the Lord thy God would not hearken unto Balaam; but the Lord thy God turned the curse into a blessing 6 unto thee, because the Lord thy God loved thee. Thou shalt
- o unto thee, because the LORD thy God loved thee. I not shalt not seek their peace nor their prosperity all thy days for ever. Thou shalt not abhor an Edomite; for he is thy brother:

## <sup>1</sup> Hels. Aram-naharaim.

Balsam, not mentioned in chs. i.—ii., and the difference between v, as and is,  $s_0$ . But whether  $v_0$  is a earlier law to which D or editors have added (at different limes) the two quotations,  $v_0$ , q=6; or whether v, g is D's own law, to which editors have added the rest—it is impossible to asay. On Ammon and Mosh see th, if.

4a. met you not, etc.] The appearance of the Pl. address marks a quotation as in ix. 7 f. According to ii. 29 Moab sold bread and water to Israel.

when ye came forth out of Egypt ] Whoever wrote this clause (D or an editor) its perspective is that not of Moses in the land of Moab but of a time long after when the whole forty years' passage from Egypt was foreshortened.

4b, 6. Probably another quotation from a different source: (t) because of the change from the Pl. 1 ot be Sg. address (confirmed by LXN), and (s) because Heb, and the versions have he hired (not they as in EVV), suggesting that in the context from which it was extracted this vb had a sing, nominative (Balak ?). On the substance of 4b, 5, see IE. Num. xii. 1 ft.

6. Thou shall not seek, etc.] So Ezra ix. 12 of the peoples of the land. But Jeremiah (xxix. 7) counselled the exiled Jews to seek the peace of Bahylonia. The spirit of his counsel is as much in advance of the spirit of this law, as '1 sai.' lvi. 3f. is in advance of v. t.

7, 8 (8, 9). Edomites and Egyptians are not to be abominated; the one people is Israel's blood-brother (unlike Moab and Ammou), the other was his host; their third generation may enter the congregation.

-Here too there is no reason against an early date.

The political bouility of Israel to Edon, force before the Eside, was then and after still fercer. But here is may saw and stratistics and this law like that other of the group reflects and a political situation but a religious principle. The attitude of the group reflects and a political situation but a religious principle. The attitude been the enulsaren of Israel—bases of founders, Europe Promotor, City. VED Islov elsewhere remembers that this poor and weak notated, who was the faither of Israel, because in Egypt a perm station (saw, 5), and forther the dathinston isto Israel of the Common of t

 Thou shalt not abhor] regard as an abomination, ritually alien or 'unclean.' See on vii. 26. thou shalt not abhor an Egyptian; because thou wast a stranger in his land. The children of the third generation 8 that are born unto them shall enter into the assembly of the LORD.

When thou goest forth in camp against thine enemies, 9 then thou shall keep thee from every evil thing. If there to be among you any man, that is not clean by reason of that which chanceth him by night, then shall he go abroad out

stranger] Guest, or client. Heb. ger.

8. The children of the third generation...shall enter the congregation | Jer. xxxvi. 14 mentions a man under King Jehotakim called Ychudi, i.e. Egyptian, and whose father and granifather was called Kuchi, i.e. Egyptian, and whose father and granifather had names derived from the name of Israel's Got.

9-14 (10-15). OF THE HOLINESS OF THE CAMP.

In camp Israel shall avoid every evil (g). If a man suffer from pollution he must leave the camp till evening, bathe and then return (10.6). There shall be a place outside for natural needs, where a man shall cover with earth what connes from bim (17.5). I srael's God, who walketh the camp, must not see shameful things (14).—In the Sg. address, like other laws of War, xx. 1—9, 10–18, 19, f. xx. 1—4, and with the same form of opening, and appeal to the same sacred reason.

The ration is DY own, in his language, but the ideas behind the law were primitive either, as in the case of the five, sexual underances as a disqualification for service—already in practice in levach (i Sam, xxi  $_{2}$ , 5 Sam, xi, 1); or, as in the case of the south, the danger of leaving one's accretic exposed, as though it night be used in marke against one (Phaser, Colline Bright, 1, 1941; Schwally, in the case of the south of the colline of the c

6. When thou goest potth [A.x.x.], xxi. 10; ep. xiii. 13 [14], in camp [1] likel, [n] a camp, mall-most is term used of the encampment threlf, row, 10 iii. Josh. vi. 11, 14, 15 tim. xvii. 53, 1 Kgs vii. 15; if those who encamp, Num. x. 51, and of a how on its way to encamp urto take up a position, as here, Josh. viii. 13, x. 5, xi. 4. (Also used of bods or companies without any reference to cataping.) The camping of normals were of tents; in time of war Israel's were of booths, 2 Sun. xi. 14.

thou shall keep thee ] ii. 4-

every viil thing] As the context shews, anything that would cause ritual uncleancess; in xvii. 1 of a physical blemish unfitting for sacrifice, but in Ps. lxiv. 5 (6), cxli. 4 of what is immoral.

10. anong vou! Lit, in thee.

which chanceth him by might | See Lev. xv. 16; and above on xx. 7.

- 11 of the camp, he shall not come within the camp: but it shall be, when evening cometh on, he shall bathe himself in water: and when the sun is down, he shall come within 12 the camp. Thou shalt have a place also without the camp.
- 13 whither thou shalt go forth abroad: and thou shalt have a paddle among thy weapons; and it shall be, when thou sittest down abroad, thou shalt dig therewith, and shalt
- 14 turn back and cover that which cometh from thee: for the LORD thy God walketh in the midst of thy camp, to deliver thee, and to give up thine enemies before thee; therefore shall thy camp be holy: that he see no \*unclean thing in thee, and turn away from thee.
  - <sup>1</sup> Or, shovel <sup>1</sup> Heb. nakedness of anything.
  - when evening cometh on ] Gen. xxiv. 63. The new day began then. bathe himself, etc.] Also prescribed in Lev. xv. r6.

12. a place | Heb. hand, of Jabbok-side in ii. 37, a man's place in the ranks, Num. ii. 17 (cp. Jer. vi. 3). Here perhaps a place aside.

13. paddle] per or stake, in Judg. v. 26 of tent-per, here a diggingstick, Scot. 'dibble.'

14. walketh | walketh up and dewn (also of God in J. Gen. iii. 8. and 2 Sam. vii. 6.5). Cp. especially 8 Sam. vi. 7, a god it count the teach; and alove xx. 1.4, 1.3, xxi. 10 of the presence of jehovah with the host. On deliver cy. xx. 4, 2 and to give up, hefore that, see o. i. 8. Holy, set apart from anything nuclean. He must not see the makedness of asythings, anything banneful or indecent. Here the idea is wider than that of ritual uncleanness, and indicates an advance of feeling on the unce primitive sentiment. No sonitary reason is implied, but it is interesting that such religious or aesthetic motives produced sanitary results.

## 15-25 (16-25). Five Laws-Various.

The subjects of these are not related. At so form, all are in the  $\S_2$ , address forcurs, a reason for during them between his  $\S_2$  and F; ambies no again inconstantly in the first production of the subject of the subject in th

15, 16 (16, 17). Of a Runaway Slave. If such escape to thee—apparently Israel as a whole (cp. v. 16), and therefore the slave, though

Thou shalt not deliver unto his master a servant which is 15 escaped from his master unto thee: he shall dwell with 16 thee, in the midst of thee, in the place which he shall choose within one of thy gates, where it liketh him best: thou shalt not oppress him.

There shall be no harlot of the daughters of Israel, 17 neither shall there be a sodomite of the sons of Israel.

1 Heb. kedeshah. See Gen. xxxviii. 21. 2 Heb. kadesh.

not necessarily a Hebrew slave! (Marti), is one who has escaped from a foreign master-thou shalt not send him back, he shall dwell with thee, where he chooses and unuppressed .- Peculiar to D. That slaves sometimes fled abroad is seen from the flight of Shimel's to Achish of Gath, who gave them back, apparently as a matter of course (1 Kgs ii. 39). If this was the usual practice D's law marks a humane advance upon it. For slaves who fice from native owners no Hebrew laws are extant. On slavery see further on xv. 12 ff.

Hammurabi decrees that he who induces a slave to flee or harbours the ranaway shall din (65 1 cf., 10) and that runaways shall be sestored (66 18, 10), the seward for each being wo silves shekels (§ 17). The slaves of Asabs seldom run away. If one is harshly treated and escapes, he is sheltered by another man of the tribe till his owner produces to treat him betten (Musik, Eths, Ber. 22).

16. deliver] i.e. under arrest; cp. Josh. xx. 5 (deut.), 1 Sam. xxiii. 12 f.

a servant] niave or bondman, as elsewhere, e.g. v. 14-

16. With thee shall he dwell | So the emphatic Heb. order. In the midst of thee, omitted by some LXX codd, and redundant, is probably a gloss. So also within one of thy gates where, etc., omitted by LXX.

oppress] in D only here, in Ex. axii. 21 (20) 'wrong,' Lev. xix. 33

oppress (both of the ger).

17, 18 (18, 19). Against Hierodules. No Israelite, woman or man, shall be such. Nor shall Israel bring the hire of a harlot or the wage of a keleb to pay a vow. Both are abominations .- As the direct address is only in v. 18, v. 17 may be an earlier law (Asa is said to have abolished the Mdethim from Judah, 1 Kgs xv. 12) to which D in his own phraseology has added v. 18.

On frdeshim la Babylon see Herod, 1, 199, Bar. vi. 43; the name and institution probably arose in the worship of Ishiar (Zwameron, KAT, 423, 427); in Phoenicia, Mövern, t. 678 fi.; elsewhere, Strabo, XII. 3, 35, Lucian, Lweins, 38; in Israel, Gen. xxxviil. 21f., 1 Kgs xiv. 24, xxii. 46 (47), 2 Kgs xxiii. 7, Ho. iv. 14, and possibly also the idolatrous worship described in Jes, iii. as harlotry and adultery, cp. Amos 0. 7 b.

For these two hierodules the Heb. is kadesh (masc.) and k-deshah

1 Had this been so it would have been stated as in xv. 12. DEUTERONOMY

Digitate Google

- 18 Thou shalt not bring the hire of a whore, or the wages of a dog, into the house of the Lord thy God for any vow: for even both these are an abomination unto the Lord thy God.
- 19 Thou shalt not lend upon usury to thy brother; usury

(fem.) and means simply *set apart*, *consecrated* (cp. above, pp. 108 ff.), the former being probably the unaexed man referred to in xxii. 5, xxiii. 1.

18. hire of a harlot | Both of the consecrated and common prosti-

tute, cp. Hos. is. 1, Mic. i. 7, Isai, xxiii. 17f. Eark, xxi, 24. Mövere,  $d_i$ ,  $d_i$ ) whose that in Floorieite this hire was brought to the temple. wagar of a dog? Heb.  $m^2h^2$ , wagar, Mic. iii. 11, elsewhere prine or  $primeria, e_2$ ,  $e_3$  Sam, xxiv.  $e_4$ ,  $e_4$ ,  $e_8$ ,  $e_8$ ,  $e_8$ ,  $e_8$ ,  $e_9$ ,

house of Jahovah thy God In Deut. only here, but cp. E, Ex. xxiii. 19, J, xxxiv. 26, Josh. vi. 24, Judg. xix. 18, and frequently in Kinos

abomination] See on vii. 25.

19, 20 (20, 21). Of Interest's forbidden on loans to fellow-Israelites, but allowed on loans to foreigners.—In the Sg. address, with breaker (not neighborn) and other of D's phrases; e. to it is parallel to E, Ex. scil, a gland of the of D's phrases; e. to it is parallel to E, Ex. scil, a gland of the original content of the conten

Similarly mong other Semines. Where powerty prevails and Lona are for its railed and there is tube trade, no interest is acacted, as among the Arabe Choughty, Ar. Des. 1, yill. In early Bulylamin heart, and "one of the lonas were residently and both with and without interest," and "one of the lonas were evidently made both with an and without interest, and "one of the lonas were evidently agold.) But a very complicated system including advances of money and kind by growing private persons, the temple treasuries and the king's (so, Mait. avv. 147, Lube vix. 2 H) with various rates of interest and explaintent, gradually developed in the contraction of the production of the contraction of th

 lend upon usury] exact interest; the Eng. usury formerly meant like the Lat. usura no more than interest. Heb. methek is lit. something bitten off; the denom. vb. is to take, or make one pay, interest.

usury of money, etc.] The loans were more frequently in kind.

of money, usury of victuals, usury of any thing that is lent upon usury: unto a foreigner thou mayest lend upon avousury; but unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon usury: that the Loro thy God may bless thee in all that thou puttest thine hand unto, in the land whither thou goest in to possess it.

When thou shalt yow a yow unto the Lord thy God, 21 thou shalt not be slack to pay it: for the Lord thy God will surely require it of thee; and it would be sin in thee. But if thou shalt forbear to yow, it shall be no sin in thee. 25 That which is gone out of thy lips thou shalt observe and 23 do; according as thou hast yowed unto the Lord thy God, a frewill offering, which thou hast promised with thy mouth.

20. foreigner] See on xv. 3.
that the LORD thy God ...thine hand unto] See on xu. 7.

the land whither those goat in, etc.] Sec on vii. 1, viii. 1. 21. 33 (23–43). Of Yows. A vow once made shall be paid without delay. God requires ii, neglect is a sin (s1). To forbest to wow is one sin, but every uttered promise of this kind must be observed (s2.f.).—In the Sg., somewhat redundant, and probably expanded (sec on a 3). Why it stands here is not evident; Stetern. Arway altention 10 he presence of sove in a a 16 (sq) as apparently the reason. D has already stated that vows are to be paid at the one after (sii, 6, 11, 17, 50). There is no parallel in E, but one in F, Nau axx. a 1(3) with some identical phrases, the context of which deals with resource 3 vows in an elaborate house, the context of which deals with resource 3 vows in an elaborate

For the development of the equality thus began see Miskas, 'Nedarta.' In conclusive these the war suggested as an essential part of religion (also in oscillar conditional conditional) on the prayer being granted. It might be a tow that the worse would be a supported by the property of the property of

 row] Heb. madar, as the parallel nazar shows, means originally 'to dedicate.' The term and the idea are found in practically all the Semitic languages.

be slack] Lit. be behind, delay. To pay, lit. to fulfil, sin in thee Cp. xv. 0.

23. as thou hast treely vowed unto the LORD thy God LXX to God.
which thou hast promised, etc.] Attached awkwardly to preceding,
and probably a gloss.

18-2

24 When thou comest into thy neighbour's vineyard, then thou mayest eat grapes thy fill at thine own pleasure; but thou shalt not out any in thy vessel.

25 When thou comest into thy neighbour's standing corn, then thou mayest pluck the ears with thine hand; but thou shalt not move a sickle unto thy neighbour's standing corn.

24, 25 (25, 26). Of Use at Need of Otheri Coun and Fruits. Grapes may be exten on the spot but none carried away; ears of corn may be plucked with the hand but no sickle shall be used.—Sign to D; cp. xxiv. tg—1:. The Pharinces flagrandly contradicted not only be apirif of this law, but its very letter, by interpreting plucking as regiging, and because this was word (v. 13) they held it unjusted in the contract of the contra

24. at thine our pleasure] or appetite, xii. 20, xiv. 26. Thy fill, which in Heh. follows this clause, may be a gloss on it.

vessel] Heb. keli (xxii. 5 garment), a sack (Gen. xliii. 11, 1 Sam, xvii. 40) or pot.

ears] Heb. malfiloth only here; N.H. malfiloth = the still soft ears.

sickle] See on xvi. 9.

## CH, XXIV. 1-4. OF RE-MARRIAGE AFTER DIVORCE.

If a man, for some fault, divorce his wife, and she marry another, who In turn divorces her or dies (1-3), her former husband may not take her back, this would be an abomination, etc. (4) .- EVV. do not render the Heb. constr. The law is one conditional sentence, of which the apodosis begins with v. 4. It is not a law instituting divorce or prescribing the procedure though it states this as part of the special case which it puts (and here may be quoting from an earlier code). It is a law for a particular purpose, the prohibition of a man's re-marriage to a wife whom he has divorced and who, meantime, has been another's. It is not in the direct form of address, nor marked by D's phrases till its close; and therefore, like others similarly constructed (e.g. xxii. 13-21), which it further resembles in its opening, and in the phrases hate her, he may not, and put or send her away, it may all be an older law, except for D's closing formula. The quotation of the law in Jer. iii I does not prove that the prophet had also the closing formula before him, for the term land which the Heb. text has there, instead of wife, may be, as the LXX shows, the mistake of a copying scribe.

Among the Senders a man paid a price for his bride, Heb, melker, who has weak his property and the about had the right of devoces. There were exceptions, the property and the hashes had the right of devoces. There were exceptions could diverse her herbrand (johns, o), ett. (set 1); smooth the herbrand had been well negligible of the set of the herbrand that the set of the herbrand that the position of the herbrand that the property of the set of the devocation of the herbrand that the property of the set of the

Similarly in Israel. No O.T. oracle or law institutes divorce. But the husband's right of divorce is accepted or permitted-cp. our Lord's teaching, Matt. xix. 8-and is put under regulations of which those in D are in the interest of the wife and either punish the husband for his evil behaviour to her by withdrawing the right to divorce, xxii. 19, 29, or ensure deliberation on the husband's part before he completes the act, by subjecting it to the condition of a good reason and of legal procedure, yet without lessening his responsibility, xxiv, 1 ff. The other codes have nothing similar in temper to this. H forbids a priest to marry a divorcée and allows the divorced daughter of a priest to return to her father's house, Lev. xxi. 7, 14, xxii. 13; P prescribes that the vow of a divorcée shall stand, Num. xxx. 9 (10). The second marriage of a divorcée is nowhere sanctioned, not even in xxiv. 2, where (as the Heb. syntax makes plain) it is merely a fact in the case legislated for. But this shows that the practice was usual just as among the Arabs, and in the earlier history there is an instance of the remarriage of a divorced couple-David and Michal-after her marriage to another man (1 Sam. xviii. 27, xxv. 44, 2 Sam. iii, 14 ff.)1. Steuernagel thinks that, as among the Arabs under the Koran, so in Israel the marriage of a divorced wife to another man and her divorce from him had been revarded as the necessary condition of her re-marriage

No logal divorce is mentioned to this case. And there was none in the case of Hosea (i.—iii.) which on other grounds is of too special a nature to be relevant here. 24 When a man taketh a wife, and marrieth her, then it shall be, if she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath found, some unseemly thing in her, that he shall write her a bill of divorcement, and give it in her hand, and send her out of 2 his house. And when she is departed out of his house, 3 she may go and be another man's wife. And if the latter husband hate her, and write her a bill of divorcement, and

to her former husband, and that D's law means that even if she has meantime been married to another, the former husband must not take her lack. But for the existence of such a condition in Insrelite take her lack. But for the existence of such a condition in Insrelite law tends to make divorce a much more serious affair than it was usually conceived to be in Isnel, and so to check the coloriequest practice of it by diminishing the possibilities of re-marriage which tempted men to divorce their wives with a light heart. De would forbid that eavy passage of a woman between one man and another, which seems to have often happened in Israel, and which meant the degradation or defilement of the woman berself. If such be the motive of the law it is in barmony with D's other measures for the elevation of woman,

When a man taketh a wife] xxii. 13.

then it shall be...that he shall write her, etc.] Rather, and it come to pass...that he write her, etc. The apodosis does not commence here but in v. 4.

ic but in v.

some unterently thing! As in xxiii. 14 (15), the nucleatures of a thing, something indeed not requisites, LXX dergues relyage. The expression is so indefinite that it gave rise to controversy in the Rubbinic schools; physical benefits of the rely thing the relation of the physical benefits or other, even the most trivial, cause of dislike. It cannot be adultery for this was pumbled by death. The words suggest some immodest exposure or failure in proper womanly reserve.

bill of diversemental [Lit. of resperations. Bill, Heb. repher, used or any missive (e.g. a Sum. xi. 4, 4) or legal deed (fer. xxxii. 11), as well as book, LXX Bellow. Something in legal form, and possibly procurable only from some public authority. Vet, notice, there is no mention of ciders here as in the procedure in xxii. 13—11. The later Jews called such a document get, and the procedure in connection with it is prescribed in the Matshan, "Gittin," and xive M., the, and star of the Proc. | Two further formal steps of

personal service of the deed, and the husband's own solemn dismissal.

So his responsibility in the matter is not weakened.

 And she depart...out of his house, and go and become another man's] Still part of the protests of the sentence, stating the facts of the case.

3. Still the protasis; delete if and if.

give it in her hand, and send her out of his house; or if the latter husband die, which took her to be his wife; her a former husband, which sent her away, may not take her again to be his wife, after that she is defiled; for that is abomination before the Lord: and thou shalt not cause the land to sin, which the Lord thy God giveth thee for an inheritance.

When a man taketh a new wife, he shall not go out in 5 the host, neither shall he be charged with any husiness:

6. ofter that the is defted] Ambiguous indeed, as the most extrems of some laws often are. But the natural meaning is that she is unclean to the former bushand by her union with the latter. It cannot be a matter of indifference to him that she has been latter. But cannot be a matter of indifference to him that she has been passage of a woman from one man to another did define her: It is a manufacture of the continuation before felectric function the preclaim construction before felectric function that produce the precular construction before decided, or unleast the topic course, may have been the motive of the original law, that if so, it has disappeared from its present form in the present for the present form in the present form in the present form in the

thou shalt not cause the land to sin ] Sam., LXX ye shall not, etc. Cp. xxii. q.

which the LORD thy God in to give thee, etc.] See on iv. 21.

## 5-XXV. 4. THIRTHEN LAWS OF EQUITY AND HUMANITY.

Besides the husane temper common to most of them, and a few cuewords, three are appeared research for their being frequency for the order in which they occur. In the direct form of address, sed two only close with his pit are are in the Sec. form, except on mixed of Sec. and Fe. Some except specialty to be partially the rest are in the practice in E and H. In particular note the expansion of the three laws on being from different sources. See the segmentation of the three laws on the second section of the second section from different sources.

8. Exemption of the Newly Married. He shall not go out with the army, nor be under other (pubble) obligation for a year, for the sake of his house and wife.—See introd. to xx. 1—9, and on xx. 7, which refers to military service alone. The addition here recalls such royal levies as in 1 Sam. wili. 16, 1 Kgs v. 13 ff, xv. 22. Cp. the Balytonian levies which were for service both with the army and on public works (folms, y. ct. ct. xx.). The position of the law just harged with any hattiness [1 Lin nor shall there, place were your him (obligation) with regard to any thing, LXX (omitting preposition before any filing). In the all any business to throw my how him.

he shall be free at home one year, and shall cheer his wife 6 which he hath taken. No man shall take the mill or the upper millstone to pledge: for he taketh a man's life to pledge.

7 If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and he deal with him 'as a slave, or sell him; then that thief shall die: so shalt thou put away the

evil from the midst of thee.

8 Take heed in the plague of leprosy, that thou observe diligently, and do according to all that the priests the Levites shall teach you: as I commanded them, so ye shall 9 observe to do. Remember what the Lord thy God did unto Miriam, by the way as we came forth out of Exput.

1 Or, as a chatter

free for his own household, etc.] free, Heb. nahl (1 Kgs xv. 22) LXX dθφos. One year, till the child be born. For cheer his wife Vulg. (with different Heb. points) read he happy seith his wife.

8. Mill or Upper Millscore not to be faken in Pleige. This would be to pleege / Pris test. Milling fast largely still in Palestine was mainly domestic, the first indispensable duty of the day the sound of the milltoner as sure a sign of a living family as the hydr of the candle (Jer. xxv. 10, Rev. xxiii. 221, see ferms. 1, 375.). The mill, like the Western 'quern', consisted of two snoets, as the dual form of the Hob. Western' quern', consisted of two snoets, as the dual form of the Hob. of of the Hob.

This has in possible to D, and related to the next but two (po-1)s, which however in I had deter form of solders, as, this is out, and uses based for plotting instead on I had determined to the procession of the based, lands, houses and children over morapped (Nich. ver by procession to based, lands, houses and children over morapped (Nich. ver by the procession of the

7. Against Manstealing. If a man be found (see xxi. 1, xxiii 22) stealing a brother (see on xx. 2) Israelite, and playing the source (see xxi. 14) be shall die: so that thus put away the crid, ctc. (xiii. 5 (6)). The parallel in E, Ex. xxi. 6, has stealing a same; for D's substitution of Israelite see ou xv. 2, xxii. 1—4. Hammurabi (§ 14) decrees death to the kidnapper.

6, 9. Precautions in Leprosy. Israel shall diligently observe these as taught by the priests under divine command, remembering how God treated the leprous Miriam on the way from Egypt.—Full of deuteronomic phrases; on take heed, see iv. 9; stores and do, iv. 6; observe to

When thou dost lend thy neighbour any manner of loan, 10 thou shalt not go into his house to fetch his pledge. Thou it shalt stand without, and the man to whom thou dost lend shall bring forth the pledge without unto thee. And if he 12 be a poor man, thou shalt not sleep with his pledge: thou 13 shalt surely restore to him the pledge when the sun goeth down, that he may sleep in his garment, and bless thee:

do. v. 1: priests = Levites, xviii, 1: as I have commanded, viii. 1: remember, vii. 18, xxv. 17; in the way as ye came, etc., xxiii. 4 (5), xxv. r7, etc. The accumulation of these formulas, as in several secondary passages, along with the changes between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address (confirmed by Sam., LXX), suggests that the passage has been expanded by editors. In 8 b read all the Torah (Sam., LXX) that the priests the Levites teach you. If 8 b is original to D this Torah need not be the detailed instructions on leprosy now found in P, Lev. xiii. f., but some earlier priestly Torah from which those have developed; but if 8 b is secondary its reference will be to Lev. xiii. f. V. o refers to Miriani's seclusion from the camp, Num. xii. 14 f. (So even Calvin.)

Steuern, holds as original only the first clause of 8 and 9st, and revives the opinion (as old as the Vulgate, and favoured by Michaelis, Knobel, etc.) that the law is a call, (as old as the Vugade, and Tavdured by Shchaeles, Knobel, etc.) that the law is a duit, not to take under percausion; see a plaque of leppory as are illustrated by Minan's secision, but (by general obedience) to guard against the beproxy which fell on Minan as the punishment for disobedience. Against this is the Heb. construction, in the Pague of Leptory; so Steuers, suggests that the original reading was from the forms, etc. But all this interpentation endees the appeal to Mirism's case much forms, etc. But all this interpentation endees the appeal to Mirism's case much less natural.

10-13. Of Taking and Restoring Pledges. The lender must not invade the borrower's house to select a pledge for the loan, the borrower shall bring it out (ro f.); if he be poor, the pledge, usually his outer robe in which he sleeps, shall be restored by sunset (12 f.) .--In the Sg. address throughout and in temper and phrase characteristic of D; but the two parts may be borrowed from earlier sources; vp. 10 f. because of neighbour, not brother as usual with Sp. (see on xv. 2); and 12 f. adapted from E, Ex. xxii. 26 f. (25 f.; E's habal, bledge, becomes 'abat, so as to fit pp. 10 f.), with the religious motive differently expressed. See further on v. 6. Cp. Ez. xvin. 7, r2, xxxiii. 15 : Code of Hammurabi, \$ 241.

10. When thou dost lend | See on xv. 1 ff.

any manner of loan 1 Lit. loan of anything, co. xxiii. ro. Besides. money or victuals, It might be a slave, a working animal or a plough or other instrument. fetch his pledge] Lit. take in pledge his pledge (xv. 8, give a pledge). In

this case the borrower would make his selection of what his pledge should be.

13. sleep in his garment] Heb. salmah (xxix. 4 and E, Ex. xxii.), transp. from the more frequent similar (viii. 4, x, r8, xxi, 13, xxii, 3, 17). and it shall be righteousness unto thee before the LORD thy God.

14 Thou shalt not oppress an hired servant that is poor and needy, whether he be of thy brethren, or of thy 15 strangers that are in thy land within thy gates: in his day thou shalt give him his hire, neither shall the sun go down upon it; for he is poor, and setteth his heart upon it;

lest he cry against thee unto the LORD, and it be sin unto thee. 16 The fathers shall not be put to death for the children,

neither shall the children be put to death for the fathers: every man shall be put to death for his own sin.

the large outer robe which the peasant can dispense with by day while at work, but which he almost invariably sleeps in ; cp. Am. ii. 8, Job xxii. 6, Prov. xx. 16.

and it shall be righteousness unto thee] Characteristic of D (cp. vi. 25). E, Ex. xxii. 27 (26); when he crieth unto me I will hear: for

I am gracious.

14, 15. Payment of the Wage-earner. Whether Israelite or ger, if he be poor, his wage is to be paid the day he earns it; if he has to appeal to God it will be sin to thee .- So, with brother Inot neighbour) and other deuteronomic phrases. Parallel to H, Lev. xix. 13; thou shalt not oppress thy neighbour. . the wage of a hireling shall not stay overnight with thee till morning. Cp. Mal, iii. 5. Tobit iv. 14. James v. 4. Hammurabi fixes the daily money wages of labourers and artisans (273 f.), in other cases wages in kind are paid yearly (257 fo. 261).

14. poor and usedy] See on xv. 11. within thy gates] See on xii. 17. The preceding in thy land, omitted

by Sam., LXX, is a gloss. 15. his day | Cp. Job xiv. 6, Matt. xx 2.

setteth his heart] Lit. lifteth up his desire (nephesh). The Heb, term with its several meanings suggests how his life depends on his ware. Being poor he cannot be indifferent to it.

ery against thee, etc. | Cp. v. 13, xv. q. And it be sin unto thee, see on xv. q.

16. Responsibility for Crime is Individual. The opposition of this principle to that which prevailed in many ancient nations (Herod, III. 110, Esth. ix. 13f., Dan. vi. 24 (25), and which seems to have prevailed in Israel (JE, Josh, vii. 24, 2 Kgs ix. 26, cp. xiv. 6), when the family was regarded as a moral unit, and the children were put to death with their father in expiation of his crime, is very striking, and the more so that the ethical solidarity of the nation is so constantly assumed by D. It has therefore been doubted whether the law Thou shalt not wrest the judgement of the stranger, nor 17 of the fatherless; nor take the widow's raiment to pledge: but thou shalt reniember that thou wast a bondman in 18 Egypt, and the Loxp thy God redeemed thee thence: therefore I command thee to do this thing.

When thou reapest thine harvest in thy field, and hast 19 forgot a sheaf in the field, thou shalt not go again to fetch it: it shall be for the stranger, for the fatherless, and for the widow: that the Lord thy God may bless thee in all the work of thine hands.

belonged originally to D. Some take it as dependent on Jer. xxxi. sopporter. xxviii. on the ground that the principle of individual responsibility is there proclaimed as if for the first time, in opposition to the older ideas. But a Kg xiv. of records that Amaziah when putting to death the assassims of his father did not also skay their children—apparently an innovation on the usual practice. The identeronomic editor of Kings quotes D's law as the King's authority for his elemency. But general laws so often rose from individual cases that it is possible that this law (which is not found in any other code) was the result of that this law (which is not found in any other code) was the result of the contraction of the contractio

17. 14. Against fujustice to the Gêr, the Orphan and the Wildow, the three classes no enziredly caref for by D, vo. 19—81, x. 18, yet, yet, yet, yet, x. 11, 14. Parallels in F, Ex. xxii. x 17. x xiii. 3 (fet poor), g, on which condrive's Zerd, and in H, Lev. xii. z. 3. The clause against pledging the widow's rainened is omitted by some LXX could, and some suggest that its proper place is with re-13. Its word for pledge, however, is near that its proper place is with re-13. Its word for pledge, however, is near that its proper place is with re-13. Its word for pledge, however, is natural. On widows' rights in Babylonia, see Johns, op, rit, eth, xii.
17. nor of the fulnderical? So LXX, Syr., ct. Hieb. omits nor.

Add (with LXX B) nor of the widow.

18. thou shall remember, etc.] Almost exactly as in v. 22, and xv.

"13—22. Of Generosity to the Landless. To the gir, the orphina and the widow shall be left the gleaning of fields, olive groves and lower journed. It is interesting that no parallels are found in the earlier legislation of Jor E. H., Lex. xix. of forbids the fall resping of the consor of the field and gathering of the gleanings (repeated xxiii. s.) and the gleaning of the vines and their faller fluit; these are for the poor and the gir. This seems not earlier (Dillim, etc.), but later than D, for the deliberate reservation of the conners is a more developed provision than the alloiment of what was left through carelessness. Why D alone includes olives in not clear, except that this agrees with its cause

20 When thou beatest thine olive tree, thou shalt not go over the boughs again; it shall be for the stranger, for the 21 fatherless, and for the widow. When thou gatherest the grapes of thy vineyard, thou shalt not glean it after thee:

it shall be for the stranger, for the fatherless, and for the 122 widow. And thou shalt remember that thou wast a bondman in the land of Egypt: therefore I command thee to do this thing.

regard of the details of rural life. Both laws sanction an existing practice described in Ruth ii. as dependent on the generosity of the cultivator.

Was there asything more behind it? Attention has been drawn to the first them once propiles have in his star had on the field under the supermittion that it contains not conveyant, and being therefore discussion in early reimposition in surgery and the contains the conveyant, and being therefore discussion is easily reliable to the contains the conveyant and the contains the con

beatest thine olive tree] Isai. xvii. 6, xxiv. 13 (but with another via for beating). 'Some climb into the trees and shake the boughs, while others sland below and beat off the fruit with long slender poles' (Van Lennep, op. cit. 128).

21. When then gatherest] Lit. cuttest off, the usual vb. for harvesting grapes (Judg. ix. 27). Inguithering, applied to the vintage feast (see on xvi. 13), is another vb.

22. And thou shalt remember | See on v. 18.

XXV. 1.—8. Against Excessive Punishment by Beating. When after a regular trial one of the two partiest to a case is formally declared guilty, then, if he deserves beating, the judge shall have this administered in his presence, the strokes shall be numbered according to the grawity of the crime, and shall in no case exceed forty, lett...thy

If there be a controversy between men, and they come 26 unto judgement, and the judges judge them; then they shall justify the righteous, and condemn the wicked; and z it shall be, if the wicked man be worthy to be beaten, that the judge shall cause him to lie down, and to be beaten before his face, according to his wickedness, by number. Forty stripes he may give him, he shall not 3 exceed: test, if he should exceed, and beat him above these with many stripes, then thy brother should seem vile unto

Thou shalt not muzzle the ox when he treadeth out the 4 corn.

brother be dishonoured in thy sight .- V. 1 is the protasis, the apodosis begins with v. a (or possibly not till v. 3; ep. the similar construction in other legal cases, xxii. 13 ff., xxiv. 1 ff.). The text of v. 2 is not certain; see the various LXX readings. Peculiar to D, and another of its many laws in which the direct address appears only at the close. The want of a subject to judge, justify and condemn in p. 1 suggests that at least the first part is an extract from some earlier law on the procedure of judges. The protection against excessive beating is fourfold. It shall take place (1) only after trial and sentence, (2) in presence of the judge, (a) the strokes shall be by number, and the number in proportion to the crime and (4) shall not exceed forty. The need for insisting on a full trial is seen from Jer. xx. 22, xxxvii. 15, cp. Acts xvi. 22 1, 37; as these show, beating or seourging was apt to be given (even by the Romans) on arrest. The instrument usually mentioned in the O.T. was a rod, and the part beaten was the back (Ex. xxi. 20, Prov. x. 13, xix. 29, xxvi. 3, 'Isni.' l, 6). There is no need to infer from the laying down of the criminal in this case that the bastinado is meant.

controversy litigation.
 and shall have declared righteous him who is in the right and declared guilty him who is guilty! The vbs. and adjs. are to be

taken in a legal sense: see above on ix. 5.

2. then it shall be, if the guilty man be worthy to be beaten] Lit. a son of strokes.

3. Forty stripes] By later law the number was fixed at 'forty less one' (Mishna, 'Makkoth,' iii. 10ff., cp. 2 Cor. xi. 24, Josephus, IV. Antt., vii. 21, 23) they were now inflicted with a lash. Hammurabi decrees in one case 'sixty blows of an ox-hide scourge' (8.202).

thy brother should seen rile unto the? Rather, be dishounted (xxvii. 16), publicly (lit. to thine eyes). To give him the due punishment of his crime (v. 2) was not to take away his honour as a brother, i.e. Israelite; but to flog him indiscriminately was to treat him like an animal.

4. Against Muzzling the Labouring Ox. Peculiar to D; a clear

case of kindness to animals of which others in D are v. 14, and perhaps xxii. 6 f., cp. Prov. xxi. 10. The motive in xxii. 4 is different. Animals were, and are xxil, employed for threshing by being driven to and fro across the sheaves on the threshing floor, either alone or harnessed to a threshing sledge.

The present writer has cover seen them, married, 'In all W, Asia It is of the copy' (Wan Lensers, 40° Cair It allow the came or other arimals have employed frenty to as in the copy' (Wan Lensers, 40° Cair It). 'It have seen them murried, stonals this in core (copy, 40°, In C. Cair, 40° Ean It allowed to the copy' (Wan Lensers, 40° Cair It). 'It have seen them murried, stonals this in copy, 40°, In C. Cair, 40° Ean It is laboured to be the copy of this lives asks, 41° for a real Part Conf. carried § According to It is laboured to worship of this lives asks, 41° for a real Part Conf. carried § According to It is likewised to worship of the literature of the effect of the silogograph gallatif of it has Joven Secretary.

#### 5-10. OF LEVIRATE MARRIAGE.

If, of brothers dwelling together, one die childless, his widow shall not marry beyond the family, her husband's brother shall marry her, and their firstborn be the dead man's heir and continue his name in Israel (s.f.). But if the husband's brother decline this duty, even if after it is pressed on him by the elders, then, in their presence, shall the widow formally dishonour him as a recusant to the family, and the dishonour shall adhere (7-10).-Peculiar to D's code, but neither in the direct address nor with D's phraseology. It has the same opening, the same care in putting the case, the same style of introducing conditions (but if and not D's only = rak, see on x. 15) and of accumulating these, as the other marriage laws, xxi. 15-17, xxii. 13-21, xxiv. 1-4; and, like them, it brings in the elders. Probably, therefore, as we have suggested in regard to them, it is a law taken by D from a previous code. Cp. Dillmann who also points out that the terms like not to, refuseth and go up to the gate are not current in D. There is nothing to betray whether D has modified the law. Steuern, assigns it, with those other laws, to his Pl. author.

Heb. had not only a special term for a bushand's brother, yabam, but a vb. derived from it, yibbem, to express his duty of marrying his brother's widow; the adj. Levirate similarly comes from Lat. levir, bushand's brother.

The use of these Heb. terms by this law proves that the practice was already established in Israel.

Levinas marriage in different forms in found among many peoples. Hindeo I searchine 11 on and on our lab to the limit harriage, and only 10 the form of a same line to the first harriage, and only 10 the form of a strongly opposed (Dhobis, Hindeo Monseyr, Customs and Cerromonier, trans.) because yet for the search of the complexity consultant strongly opposed (Dhobis, Hindeo Monseyr, Customs and Cerromonier, trans.) where the widow has children, in order to provide for their education. It some risk where the widow has children, in order to provide for their education. It some risk where the widow has children, in order to provide for their education. It some risk relatives to give them has widow in marriage and says, "Give me compensation through her, etc.," and has request is granted (Montal, Filia, Ber. 40). No motive practice of polynomytry, to her need of portuning risks to the spirit of the deceased

If brethren dwell together, and one of them die, and shave no son, the wife of the dead shall not marry without unto a stranger: her husband's brother shall go in unto her, and take her to him to wife, and perform the duty of an husband's brother unto her. And it shall be, that the 6

(Gr. Levinse marriage and ancester words) are often found together), and to the probebols of Bad Sarriage, with a see in was the proposity of Remainded and to probebols of Bad Sarriage, with a see in the proposity of Remainded and to the probebols of the second of the maintain muons different pools prove that is had different conjusts. In forest there is no trace of an origina in polysomy and the filler evidence of a connection with the second of the second of

As early learned in given by J. Gen. execulit. which (s. 9) mere the same term for the stray of an administration-them are limited than it therefore it is the same term for the same only and the same of the same only and the same of the same only and the same of t

 brethren] of the same mother. In the Sg. passages, as we have seen, brother is fellow-Israelite.

dwell together] On the same estate (cp. Gen. xiii. 6, xxxvi. 7); this limitation is striking.

sun J. L.X.X. seed, followed by Jos. 1v. Antt. vili. 23, and in Matt. xxii. 24, Mark xii 19. Lake xx. 28 has shiftern. So Vilig, and most moderns, A.V. child. But the LXX and the quotations in the gospela are evidently under the influence of the later law of P which allowed inheritance by daughtern. See introd. note. Son, R.V., is the proper rendering.

without unto a stranger]. Oniside the family. Stranger, 'ith sar, is a man of another family. Cp. Prov. v. 10, Hos. v., Lev. xxit 1.2. husband's brother...perform the duty of an husband's brother] Heb. yalum, and the demon. verb therefrom, yibbem, to act as a husband's brother.

firstborn which she beareth shall succeed in the name of his brother which is dead, that his name be not blotted out of I I I state. And if the man like not to take his brother's wife, then his brother's wife shall go up to the gate unto the elders, and say, My husband's brother refuseth to raise up unto his brother a name in I I state, he will not perform 8 the duty of an husband's brother unto me. Then the elders of his city shall call him, and speak unto him: and of if he stand, and say, I like not to take her; then shall his brother's wife come unto him in the presence of the elders, and loose his shoe from off his foot, and spit in his face; and one she shall answer and say, So shall it be done unto the man that doth not build up his brother's house. And his

firstborn non] So Sam. (as in xxi. 15) in conformity with t: 5.
 LXX, το παιδίου, still adapts the law to that of P.
 succeed in the name, etc.] Lit. stand up, take position, place or rank

on the name of the dead.

that his name be not blotted, etc.] See ix. 14, xxix. 20. Ruth iv. 5, 10: to raise up the name of the dead upon his inheritance. Cp. next v. 7. shall go up to the gate] Ruth iv. 1, only here in D; so also the lerms like not and refuseth (see introd. note).

elders] Xx. 10, xxii. 15. See on xxii. 18.

6. This v. really continues the protests of the cond. sentence

which starts in v. 7; the apodosis begins with v. 9.

 come nuto] The same vb. in xx. 2, xxi. 5, of the formal approach of priests.

"and strip his sands from of his food! "As one occupied last of by treading on it, the slope became the symbol of taking possession (Ps. Ls. 8, evili. 9); when a man renounced property to another, he drew off and gave him his show. So among the anotent Germans the taking off of the slow was a symbol for giving up property and berlis and the strip of the slow was a symbol for giving up property and berlis was a symbol were conveyed to another. Similarly among Himdoos and Arabs, Burckhardt, Bed. 91' (labridged from Knobel). Cp. (W. R. Smith, Kimzhje, etc., 269). That the right was a dary which should not be renomed, is marked by the woman's drawing of the works, and the sum of the strip of the color of the color of the color of the color of the strip of the sum of the sum

the man that doth not build up, etc.] Such was his sin. But the excuse of the kinsman who refused to take Ruth and her possession was that he was unvilling to mar his own heritage (Ruth iv. 6). Build

##. Ruth iv. 11.

name shall be called in Israel, The house of him that hath his shoe loosed.

When men strive together one with another, and the wife 11 of the one draweth near for to deliver her husband out of the hand of him that smiteth him, and putteth forth her hand, and taketh him by the secrets; then thou shalt cut 12 off her hand, thine eye shall have no pity.

Thou shalt not have in thy bag divers weights, a great 13

 his name shall be called in Israel | Ruth iv. 14. the family of him whose sandal was stripped off.

#### 11. 12. OF RECKLESS ASSAULT.

'The woman who, even to help her husband, grasps the secrets of another Israelite wrestling with him shall have her hand cut off .- Peculiar to D, and in the Sg. address with brother as in other Sg. passages; but with an opening, and an accumulation of conditions similar to those in other laws probably borrowed by D. The additions may be the superfluous a man and his brother (v. 11, R.V. one with another) and thine eye shall not pity (v. 12, cp. vii. 16). Strive, rather are wrestling (as in E. Ex. xxi. 22 : cp. Ex. ii. 13. Lev. xxiv. 10. 2 Sam. xiv. 6). Secrets, lit. pudenda, only here. The position of the law just here may be due to the catchword his brother, cp. v. q.

This very special case is probably meant to be typical of others (cp. xix. 5). The punishment is the only mutilation prevenibed by D apart from the first tellionis (xix. at). It is nossly supposed to have had its origin at a time when such an act was the violation of a very sacred taboo. In #3 smanrabi, #\$ so2-205, there are (if the translation can be relied on) parallel crimes Mulilation is also decreed there for other crimes.

#### 13-16. AGAINST DIVERS WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Israel shall not use these-greater (for purchases) and smaller (for sales)-for he who does so is an abomiration to Jehovah (13 f., 16). Interpolated (for it breaks the connection between 13 f. and 16) is a positive command to have a single normal set of weights and measures; that thy days may be long, etc.-So, address throughout. Parallel in H, Lev. xix. 35 f., also a negative command with a positive added; but a different expression of the religious motive. The laws may be quite independent; for the provocations for them were many in Israel.

Amos viii, 5 describes among other commercial sins making the ephah small (for selling) and the shekel great (for weighing the prachasers' money, etc.) and dealing falsely with false balances; Mi, vi, to declares the scant measure loothsome. To the popular piety weights and measures, like the hasbandman's methods (see on xx). 9-11), were of divine institution, they were februan's and his morth (Prov. xvi. 11).

13. divers weights | Lit, stone and stone. Most ancient weights dis-DEITTERONOMY ΙQ

LORD thy God.

44 and a small. Thou shalt not have in thine house divers is measures, agreat and a small. A perfect and just weight shalt thou have: a perfect and just measure shalt thou have: that thy days may be long upon the land which the 16 Lone thy God giveth thee. For all that do such things, even all that do unrightcostly, are an absomination unto the

covered in Palestine are of stone; for specimens see PEFQ, 1892, 114; 1894, 215 ff.

Koyal standards were fixed for them as early as David's time (2 Sam. xiv. 26). With this and the next v. cp. 11, Lev. xix. 35: Thou shall do no urong ('axeef) in indepensal or with rale, stone, or measurs.

 divers measures Lit. an ephah and an ephah; the ephah = 8 005 gallons.

16. A perfect and just weight] Lit. A whole stone and of the norm. Both adj. th'lemah and noun pedek are used here in their original and physical meaning. It, Lev. xix. 36: balances, stones, ephah and htn—of the norm.

that thy days, etc.] v. 16. See on iv. 26. Giveth, is to give.

16. every one that doeth these things, etc.] Exactly as in xviii. 12,

28. On abomination, see vii. 25; here the ethical (not ribual)

meaning is clear.

every one that doeth injustice] Heb. 'awel (perhaps lit. delin-

quency). Not elsewhere in D (but in the Song, xxxii, 4), once in Jer. ii. 5, and in H, Lev. xix. 15, 35, and Ezek. and later writings. The clause seems to be an addition.

## 17—19. On 'Amalek.

Israel, remembering 'Amalely's impious treatment of their derelicts on the way from Egyrt, mast, when they rest from their enemies in the land, exterminate 'Amalely, —In the Sg address (except for an acci-from E(ex. 18/6), and therefore, like so much eitse in D, based upon E. This is confirmed by another reference to the same behaviour Smaley, in a passage which otherwise shows affinity to E (18 miles of the Smaley). Further, Israel's attitude to 'Amalely under Sual and David, was a passage which otherwise shows affinity to E (18 miles of the Smaley). There is therefore no ground for the same propring that the same state of the same behaviour of the same point of the same state of whom takes if for a piece of hoggestab); and it fulls in with D's other laws on foreign anations, saith 3—8.

The reference cannot be to E's description of the pictored buttle in Rephidin, in which Johna discomfited 'Amsle's (Ex., xvs. B-1, 3), nor indeed to any other single context with that titles; but we tailset to the harassisent which Israel suffered throughout the wilderness. Such creat i realment of the stringglers and dericities of the heat by the wild Arabs of the desent is extremely probable (ep. Doughty,

Remember what Amalek did unto thee by the way as ye 17 came forth out of Egypt; how he met thee by the way, 18 and smote the hindmost of thee, all that were feeble behind thee, when thou wast faint and weary; and he feared not God. Therefore it shall be, when the Loren by God hath given thee rest from all thine enemies round about, in the land which the Loren thy God giveth thee for an inheritance to possess it, that thou shalt blot out the remembrance of Amalek from under heaven; thou shalt not forget.

Ar, Der. H. 153, etc.); and the amenory of it would be blitter enough to account for action are by entering more "Annality as inquired by Sr. act, and T. act, it is a finish for some than one of the state of the s

Remember, etc.] The construction, even to the change from Sg. to Pl., is the same as in xxiv. 9, 9.m. For other historical statements introd. by remember, see v. 15, vii. 18, ix. 7, xv. 15, xvi. 12.
 as ye came forth] LXX, Velg. thou camest. But the Pl. is

as ye came forth LXX, Vulg. thou camest. But the Pl. is probably original here, and may be regarded as an echo of xxiii. 4 (5), xxiv. 9.

18. how he met thee by the way] better, fell on thee. Cp. 1 Sam. xv. 2; how he set himself against him (Israel) in the way.

and smote the hindmost of thee] Lit. docked the tail of thee; elsewhere only in Josh. x. 19 (E?).

all that had broken down in thy rear] The vl. is not found elsewhere. fared not God | See E. Gen. xx. tt, xlii. t8, Ex. i. 17, all of

John M. G. S. L. J. L. A. L. S. L. S

in the land which, etc.] iv. 21.

thou shalt blot out the remembrance of Amalek, etc.] E, Ex. xvii. 14: l until utterly blot out, etc. God's will is now Israel's duty. thou shalt not forget] is. 7.

 FOURTH DIVISION OF THE LAWS. IDEALS OF RITUAL PROCEDURE WITH PROPER PRAYERS. XXVI. 1—15.

The Presentation of Firstfruits (1-11) and the Distribution of Tithes (12-15). Throughout in the style of D (with particular affinity to the

19--2

Digitized by Google

Law of Tübes, IV. 22 - 20] and in the Sg. address; for additions, see below. These beautiful forms of service express fully D's ideals of worship—that it shall be national, at the nation's one sanctuary, but performed by the separate families with their local dependents; that it shall be historical, reconsting the Providence of God from the beginning of the nation till their settlement in the Promised Land, and therefore juyful and exchanistic, and officer that it shall be equally middle of God and fits does and of the poor and their does. No two middle of God and fits does and of the poor and their does. No two middle of God control is the control of the poor and their does. No two middle of God Cod of the whole of the poor and their does not control to the poor and their does not be the poor and their does not be the poor and their does not be their does not be poor and their does not be poor and

On the ground of the similarity between zavi, and vi.—xi. (esp. viii. 1—18) Collen (Re. of the Cervi. 18 mds.), golf prefers the whole of zavi, to his 'Nilwash' or earlier deuteronomic Hook published before the reforms of Josish. He gives a dealted examination of the ch well worthy of study. He points out the number of expressions in xxvi. not found in the Code but no vi.—xu. Uthers, however, common to xxvi. and the Code see not elimin in vi.—xi., and the whole subject of xxvi.—12.

#### CH. XXVI. 1-11. PRESENTATION OF FIRSTFRUITS.

When settled in the land Israel shall take of the first of the fruit in a basket to the One Altar (s.f.); and coming to the priest shall declare to God their arrival in the land. He sware to give them and the priest shall set the basket before the Altar (3 f.). In prayer Israel shall solemnly recall their history from their normad Aramean origins, their descent to Egypt, their growth there and bitter bondage, their deliverance and guidance to this fertile land (5-q); and setting the firstfruits before God they shall worship and rejoice in the good He has given. along with their households, Levites and gerlm (10f.). Vv. If. show evidence of expansion (see on v. 2). Vv. a.f. raise a more serious question. To the going to the sanctuary (v. 2, as in xij. 5, xiv. 25) they add a coming to the priest, and assign to him a part of the procedure which v. 10 assigns to the worshippers; also they partly anticipate the worshippers' profession to God in vv. s ff. 1 It is possible that, like xxi. 5 (q.v.), they are a later insertion from a time when the rights of the priests were more emphasised and elaborated. But whatever answer be given to this textual question, other problems remain: the relation of this first or reshith (a) to the reshith assigned by xviii. 4 to the priests (cp. II. I.ev. xxiii. 20 which assigns to the priests the bread of the bikkurim or firstfruits); and (b) to the tithes, xiv. 22 ff.

(a) Is all the reshift intended here for the prices (Dillar, Dri, W. R., Smith, Red. Srm. as O,), or is some or all of it to be consumed by the worhippers at the ritual meal which formed part of such pilgrimage feasts (mi. 7, ng. niv. ny. ng)? In flavour of the former hypochesis are these t—(1) or to of say that the reshifts is to set down before God and do not even him that the worshippers shall particle of it; (ny. xwii. 4 assigns the reshifts (of corn, wine, ng) sheece) to the prices. In that case

1 This point is not so clear as the others. The older commentators take the worthippers' profession in t. 3 as a natural introduction to that in 5 ff. So also Cullen, p 8 t. And it shall be, when thou art come in unto the land 26 which the Lozn thy God giveth thee for an inheritance, and possessest it, and dwellest therein; that thou shalt 2 take of the first of all the fruit of the ground, which thou shalt bring in from thy land that the Lozn thy God giveth thee; and thou shalt put it in a basket, and shalt go unto the place which the Lozn thy God shall choose to cause his name to dwell there. And thou shalt come 3 unto the priest that shall be in those days, and say unto

the meal of the worshippers would be that of the pilgrimage-feast at which the restlith was presented; some think the Feast of Weeks (Dri., Berth., etc.), but by its date the wine and oil were not ready.

and the wind of the vertical of the relation to the relation to the relation of the relation to the relation of the relation true years out of every threat. The reasons for identifies them (Steters, Noweck, 1986, Acct, 1st, 2st) are insufficient i those for distinguishing them are alreaged by the relation of the relation to the relation of the relation to the relation of the relation to the relation of the relation of the relation that relation the two, (Rider heers, restlift strangel, (1) The titles were to be good under the LNX translators distinguish the two, (Rider heers, restlift strangel, (2) The titles were to be good to be carried to the another year of the relation of

when thou are come in, etc.] As in wiii. 14, but with these additions: and it that be and for an inheritance (xx. 4). As Cullen (p. 88) points out the substance of the statement is already in viii. 1.
 of the first! Heli. of the restlith. See introd. note; and observe that the Hely partiel for of implies that only some of the restlith is

 y the first free. of the results. See thirot, note; and observe that the Heb. particle for of implies that only some of the reshifth is signified. all the fruit Sam., LXX omit all; xviii, 4: of corp. wine, oil and

fleece.

thou shalt bring in ] [leb. tabi'; cp. Fbn'ah, income, xiv. 22, 28,

xvi, 15, xxii, 9.

that the LORD thy God is to give thee] Redundant after v. 1. The

two tw. are obviously expanded.

basket] Heb. tene, only here, v, 4, and xxviii, 5, 17 (cp. Phoen.

ousset] rich jene, only nee, 5, 4, and xxviii, 5, 17 (cp. raoen, lana, 'the receil,' perhaps 'present,' hardly from andhan, 'to give'). Baldensperger (PEFQ, 1904, 136) compares the modern labak, a round tray or basket.

unto the place, etc.] See on xii. 5.

5, 4. Possibly a later interpolation, see introd. note-

3. the priest...in those days] xvii. 9, xix. 17. Priest probably collective (cp. prophet, xviii. 15), not necessarily high priest.

him, 1 profess this day unto the LORD thy God, that 1 am come unto the land which the LORD sware unto our fathers 4 for to give its. And the priest shall take the basket out of thine hand, and set it down before the altar of the

5 Lord thy God. And thou shalt answer and say before the Lord thy God, A 'Syrian' ready to perish was my father, and he went down into Egypt, and sojourned there, few in number; and he became there a nation, great, 6 mighty, and populous: and the Egyptians evil entreated 7 us, and afflicted us, and laid upon us hard bondage; and

<sup>1</sup> Heb. Aramean. <sup>2</sup> Or, wandering Or, lost

profess] or declare, solemnly, publicly proclaim.

my God? So LXX: Hels thy is due to dittography.

that I am come! D gives to this as to other rites a historical meaning.

4. before the altar ] In D only here.

6. answer] testify, as in v. 20, xix. 16, 18, xxi. 7, xxv. 9.

Dillin. verlomer oder verkommender, Dri. "ready to peribh," Siesern. 'den Untergang naher,' Berh. 'dem Untergang sugebend,' Marti, 'umberirrender,' The L.X., as in tim when A memora-weathen, avoided such a reproach to Bratel Quilling of the Committee of the Com

went down] So always from Palestine to Egypt, e.g. JE, Num. xx. 15.

sojourued] Was a ger, cp. xxiii, 7 (8). few in number] x. 22.

great, and mighty, and populous [ So Sam., Vulg., etc. I, Ex. i. 9, more and mightier than we (Egyptians), 12, 20, multiplied, waxed mighty.

6. evil entreated us] JE, Num. xx. 15. afflicted us] J, Ex. i. 11.

hard bondage] or service. P, Ex. i. 14, vi. 9, 1 Kgs xli. 4. 'Isai.' xiv. 3.

we cried unto the LORD, the God of our fathers, and the LORD heard our voice, and saw our affliction, and our toil. and our oppression: and the LORD brought us forth out of 8 Egypt with a mighty hand, and with an outstretched arm. and with great terribleness, and with signs, and with wonders: and he hath brought us into this place, and 9 hath given us this land, a land flowing with milk and honey. And now, behold, I have brought the first of the 10 fruit of the ground, which thou, O LORD, hast given me. And thou shalt set it down before the LORD thy God, and worship before the LORD thy God: and thou shalt rejoice 11 in all the good which the LORD thy God hath given unto thee, and unto thine house, thou, and the Levite, and the stranger that is in the midst of thee.

7. we cried, etc.] JE, Num. xx. 16, ep. E, Ex. ili. q saw our affliction, etc.] J, Ex. iv. 31; oppression, E, Ex. iii. 9; our toil added by D.

8. with a mighty hand, etc.] lv. 34, viil. 14.

 hath brought us into this place] i. 31, ix. 7. As Cullen remarks, this phrase is not used for the Promised Land in xii.—xxv., in which place means the One Sanctnary, see xii. 5. flowing with milk and honey] vi. 3. Once nomads, they are now

settled cultivators of a fertile land, in token of which guidance and the blessings it has brought them to, he continues-

10. I have brought the first, etc.] Heb. reshith, as in v. 2. Not the local Baalim but He who has guided them thither shall have this

tribute. And thou shalt set it down ] But the priest has already done this, v. 4. If vn. 3f. are original we must read the clause to mean 'thus (with the rites prescribed in 4-10 a) shall thou set it down, etc.

(Dillm., Dri.). But see on 3 f. worship] Lit. prostrate thyself. Brooke and McLean retain this clause in their text of the LXX although it is omitted by B and some

other authorities. 11. and thou shalt rejoice, etc. ] See xii. 6 f., 11 f., 17 f., xvi. 11.

14. It is not said that the worshippers shall eat the r thith, for that has already been given to the Deity. See introd. note. and unto thine house, thou ] With Luc. read thou and thine house.

#### 12-15. THE TRIENNIAL DISTRIBUTION OF TITHES.

When the tithe of the third year is complete and given to the local poor then the giver shall attest before God that it has all been given and that he has not broken any of the relevant laws, and shall pray for

13 thy gates, and be filled; and thou shalt say before the LORD thy God, I have put away the hallowed things out of mine house, and also have given them unto the Levite, and unto the stranger, to the fatherless, and to the widow, according to all thy commandment which thou hast commanded me: I have not transgressed any of thy com-14 mandments, neither have I forgotten them: I have not eaten thereof in my mourning, neither have I put away

a blessing on Israel. The anodosis of the sentence does not begin till

v. 13. For the contents see on xiv. 28 f. and Add. Note there. 12. in the third year ... the year of tithing | Sec on xiv. 28; the two phrases are in apposition. For the latter LXX reads the second tithing (70 Secrepor exceleror), a reading which even after the vocatic changes which it involves in the Heb. results in an impossible construction. is due to an attempt to accommodate D's arrangement for the third year's tithe to the later practice.

then thou shall give if Rather, and thou hast given it; the apodosis

does not commence till the next p. Levite, etc.] See on xiv. 29.

18. than thou shall say before the LORD thy God | That is (in accordance with vv. 5, 10, xii. 7, 12, 18, xiv. 23, 25f., xv. 20, xvi. 11, xix. 17) at the sanctuary, and probably during the Feast of Booths (so all recent commentators).

I have put away The same vb. as, in xiii, 5 (6), q.v., xvii, 7, etc., is used for putting away evil things. Equally with them the tithe is taboo,

forbidden and dangerous for common use.

the hallowed things ] Heb, the hodesh, lit. holiness or hallowedness (see above on vii. 6), but applied also to the concrete objects or persons set apart for the Deity or (as here) by His command, e.g. the Temple and its contents, the Holy City, sacrifices, etc.; in xii. 26 parallel to vows, here the tithes for the poor, an interesting extension of the idea of ceremonial sacredness; not without its ethical meaning for ourselves. 'We are commanded to give alms of such things as we have: and then, and not otherwise, all things are clean to us ' (M. Henry).

out of mine house] where they had been stored, xiv. 28. all thy commandmenta | So Sam., LXX. The anxiety to keep these

ritual laws, with a great ethical purpose behind them-viz. the relief of the poor-is very striking. The laws are now detailed :-14. I have not eaten thereof in my mourning | Heb. 'awen, sorrow;

thereof, being unclean, nor given thereof for the dead; I have hearkened to the voice of the Loso my God, I have done according to all that thou hast commanded me. Look 15 down from thy holy habitation, from heaven, and bless thy people Israel, and the ground which thou hast given us, as thou swarest unto our fathers, a land flowing with milk and boney.

so in Hos. ix. 4, the bread of torrows is unclean. If the mourner, unclean by contact with the dead, ate part of the tithe, he defiled it all, neither have I put away thereof, being unclean J. Same vb. as in v. 13. While separating this tithe to its charitable ends, a ritual act, he has to

take care to be ritually clean.

nor given thereof for the dead] or to the dead. The refecence is obscure; either the custom of contributing to a mouring feast (a Samiis 35, Jer. xvi, 7 (6, Eeck. xxiv. v;)) or that of offering food at the grave as if for consumption by the dead (7 Oo. kv. v; Eecks. xxx. k)); or of secriticing to the spirits of the dead, as it annually done by the Arban, once explained to the present writer.

I have hearkened, etc.] Cp. xv. 5; I have done, etc., cp. v. 32, etc.

16. Look down, etc.] Cp. 'Isai,' |xiii, 15; thy holy habitation, Ier.

xxv. 30, Zech. ii. 13.

and bless, etc.] with such care and gifts as are described in vii. 13 ff., xi. 12, 14 ff.

as thou swarest, etc.] See on l. 8, vi. 3.

#### 16-19. CONCLUDING EXHORTATION.

The proclamation of these laws and the consequent duty of Fracil to keep them (16) constitute a contract between Jehovsh and Irsael, by which He declares Himself their God, who shall evalt them above other malona, and they declare themselves His people, proper and holy to Him and obliged to obey His laws (17—19).—In D's style and the Sg. address (18) and the Sg. address to the Contract of the Sg. address (18) and the

Though the term coveriant is not used, the law-giving is regarded as such, as it is implicitly in xxvii. 9f. and explicitly in xxix. 1 (xxviii. 69). This idea is also implicit in the Code, and is stated explicitly in viii. 18, xvii. 3. So far then, there is no reason for doubting the original character of the passage.

character of the passage

This is so far an answer to Steuern, who assigns the passage to a later deuteronomist. Wellh, indeed takes thir day as that of the Covenant at Horeb, and infers

- 16 This day the LORD thy God commandeth thee to do these statutes and judgements: thou shalt therefore keep and do them with all thine heart, and with all thy soul.
- 17 Thou hast avouched the LORD this day to be thy God, and that thou shouldest walk in his ways, and keep his statutes, and his commandments, and his judgements, and hearken

that the xii  $\rightarrow$ xxvi, were originally understood as delivered there. On the other hand Berth finds it probable that we have here the formula under which Josiah bound Israel to observe the Law (x Kg xxxii, 3g, G, Jer, xi g ff.). For neither of these hypotheses is there any real evidence; and  $\lambda his$  day is ostensibly the same as that frequently mentioned in the Code and the Introd Addresses (see on x, to)

16. This day ] Obviously the same as that emphasised, both in the Introd. Addresses iv. 8, v. 1, viii. 1, 11, 18, x. 2, x. 12, 3, 25, 34, and in the Code xv. 5, 15, xix. 9 (ep. xii. 8), as the day when the laws, revealed to Moses in Horeb were by him published to the people in Moab in the valley over against Beth-peor (iii. 20).

the Lord thy God is commanding thee This is His part in the

contract now to be formulated.

statutes and judgements] See on xii. 1.

keep and do them | See iv. 6, vil. 12, etc.; cp. observe to do, v. 1, vili. 1, xii. 1, 32, etc. This is Israel's part in the contract. with all, etc. | vi. 5f. x. 12, cp. xi. 18.

11. Then hart anusohal this Long, etc.] i.e. acknowledged (see Virgitts tible Word Book); it, caused Jahovato to say that Re will be thy God. This form of the Heb. vb. only here and r. 18. It is probably a technical legal term, by which either of the two parties to a brack. They did not steadly cause Him to make this engagement, for His choice of them was an act of His free grace (vii. 8. etc.) and every coverant with Him was of His imposition (v. a, viii. rd), alone He could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone He could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone He could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone He could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone He could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone He could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone He could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone that the could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone that the could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone that the could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone that the could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not iterative, it is alone that the could be their of the demanded that the could be their of the could be their of the demanded that the could be their of the demanded the could be their of the demanded that the could be their of the demanded that the could be their of the demanded that the could

and that thois shouldest walk in his mays, etc.] This belongs properly not to Jehovah's, but to Israel's, declaration, whereas the promise in v. 19, and to make thee high above all nations, etc., which is attributed to them belongs, of course, to Him. There has been a displacement of the text.

The Syriac seeks to get rid of the difficulty by eliminating the conjunction at the beginning of the phrase here, so as to read by melking in his tways, etc.; but even so the difficulty is only parity removed.

unto his voice: and the LORD hath avouched thee this 18 day to be a peculiar people unto himself, as he hath promised thee, and that thou shouldest keep all his commandments; and to make thee high above all nations which he hath 19 made, 'in praise, and in name, and in honour; and that thou mayest be an holy people unto the LORD thy God, as he hath spoken.

## t Or, for a praise, and for a name, and for an houseur

 and to make thee high above all uations, etc.] As remarked above on v. 17, this belongs properly not to Israel's but to Jebovah's declaration. High or highest, e.g. xv. 6, xxviii. 1.

which he hath made] Ps. lxxxvi. 9. For a similar assertion in Deut. of Jehovah's supreme providence, see iv. 19.

for a praise, and for a name, and for an homour] As in R.V. morg, cp. Jer. xiii. 11 h. That is a praise, etc., to Himself; Berth. prefers to other nations, who must ocknowledge Israel's excellence and superiority.

and that thou with be an holy people! This continues naturally the

people's declaration in v. 18. Holy people, vii. 6, xiv. 2, 21, xxviii. 9; cp. J, Ex. xix. 6, holy nation (got for 'am), to which passage the phrase as he hath spoken (possibly editorial) refers.

# D. CHS. XXVII.—XXX. CLOSING ENFORCEMENTS OF THE LAW,

First, directions as to rites on erossing the Jordan and at Shechem, contained in a composite th., svril, which except in rry of, provides no link between the xvvil, and xvviis. Second, a discourse stirbluted to Moses, xviili, which continues xvii. for-op, the epilogue to the Code, is probably original to D, and elosing afterpity is connected by an editorial note, xxii. 1 (xxviii. 69), with the following. Third, a control of the code of the code

It is useful to recall some theories to which the diffinite relations of these that to each other, to the Code, and to its lotted. Disconness have given rise. While their differences illustrate the complexity of the problems presented, there is general agreement; (1) pape the interruption which Ch. axivi, name, between cho, axiv. (4) on the derivation of axivi,  $\frac{1}{1-y}$  fined an earlier source, probably  $E_1$  and (4) on the originality to D of the balls "axivii" on a least point is being the natural supple

to axis.

Internation between two and axis to provide the table of the table of the table of the table of table

# CH. XXVII. PROCEDURE ON CROSSING JORDAN, AND AT SHECHEM.

The only part of this ch, which offers a connection between this xxvi. and xxvii. is row pf. (see small print above). The rest breaks the flow of Mose' discourse from xxvi. to xxviii.; and its composite character is apparent not only from the charges in the form of address but from the presence of doublets, on consistencies, and some data irrelevant to the belowly of L, 12—13, and (14—25 occions: 1—4 (itself composite; see below), of L, 12—13, and (14—25).

#### 1-8. Exection of Stones for the Inscription of the Law, and of an Altar.

First, in 19, 2—4, and 3, 90: 2 f. and 90: 4, 8 far doubleis (cp. Dillin., Westphal, Berth, Marril, With deuteron, phrases both command the same thing, the srection of stones to bear on a white surface as inscription of the Law t but the former prescribes this to be done immediately (s.) 30 the crossing of the gloridar, the latter on Mr. Elail., Here., then, is another indication of more than one edition of the means of the control of th

And Moses and the elders of Israel commanded the 27 people, saying, Keep all the commandment which I command you this day. And it shall be on the day when ye z shall pass over Jordan unto the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee, that thou shalt set thee up great stones and pulsare them with plaister: tand thou shalt write i

Second, in vv. 5—7 the command to belid an after on 'Elai serom incontinent with Di kaw of the One Altar, and therrifer it is enably taken at the revision by a distinct-combine editor (note the photose in 19) of a command in E. (see the small produced to the command of the co

both coticed by fiber causes used one, we can user meaning some the considerable between the Sg, and Pl. forms of address in this property is to have expanded my, 5 = 16 feagment older than DJ with adv, 2a and thereby identified the alter some with this stones on which the law was written, as in Joak viti; 50ff; hence he also repeated just in m. S. Another has further identified these stones with those n or as and an aduled besides to m, 2 n a, 4 n a.

Note the re-appearance of the narrative form.

And Moses and the eldern...ommunated the people] The association of the eldens with Moses in giving this charge is singular, especially in view of the following, which I command you. The LNX (except in a few cursive MSS) ouist the people. Therefore some real, And Moses communated the siders. More probably we have here the fusion of the introductions to the two different forms of the law, Moses commanded the siders and Moses commanded the people (so also Marti; co. Berth.).

Keep all the commandment, etc.] Heb. Miswah viii. t; cp. v. 12

(observe), 31, vi. t. In Sam., LXX keep is Pl.
2. on the day on which ye shall pass over fordan] The Heb. idioin

(cp. a Sum. xix. 20, Extb. (x. 1) implies the very day on which they were crossing, and not (vaguely) the time when they crossed; and this is confirmed by 39 which indicates that the stones were to be set up when I stand crossed Jordan but before they entered upon their occupation of the land, in order that thou mapers go in (similarly Dillm. and Dri.).

and plainter them mith plainter] A whitewash of lime or chalk, as a background for the writing in black or another colour. The practice was Egypting, and in Egypt the climate was not hostile to the result. But such writing would not survive the writers of Palestine, where not even incriptions engraved in limestone, but only those in basal bave ordered. It is possible therefore that we have here a very ancient of the words of the Lorentz order to the colour of the colour of the words of the Lorentz on by Mones is associated with the erection of twelve marketite. upon them all the words of this law, when thou art passed over; that thou mayest go in unto the land which the Loxo thy God giveth thee, a land flowing with milk and honey, as the Loxo, the God of thy fathers, hath a promised thee. And it shall be when ye are passed over Jordan, that ye shall set up these stones, which I command you this day, in mount Ebal, and thou shalt 5 plaister them with plaister. And there shalt thou build an altar unto the Loxo thy God, an altar of stones: thou 6 shalt lift up no iron two upon them. Thou shalt build the altar of the Loxo thy God of 'unhewn stones: and thou

#### Heb. whole.

all the words of this law] Heb. Thrah (see ou i. 5, xxxi. 9, etc.). How much is comprised in this phrase we cannot say, for we are not sure of the exact size of the original code of D.

It was a winespread contour in antequity to engrave how upon atone pillors. The Code of Humanuschin is expressed on a pillor of Block doing in "above age columns, coop lines and know worshis" [Juhns, Hassings' D. R., Eurar Vol.] The local tariff of Palmyra contains about the lines in Greek and sign farmantic (Cooke, A. T., 160 Kl) were (Farr. 13) ff). The regulations for samifless an Carthage (C.S., 1, 1, 26 Kl) were (Farr. 13) ff). The regulations for samifless an Carthage (C.S., 1, 1, 26 Kl) were (Farr. 13) ff). The regulations for samifless an Carthage (C.S., 1, 1, 26 Kl) were farr. Farr. 15 March 15 March 16 Marc

' when thou art passed over ] LXX, ye are.

that thou mayest go in, etc.] Cp. iv. 40, vi. 3, vii. 1, etc. LXX B,

etc., read that ye may go in, but most MSS have Sg.

4. which I command you! LXX B, etc., thee; other codd. you.
in mount Ebal! See on xi. 29, and introd. note to this passage.

Sam. Geridus, the sacred mountain of the Samarians. How far this direction for the site of the erected stones is consistent with that in v. 2, on the day on which ye shall has seve forders, may be seen from the following. My 'Ebal is about 18 miles from the nearest of the Jordan fords, at the present Jier ed-Danields, the most natural place of pessage from E. to W. Palesline. Even if the writer intended this as the place of Jurael's crossing of the Jordan the interval is considerable between it and their arrival at Shechem. And, of course, the interval between Israel's crossing at Jericho and their attainment of Shechem, as recorded in the Book of Joshua, is very much greater.

8-7. Cp. E, Ex. xx. 24 f. with Driver's notes.

5. no tron! Ex. xx. 25, tool (\$\tilde{k}\tilde{r}r\tilde{r}\til

vili. 9.
6. unheum stones] See R.V. margin. Ex. xx. 25: thou shalt not build it of heum stones.

shalt offer burnt offerings thereon unto the LORD thy God: and thou shalt sacrifice peace offerings, and shalt eat 7 there; and thou shalt rejoice before the LORD thy God. And thou shalt write upon the stones all the words of this 8 law very plainly.

And Moses and the priests the Levites spake unto all 9 Israel, saying, Keep silence, and hearken, O Israel; this day thou art become the people of the LORD thy God Thou shalt therefore obey the voice of the LORD thy God, 10

burnt offerings] Heb. 'olôth'; see on xii. 6.

7. peace offerings] Heb. sholamim, rather offerings in fulfilment of laws and vows; not elsewhere in Deut, and here representing the abahim, EVV, sacrifices, of xii, 6, etc.; as the vb, here conjoined with it shows.

eat.. rejoice, etc.] Phrases of D; see on xii. 7.

8. the stones | Not the stones of the alter (6 f.), with which

Josh. viii. 30 f. has confused them. this law! Heb. Torah as in v. 3.

very plainly] Expressed in Heb. by two infinitives used adverbially. On that one of them which is rendered plainly, ba'er, see on i. 5. The other, meaning thoroughly or exceedingly, occurs in ix. 21.

#### 9. 10. FURTHER ENFORCEMENT OF THE LAW.

These we with their sequet in axuli: a repent the substance and, with vortisiting the phrasology of xxx $i_1$ ,  $i_2-i_3$ . They have been taken as the like between these passages, and as original to D (see above small print of note to chance  $i_3$ ) and  $i_4$  they are by an oman a accessary like  $(Qx/H_{2R}, H_{2R})$  which because  $i_4$  xxii  $i_4$ . They are by an oman a accessary like  $(Qx/H_{2R}, H_{2R})$  which because xxii  $i_2$ ). Rather, as the notes below show, they are parallel to xxvi.  $i_4$ -  $i_3$ , and  $i_4$  y therefore have neighbor  $i_4$  they are the substance of the supplement to a different edition of the Code from that to which xxvi, 16-19 was attached,

9. the priests the Levites See on xviii. 1, and cp. x. 8 f. The association of the Levites with Moses in the enforcement of the Law is striking; and as only one speaker is implied by the next v. (which I command thee) the words have been regarded as the addition of the editor who combined q, 10 with 14-26 (Dillm., Steuern., Berth., Marti.). This reasoning is not conclusive. all Israel ] See on l. r, v. 1; and contr. iv. 44-46.

Keep silence! The Heb. vb. only here; in Ar, the root, sakata=10 be quiel or mute.

hearken, O Israel ] v. I.

this day thou art become the people, etc. 1 Cp. xxvi, 18.

10. obey the voice | xxvi. 17: hearken to his voice.

and do his commandments and his statutes, which I command thee this day.

11 And Moses charged the people the same day, saying. Iz These shall stand upon mount Gerizim to bless the people, when ye are passed over Jordan; Simeon, and Levi, and IJ Judah, and Isaschar, and Joseph, and Benjamin; and these shall stand upon mount Ebal for the curse; Reuben, Id Gad, and Asher, and Zebulun. Dan. and Naphtali.

do his commandments and his statutes] iv. 40, vi. 2, x. 13 (nll with keep instead of do); xxvi. 16, do these statutes and judgements; id. 17, keep his statutes, and his commandments, and his judgements. which I command the this day iv. 40, etc., etc.

#### 11-13. APPOINTMENT OF TRIBES TO BLESS AND TO CURSE.

Ch. M. 39 (pr.) commands that the blessing for obecience be set of and Gerbrine. Mr. Gerbrine. Mr. Erbni. Set (in: Ebb. 1. Set (pr.) implies some solemn rite, and this is now defined. Six tribes shall stand on Gertzine to bless, and six on 'Ebal for the curse. The former are all sons of Leah or Rachel, Jacob's wives, the latter the sons of their maids, Gad, Asber, Dan and Naphtail, with Reuben, Leah's ellest son, who lost his hirthright, and Zebosien, her youngest. Again the former, appointed to the southern mount, are all (with the doubtful appointed to the southern mount, are all (with the doubtful appointed to the southern mount, are all (with the doubtful appointed to the bandtern mountain are the four tribes settled N. of Edzinczion, with the two from E. Palestine, Reuben and Gad.

On the whole, the genelogical explanation of the division (Dillin, Dri, Berth), incree planible than the geographical (Stourn). The position of Levi; on a level with the other tribes, points to a warre entire than D, and as E emphasizes the contract of the points of

#### 14-26. APPOINTMENT OF THE LEVITES TO CURSE.

According to 11—13 both a blessing and a curse were to be proonnounced, here whave only curses, twevels in sumber. There Levi was one of six tribes appointed to bless; here the Levilers, in religious distinction from all the other tribes, are to protosumes the curses. Further, where the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract objects of only 7 are forbidden in D, of 6 in E, Ex xx. x-xxxlli, of 1 in J, Ex xxxix, and of as many as 9 in H, Lev xvii—xxvii. The inferences are reasonable that this passage is not from the same hand as the preceding (i.e., on from E) and not from D.

The inclusion of so many sins forbidden only in H does not necessarily imply that the list of curses is exilic (Berth.). It may be from a source independent of all

the Levites shall answer, and say unto all the men of Israel with a loud voice.

Cursed be the man that maketh a graven or molten 15 image, an abomination unto the LORD, the work of the hands of the craftsman, and setteth it up in secret. And all the people shall answer and say. Amen.

Cursed be he that setteth light by his father or his mother, 16

And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that removeth his neighbour's landmark. 17 And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that maketh the blind to wander out of the 18

way. And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that wresteth the judgement of the 19 stranger, fatherless, and widow. And all the people shall say. Anien.

Cursed be he that lieth with his father's' wife; because 20

those documents, some national or local liturey and Meyer-Luther (Die Israeliten, cross occuments, some materials of local study 3 and 5 spectra—Linder (Dut 1 structure), specific produced in the sin for set at the suscituary of Shechem. Nor is the hand which introduced it here that of D, but of a late editor, for note the simple term Levite intested of D's he priets the Levites and the glarane such call the sum of Irred, found elsewhere only in Joa. x. z<sub>k</sub> in a passage with many editorial elements. D's phrase is all Lindet (see alone 1).

14. answer] As in xxi. 7, solemnly pronounce, with a loud voice) Lit, a high voice, not elsewhere in the O.T. Cp. v, 19, a great voice.

15-26. Cursed be! The Heb, for this is simply the passive part, of the vb. 'to curse' (the original sense of which may have been 'to bind'), and may be rendered either cursed be or cursed is.

16. Amen] The Heb. 'amen (lit. firm or assured) when used as an exclamation means true, truly, or be it assured. All the instances of 'Amen which are parallel to this are post-exilic-

Cp. iv, 16, 23, 25, v. 8 (vii. 5, 25), ix. 12, 16, 21 (xii. 3); E, Ex. xx. 23; J. Ex. xxxiv, 17; H, Lev. xix. 4, xxvi. 1. Graven image (Heb. pesel), iv. 16; melten, ix. 12, 16; the work of the hands of the

craftsman, so Jer. x. 3, cp. Hos. viii. 6, xiii. 1, 'Isai.' xl. 19 f., xli. 7, xliv. 11-17, xlv. 16; in secret, xiii. 6 (7), cp. Joh xxxi. 27. 16. Cp. v. 16, xxi. 18 ff.; E, Ex. xx. 12, xxi. 17; H, Lev. xx. 9. Setteth light by or dishonoureth, the opposite of honour, v. 16,

17. See on xix, 14.

18. Lev. xix. 14: thou shalt not put a stumbling block before the hlind.

19. See on xxiv. 17; E, Ex. xxii. 21-24, xxiii. 9; H, Lev. xix. 33 f.

20. See on xxii. 30 (xxiii. 1); H, Lev. xviii. 8, xx. 11-

DEUTERONOMY Digitized by Google 20

he hath uncovered his father's skirt. And all the people

shall say, Amen. 21 Cursed be he that lieth with any manner of beast. And

all the people shall say. Amen-

22 Cursed be he that lieth with his sister, the daughter of his father, or the daughter of his mother. And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that lieth with his mother in law. And all the people shall say. Amen.

24 Cursed be he that smiteth his neighbour in secret. And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that taketh reward to slav an innocent person. And all the people shall say, Amen.

26 Cursed be he that confirmeth not the words of this law to do them. And all the people shall say, Amen.

21. Cp. E, Ex. xxii. 19 (18); II, Lev. xviii, 23, xx. 15.

22. Cp. H. Lev. xviii. 9, xx. 17. In earlier times marriage with a half-sister was apparently allowed, Gen. xx. 12, 2 Sam. xiii. 136; but is condemned in Ezek. xxii. 11.

23. Cp. H. Lev. xviii. 17. xx. 14. 24. Cp. v. 17 ; E, Ex. xx. 13, xxi. 12; H, Lev. xxiv. 17. The addition, in secret (v. 15, xiii. 6 (7), xxviii. 57), is nowhere else

attached to murder. 25. Cp. xvi. 19, and E, Ex. xxiii 8, both against all bribes: Ezek, xxii, 12, bribes to shed blood,

26. confirmeth] Lit. establisheth, 2 Kgs xxiii. 3, 24 of Josiah and the Book of the Law. Heb. Torah, as in i. s. xxxi, o. which see,

## CH. XXVIII. ENFORCEMENT OF BLESSINGS AND CURSES.

With no title this discourse is clearly a continuation of ch. xxvi., but whether through xxvli, 9 f. or not is uncertain.

The contents are the blessings and curses which shall follow respectively on Israel's observance and neglect of the Law; already announced in xi. 26-28, xxvii. r2 f. Parallel conclusions are found to the Codes of E and H; Ex, xxiii. 20-33, Lev. xxvi, 3-45,

Driver justly remarks that ch. xxviii. shows 'no appreciable literary dependence' on the former of these; and 'though the thought in Lev. xxvi, is in several instances parallel to that in Dent, xxviii., and here and there one of the two chapters even paraset to max in Meni. xxviu., and new and linter one of the two chapters even appears to contain a verbal reminiscence of the other/cpc, Dent xxviii. ns. vg. 35, 65 /6 with Lev. xxvi. 16, vg. 9g, 16 respectively), the treatment in he two cases in different, and the phraseology, its to far as it is characteristic, is almost entirely distinct, Lev. xxvi. representing affinities with Esskiel, Deut. xxviii. with Jeremish; in fact the two chapters represent two independent elaborations of the same theme.

And it shall come to pass, if thou shalt hearken dili-28 gently unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to observe to

It is not easy to account for the structure of ch. xxviii. The Blessings in vv. 1-14 find their antitheses within the first section on the Curses, vv. 15-46, but these are elaborated to a far greater degree than the Blessings, and are further developed in two additional sections, vv. 47-57 and 58-68, clearly separate in form from what precedes them and from each other. For the grounds of this analysis and for signs within some of the sections of smaller expansions see the notes below.

Most striking is the way in which the Discourse after predicting Israel's ultimate exile swings back to describe calamities to the people while still on their own land. The captivity in v. 32 is only partial, and Israel itself is still at home lumenting it. But after the exile of the nation and the king is foretold in 20, 361, 20, 38 ff. return to the aggravation of the evil conditions inflicted on the people in its own land till it be destroyed (among them once more, p. 4r as in p. 3s, the captivity of list one and daughters. Fr. 47 - 57 are a presented excription of the siege of Israel's cities by a foreign invader; but 38-62 repeat the curses of plague, already threatened, which shall continue till them be destroyed. Then with a change to the Pl. address comes another prediction of banishment (62) and, with a return to the Sg., a poignant description of sufferings in exite (64-67), rising at last to the chimag (the most terrible thing D could threaten) of a return to Egypt, the house of bondmen, where however Israelites shall now not be worth purchase as slaves (68)

man, where however fractities shall now not be worth purchase at staves (60).

That there are some later introdens of displacements can hardly be denied.

That there are some later introdens of displacements can hardly be denied that, if within 15-46, no. 36-49 be removed, the parallel with the blessings in 1-red, becomes much closer, night by the reasonably beld as proofs of later expansions which also inclines 48-49 and 48-46. But this must remain more or less supertain in view of the denourise style of O which so other netures on itself, as well as in view.

of the predominance of threat over promise in pre-exilic prophecy.

The curses which affect the land and the people while in possession of it can hardly be so late as the Exile. But also, in the opinion of the present writer, there is not in the threats of invasion, nor even in those of exile, anything that conflicts with a pre-exilic date. These threats have all sufficient foundation in conflicts with a pre-tailing date. These threats have all sufficient foundation in previous experience of Irenel. And it may be fairly sugged that had not, all on the property of the propert well be pre-exilie.

The style throughout is that of D, though as we should expect from the subject, there are terms and phrases not used elsewhere by D nor indeed in the O.T. Finally, it is clear from 2 Kgs xxii. 13 and Jer, xi. 3 that some such tarrible curses were appended to the Book of the Law discovered in the Temple in 6s1; which as we

have seen was at least the Code of D.

Therefore certainly in part, and possibly in whole, this Discourse belongs to D. Cp. Kuenen, Hex. § 7, 21 (2), 'not to any appreciable extent interpolated. On the other side Staerk and Steuernagel find the cli a compilation from many sources, some of them late; and so to a smaller extent Bertholet.

The designations of Israel's God are inveresting; 27 times Jehovak only and almost always when some action (mossly of indgement) is attributed to Him; 13 times the deuteron, Jehovak thy God and this almost always in connection with the people's duty to His Law and Service or with His gift of the land to them. The distinction is on the whole logical

20-2

do all his commandments which I command thee this day, that the LORD thy God will set thee on high above all 2 the nations of the earth; and all these blessings shall come upon thee, and overtake thee, if thou shalt hearken 3 unto the voice of the LORD thy God. Blessed shalt thou be in the city, and blessed shalt thou be in the field, 4 Blessed shall be the fruit of thy body, and the fruit of thy ground, and the fruit of thy cattle, the increase of thy kine, 5 and the young of thy flock. Blessed shall be thy basket 6 and thy kneadingtrough. Blessed shalt thou be when thou comest in, and blessed shalt thou be when thou 7 goest out. The LORD shall cause thine enemies that rise up against thee to be smitten before thee: they shall come out against thee one way, and shall flee before thee 8 seven ways. The LORD shall command the blessing upon thee in thy barns, and in all that thou puttest thine hand unto; and he shall bless thee in the land which

#### 1-14. THE BLESSINGS.

Parallels in vii. 12—24, xi. 13—15, 22—25. On the assurance of material blessings as the consequence of obedience to the commandments of God see the word of Jesus, Matt. vi. 33.

1. For the connection see on xxvii. 9 f. Parallels in xi. 13, xv. 5.

set thee on high] See on xxvi. 19.

 overtake] This vb. is used of the avenger, xix. 6. A man's goodness as well as his nin is sure to find him out, even when he does not expect this: see Matt, xxv, 37.

3—6. Six forms of blessing, each fitted, by the pass, part, of the vb. to blast. They cover Iranel's life: in town and field, in their off-spring, crops and cattle, annual harvests and daily broad, all their movement out and lin. The irranelure of the first low and last linee is fruit of the variety of the state of the state

4. Cp. vii. 13, and notes there on increase and young.

basket] See on xxvi. 2.
 kneading bowl] See Dri. on Ex. viii. 3. Cp. mill, xxiv. 6.

6. Cp. xxxi. 2, Jos. xiv. 11, 1 Kgs iii. 7, Ps. cxxi. 8.

7. smitten before thee] See i. 42.

 shall command ] Heb. has the jussive, command; it is uncertain which we should read; upon thee, lil. with thee.
 barns ] Only here and Prov. iii. 10. Cp. above, xv. to, and he shall bless thee! LXX (except some cursives) omits. the LORD thy God giveth thee. The LORD shall establish 9 thee for an holy people unto himself, as he hath sworn unto thee; if thou shalt keep the commandments of the LORD thy God, and walk in his ways. And all the 10 peoples of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the LORD; and they shall be afraid of thee. And the LORD shall make thee plenteous for good, in the II fruit of thy body, and in the fruit of thy cattle, and in the fruit of thy ground, in the land which the LORD sware unto the fathers to give thee. The LORD shall 12 open unto thee his good 'treasure the heaven to give the rain of thy land in its season, and to bless all the work of thine hand: and thou shalt lend unto many nations. and thou shalt not borrow. And the LORD shall make 13 thee the head, and not the tail; and thou shalt be above only, and thou shalt not be beneath; if thou shalt hearken unto the commandments of the LORD thy God, which I command thee this day, to observe and to do them:

#### 1 Or, treasury

9. holy] See vii. 6, and note on Holiness, p. 108. Here (as the context shows) the meaning is not ethical, but = set apart for Himself. therefore inviolate; cp. Jer. ii. 3.

if thou shalt keep] Rather, for (ex hypothese) thou wilt be keeping. Cp. Dri.; Marti.: in case thou shalt. So there is no need to omit the

clause with Steuern, and Staerk.

10. thou art called by the name of the LORD] Lit. the name of Jehovah is called over thee, as that of thine owner. Other instances of the figure in 2 Sam. xil. 28, Am. iz. 12, Jer. vii. 10 £, xiv. 9, xv. 16, etc., 'Isai.' xiii. 1Q.

11. make thee plenteous for rood | Lit, make thee to have an excess.

or surplus, of prosperity-through the fruit of thy body, etc. 12. his good treasury the heaven] As in R.V. marg. Cp. Gen. i. 7,

vii. 11, viii. 2; Job xxxviii. 22 (treasuries of snow and hail); [ci. x. 13; Book of Enoch, lx. 11-22. On the rain see xi. 11, 17; on the work of thine hand, i.e. in the field, see xiv. 29. and thou shalt lend, elc.] See on xv. 6.

13. the head, and not the tail] Is. ix. 14, xix. 15. only] Heb. rak; see on x. 15. Here = nothing but.

if thou shalt] Rather (as in v. 9), for thou wilt, or in case thou shalt. to observe and to do] See on iv. 6, v. 32.

14 and shalt not turn aside from any of the words which I command you this day, to the right hand, or to the left, to go after other gods to serve them.

15 But it shall come to pass, if thou wilt not hearken unto the voice of the Loan thy God, to observe to do all his commandments and his statutes which I command thee this day; that all these curses shall come upon thee, and to overtake thee. Cursed shall thou be in the city, and cursed 17 shalt thou be in the field. Cursed shall be thy basket and 8 thy kneadingtrough. Cursed shall be the fruit of thy

body, and the fruit of thy ground, the increase of thy kine, y and the young of the fock. Cursed shalt thou be when thou comest in, and cursed shalt thou be when thou 20 goest out. The Loops shall send upon thee cursing, discomfuture, and rebuke, in all that thou puttest thine hand unto for to do, until thou be destroyed, and until thou

14. turn aside, etc.] v. 32. For you read thee, Sam., Gk., Syr. go after other gods] vi. 14, viii. 19, xiii. 2 (3).

#### 15-45. THE CURSES.

The opening 1971,15—30, correspond to the blessings in 971—172, except that there are no antiheses to 16 and 84, and that the curse on basket and kneading-bowl precedes that on fruit of thy body, etc. Then the Discourse leaves the limits it had observed in the remainder of the blessings, 1971. 8—14, and while here and there it gives the exact contrast of these blessings (1971, 236, 598) and 246 with 1974, 236 with 1974, 237 with 2774, 2374 with 2774 with 2774 with 2374 with

The opinion that we, of (or 57)—37 and 47 are later additions in plausible, not because they contain predictions of each but because they elaborate the rest and the contained of the contained contained the contained contained analysis by Steuternagel.

15-20. For the terminology see notes on vv. 1-7.

20a forms with 25 a clear antithesis to w. 7, but is more elaborate than the latter. For carring cp. Alal. it. 2, iii. 2, for discompliture see on vii. 23, rebude is found only here. On for to do [lit. which thou shall do) see xiv. 29; until thou be desironed, cp. vv. 24, 45, 51, 61, vii. 23.

perish quickly; because of the evil of thy doings, whereby thou hast forsaken me. The LORD shall make the 21 pestilence cleave unto thee, until he have consumed thee from off the land, whither thou goest in to possess it. The 22 LORD shall smite thee with consumption, and with fever, and with inflammation, and with fiery heat, and with the sword, and with blasting, and with mildew; and they shall pursue thee until thou perish. And thy heaven that 23 is over thy head shall be brass, and the earth that is under thee shall be iron. The LORD shall make the rain 24

# 1 Or, according to some ancient versions, drought

20b (from and until thou perish) is taken by some as an expansion. On perish quickly see iv. 26, xi. 17; for evil of thy doings cp. Hos. ix. 15, Isai. i. 16, Jer. iv. 4+17 times. Forsaken me, yet Moses is the speaker, cp. vii. 4. 21. pestilence] Heb. deber, a general word (originally = death); in

I. Ex. v. 3. ix. 15. Hos. xiii. 14. Am. iv. 10. See Baldensperger,

PEFQ, 1906, 97 ff. LXX here 8dravos.

whither thou goest in to possess it] The usual phrase in the Sg. passages; see on vi. 1. For the corresponding Pl. phrase see iv. 26. 22. Seven Plagues, four on men, and three on their crops. On the former see Lev. xxvi. 16, and consult A. Macalister, art. 'Medicine' in Hastings' D.B.

consumption | Heb. shahepheth; from the meaning of the corr. Ar. sahaf, 'to affect with consumption of the lungs,' this is usually conceived as phthisis, but Macalister, from the connection here, thinks more likely a wasting fever of the Mediterranean or Malta type. LXX άπορία.

fever] Heb. kaddahath, lit. kindling, LXX woperbs; cp. Luke iv. 38, John iv. 42. 'May be malarial fever' (Macalister).

inflammation] Heb. dalleketh, lit. burning, LXX pros. Possibly ... some form of ague, but 'perhaps indeed typhoid' (Macalister). fiery heat) Heb. harhur, lit. burning or parchedness, LXX tpethouss,

'irritation'; 'such as erysipelas, only this is not very common in l'alestine. It might be one of the exanthemata' (Macalister).

the sword] Heb. hereb, LXX A F, etc., power, LXX B, etc., omit. But with Sam., Vulg. and R.V. marg. we may read horeb, dryness; either (as in the similarly emended text of Zec. xi. 17, cp. Job xxx. 30) a withering of the body, or, in harmony with the following, drought of the earth. blasting) Heb. shiddaphon, mostly the effect of the Sirocco (see

ferusalem, 1. pp. 12, 20 f.). Hence the LXX άνεμοφθορία. mildewl 11eb. rerakin, wanness, lividness; LXX wyon.

23. Cp. Lev. xxvi. 19: heaten as iron, earth as brass.

of thy land powder and dust: from heaven shall it come 25 down upon thee, until thou be destroyed. The Loxe shall cause thee to be smitten before thine enemies: thou shalt go out one way against them, and shalt flee seven ways before them: and thou shalt be 'tossed to and

ways before them: and thou shalt be tossed to and of for among all the kingdoms of the earth. And thy carcase shall be meat unto all fowls of the air, and into the beasts of the earth, and there shall be none to fray them away.

27 The LORD shall smite thee with the boil of Egypt, and with the <sup>3</sup>emerods, and with the scurvy, and with the itch, 28 whereof thou canst not be healed. The LORD shall smite

28 whereof thou canst not be healed. The LORD shall smite thee with madness, and with blindness, and with astonish-29 ment of heart: and thou shalt grope at noonday, as the

blind gropeth in darkness, and thou shalt not prosper in thy ways: and thou shalt be only oppressed and spoiled 30 alway, and there shall be none to save thee. Thou shalt

1 Or, a terror unto 5 Or, tumours Ot, plague boils

24. The Sirocco (Shn fiyeh), as the pseudo writer has more than once encountered it in Judaes, brings up a fug of dust as dense and fine as a sea-mist, but very destructive. Until thou be destroyed, see v. 20.
25. Sec on vv. 7, and 200.

26. Sec on vv. 7, and 30a.

tosted to and fro] Rather, for a trembling or a horror (Heb. Psa"vah). So the v. does not necessarily imply exile. Cp. Jer. xv. 4, xxiv. 9, xxix. 18, xxxiv. 17.

28. Jer. vii. 33; cp. xvi. 4, xix. 7, xxxiv. 20.

27. the boil of Egypt] Cp. P. Ex. ix. q with Driver's note. One of the skin-diseases common in Egypt. Boil, Heb. th'hin; Eg. thu, 'an abscess.' Some think of small-pox, others of elephantiasis. But it may be the bubonic plague; see next note.

emerods] LXX then Air, als the topas. Rather, as R.V. marg., tumours; Heb. "phalim, swellings. Probably the buboes of the bubonic plague (so Macalister). On this see HGHL, 15.7 ff.

scarry] Heb, garab (Ar. garab=mnnge), Lev. xxi. 10, xxii. 22; LXX ψώρα ἀγρία, Vulg. scabtes. 'Favus' (Macalister). itch! Heb, heres, Lev. xxi. 10, LXX srviety, Vulg. prurigo.

Heb. heres, Lev. xxi. 20, LXX κνήρη, Vulg. prurigo
 Co. Zech. xii. 4: astonishment, better, dismay.

 The mental weakness and even infatuation which possess nations and individuals physically debititated lead to their oppression by stronger peoples; the details of which are illustrated in the next vv. 30—34.

30. Cp. xx. 6-7. The Heb. text employs a more violent term.

betroth a wife, and another man shall lie with her: thou shalt build an house, and thou shalt not dwell therein: thou shalt plant a vineyard, and shalt not use the fruit thereof. Thine ox shall be slain before thine eyes, and 31 thou shalt not eat thereof; thine ass shall be violently taken away from before thy face, and shall not be restored to thee; thy sheep shall be given unto thine enemies, and thou shalt have none to save thee. Thy sons and thy 32 daughters shall be given unto another people, and thine eyes shall look, and fail with longing for them all the day; and there shall be nought in the power of thine hand. The fruit of thy ground, and all thy labours, shall 33 a nation which thou knowest not eat up; and thou shalt be only oppressed and crushed alway; so that thou 24 shalt be mad for the sight of thine eyes which thou shalt see. The LORD shall smite thee in the knees, and in the legs, with a sore boil, whereof thou canst not be healed, from the sole of thy foot unto the crown of thy head. The 36 LORD shall bring thee, and thy king which thou shalt set over thee, unto a nation which thou hast not known, thou nor thy fathers; and there shalt thou serve other gods. wood and stone. And thou shalt become an astonishment, 37

32. Judah suffered from a large deportation of her people by Sennacherib in 701. On any of the conflicting estimates of the deportations under Nebuchadrezara, there must have remained in the land a majority of the people, kaneuting, as this v. describes, the exile of the rest. See Jerusalem, it. 166 ff. hand! Many MSS real dands; cp. Neh. v. 5.

83. thou knowest not ] So of the land of the invading nation, Jer.

xiv. 18, xv. 14, xvii. 4, xxii. 28.

84. Cp. v. 28; mad, rather driven mad.

35 breaks the connection between vv. 34 and 36, and is more in place after 27, 9.2. on boil. Here sore boil on kneer and legs points to the 'joint-leprosy,' a species of elephantiasis; cp. Job ii. 7 l., vii. 3-6, xvii. 7, xix. 17, 20, xxx. 17.

36. The LORD bring thee] The Heb. vb. is a jussive.

thy king] The first Jewish king to be deported seems to have been Jehoiskin in 897 R.C. 2 Kgs xxiv. 8 ff. But cp. 3 Chron. xxxiii. 10—13 on Manasseh; and for the probable fact underlying this statement see Jerusalem, 11. 184.

there shalt thou serve other gods] See v. 64, and iv. 28.

a proverb, and a byword, among all the peoples whither 38 the LORD shall lead thee away. Thou shalt carry much seed out into the field, and shalt gather little in; for the 39 locust shall consume it. Thou shalt plant vineyards and dress them, but thou shalt neither drink of the wine, 40 nor gather the grapes; for the worm shall eat them. Thou shalt have olive trees throughout all thy borders, but thou shalt not anoint thyself with the oil; for thine olive shall 41 cast its fruit. Thou shalt beget sons and daughters, but they shall not be thine; for they shall go into captivity. 42 All thy trees and the fruit of thy ground shall the locust 43 possess. The stranger that is in the midst of thee shall mount up above thee higher and higher; and thou shalt 44 come down lower and lower. He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him: he shall be the head, and thou 45 shalt he the tail. And all these curses shall come upon thee, and shall pursue thee, and overtake thee, till thou be destroyed; because thou hearkenedst not unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to keep his commandments and 46 his statutes which he commanded thee: and they shall be upon thee for a sign and for a wonder, and upon thy

37. a proverol Ralber, a taunt.

byword ] Only here, Jet. xxiv. o. 1 Kgs ix. 7, 2 Chron. vii. 20; lit. the object of biting remarks. shall lead thee away ] So in iv. 17.

38. Here the Discourse returns to Israel's misfortunes on their own land, and the connection seems to be with vv. 15-24 (or 25), to which 38-44 are more or less parallel.

38-40. Consumption of corn by locusts and of grapes by worms, and casting of olives. For these products see on vii. 13. Locust, Heb. 'arbeh, properly locust-swarm. Worm, Heb, tola'ath; the grub which ruins vines, Gk. 14 or IF (Strabo, XIII. t. 64), Lat. convolvulus (Pliny, H.N. XVII. 47), is the wine-weevil (Knobel). On cast see on vii. t. 41 breaks the connection between vv. 40 and 42, and is out of place;

cp. v. 31. 42. locust] Heb. solasal, from the rustling of its wings.

43, 44. The antithesis to 126, 134 (q.v.).

45, 46. Return to the keynote of the section (cp. v. 15), and obvious conclusion to the curses which may originally have closed

for a sign and for a wonder] See on iv. 34.

seed for ever; because thou servedst not the LORD thy 47 God with joyfulness, and with gladness of heart, by reason of the abundance of all things; therefore shalt thou serve 48 thine enemies which the LORD shall send against thee, in hunger, and in thirst, and in nakedness, and in want of all things: and he shall put a yoke of iron upon thy neck, until he have destroyed thee. The LORD shall 49 bring a nation against thee from far, from the end of the earth, as the eagle flieth; a nation whose tongue thou shalt not understand; a nation of fierce countenance, to which shall not regard the person of the old, nor shew favour to the young: and he shall eat the fruit of thy cattle, sa and the fruit of thy ground, until thou be destroyed: which also shall not leave thee corn, wine, or oil, the increase of thy kine, or the young of thy flock, until he have caused thee to perish. And he shall besiege thee ca in all thy gates, until thy high and fenced walls come

#### 47-57. A FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE CURSES.

Invasion by a far-off, unknown nation, who shall unthlessly devastate the land and besiege Israel's cities; with the horrors of his siege. All this is not threatened conditionally on the possible disobedience of the people, but predicted absolutely because of their actual failure to serve food.

47. This should be a new scattere opening a new paragraph. Because thou hast not served the LORD thy God] or worshipped, with joylulness, and with gladness of heart] Characteristic of the temper of D; xil. 7, 12, 18, xvl. 11, 14 f. (be altogether joyful), xxvl. 11; cp. 'lsal' lw. 13f.

ty ep. 'Isai.' lxv. 13f.
by reason of the abundance, etc.] Cp. vi. 10—12, vili. 11—18.

48. a yoke of iron] Jer. xxviii. 14.
49. from far, etc.] Issi. v. 36 of Assyrians, Jer. v. 15 of Babylonians (though perhaps originally of Scylbians).

as the valture awoopeth] See on xiv. 12 f.; cp. Hos. viii. 1 of Assyrians; Hab. i. 8, Jer. xiviii. 40, xiix. 22 of Babylonians. whose towne thou shalt not understand? Lit. Assr. Jer. v. 15 of

Babylonians, ep. Isai. xxviii. 11 of Assyrians (and xxxiii. 9).

80. fierce countenance! Lit. strong, bard or inflexible. So Dan.
viii. 23 of Antiochus Epiphanes. Cp. Ezek. ii. 4, iii. 7. On regard the

person see on i. 17.

51. See vv. 4, 18, 20, 24. All but a few LXX codd. omit until thou be destroyed.

52. in all thy gates] xii. 17; come down, xx. 20; wherein thou trustedst, so Jes. v. 17.

down, wherein thou trustedst, throughout all thy land: and he shall besiege thee in all thy gates throughout all 53 thy land, which the LORD thy God hath given thee. And thou shalt eat the fruit of thine own body, the flesh of thy sons and of thy daughters which the LORD thy God hath given thee; in the siege and in the straitness, 54 wherewith thine enemies shall straiten thee. The man that is tender among you, and very delicate, his eye shall be evil toward his brother, and toward the wife of his bosom, and toward the remnant of his children which 55 he hath remaining; so that he will not give to any of them of the flesh of his children whom he shall eat, because he hath nothing left him; in the siege and in the straitness, wherewith thine enemy shall straiten thee 56 in all thy gates. The tender and delicate woman among you, which would not adventure to set the sole of her foot upon the ground for delicateness and tenderness, her eve shall be evil toward the husband of her bosom, 57 and toward her son, and toward her daughter; and toward her 'voung one that cometh out from between her feet,

# 1 Ox. afterhirth

58. And thou shalt eat, etc.] Cp. Lev. xxvi. 29, Ezek. v. 10, and for instances of this horror 2 Kgs vi. 28f., La. ii. 20, iv. 10. in the siege and in the straitness] A Refrain as in vv. 55, 57-

Similarly ler, xix, q, along with the eating of children as here. 54. The man that is the most tender among you, and the very most delicate] or dainty. The same adjs. in 'Isai. xlvii. 1.

his eye shall be evil! See on xv. a.

56. The most tander and most delicate woman among you] Almost as in v. s.e.

which would not adventure] Ralber, who had never ventured or tried (for the vb. see on iv. 34), having been accustomed to be carried. 57. young one ] Rather as in R. V. marg. The objects in this v. are under the same predicate as those in v. 50 but with a difference. To

those she shall grudge a share of her awful food; these she shall 58-68. STILL FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE CURSES.

After a fresh statement of the condition on which they will be inflicted, viz. Israel's disobedience to the law (58), diseases are again threatened with the sore diminution of the people (59-62); and

devour

and toward her children which she shall bear; for she shall eat them for want of all things secretly: in the siege and in the straitness, wherewith thine enemy shall straiten thee in thy gates. If thou wilt not observe to 58 do all the words of this law that are written in this book, that thou mayest fear this glorious and fearful name, rrat. LORD THY GOOD; then the LORD will make thy plagues 59 wonderful, and the plagues of thy seed, even great plagues, and of long continuance. And he will bring upon thee again all 60 long continuance. And he will bring upon the again all 60 the diseases of Egypt, which thou wast afraid of; and

their banishment is predicted and utter despair (62—67). God shall hring them again to Egypt, where when they seek to self themselves no man shall buy them (68).—In the substance of this section there is nothing incompasible with a pre-exilic date or with the tleas and principles of D. But some of the phraseology may possibly be postexilic.

58. observe to do] See on v. 1.

all the words of this law Heb. of this Torah, see on i. 5, xxxi. 9. In xvii. 19, xxix. 29 (28), xxxi. 12, xxxii. 46, with the same, or a

similar, formula preceding; also in xxvii. 3, 8, 26.

that are written in this book] Cp. v. 61, xvii. 18, xxix. 20 f., 27

(19f., 56), sax. 10. The Law, therefore, was already written down. As pointed out in the note on swit. 18, such a statement may well have belonged to the original D, discovered in the Temple in 691; but is not compatible with the other representation, hitherto prevalent, that the exhortations and laws were spoken by Moses, nor with the statement in xxii. 9, that he sweat the law when this discourse was finished. As Driver says, this o. 'betrays the fact that Deuteronomy was from the first a swritten' book.'

four this glurious and fourful mane). Cp. Neb. ix, g. Pa. bxii. 19, I Chron. xxix. 12, 18al. bxiii. 19, I Chron. xxix. xxxiii. 18 God's glory is parallel to His Name. For four this mane see Mie. vi. 9 (on one reading), Mal. iv. 2, 18al. ix. 19, Po. 1xiz. 160, Cp. Lev. xxiv. 11. This list (containing as it does Mae. vi. 9) is not sufficient to prove, as Berth. suggests, a last date for our passage.

plagues] As in v. 6r, xxix. 22 (21), Lev. xxvi. 21. In xxv. 3 the word is used of stripes. In xxiv. 8 another word is used for plague.

wonderful] Extraordinary ox exceptional.

of long continuance] Lit. faithful, sure, assured, usually in a moral sense; but in 1 Sam. xxv. 28 of an assured house or dynasty; and in Isai. xxxii. 6, Jer. xv. 28 (of unfailing waters). Cp. below v. 66.

60. diseases of Egypt] As in vii. F5; cp. above v. 27. On cleave cp. v. 21.

61 they shall cleave unto thee. Also every sickness, and every plague, which is not written in the book of this law, them will the Lost bring upon thee, until thou be de-62 stroyed. And ye shall be left few in number, whereas ye were as the stars of heaven for multitude: because thou

were as the stars of heaven for multitude; because thou didst not hearken unto the voice of the Lord by God. 63 And it shall come to pass, that as the Lord rejoiced over you to do you good, and to multiply you; so the Lord will rejoice over you to cause you to perish, and to destroy you; and ye shall be plucked from off the land

to destroy you; and ye shall be plucked from off the land dt whither thou goest in to possess it. And the Lord shall scatter thee among all peoples, from the one end of the earth even unto the other end of the earth; and there thou shall serve other gods, which thou hast not known, thou nor

shalt serve other gods, which thou hast not known, thou nor 65 thy fathers, even wood and stone. And among these nations shalt thou find no ease, and there shall be no rest for the sole of thy foot: but the Lord shall give thee there a trembling heart, and failing of eyes, and pining of soul:

every sickness, etc.]
 Cp. Jer. vi. 7.
 the book of this law]
 Heb. lisis Törah (see i. 5, xxxi. 9)
 Elsewhere (xxix. 21 (20), xxx. 10, xxxl. 16, Josh. i. 8) this book of the law.

(xxix: 11 (10), xxx: 10, xxxi: 20, 100si: 10) into cope of ite law.

until thou be destroyed ] vv. 70, 24, 45, 51.

62, 63. The only vv. in this ch. (except 68b) in which the Pl.

address occurs. The text, including the change to Sg. in the final

clauses of both vv. is on the whole confirmed by LXX. Sam.

atories occurs. The each, midstang the values of both to vo., is on the whole confirmed by LNX. Sam, complication of the whole confirmed by LNX. Sam, compliable logically in 6.5 where the number of the people is being contained by the contained

to multiply you] See on i. 10, vii. 13.

to multiply you | See on 1. 10, vn. 13, rejoice...to destroy you | This rhetorical figure is characteristic of the deuteronomic style. Contrast Hos. xi. 8f.

64. Cp. iv. 27 f., in the Pl. address. From the one end, etc., xiii, 7 (8). On other gods, etc., xiii, 6 (7); wood and stone, iv. 28.

65. shalt thou find no ease] The vb. is found only in Jer. xxxi. 2, xlvii. 6, l. 34, 'lsal.' li. 4; its substantive in Isal xxviii. 12. no rest, etc.] Gen. viii. 9.

a quaking heart] The vb. occurs in ii. 25.

failing of eyes] With disappointment of hope: cp. v. 32 and La. ii. 11, iv. 17.

and thy life shall hang in doubt before thee; and thou 66 shall fear night and day, and shalt have none assurance of thy life: in the morning thou shalt say, Would God it 67 were even! and at even thou, shalt say, Would God it were morning! for the lear of thine hard which thou shalt fear, and for the sight of thine eyes which thou shalt see. And the Loxto shall bring thee into Egypt again 68 with ships, by the way whereof I said unto thee, Thou shalt see it no more again: and there ye shall sell your-selves unto your enemies for bondmen and for bondwomen, and no man shall buy you.

These are the words of the covenant which the LORD 29 commanded Moses to make with the children of Israel

pining of soul] Faintness of longing or of life; Heb. nephesh means

66. and thy life shall be hanging before thee] Shall be in suspense, as on a litesal. As indicated later in the v, thou shalt have no assurance of thy life. The vb is the same as that whose part is rendered of long continuous in v. 59. Cp. Job xxiv. 22, R.V. marg.

67. Cp. Job vii. 4 and above v. 34-

There were two sides to iteracle life in exits. Jeromini (ratio, 4 fb) advised the calles in Ballyhou to settle down quickly into their new conditions and prosper as they could. This many of them did so thoroughly that it was difficult, if not impossible, to mive them to return to Judaze. But a passage like Paccarvil, gives the other side, which this section of D predicts in such termine detail. On the whole, the control of the product of the control o

66. into Egpt) A startling climax but one very natural to D, which has dwelt so frequently on the evils endured by Israel in the house of hondurn (see on vi. 12, and ep. xvii. 16). Even House (viii. 13) had predicted a return to Egypt as a punishment for Israel's result of the property of the prope

# Cit. XXIX. 1 (XXVIII. 89). EDITORIAL NOTE.

This v. along with the next definitely divides the addresses which precede and follow it. To which does it belong? These may refer to either.

By some (Knob., Kuen., Weegh., Dri., Moore, Robinsop) it is taken as the subcription to the preceding discourse and original to D, on the grounds that words of the covernant terms of the covernant, and is more applicable to the laws, xii.—xxvi. (with the attached blessings and crosses in xxviii.) than to the general exhortations of xxix. I By others (Ew., Dillim., Addis, Sentern., Berth., Ox.T. Hex., Cullion) the in the land of Moab, beside the covenant which he made with them in Horeb.

 $\nu$ , is taken as the super-cription to the following discourse on these grounds, that there are no subscriptions elsewhere in D Deut., that the language is not D's, that D does not use consumm of the law-giving in Mosb, but that the idea of this as a consumit prevails in xxxx,  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ .

Neither opinion is windly regist, for probably the n belonged originally relates to what proceds not to what follows. Bestems in laterparation of new deep for both proceds not to what follows. Bestems in laterparation of the order of for the conclusion of the consensus of the conclusion of the consensus of the forced and a contailioral by rate, a which was that I treat are to deep and to the woods of the coverant, who applied elevation to the laws given in Made, the attention and professors. The endoes not in the containing t

xxix. 1 is thus an editorial addition, probably inserted to close what precedes, when xxix. f. was added to D. On covenants, and those of Horeb and Moah respectively, see on iv. 13.

# XXIX. 2 (1)-XXX. A DISCOURSE OR DISCOURSES.

This section is presented as one discourse. The two chs. exhibit, however, such differences in address, in language and possibly (though this is not so clear) in standpoint that they can hardly have been originally a unity. Both however, bear signs of an exilic date.

(1) Zuis, 2—30 (1—30) is that FI address (except for z m, in which the S<sub>c</sub>; an explicable on logical agrands); xz; is in the S<sub>c</sub> address, except for come lyrice explication to the property of the size address into the roles are considered in the size and countries (now frequently) in Jet., Ext. and calling the size and the size but countries (now frequently) in Jet., Ext. and calling distriction of the size and t

# XXIX. 2-29 (1-28).

Mose, addressing all Israel, recalls what f-hoven has done in Egypt (:-a) (though Fasch have not had the spiritual power to appreciate this, q), and in the wilderness  $\{g,L\}$ , and in Silpon and  $\nabla Q$   $\{f,L\}$ ; and chorts them to keep His covenant  $\{g\}$ . To this the whole nation, even including women, children,  $g^{if}$  has and serfs, and both the present themselves have seen in the dislotties of the nations through whom they have passed  $\{16,L\}$ . Let no individual, family, or tribe turn to such idolatry, for its consequences shall be not only their own destruction but that of the nation  $\{18-n2\}$ ; the plagues of the land and the exile of the people shall be proof to later generations that

And Moses called unto all Israel, and said unto them, 2 Ye have seen all that the LORD did before your eyes in the land of Egypt unto Pharaoh, and unto all his servants, and unto all his land; the great temptations which thine 3 eyes saw, the signs, and those great wonders; but the 4 LORD hath not given you an heart to know, and eyes to see, and ears to hear, unto this day. And I have led you forty 5 years in the wilderness: your clothes are not waxen old upon you, and thy shoe is not waxen old upon thy foot. Ye have not eaten bread, neither have ye drunk wine or 6 strong drink: that ye might know that I am the LORD

Israel forsook Iehovah's covenant for other gods (22-28). Secret things (i.e. the future) are with God, the things revealed (i.e. the law) are Israel's, and to be carried out by them. - In the Pl. address, except . for some quotations in vv. 3 and 10 f., and vv. 12, 13 where the change to the Sg. is explicable (see note). The comparatively small use of deuteronomic phrases, and the peculiarly large number of phrases not elsewhere found in Deul, but frequent in Jer, and Ezek, or found in exilic and post-exilic writings, may be seen from the notes. V. 1t reflects late social conditions, and v. 28 betrays a date in the Exile. 2. And Moses called ... unto them] So v. I. For the rest cp. xi. 2.

Ye is emphatic. Hels.; Ye, yourselves, have seen.
3. tests...signs..portents] See on iv. 34, vii. 19. Which thine eyes sazu, iv, q, vii 1q, x. 21; the Sg. betrays the composite nature

of the passage.

4. an heart to know The heart the seat of the practical understanding; 'not the seat of the affections, but the mind itself, the intellectual faculty of the soul' (Calvin), yet always in a moral aspect; see on iv. 20, vi. 6. Eyes and ears, figures here of the spiritual senses, cp. Jer. v. 21.

In form the cornection with the preceding v. is difficult to trace, but the substance is clear. The deeds in which the Divine revelation consists are of no avail without the naward power to recognise and appreciato them, which is also, equally with them, of the gift of God; 'Men are ever blind even in the brightest light, until they have been enlightened of God' (Calvin). The speaker is made to express the truth in this negative way in order to supplisate to the people the urgent need of their at last, after so much negloct, awakaning to the meaning of Jehovah's Providence. The awkwardness of the construction is due to the effort to express both the grace of God and the responsibility of man.

5. I have led you, etc.] So Am. ii. 10; cp. above ii, 7, viii, 2, I, here the speaker's personality, is merged in that of the Deily; for other instances see on vii. 4. But LXX has nyayer. your clothes, etc. 1 Varied from viii. 4. With Sam. LXX read your

ahosa and your feet.

6. The v. is parallel to viii. 3. The last clause is not found in DESITERONOMY

7 your God And when we came unto this place. Sihon the king of Heshbon, and Og the king of Bashan, came 8 out against us unto battle, and we smote them; and we took their land, and gave it for an inheritance unto the Reubenites, and to the Gadites, and to the half tribe o of the Manassites. Keep therefore the words of this covenant, and do them, that ye may 'prosper in all that ve do.

10 Ye stand this day all of you before the LORD your God;

#### 1 Or, deal toisely

D. but occurs (minus the deat. addition your God) in J, Ex. vii. 17, viii. 22, x. 2; in P. Ex. vi. 7 (+5 times); and in Ezek, more than 50 times. Also the lighter form of the first personal pronoun is em-. ployed here as in all those passages, but in D it occurs only here and in xii. 30, q.v.

7. came unto this place | i. 31, ix. 7. Sihon ... and Or ... | ii. 22 ff., iii. 1 ff.

8. gave it for an inheritance] iii. 12 f.; for the formula see on iv. 21.

9. Keeb ... and do] See on iv. 6; and cp. iv. 1, v. 1.

the words of this covenant | See above on v. 1 and on iv. 13.

prosper] But the vb. also covers the deal wisely of the R.V. margin.

'Originally a mental process or quality-has insight, is forerish,—it includes the effect of thirm-understands as as in pet on, often timely as at its nector, is practiced both in his way of working, and in being sure of his end. Ewald has found an almost enext equivalent in German; 'has Geschie's, for 'Ge-chie's.'

Explorer's Bibb's, p. 346 on Isak lik 33 In the Hex. only here, and elsewhere (except for one or two parages) only in later writing.

10. 11. Ye stand \ The Heb. is stronger, and probably reflexive: ye have taken your station or position.

all of you! This comprehensiveness, and the exhaustive definition by which it is followed are striking. Not only the representatives of the people-your heads, your judges (which read for tribes-there is only the difference of one letter-unless we read with LXX and Syr, heads of tribes, for LXX has judges as well after elders), your elders and your officers (for all of which except elders see i. 13, 15 f., and for elders xvi. 18, xix. 12, xxi. 1 f., etc.); and not only all the men of Israel, your little ones and your wives, but also thy ger ... from the gatherer (not hewer) of thy wood unto the drawer of thy water (]os. ix. 21 ff.)appear before Jehovah to take the covenant. Cp. the Sabbath law, v. 14. covering sons, daughters, servants and thy ger; xxxi. 12, men, women, little ones and thy ger; the assembly which received the law

1 So Sam. and Syr

your heads, your tribes, your elders, and your officers, we were all the men of Israel, your little ones, your wives, and it is they stranger that is in the midst of thy camps, from the betwer of thy wood unto the drawer of thy water: that thou 12 shouldest enter into the covenant of the Lord by God, and into his outh, which the Lord by God maketh with thee this day: that he may establish thee this day unto 13 himself for a people, and that he may establish thee this day unto 13 himself for a people, and that he may establish the this day unto 14 himself for a people, and that he with the this day in the this day is that he may establish the this day unto 14 I make this covenant and this oath; but with him that 15 standeth here with us this day before the Lord our God, and also with him that is not here with us this day if for ye 16. Know how we dwelt in the land of Egyrt; and how we

under Joshua, Jos. viii. 33, 35, 37 and home-horn, momen and hittle ones; and the covenant enewed under Nehemiah, Neh. x. 28, all the temple servants, wiret, sous, daughters, every one that had knowledge and understanding [see further ferroadles 1, 438 ft].) On the phrase in the midt of thy camp cp. ii. 41, xxiii. 14, xxiii. 24.

The conception of the gir as a proselyte and as under the covenant, and the mention of the completednesses was better fively included to the late and the control of the complete and the late and the control of the complete and the late and the control of the complete and the control of the late and the late an

12. enter into the covenant] Lit, pass over into only here. Cp. the passing over into a select and numbered body, Ex. xxx. 13 [. [?]]; also the prepositions in our terms 'trans-act,' 'carry through.' On covenant see iv. 13.

and tolo his ooth Cp. Neh. x. 29: enter into an oath. Gap confirms His covenant by an oath, iv, 3t, etc. The Heb. 'alah is used three times in this ch., t.3, 14, 19 (q.v.), as math, and thrice vv. 20, 2t and xxx. 7 as imprecation, or curve; but nowhere else in Deut.

13. Cp. xxvi. 17 f. and xxviii. 9; as he sware, i. 8.

14, 15. Cp. v. 3. V. 15 is better rendered, but at once with him that standeth here... and with him that is not here with us this day.

16. for ye know, etc.] The necessity for such a covenant with Jchovah: viz. Israel's experiences of the idolatry of other peoples, which otherwise might seduce them to itself. The Egyptian idolatry

21-2

came through the midst of the nations through which 17 ye passed; and ye have seen their abominations, and their idols, wood and stone, silver and gold, which were 18 among them:) lest there should be among you man, or woman, or family, or tribe, whose heart turneth away this day from the LORD our God, to go to serve the gods of those nations; lest there should be among you a root 19 that beareth 'gall and wormwood; and it come to pass, when he heareth the words of this curse, that he bless himself in his heart, saying, I shall have peace, though I walk in the stubbornness of mine heart; "to destroy the

1 Heb. rosh, a poisonous herb. 2 Or, oath and so vv. 20, 21. 2 Or, to add drunkenness to thirst has not before been mentioned in Deut. Came through and passed

are the same vb.: the idem per iden construction, see i. 46. 17. abominations | Rather datestable things, not to ebbth, as in vii. 25, but shikkuslm, frequent in Jer. and Ezek. of idols, nowhere

else in Deut., but the vb. from which it comes is found in vii. 26. idals] Heb. gillulim, a scornful term meaning either things gross or

coarse, such as some forms of the root in Ar. mean (applied to duug, etc.), on things round or podgy, as from Heb. gulal, to roll (cp. the nicknames' 'round-head' and 'rolling-pin'). In the Hex. only here and Lev. xxvi. 30 (H); Jer. 1. 2, and 30 times in Ezek. The gods of the heathen were mere blocks or boulders! wood and stone] iv. 18, xxviii. 36, 64.

18. lest there should be Perhaps better, may there not be!

this day! Not in LXX and here out of place.

to go to serve} xiii. 6, 13 (7, 14), xvii. 3. a root that beareth] Only here.

rall! Heb. rdsh. lit. head, sometimes interpreted of the poppy; either that or some poison: xxxil. 32, Am. vi. 12, Hos. x. 4; with wormwood, Am. v. 7, vi. 12, Jer. ix. 15 (14), xxiii. 15, La. iii. 15, 19, Prov. v. 4. Such are the fruits of idolatry? 19. curse] Rather oath, for it is on the strength of Jehovah's oath

o be Israel's God and so to protect them, that this Israelite flatters himself he is secure, no matter how he may behave. In the history of religion such a delusion has been lamentably frequent, and believers in extreme doctrines of election have presumed on these and recklessly ndulged in evil.

bless himself in his heart | Flatter himself! Found only here. stubbornness] Heb. sherirath, firmness but always in a bad sense; only here, Jei. iii. 17, vii. 24, ix. 13, xi. 8, xiii. 10, xvi. 12, xviii. 12, xxiii. 17, and in l's. Ixxxi. 12 (13). This of course is not the man's own, but the writer's, view of him.

moist with the dry: the LORD will not pardon him, but then 20 the anger of the LORD and his jealousy shall smoke against that man, and all the curse that is written in this book shall lie upon him, and the LORD shall blot out his name from under heaven. And the LORD shall separate 21 him unto evil out of all the tribes of Israel, according to all the curses of the covenant that is written in this book of the law. And the generation to come, your children 22 that shall rise up after you, and the foreigner that shall come from a far land, shall say, when they see the plagues of that land, and the sicknesses wherewith the LORD hath made it sick; and that the whole land thereof 23 is brimstone, and salt, and a burning, that it is not sown, nor beareth, nor any grass groweth therein, like the overthrow of Sodom and Gomorrah, Admah and Zeboiim, which the LORD overthrew in his anger, and in his wrath: even all the nations shall say, Wherefore hath the LORD 24 done thus unto this land? what meaneth the heat of

to distroy the moist with the dry] An aumeaning translation. The construction is elliptic and we should render: so at to aweep away the moist (herbage?) with the dried sty; bring down a hurricane of destruction had would approot the whole people, so faial is the infectiousness, and so universal will be God's punishment, of idolatry.

the LORD will not comment to parden him] There are two vbs as in 26 q.v.
 his jealousy] See on iv. 24; with this and the vb. smoke cp. xxxii.

Als jealousy] See on iv. 24; with this and the vb. smoke cp. xxxii. 21 f., Ps. [xxiv. 1.

shall lie] Or erouch, cp. Gen. iv. 7. But LXX and Targ. read cleave unto, perhaps rightly. blot out his unme, etc. 1 vii. 34, ix. 14.

21. separate] See on iv. 41. Unto evil, Jer. xxi. 10, xxix. 11, xxxviii. 4, xxxix. 16, xliv. 11, 27, 29; but also in Am. ix. 4, Jud. ii. 15 (deuteronomic).

this book of the law] See xxviii, 61.

22-28 illustrate the last clause of 19 and predict how the whole land and people shall suffer for the sins of the idolaters.

22. plagues of 1 strokes, see xxxiii 59, 61.

the sicknesses] This word only here, Jer. xiv. 18, xvi. 4, Ps. ciii. 3,

2 Chron. xxi. 19.

23. brimstone, etc.] The prediction is in terms of the surroundings of the Dead Sea. Beareth, lit. causeth to stream: grass better

herbage.
Sodom...Zeboiim] Am. iv. 11, Hos. xi. 8; Gen. xiv. 2, xix. 24 f.

25 this great anger? Then men shall say, Because they forsook the covenant of the Loro, the God of their fathers, which he made with them when he brought them forth out 26 of the land of Egypt; and went and served other gods,

and worshipped them, gods whom they knew not, and 27 whom he had not 'given unto them: therefore the anger

of the Lord was kindled against this land, to bring upon 28 it all the curse that is written in this book: and the Lord rooted them out of their land in anger, and in wrath, and in great indignation, and cast them into another land, 20 as at this day. The secret things belong unto us the Lord our God: but the things that are revealed belong unto us

#### 1 Heb. divided.

28. Then men thall my, etc.] Similarly Jer. xxii. 8 f. The phrase, forsook the covenant occurs there, 1 Kings xix. 10, 14 and Dan. xi. 30, but not elsewhere in Deut. (forget is used instead); though xxviii. 20

has forsaking me, cp. xxxi. 16, xxxii. 15.

26. went and served] See v. 18; on whom they knew not ep. viii. 3, 16, xi. 28; on given or allotted see note on iv. 19.

27. curse] As in xxviii. 15 ff.; and another word than in 20. 20 f.

28. rooted them out] Heb. natash, not elsewhere in the Hex. but common in Jer. e.g. 1. 10, xib. 15. in anger, and in fury, and in great wrath] (Driver). So Jer. xxl. 5,

cast them into another land ] Jer. xxii. 26: 'I will cast thee out

(another vb.)...into another land.

as at this day. This can hardly belong to the predicted statement of the contemporaries of the Exile; it must either be the writer's own and if so betrays his date at that time, or it is an editorial addition. In view of the language of the whole chapter, the former alternative is the more mobable.

726. The still hidden things are the future (ep. 'Isal', Aivili, 6), the things that are resulted are those just reviewed, cloc's decels and words in the past and present. That among these present things be the seems implied. Only its issue is still hidden, in contrast to the conditional prediction of a happy issue from it delivered in the following eye, xxx. 1—10. All that I sard can do is to keep the law already revealed. It is difficult to see the confucction between this, v. and it present plants are seen to the conditional prediction of the conditional prediction of the conditional prediction of the conditional prediction of the following present plants of the conditional conditions of the conditions of the

and to our children for ever, that we may do all the words of this law.

And it shall come to pass, when all these things are 80 come upon thee, the blessing and the cutres, which I have set before thee, and thou shalt call them to mind among all the nations, whiter the Loro thy God hath driven thee, and shalt return unto the Loro thy God, and shalt expected the shall be shall be

#### 1 Or, return to

this law Heb, this Torah, see xxviii. x8.

CH. XXX. 1-10. CONDITIONS OF RESTORATION FROM EXILE.

When Israel, veatered among the nations, returns to Jehovah and boys Him (1.), the will gather the nation again, even to its forthest outcasts (1.), and will not only restore it to its land, but work in it a not the state of t

1. all these things are come upon thee] iv. 30.

the Mesting and the cares, etc.] xi. v8; ep. iv. 8. Bitssing as well as cares, because the memory that God, in life infitth/thues, ind blessed then, in such times as they were obedient, and therefore might be trusted then, in such times as they were obedient, and therefore might be trusted as their biffer experience of His cares upon their disobledience. There is, thus, no need to take these words, or the Messing by Reelf, as a gloss (as Stevern, and Marti do).

which I have set before thee] iv. 8, xi. 26.

call them to mind! Ait, bring back to thy heart. See on xxix, a. hath driven thee! Heb. hiddeth, in this sense used 11 times in Ier., but not so elsewhere in Deut.; the passive form occurs in v. 4 below. For other applications of the root nee xiii. 13 {14}, xix. 5, xx. 19, xxii. 1. 2. Expansion of iv. 306.

captivity, and have compassion upon thee, and will return and gather thee from all the peoples, whither the LORD 4 thy God hath scattered thee. If any of thine outcasts be in the uttermost parts of heaven, from thence will the LORD thy God gather thee, and from thence 5 he will fetch thee; and the LORD thy God will bring thee into the land which thy fathers possessed, and thou shalt possess it; and he will do thee good, 6 and multiply thee above thy fathers. And the LORD thy God will circumcise thine heart, and the heart of thy seed, to love the LORD thy God with all thine heart, 7 and with all thy soul, that thou mayest live. And the LORD thy God will put all these curses upon thine enemies, and on them that hate thee, which persecuted 8 thee. And thou shalt return and obey the voice of the LORD, and do all his commandments which I command

 turn thy captivity] The Heb. phrase can hardly mean this, for the return from captivity comes later in this passage, in n. 4, and such a sense is impossible in Job xlii. 10. Render turn thy fortune. So Am. ix. 14, Hos. vi. 11, Ezek. xvi. 53, 55, and frequently in Jer.

have compassion upon thee] xiii. 17.
gather thee] So frequently in Jer. and Ezek.

scattered thee | xxviii. 64.

4. If any of thine outcasts] quoted in Neh, i. 9; cp. above v. 1 (driven), xxviii. 64, and in another sense xxii. 1.

5. will bring thee into the land ] See on vi. 10.

do thee good ] viii. 16, xxviii 63.

multiply] See on vi. 3 and xiii. 17 (18).

 will circumcise thine heart] See on x. 16, and in contrast xxix. 4; and cp. Jer. xxxi. 33.
 to love, etc.] See on vi. s.

that thou mayest live] lit. for the sake of thy life, vv. 16, 19, xvi 20,

all Sg.; iv. r (see note), v. 33, viii. 1, all Pl.

7. currer Heb. 'aloth, xxix. 20 f. (19 f.), q.v.; and not lead the as in v. 1 and cb. xxviii. Because of this and the fact that the v. breaks the connection between vv. 6 and 8 it is probably an intrusion (Dillm.). With it cp. vii. 16.

8. But thou, thyself, shall, etc.] The emphatic thou is necessary

after the intrusion of the previous v.

return] If this be meant in a spiritual sense, the like does not elsewhere occur in Deut; but is found in Isai. x. 21, xix. 22, Jer. iii. 1, 7, 142, 24, iv. 1, xv. 19, xviii. 11 (= xxxx. - 5), xxiii. 44, xxiv. 7, xxxvii. 23, etc. For the rest of this v. see above xv. 5, xxviii. 1, 15.

thee this day. And the LORD thy God will make thee 9 plenteous in all the work of thine hand, in the fruit of thy body, and in the fruit of thy body, and in the fruit of thy body, and in the fruit of thy possible for good, as he rejoiced over thy lathers: if thou shalt obey to the voice of the LORD thy God, to keep his commandments and his statutes which are written in this book of the law; if thou turn unto the LORD thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul.

For this commandment which I command thee this day, 11

9. See xxviii. 11, 63.

Possibly an editorial transition to the next section (so Steuern).
 written in this book of the law] Cp. xxix. 20; here the lext curiously
 gives written in the sing. participle, as if quoting from there,
 turn unto, etc.] See on v. 8.

#### 11-20. THE CLOSE OF THE CONCLUDING ADDRESSES.

The commandment is not too hard nor distant, but near, articulate including head appraciable (1-14). Shere life and death, good and evil, is set before brank. Obelience means blessing, apostary detruction to the dather of the distance of

It is the old question whether the same writer thus chenches his arguments with the repetition of a number of his formulas or the hand of a later editor has collected these. The probability is with the latter. Collect takes use  $t: t \to a$  so part of his Blook of the M(pous) in this scheme the original Deuteronousty. Borth, engards  $t \to a$  at least  $t \neq t$ ,  $t \neq t$ , and part of  $t \to a$  at least  $t \neq t$ ,  $t \neq t$ , and part of  $t \to a$  Dr. The changes of the form of address are signs that the passage algredy combon of quotations.

#### 11-14. THE CONSCIENCE OF THE LAW.

This commandment] Migroath, see on v. 31, viii. 1. Here probably both the substance of the Law—the enforcement of a loyal, loving obedience to Jehovah—and its various statutes and judgements. which I command the this day) viii. 1, xxvii. 1, etc.

12 it is not too 'hard for thee, neither is it far off. It is not in heaven, that thou shouldest say, Who shall go up for us to heaven, and bring it unto us, and make us to 13 hear it, that we may do it? Neither is it beyond the sea.

13 hear it, that we may do it? Neither is it beyond the sea, that thou shouldest say, Who shall go over the sea for us, and bring it unto us, and make us to hear it, that we 44 may do it? But the word is very nich unto thee, in thy

mouth, and in thy heart, that thou mayest do it.

15 See, I have set before thee this day life and good, and

# Ot, wonderful

too hard] So in xvii. 8; beyond one's power to do, 2 Sam. xiii. 2, or to understand, Ps. cxxxi. t (1); more frequently used of wonderful things, or extraordinary; Ps. cxix. 129; Thy testimonies are wonderful, therefore doth my soul keep them—an interesting contrast to this clause.

12. not in heaven] Not among the hidden things still with God, xxix. 29 (28), and requiring a mediator. God has not left men to hunger for it; it has been mediated and heard.

18. Neither...beyond the sea] Nor has Israel to search for it among

other peoples.

14. But the word it very nigh unto the? So of Gel Himself, v. 7, p.v., explained by what follows, in thy musth and in thy heart (cp. vi. 61, xi. 181), articulate, understood and familiar (especially deer so much exposition of it.). The speaker does not add that it and provocation to the difficult of the speaker of God's Word.) Cp. Jer. it 31. Another thought suggests itself. The local and domestic allarsh and been removed and God's Presence fixed at the One Sanctuary. But in the Law I read that received has which — and touched them to the outside all points. In outside their lives—and touched them to the outsid—and provided in the outside them to the outsid—and provided in the outside them to the outsid—and provided them to the outsid—and pro

On St Paul's application of these words in the Law, to the Goopel in contrast with Law, Rom. A.—S. was Sanday and Headling, Rémons (Intern., Crit. Corne.) with—up and Denney's Konsons (Expectative (at Frail.) (ppd.); It is brettenen to eliminate the Contractive of the Sanday State of the Contractive of the Contracti

15-20. THE PERGRATION TO THE DISCOURSES.

15. Cp. Jer. xxi. 8.
set before thee this dayl iv. 8.

- Google

death and evil: in that I command thee this day to love 16 the LORD thy God, to walk in his ways, and to keep his commandments and his statutes and his judgements, that thou mayest live and multiply, and that the LORD thy God may bless thee in the land whither thou goest in to " possess it. But if thine heart turn away, and thou wilt 17 not hear, but shalt be drawn away, and worship other gods, and serve them; I denounce unto you this day, 18 that ye shall surely perish; ye shall not prolong your days upon the land, whither thou passest over Jordan to go in to possess it. I call heaven and earth to witness against 19 you this day, that I have set before thee life and death, the blessing and the curse: therefore choose life, that thou mayest live, thou and thy seed: to love the LORD thy God, 20 to obey his voice, and to cleave unto him; for the is thy 1 Or, that

life and good, etc.] Cp. xi. 26: blessing and curse. For death and

evil cp. iv. 26, viii. 19, etc.

16. The constr. of the Heb. is faulty but may be restored from the LXX thus: If those hearken to the commandment of the LORD thy God which I command the (Dillim.). For 16a see on xiii. 4 [8]; his com-

mandments (wanting in LXX), iv. 2; statistics and judgements, iv. 1. On 16b, that thou mayest live, cp. iv. 1, xxx. 6; on whither thou goest in 10 possess it (characteristic of the Sg. passages) see vii. 1, for the Pl. synonym see vi. 1.

17. But if thine heart turn away] xxix. 18 (17); for drawn away see iv. 19, xiii. 13 (14); for worship and serve see on iv. 19.

18. 'denounce' An archaism for announce. The Heb. simply means declare, xvii. 9, 11, R.V. show and tell of a judgement, i.e. make it public; xxvi. 3 R.V. profess.

unto you] Change to the Pl. address confirmed by Sam. LXX; it is attiking that the following phrase, auxely perish, also occurs in viii. 19, which is likewise an interruption of the Sg. by the Pl. address, and is found in Deut. only with the Pl. See on viii 19.

ye shall not prolong, etc.] Elsewhere both with Sg. and Pl.; see on iv. 26.

thou passest over fordan] Sam. LXX, ye; perhaps rightly, but see on vi. 1.

19. I call heaven and earth, etc.] As in iv. 26.

set before thee life and death) See on v. 15.

choose life] In Deut. only here; but cp. Josh. xxiv. 15, Isai. vii. 15 (choose the good). On that thou mayest live see v. 6.

20. love...obey...cleave] See on vi. 5, x. 20, xiii. 4 (5).

life, and the length of thy days: that thou mayest dwell in the land which the LORD sware unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give them.

 for that is thy life, etc.] Variant from iv. 1, 40, etc. sware) See on i. 8.

#### E. Chs. XXXL-XXXIV. Last Days and Discourses of Moses.

The Laws and Discourses accompanying are at an end (cp. xxxi.) except for some behated fragments, xxxi. g-x12(x-4-67), xxxii. 44-47, that contain only one fresh statement: that Moses wrote the Law. The remaining the though with determ comile elements contain contributions considered the contained of the contain

R.g. Orr (Problem of the O.T., pp. 248, 25) says that xxxi.—xxxiv. are 'appended' to Deut, and due to an editor: 'the last part of the work, with its account of Mores' death and in one or two places what seem namistakeable indications of JE and P hands, points clearly to such a reduction.'

The sections, in great disorder as to their subjects—unless we adopt some emendation of their text—are solilows; xxid.—8, Appointment of Joshun (deuteronomic); 3—13, Mossé delivery of the written Law to the Priess and Elders, though possibly Joshus was originally in pikee of them (deuteronomic); 14, 5, 23, God's change to Joshua [17] pikee of them (deuteronomic); 14, 5, 23, God's change to Joshua [17] to death, as the motive to the Song in ch. xxxii, inpartly deuteronomic, partly not); 14,—30, another delivery of the Law to the Lewites (14,—36) unless we read Song for Laws, with another introduction to the Song (17)—39) (deuteronomic); xxxii, 30, editorial title to the Song; xxxiii, 14,—45, the Song of Moses (source unknown); 44, concluding note: 45—47; enhoristion on the Law (deuteronomic); 48—53, Moses' call the sheath of Moses (fee Levi enhorison); xxxiii.

#### CH. XXXI. 1-8. APPRINTMENT OF JOSHUA.

Moses declares his inability to continue his active offices with the people and God's decree that he shall not cross Jordan (1.f.). Under God Joshus shall lead Israel, the nations shall be destroyed like Shion and 'Qg, and, leane shall treat them as commanded (1-g.-1). May Israel be strong and unsfraid, God shall not fail it (6). Joshus its exhorted in similar terms (7, fl.)—The style is almost wholly deuternoomic, but consists largely of phrases common in L—iii. and w.—xi., contains (vo. 3-d) doublets and a change in the furm of address, and (v. 2)

And Moses went and spake these words unto all Israel. 31 And he said unto them, I am an hundred and twenty years 2 old this day; I can no more go out and come in: and the Lord bath said unto me, Thou shalt not go over this Jordan. The Lord thy God, he will go over before thee; 3 he will destroy these nations from before thee, and thou shalt possess, them: and Joshua, he shall go over before thee, as the Lord hath spoken. And the Lord shall do unto them as he did to Shon and to Og, the kings of the Amorites, and unto their land; whom he destroyed. And the 5 Lord shall deliver them up before you, and ye shall do unto them according unto all the commandment which I have

a trace of  $P_i$ ; so that it is probably due to an editor. The design of such a passage just here along with the other fragments on Joshua, 1, 6, 13, and possibly g-13 (g.v.), must have been to connect the Books of Deut. and Joshua.

By many (Dillen, Dri., Stewern, Berth, Maril) the passage is taken, in whole part, as from the sume hand as che-in-in and as the meananty continuation of part, as from the sume hand as che-in-in and as the meananty continuation of words, on refer only is something percoding, and implies not words shreadly obtained by Monte to hard (code as now immediately percoding, in the said-said, points). The property of the said-said said is a said to be a said to be

- went and spake these words] This can only refer to something preceding; see small print above. But LXX read finished speaking these words.
   an hundred and twenty years old] So P xxxiv. 7, cp. Ex. vii. 7.
- As we have seen, dates in the Pent. are nearly all from P; 120=3×40, the usual round number for a generation.

  ro out and come in! See on xiii. 13 (14) and xxviii. 6.
  - go our and come in | Sec on xiii. 13 (14) and xxviii. 0 the LORD hath said, etc.] iii. 27.
  - will go over before thee] ix 3, where also there follows (with much else) as here, he will destroy, thou shall disposess or autocoed them that in another form of the vh. This part of the v. may be a later.
  - (but in another form of the vb.). This part of the v. may be a later intrusion, for the remainder follows naturally in v. 2.

    Shom...Og ] ii. 32 ff., iii. 1 ff., xxix. 7; America, iii. 8.
  - 5. deliver them up before you i. 8, vii. 2, etc., with both Sg. and Pl. The change to Pl. here is confirmed by Sam. LXX. all the commandment, etc. i.e. that in vi. 2 ff.

6 commanded you. Be strong and of a good courage, fear not, nor be affrighted at them: for the Loxo thy God, he it is that doth go with thee; he will not fail thee, nor forsake? thee. And Moses called unto Joshua, and said unto him in the sight of all Israel, Be strong and of a good courage: for thou shalt go with this people into the land which the Loxo hat swom unto their fathers to give them; and 8 thou shalt cause them to inherit it. And the Loxo, he it is that doth go before thee; he will be with thee, he will not fail thee, neither forsake thee: fear uot, neither be dismayed.

6. Be strong and of a good courage] Cp. iii. 28; encourage and strengthen him [causative forms of the same vbs.].

strengthen him (causative forms of the same vis...).

fear not, nor be affrighted.] The phrase usual with Pl. passages (for the corresponding Sg. see i. 21 and below v. 8).

i. 29 takes these vbs. in the reverse order. The Pl. in this part of the v. is confirmed by Sam. The LXX has Sg. per incurian.

doth go with thee} xx. 4; before thee, i 30. Sain. confirms Sg. The LXX has Pl.

fail thee] let thee drop; iv. 31: not fail nor forsake thee, so v. 8, Josh. i. 5 (deuter.), 1 Chr. xxviii. 20, Heb. xiii 5. Sg. is confirmed by Sam. I.XX.

in the sight of all Israel] xxxiv. 12.
 Be strong and of a good courage! As in v. 6 but Sq.: cp. iii. 28.

LXX: dvdpifov xal loxve.

go with] Rather bring, as in some Heb. MSS, Sam. Vulg. and v. 23.

sworn] i. 8.

cause them to inherit] i. 38, iii. 28.

 See on v. 6. On the phrase fear not, neither be dismayed, characteristic of the Sg. passages, see on i. 21.

# 9-13. THE LAW WRITTEN AND DELIVERED TO THE LEVITES, ETC.

Moses wrote this Law and gave it to the priests and elders, charging them to read it every seventh year at the Feast of Booths to all Israel, women, little ones and geriss included, that they and their children might evermore fear God and Gol its words upon the land.—The language is almost purely deuteronomic, and the passage may be original to D (Dillim. Dri., etc.) or from the eldition of D, introduced by i.—ill. (\* rot impossible, 'Berth. Marti). Steuern. taker parts of it as original to bit Sg, author, the rest due to later expansion, but his analysis to precarious; Cullen (147, 159) as part of the epilogue to the Torah. The order of the passage, between two others relating the commission to Joshua, is

And Moses wrote this law, and delivered it unto the 9 priests the sons of Levi, which bare the ark of the covenant of the LORD, and unto all the elders of Israel. And 10 Moses commanded them, saving, At the end of every seven years, in the set time of the year of release, in the feast of tabernacles, when all Israel is come to appear 11 before the LORD thy God in the place which he shall choose, thou shalt read this law before all Israel in their

inexplicable, unless we suppose that in place of the priests, the sons of Levi...and the elders, to whom v. 9 describes that Moses entrusted the written law, the name of Joshua himself originally stood. And for this there is some evidence in the sing, thou shall read and assemble of ve. 11 f. But the text is not certain.

9. Moses wrote this law Besides v. 24 the only statement to this

effect in Deut.

this law Heb. Torah, see on i. s. In Deut, the Code (possibly with hortatory additions, but we cannot tell the exact extent of it here intended, see xxvii. a) is called Tôrah only in i. s. iv. 8 (parallel to statutes and judgements), iv. 44 (a title); twice in the law of the King xvii. 18 f., and nowhere else in chs. v.-xxvi., but in chs. xxvii.-xxxi. no fewer than 14 times, 5 of which are within xxxi. 9-26, and in Jos. i. 8. This unequal distribution is very striking.

the priests the sons of Levi] See on x. 8, xviii. 1. Steuern, takes the sons of Levi as secondary, because omitted by LXX, but it is only LXX B which omits the phrase; LXX A and other Codd, have it.

which bare the ark, etc.] Sec on x. 8.

the elders | See on xix, 12. Marti omits the whole clause, the priests ...covenant of the LORD, as a later substitute for the original foshua; but if this theory be correct the rest, and unto all the elders of Israel, must also be secondary, since the imperatives in 11 f. are sing.

10. At the end of every seven years ... the year of release | See xv. 1 ff. set time] Heb. mo'ed, in xvi. 6 of a fixed hour of day; here as in Ex. xxiii. 15 (see Dri.'s note) of a season fixed for a sacred festival; for

another application see p. 14. the feast of Boothal Sec xvi. 13-15.

11. appear before, etc. | Rather ses the face of, as in xvi. 16; thy God, Sam. LXX A and other codd, your God LXX B.

in the place, etc.] See on xii. 5.

thou shalt read this law] The Sg. address is striking; for according to v. o Moses is addressing the pricets and elders; nor because of the following before all Israel can the whole nation be here addressed. We are left therefore with the supposition that the charge described in this passage was originally addressed to one individual, and the context vv. 1 -8 and 14 ff. make it probable that this was Joshun. Yet the tex is uncertain: Sam. has he or one shall read (not, as Steuern, and Berth. 12 hearing. Assemble the people, the men and the women and the little ones, and thy stranger that is within thy gales, that they may hear, and that they may learn, and fear the Lord your God, and observe to do all the words of this law;

13 and that their children, which have not known, may hear, and learn to fear the LORD your God, as long as ye live in the land whither ye go over Jordan to possess it.

say, shall be read, for the vb. is followed by an accusative); the LXX codd, (with few exceptions) have Pl. ps shall read, as also in next v.; this, however, may be due to harmonising. On this law see on v. 9.

12. Assemble the people! Again Sc. confirmed by Sam, though LXX

codd. have Pl. Cp. iv. 10, azemble me the pople. On attemble the v. 21. It is not necessary to the Attemble, met as a later infrauion (Marti) on the grounds that the command to assemble the people is out or ofer after the previous x, for this may be explained by the loweness of the writer's style and by the writer's use of the Sg. for as we then the providus x, for the proposing that this original. On near, womant, agains see xixit. 11.

hear, learn...fear, etc.] See iv. 10, xiv. 23, xvii. 19. observe to do] v. 1; all the words of this law, v. 9.

 their children Again the characteristic inclusion of these; see on iv. of., vi. 7: which have not known, xi. 2.

The text of the forms of address in this vs. is uncertain:—your God, some Sam. readings have your, others their, LXX B has thy, but AY etc. your, and others our. Ye five, some Sam. you, others they, LXX they. Ye go over, so Sam. and LXX, on this last phrase see iv. 14, vi. 1, ix. t, and cp. v. 31.

### 14—23. Again Joshua's Commission, with Introduction to Moses' Song.

The composition is very curious. In 79. 14, 15, 22 we have an account of the charge to Joshus, which is in substance not the continuation of the deuteronomic one in 70. 1–8, but parallel to that, and is couched in a phraseology resembling that of E (see notes), to which source it is generally assigned. It is interrupted by 70. 16–21, which have nothing to do with Joshus, but are an address of the LORD to Moses, and without connection with 74. 24. The language, which containing some phrases of E and some deuteronomic formulas, gives to the latter a peculiar turn, and contains besides elements not elsewhere found in the Hex. and speaks of Israel in the mass sing, in a fashion confined to itself. The passage forms an introduction to the Song in ch. xxxii. Its source is uncertain.

And the LORD said unto Moses, Behold, thy days 14 approach that thou must die : call Joshua, and present yourselves in the tent of meeting, that I may give him a charge. And Moses and Joshua went, and presented themselves in the tent of meeting. And the LORD appeared in 15 the Tent in a pillar of cloud: and the pillar of cloud stood over the door of the Tent. And the LORD said unto 16 Moses, Behold, thou shalt sleep with thy fathers; and this

# people will rise up, and go a whoring after the strange gods of the land, whither they go to be among them, and will 1 Ot. by

14. And the LORD said unto Moses, Behold No parallel passage in Dent. (i. 42, ii. 9, iii. 2, 26, iv. 10, v. 28, ix. 13, x. 1; cp. ii. 2, 17) includes behold except v. 16.

thy days, etc.) Lit, thy days for dring draw near. Only here and in

J. Gen. xlvii. 20, and 1 Kgs ii. 1. present yourselves] Lit. take your stand, elsewhere in Deut. of stand-

ing up to a fee (vii. 24, ix. 2, xi. 25, Josh. i. 5), but in JE as here of taking up one's position before the presence of God (Ex. xix. 17, xxxiv. 5, Num. xi. 16, xxiii. 3, 15, Josh xxiv. 1), or before Pharaoh (Ex. viii. 16, ix. 13).

tent of meeting Heb. moved denotes what is fixed, ordained other, as in v. 19 (q.v.), of time, or, as here, of place, where by appointment God meets with man, see Ex. xxv. 12, virtually therefore tent of revelation (Germ. 'Offenbarungszell'); both in E, Ex. xxxiii. 7, Num. xi. 16, xii. 4, and no fewer than 132 times in P: not elsewhere in Deut., in which indeed the Tabernacle is mentioned only here.

that I may give him a charge! In iii, 28 Moses is commanded to charge Joshua.

" 16. the LORD appeared ... in a pillar of cloud With LXX (except for a few cursive MSS) omit in the Tent: as the v. goes on to say, the pillar stood over against the door of the Tent. Also it is prohable that appeared is an emendation (by the change of one letter) for the more anthropomorphic came down, which we find in E. Ex. xxxiii. o. Num. xi. 25, xii. 5. On this verse, v. 23 follows immediately (see below).

16. Commences another saving of the LORD to Moses not connected with Joshua or with 14 f. except by reference to the approaching death of Moses.

And the LORD said ... Behold | See on v. 14.

thou art about to sleep with thy fathers In I, Gen. xlvii. 30, and frequently in Kings.

go a whoring after the strange gods of the land ] Jehovah was Israel's husband, and her worship of other gods is therefore figured as whoredom (as by Hosea), but the figure is the more forcible that such worship often

Digitate by Google

DEUTKRONOMY

22

forsake me, and break my covenant which I have made ry with them. Then my anger shall be kindled against them in that day, and I will forsake them, and I will hide my face from them, and they shall be devoured, and many will say in that day, Are not these evils come upon to be because our God is not among us? And I will surely hide my face in that day for all the evil which they shall have wrought, in that they are turned unto other 19 gods. Now therefore write ye this song for you, and teach thou it the children of Israel: put it in their mouths, that this song may be a witness for me against the children of Israel. For when I shall have brought them into the

involved physical unchastity as well. Strange, or fureign, gods, not elsewhere in Deut, (though in the Song, xxxii 1:3), is found in E. Gen, xxxi 1:3), is found in E. Gen, xxxi 1:3, is found in E. Gen, xxxi 1:3, is found in E. Gen in the first goeth in is probably agloss (Riota, Dillim, Drit, etc.), for it renders the construction of the n, very wakward, which R.V. and in E. John, xxii v. 6, 26. The "Two stray or wakward, which R.V. and in E. John, xxii v. 6, 26. The "Two stry or worm is found in the Hex. only here, n. 20 and H.J. Lex. xxvi. 15, 44 and F. Gen. xvii. 14, but is not flanoumon cleawhere.

17. None of the clauses in this to it characteristic of Deut. Mp anger shall be shielded against M, E, E, Num. x. to; for in that day, as Dillm. points out, Deut. has elsewhere at that time; I will forsate them, contrast two, 6, it vs. 2s; hide ny face from them. Port only here and v. 18; it shall be decoursed, cp. via. 16; one septon mechanism of God in not in my milatt, 1, 4. Note that in nv. 16—18, 30 Israel is referred to in the Sg. Yet Sam. and LXX have many plonals here.

18. hide my face! Some Heb. MSS. Sam., LVX, etc., add from them; but the Heb. has the next vbs. in Sg. eni which it has wrought, and it turned to ather gods (Sam. they and they). The Heb. vb. is panah, not used exactly so elsewhere in Deut., but cp. xxix. 18 (17), xxx, 17, and below v. 20.

19. write ye thi: sang for you! This Fl. can be justified only hyreference to Moses and Joshan both, but only Moses is addressed in r. ro, and in the light of the following singular imperatives teach tiesu... and (Sam. LXX, Syr.) spa., and of s. vs., Moses (shore) writes, read write thou...for than. LXX has the plaral throughout, Syr. repeats the lich. text.

a witness for me against the children of Israel] By showing that God had sufficiently forewarned, and pleaded with, them  $(cp.\ v.\ ab)$ . Apart from the question of the date of the Song there is no doubt that Israel

land which I sware unto their fathers, flowing with milk and honey; and they shall have eaten and filled themselves, and waxen fat: then will they turn unto other gods, and serve them, and despise me, and break my covenant. And it shall come to pass, when many evils 21 and troubles are come upon them, that this song shall testify before them as a witness; for it shall not be forgotten out of the mouths of their seed; for I know their imagination which they go about, even now, before I have brought them into the land which I sware. So Moses 22 wrote this song the same day, and taught it the children of Israel. And he gave Joshua the son of Nun a charge, 23 and said, Be strong and of a good courage: for thou shalt

had been forewarned by the prophets, that they would perish if they ventured to reject His commands; and further it is generally true that no punishment for sin is ever unforeseen by the conscience of the sinner. On children of Israel, never found in D, but always editorial in Deut., see on v. 23.

20. For I shall bring it into the land which I sware unto its fathers vi. 10, but also in E. On flowing with milk and honey, see vi. 3.

and it shall eat and be satisfied and grow fat | Cp. vi. 11, viii, 12, xxxii. 15. Here even the deuteronomic phrases receive a peculiar form, And it will turn, as in v. 18; despise me, not elsewhere in Deul., bul in JE, Num. xiv. 11, 23, xvi. 30, and in the Song, ch. xxxii. 10; break my covenant, as in v. 17. The only plur, vbs are serve, despise,

21. many evils ... are come upon it ] Cp. v. 17; this song shall testify to its face, the same vb. as in xix. 18; as a witness, v. 10; ita seed; ita imagination, yeger, in. moulding. This term and its synonyms are applied in the O.T. to evil imaginations in rebellion against God (e.g. Gen. vi. 5, viii. 21, Ps. x. 2, cxl. 2, Psov. vl. 18, Lam. iii. 60 f.) except in two passages (1 Chr. xxviii. q. xxix. 18), where they are used indifferently, and in Is, xxvi. 3 where the seer or imagination is de-

scribed as stayed on God. before I bring it into the land, etc. 1 See v. 20.

22. See v. 19.

The immediate continuation of 14 f., which we have seen reasons for assigning to E. And he gave! The subject is not Moses, as the present context of

this v. suggests, but must be Jehovah, as in v. 15; this is quite certain from the following I sware unto them and I will be with thee. son of Nun] i. 38.

Be strong and of a good courage As in tw. 6, 7; only found in Deut., and the deuleron. Josh. i. 6, 9, 18, x. 25; but possibly derived from F.

22-2

bring the children of Israel into the land which I sware unto them; and I will be with thee.

And it came to pass, when Moses had made an end of writing the words of this law in a book, until they were

children of Israel! Not deuteronomic (see on iv. 44), but a frequent term for the people in E, Ex. iii. 9-11, 13-15, ix. 35, x. 20, 23, xiii. 18 f., xw. 10, xx. 21, xxiv. 5, xxxii. 20, xxxiii. 5, ya and also found in J and P. bring into the land! So in E, Josh. xxiv. 8, Ex. xiii. 5, 11.

I will be with thee] So in E, Ex. iii. 12, but also in J and in Deut.

#### 24-30. Again the Law-Book (?) and the Song.

A less vague description of these so, is not possible. The text says that Moses having written the Law in a book charged the Leviles to put it beside the Ark as a winness against thre  $(s_4-s6)$ . For Israel, which has been rebellious in his file—here the address changes from Sg. to PI.—will be more so after his death  $(s_7)$ . They shall assemble the eiders of their tribes and officers that he may recite to them three death they will corrupt themselves and evil will befull them in the latter days  $(s_8-s_9)-These mords can hardly effect to Law, afterady recited to the people; they must be the words of this Song <math>(s_9-s_9)$  which follows in xxxii. If the text be original which reads The hard Lam in m > 24, 45, then m > 24 - 36 are parallel (not consecutive) to g - 15, and we cannot produce a supersymmetric problems and the Song.

Steek (followed by Senera, and Berch), helde that Trock was originally Shraha-Sang. This conjuscious is attentive. It reasons unity to one non-you demand connection with 14-yes, and get vel of the impediable fact that that have all the part in, but back, the Arth. At the same time there is no other evidence (in the version or elsewhere) that Shraka may have stood in place of Trock, the Law the part in, but because the same time there is no other evidence (in the version or elsewhere) that Shraka may have stood in place of Trock, the Law phase date second or the same time there is no other evidence (in the phase date second or to make the same to the same time that the Notice a symptom of compilation, in that while the Lawies are addressed in no, yet for collinaria, and the question of trains are instabled by the other passage in three for collinaria, and the question of trains are instabled by the other passage in three for collinaria, and the question of trains are instabled by the

State's distinguishes two introductions to the Song, no. 16—22, 24—22, and so independently Driver; note the difference is their styles. College (p. 82) retains the restling Torak — Law, and takes 24—20 as a later addition to q—13, the original conclusion of the epitingue to the Code of D composed when Israel's attitude to this was till statisfactory, and added when the nation fell away. For another view see the Oxf. Hex.

24. had made an end of writing the words of this law) If Law be the original reading, vv. 14-46 are not the sequel, but a parallel to g-13, for 9 also implies the completion of the writing of the Law in recording its delivery to the priests, the sous of Levi. But, as already

finished, that Moses commanded the Levites, which bare as the ark of the covenant of the Lord, saying, Take this as book of the law, and put it by the side of the ark of the covenant of the Lord your God, that it may be there for a witness against thee. For I know thy rebellion, and thy a stiff neck: behold, while I am yet alive with you this day, ye have been rebellious against the Lord; and how much more after my death? Assemble unto me all the elders of 32 your tribes, and your officers, that I may speak these words in their ears, and call heaven and earth to witness against them. For I know that after my death pe will utterly 20 corrupt yourselves, and turn aside from the way which I have commanded you; and evil will befall you in the latter days; because ye will do that which is evil in

said, it has been conjectured that for  $Law = T \partial rah$  we should read  $Son\sigma = Sh frah$ .

until they were finished] v. 30, ii. 15; cp. Josh. iv. 10, v. 6, viii. 24,

25. the Leviles which bars, etc.] See on v. 9, x. 8, xvii. 18. These cannot be P's Leviles, who could not enler the Holy of Holies where the Ark lay. On the Ark of the Covenant see note on x. 8.

26. this book of the law xxix. 21 (20), xxx. 10. for a witness, etc.] Not a deuteronomic phrase; lint cp. testimonies in iv. 45; against thee, here all Israel is addressed, whereas in v. 25 it is the Levites.

27. thy rebellion, and thy stiff neck... ye have been rebellioùs] Cp. i. 26,

43, ix. 6 l., 13, 23 f., x. 16.

28. Assemble] Imperative Pl. See on v. 12, and v. 22.

elders of your tribes, etc.] LXX heads of your tribes, adding and your judges, and some LXX codd. also add elders. Cp. v. 23, xxix. 10 (g).

these words.] Though this phrase usually refers to what precedes, it is more probable that here what follows, i.e. the Song, is meant, as indubitably is the case in Ex. xx. 1.

29. after my death! Readers of the Heb. text will compare with the

position of this clause in the n. the construction in xili. 12 (13).

ye will surely corrupt yourselves See on iv. 16, 25, and for another form of the same wh. xi. 12, xxxi. 5.

turn aside, etc.] ix. 12, 16, xi. 28.

evil will befall you.] As in Jer. xliv. 23; another vb. is used in vv. 17, 21. For in the latter days see on iv. 30.

do that which is evil, etc.] See on iv. 15, where the phrase is also followed as here by to provoke him to anger. Cp. ix. 18.

342 the sight of the LORD, to provoke him to anger through the

work of your hands. 30 And Moses spake in the ears of all the assembly of Israel

the words of this song, until they were finished.

twork of your hands | That is idols, fer. xxv. 14. Contrast work of thy hand in a good sense, it. 7.

# 30. EDITOR'S TITLE TO THE SONG.

30. all the assembly of Israel | See on v. 22. the words...finished] See on v. 24. This v. is no doubt from the

hand of an editor; see below. CH. XXXII. 1-43. THE SONG.

Though not comparable to other masterpieces of Hebrew poetry either for beauty of metaphor, or musical diction, or fineness of spiritual insight, this strong poem is distinguished by the fire, force, and sweep of its superb rhetoric. Granted its limits-for it is neither an epic nor a lyric, but a didactic ode addressed with a practical purpose to a sinful generation-it has no peer in the O.T.

The editor of the Pent., who has ascribed it to Moses (xxxi. 20; cp. 19, 12, these words in 18, and the possible reading song instead of law in 24), asserts that its main purpose is to testify beforehand against Isnael; whereas the poem itself strikes its keynote (v. 2) as one of mercy and of hope, and emphatically concludes on this keynote (34-43). The poem makes no claim to be by Moses, and reflects nothing of his time or circumstances. On the contrary it is addressed throughout to a generation at a remote distance from Israel's origin in the desert (7-12). Not only is their carriage to, and settlement upon, the Land long past (12 f.); but they have become demoralised by their enjoyment of the wealth of the Land, succumbed to strange gods, forsaken Jehovah, and suffered His chastisements, which are describedexactly as by the earlier prophets-as a series of national calamities, famine, plague, pestilence, and wild beasts, culminating in war and defeat at the hands of a new and alien people (15-25). So worthless are they that Jehovah would have destroyed them but for the fear that the arrogant foe would vaunt this as his own work. Therefore He relents and turns His wrath upon the foe; Israel's deliverance is near, their blood will be avenged and their land assoiled (26-43).

The evidence of the Song is thus clearly of a date far subsequent to Moses. The only question is to which of the many sufferings of the long settled people we are to assign it. As to this the data are in conflict.

Some critics are satisfied that the period of the Syrsan wars alone suits the effects of the divine wrath reflected in the Song (Knobel, Dillm., etc.): they compare v. 36 with 2 Kings xiv. 26, emphasize the absence of all threat of Exile, argue for the identity of the no seedle who execute God's anger on Israel with the Syrians, and Give ear, ye heavens, and I will speak; And let the earth hear the words of my mouth:

explain the number of words in the Song and found elsewhere (see below) as does not been sometime origin. Others have the electrified than exploying with the Asympton, the kin northern origin. Others have the electrical than the contract of the contract that the electrical in reasonable that we get, do not east the Asympton and that there is no behaut of Eastle, an occurable part of the Asympton policy towards and that there is no behaut of Eastle, and the Hollow the Interrupt electrical than the contract of the Song with hermion, Eastled, the coils' stands' at .—by and the Wilson Bertanties, more record critical have beneging it down to the Edstyn and the Wilson Bertanties, more record critical have beneging it down to the Edstyn its sort on the ground that the delawarance of lensel is near (Steuners, Mores, the Copferd Hare, Berth, Robinson, and March). The articles could find the be the Copferd Hare, Berth, Robinson, and March). The articles of the Copferd Hare, Berth, Robinson, and March). The articles of the Copferd Hare, Berth, Robinson, and March). The articles of the Copferd Hare, Berth, Robinson, and March). The articles would have been considered that the contract of the Copferd Hare, Berth, Robinson, and March). The articles would have been considered that the support of the Copferd Hare, Berth, Berth, Robinson, and March). The articles would be all the contract of the Copferd Hare, Berth, Robinson, and March).

The literary reasons for an exilic date are not slight (see notes). But on the other hand, there is the absence of reference to call as the culmination of the apostate Izmel's pusishment. Is it possible to conceive that an exilic post could have ignored the Exile? The present writer thinks not. If the author of the Song be really echoing Jet, Ez, and the called I total his it is all the more strange that he does not specific thinks not. If the author of the Song he really echoing Jet, Ez, and could be called to the strange of the strange of

The daythm is one frequent in Hab, postry, parallel conjete with, in the main time stressor as occust to each lime, but as in other OT, posms of the stans structure there are a considerable sambler of lines with only two arranes, and containably there is one of loar, though the may not be original but due to but only the containable of the containable o

## THE EXORDIUM.

Give ear, O Heavens, let me speak, And let Earth hear the words of my mouth.

2 May my message drop as the rain, My speech distil as the dew,

Like mists on the grass, And like showers on the herb. 3 For the name of the LORD I proclaim, To our God give the greatness!

 heavens...earth] To these he appeals, not as witnesses of the divine events which he is about to declare (so iv. 26, xxxi. 28), nor as 2 My doctrine shall drop as the rain, My speech shall distil as the dew; As the small rain upon the tender grass,

And as the showers upon the tender gras

3 For I will proclaim the name of the LORD:

proofs of the regularity or goodness of the divine action (so frequently to the Prophets and Fsw.), but in the feeling that so great a thene—God's dealings with Hits people—demands no less an auditory! The daily of the prophets (of so small and so irrespossive a people) in the infinite interest of their message, in its power of reverlerating through and not material, remains steedist (Carlyk in some of his moods not withstandingly whatever views be taken of the Universe, whether pre-Copermican or post-Copermican. It is the conviction of man which commands Nature, and not Nature which crushes the conviction. The Universe cannot silence, but must listen to, the spiritual truth. M. Henry interprets less probably: It leaven and Earth will listen sooner than this Crestor. Fx. carls: og 1.

 My destrine! Lit. my taking, what I have received and take to men, my message; cp. St Paul i Cor. xi 23, έγὰ γὰρ παρθλαβω ἀτὸ τοῦ εκρίω θ καὶ παρέδωκα ἐρῶν. Or alternatively, what I have apprehended or learning, Prov. i. 5, iv. 5, iv. 6 (cp. Isai. xxix. xa), but also for apprelarating, Prov. i. 5, iv. 5, iv. 6 (cp. Isai. xxix. xa), but also for appre-

hensibleness, persuasiveness, xvi. 21, 23.

My perol Sum, LNX, Syp. peris and
My perol Sum, LNX, Syp. peris and
multi-raid Heb. Fertus, only here (herefore Lag, emends to
multi-raid Heb. Fertus, only here
word may be consected with arter, dair (Ax. dair), to be hery's,
the Scot. Asser and Lincoln'shire here "see mist" are connected with
hair. Mush, however, says that certain And tribes who connect the
successive winter-nains with different stars or constellations, call the
successive winter-nains with different stars or constellations, call the
fourth of the series ets. Scher; on Schrey, meaning the Sirius-rain.

tender grass] Heb. dčihě, fresh young grass.

Meb. rbiblin, lit. lavish or frequent showers; Ar. rababa,

much water.'

Thus the Song strikes its keynote—the note to which it returns in the end after its indictions of the people—of quickening and referching power for the tender hopes of feratel after the long drought of these captivity. Others think that the figure includes that of a beating and weeping rain for the rebellions (so a Chaldee paraphrast), as if it were meant that the Song would be a savour of life unto life to some, but of death unto death to others. This is not home out by the terms of this it.

3. proclaim the name of Jehovah] See J, Ex. xxxiii. 19, where

<sup>2</sup> Cp. Carlyle: "The stars in the heavens and the blue-bells by the wayside shew forth the handwork of Him who is Almighty, who is All Good. In a bad weak world what would become of us did not our hearts understand at all times that this is even so?" (Life 1, 338).

Ascribe ye greatness unto our God.

The Rock, his work is perfect;

For all his ways are judgement:

A God of faithfulness and without iniquity,

Just and right is he.

They have 'dealt corruptly with him, they are not his 5 children, 'it is their blemish;

Or, corrupted themselves, they &c. 2 Or, but a blot upon them

name=character and is parallel to glory (v. 18) as above, xxvi. 19, it is parallel to praise and honour. Both ideas, character and renoton, are probably included here. Cp. xii. 5, xxviii. 58.
give ye greatness unto our God? Cp. iii. 24, Ps. xxix, 1 f.

tre ye greatness unto our God | Cp. m. 24, rs. xxix, rs.

# 4-6. God's Faithfulness, Israel's Folly,

4 The Rock—outright is His working? Yea, all of His ways are Law, The God of troth, without treason,

Righteous and apright is He, Ilis sons have dealt corruptly with Him...(?),

A twisted and crooked generation !

6 The LORD do ye thus requite,

O foolish folk and unwise?

Is He not thy sire who begat thee,

The 'tis that made and hath framed thee.
 The Rock! Or a Rock. This name, Sur. is applied in vv. 15.

18, 30, 31, 37, both to Isasel's God and to others. It appears to have been a general Semitic figure for the division unchangeableness and its refuge for men, and virtually a synonym for God; LXX, #0+8 and other gods are called 'great mountain'; and with other Semitis several other gods are called 'great mountain'; and with other Semitis several theophrous amens are compounded with par, e.g. Bar-sur in the Seighell inscription and others in S. Anhaia (Zimmern, &477°, 355, \$470°), and the semination of the semin

now a single law of independs and now justice, is here law in the seeme of order or consistency. So Isai, xxx, is a God of unityat, Having laid down the lines of His action in righteousness and wisdom He remains I his dealings with more consistent with those. The idea is exposured in the next two lines: Insipathy is to be taken In its is exposured of dwarfs or describing, fractions. For he IXX read forther than the contraction of the contraction

5. The text of the first line is corrupt; lit. he has dealt corruptly (as in ix. 12, ep. xxxi. 29) with him, not his sons, their blenish. Sam. LXX: they dealt corruptly not his sons, blameworthy things. Possible

They are a perverse and crooked generation. 6 Do ye thus requite the LORD,

O foolish people and unwise?

Is not he thy father that hath bought thee? He hath made thee, and established thee.

7 Remember the days of old,

Consider the years of many generations: Ask thy father, and he will shew thee:

Thine elders, and they will tell thee.

8 When the Most High gave to the nations their inheritance,

When he separated the children of men,

# <sup>1</sup> Or, possessed Or, gotten

emendations, they dealt corruptly with him sons of blemish; his sons have corrupted their faithfuluess to him; or as above. The line is overloaded. On blemish in physical sense see xv. 21, xvii. t. twisted and crooked] Or tortwost; cp. v. 10.

foolish See on xxil 21: folly.

bought Rather begat or produced, Gen. iv. 1, xiv. 19, 22. established Or framed, set up, sattled.

#### 7-14. ORIGIN AND PROGRESS OF ISRAEL.

7 Remember the days of old, Scan the years, age upon age; Ask of thy sire that he shew thee,

Thine elders, that they may tell thee.

When the Highest gave nations their heritage,
When Ite sundered the children of men.
He set the bounds of the peoples
By the tale of Israel's sons (?)

9 For the LORD's own lot is Jacob, Israel the scale of His heritage.

Renumber] Heb. Sg.; Sam., LXX Pl.
days of old...generations] One of many signs of the distance of the
generation to which the Song is addressed from the time of the
Wilderness and the entrance to the Promised Land.
that he show thee. that they tell blee! So the Heb.

6. Most High) Heb. Elyón, Num. xxiv. 16, Isai. xiv. 14, and

gave,..inheritance] See i. 38. separated] Gen. x. 32 (P).

He set the bounds of the peoples According to the number of the children of Israel. For the Lord's portion is his people;

Jacob is the lot of his inheritance. He found him in a desert land, And in the waste howling wilderness;

He compassed him about, he cared for him, He kept him as the apple of his eye:

children of Israel] The purpose of His division was to leave room for Israel's numbers.

But for the saw of furnet LXX has inpulses been, angels of God, i.e. sow of Fix.] here a late J revision conception of a guardian angel for each sation (Dan. a. 13, 20 t., particularly and the same of the same of the same of the same of the following sev. which accordingly LXX introduces by saction of in piece of field, following sev. which accordingly LXX introduces by saction in piece of field, following the same of th

9. portion] Or lot; in xii. 12 with inheritance.

his people LXX removes Jacob to this line, and to the end of the following adds Jarael. In that case his people is superfluous both to the sense and to the rhythm.

lot] Lit. measuring-rope, i.e. scale or range; cp. ix. 26, Ps. cv. 11.

10 In a desert land He found him,

In the void and howl of the waste. He swept around him, He scanned him, As the pupil of His eye He watched him.

It As an eagle stirreth his nest, Fluttereth over his young,

Fluttereth over his young, Spreadeth his wings, doth catch them, Beareth them up on his pinions,

Beareth them up on his pinions, 12 The LORD alone was his leader,

And never a strange god with Him.

10. found him] This and the following vbs. are in the Heb. imperf.;

10. Journal and J. I this and the toulowing vols. are in the Fros. imperiathis for the sake of vividness, the rest expressive of iteration. On I seadbeing found in the desert, cp. Hos. ix. 10, Jer. ii. 2. The O.T. tradition is constant that the Hebrews were originally nomad, desert tribes (see the present writer's Early Poetry of Israel, 39 ff., 56 ff.; and above on j. 28).

void and howl) Or the void of the howl = howling void.
compassed him about] Rather keeps circling around him.
cared Rather resurded or acanned him penetratingly.

kept] Better watched or guarded.

apple of his eye] Pupil is a happier rendering of the Heb. 'Ishôn (Ar. 'insân), mannikin, the image reflected in the centre of the eye.

If As an eagle that stirreth up her nest,

That fluttereth over her young, 'He spread abroad his wings, he took them,

He bare them on his pinions:

12 The LORD alone did lead him,

And there was no strange god with him.

13 He made him ride on the high places of the earth, And he did eat the increase of the field;

And he made him to suck honey out of the rock, And oil out of the flinty rock;

14 Butter of kine, and milk of sheep.

1 Oπ, Spreadeth abroad her wings, taketh them, beareth them on her pinions

11. eagle] Heb. nesher, see on xiv. 12. 17; not her nest or young, but his, the father bird's; Ex. xix. 4, cp. above i. 31.

Spreadet his wines, doth catch them, beareth, etc.] As in R.V. marg, preferable to R.V. text. All these clauses still describe the eagle. 12. did lead him] Still the imperf. for vividness.

strange | Not the adj. in v. 16, but foreign, xv. 3, xxxi. 16.

13 He made him to ride the highlands, And to eat of the fruit of the hills, Suckled him with honey from the erag And oil of the flinty rock.

14 Curd of the kine, milk of the flock,
With the fatness of lambs and of rams,
Bulls of Bashan and he-goats,
With the finest floor of the wheat—

With the finest flour of the wheat— And the grape's blood thou drankest in foam !

13. rôte on the haighta! Cp. Am. iv. 12. and LXX for the natio to est of the britis! So Sam. and LXX for the Hib. he doth eat; hills not felde as in xxviii. 3, Heb. sadati, eath; hills not felde as in xxviii. 3, Heb. sadati, eath; horn sadati, in the eather sense of that word (see on v. 1) ta aii jud. v. 4, parallel to heights or high places. Issuel's territory was a highland one. morbital. With Sam part Sec. saint Sec. 1.

suckless With Sam. and Syr. omit and.
houry! The honey of the O.T. is wild, as here, Jud. xiv. 8 ff., I Sam. xiv. 25 ff., I's. laxxi. 16; apiculture, a very suckent eraft, is not implied till the N.T. speaks of wild honey (Matt. iii. 4, Mark. i. 5). See further Jews. 1, 306 ft., E.B. att. Honey, and ZD-PV. XXXII. 151.

oil of the flinty rock] Lit. the flint of the rock. The olive never yields oil so richly as on limestone terraces and their debris; see ferus. 1. 300.

14. Curd of kine] Fermented milk, Ar. leben.

With fat of lambs,

And rams of the breed of Bashan, and goats,

With the fat of kidneys of wheat:

And of the blood of the grape thou drankest wine. But Jeshurun waxed fat, and kicked:

Thou art waxen fat, thou art grown thick, thou art become sleek:

fat of lambs and of rams | So LXX, bringing forward rams from

next line.

Bulls of Bashan] Lit. the sons, or breed, of Bashan (iii. 1), celebrated for its steers. Ps. xxii. 12 (13), etc.

fat of the hidneys] The richest fat, Lev. iii. 4, Isai. xxxiv. 6; here

figuratively of the richest wheat.

bload of the grape than drankert in foun! There is no need to read with the LXX si drank (so Seenen: to harmonies with the next line), nor to take the line as a gloss (Marti), though it be an odd line and not one of a couple. This is the climax of the passage of Israel from the nomalic to the agricultural stage of life, and is still regarded as the last distinction of the fellah from the Bechave; cp. xxxxii. 28, Gen. xlix. 11 f. Faom (EVV. wirel), Heb. Jeaner from root how, to ferment or four; cp. Pss. xlvi. 3 (4), lxxx. 6 (j).

## 15-18. THE FULNESS AND APOSTASY OF ISRAEL

15 Jacob ate and was full,

Fat waxed Jeshurun and kicked,

— Thou wast fat, thou wast plump, thou wast sleek!

He forsook the God who had made him,

And befooled the Rock of his succour.

16 With strangers they moved Him to jealousy, With abominations provoked Ifim,

17 They sacrificed to demons not God, Gods whom they never had known,

New ones, lately come in, Your sires never trembled at them,

18 Of the Rock that thee bare thou wast mindless, And forgattest the God that had travailed with thee.

15. The line (And) Jacob ats and was full is added by Sam. to the previous v., but by the LXX to this one to which it is more suitable;

previous y, but by the Lax, to this due to which it is more suitaine; cp. xxxi. 20, Nch. ix. 25.

Jeshurum] xxxiii. 5, 26, Isai. xiiv. 2, a name for the people (cp. Jashar, Jos. x. 13, 2 Sam. 1. 18) with a play upon the name Israel; and, as it means howest or unright it is used here sarcastically of so

delinquent and perverse a race.

Thou wast waxen fat...plump...sleek] Note the change to the 2nd

Then he forsook God which made him,

And lightly esteemed the Rock of his salvation. 16 They moved him to jealousy with strange gods,

With abominations provoked they him to anger. 17 They sacrificed unto demons, which were no God.

To gods whom they knew not.

To new gods that came up of late,

Whom your fathers dreaded not-

18 Of the Rock that 'begat thee thou art unmindful,

# 1 Or. bare

pers, and the fact that if the additional line from the Sam, and LXX be prefixed to the v. this line forms an odd one among its couplets; which may be taken as an argument against either its originality or that of the line added by the LXX. Sleek, perhaps we should read the same vb. as in Jer. v. 18 (Gratz); the Heb. vb. here means thou art rorred.

Heb. 'Eloh, 'probably only a secondary form obtained inferentially from 'Elohim,' only in late writings, chiefly poetry,

lightly esteemed] Rather held, or treated, as a fool, Mic. vil. 6. How often in their superstition men act as if God could be tricked, and in their immorality as if He were senseless. Yet God is sensitive, as the next v. declares, and as Isaiah says is wise. On Rock see v. 4.

16. moved him to jealousy This form of the vb. is found only here, and in v. 21 b. Ps. Ixxviii. 48; another form in v. 21 a On God's lealousy see iv. 24.

strangel Ier. ii. 25, iii. 13. See above on v. 12. abominations] See vii. 25, and cp. 'Isal.' xliv. 19.

provoked iv. 25.

17. demons] Heb. shedim, only here and in Ps. cvi. 37, 'certainly a Babylonian loan-word, shedu, a good demon figured in the builcolossi that guarded the entrances to temples (Zimmern, KAT', 455 f., 460-2, 640); hut according to Ps. cvi. 37 human sacrifices were offered them, which of course does not preclude the idea that they were protective spirits. no God 1 Heb. Eloah as in v. 12.

whom they had not known | xi. 28, xiii. 2, 6, 13, xxviii. 64. new ones lately come in Or arrived.

dreaded] Lit. bristled or shuddered at, 11cb. sacar, as in Jer. ii. 12, Ezek. xxvii. 35, xxxii. 10. Some, however, translate knew, on the strength of the Ar. sa'ara.

16. Rock! See on v. 4: God, Heb. 'El. The predicates used of Him are generally interpreted as if attributing to Him the functions both of father and mother. But the first vb, is more usually in the O.T. of the mother, and is rightly rendered here by R.V. marg. bare;

And hast forgotten God that gave thee birth.

And the LORD saw it, and abhorred them.

Because of the provocation of his sons and his daughters. And he said, I will hide my face from them, 20

I will see what their end shall be: For they are a very froward generation,

Children in whom is no faith.

They have moved me to jealousy with that which is not 21 God:

the second, gave thee birth, is rather was in travail with thee; cp. Num. xi. 12.

# 19-25. God's Vengrance.

10 But the LORD saw and He spurned, From grief with His sons and His daughters.

20 'Let me hide my countenance from them. I will see what their end shall be,

For an upsetting race are they, Sons without steadfastness in them.

21 They moved me to jealousy with a no-god, With their vanities vexed me

And I make them jealous with a no-people, With an insidel nation will yex them. 19. abhorred ] Spurned, contemned, discarded, xxxi. 20, Jer. xiv, 21.

The next line gives the motive, not as in R.V., but from grief with his 20. And he said A gloss, it overloads the rhythm.

Let me hide, etc.] xxxi. 17 f.

their end ] Lit. their afterwards, see on iv. 30.

a very froward, etc.] Heb. is stronger, a generation of upturnings or overthrows (only here and in Prov.); not perverse but subversive; and so children in whom is no faithfulness, reliableness, or 'staith.'

21. moved ... to jealousy See on v. 16. Mark the antitheses : no-god (lo'.'el), no people (lo'.'am, as hitherto outside the nations known and to be reckoned with, by Israel, as unfit to serve any Divine purpose); and vanities (lit. breaths, or as we should say, bubbles, so in Jer. of the heathen gods, viii, 10, etc.) and foolish (nabal, chosen perhaps both because of its probable root meaning fading, worthless, parallel to vanities, and because it was used in a religious sense, godless, infidel). See Paul's application of the z in Rom, x, 10,

.22 For a fire has flared from my wrath, And burned to the lowest She'ol. It devours earth and her increase, It flames round the roots of the hills. They have provoked me to anger with their vanities: And I will move them to jealousy with those which are not a people;

I will provoke them to anger with a foolish nation.

22 For a fire is kindled in mine anger. And burneth unto the lowest 1pit.

And devoureth the earth with her increase,

And setteth on fire the foundations of the mountains . 23 I will heap mischiefs upon them:

I will spend mine arrows upon them:

24 They shall be wasted with hunger, and devoured with burning heat

And bitter destruction:

1 Heb. Sheel 2 Heb. burning coals. See Hab. iii. 5.

23 I will sweep up evils upon them, Against them exhaust mine arrows.

Drained by famine, devoured by fever (?)

And poisonous pestilence (?), The teeth of brute beasts will I send them,

With venom of things that crawl in the dust. 25 Abroad shall the sword bereave,

And terror be in the chambers-As well the youth as the maiden, The suckling and gray headed man-

22. is kindled but with the force of flaring up quickly, Jer. xv. 14. xvii, 4, 'Isai,' l. 11, lxiv. 2 (1); it is not necessary to render 'aph, anger, by its original meaning nostrul.

pit] Heb. She'ol, underworld, Ps. lxxxvi. 13-

increase] See xi. 17.

And setteth on fire] licks or flames about; only in late writings.

23. heap] According as we point the consonants of this vb., it may mean add, or gather, or sweep up; evils, xxxi. 17.

24, 25 define the arrows of v. 23-famine, fever, plague, wild beasts and poisonous, and war.

24 a. The rhythm is irregular whether for a line or couplet, and the text uncertain, the first and last words are only found here and their sense is conjectural.

From Sam. it is possible to read the first word misself, on this side, and to reconstruct the whole as a regular couplet yielding the kind of antithesis beloved by the writer (tv. 21, 25) and free of the dwaf Aryomera

mizzeh rá'nb yilbam On this side famine devours, mizzeh réshéph we kétél On this side fever and plague. Wasted is a meaning drawn from a doubtful Ar. analogy; burning heat, Heb. resheph, fire-bolt or flame as God's instrument of fever, in Hab. iii. 5 parallel to

26

And the teeth of beasts will I send upon them, With the poison of crawling things of the dust. Without shall the sword bereave,

And in the chambers terror;

It shall destroy both young man and virgin, The suckling with the man of gray hairs.

I said, I would scatter them afar, I would make the remembrance

I would make the remembrance of them to cease from among men:

Were it not that I feared the provocation of the enemy, 27

Lest their adversaries should misdeem, Lest they should say, Our hand is exalted,

And the LORD hath not done all this,

24 b. beasts] Heb. B\*hembth. For this natural curse of the East ep. vii. 22, Hos. ii. 12. The contrast in Isai. xi. 6—9. crauding things] Mic. vii. 17, ep. Isai. xi. 8, Jer. viii. 17.

25. War the climax to these natural plagues, just as in Amos vii,

## 26-33. THE STAY OF GOD'S VENGEANCE.

26 'I had said, "I will blow them away ?) And still among men their remembrance, 27 Had I feared not the taunt of the foe, Lest their enemies misconstrue, And should say, "Our hand was high, Nor was this the work of Jehovah!"

28 For a rede-lorn people are they, And among them insight is not.

 Were they wise this would they ken, See through to their fate at the last.
 How could one have chased a thousand, Or two put ten thousand to flight.

Were it not that their Rock had sold them And the LORD had given them up?

26. I would have said, I will] The meaning of the ensuing vb da is uncertain: cleare them in pieces (Dr. and the Oof, Hob. Lex) is hardly justified by the Ar. fa'n, which means only to pill; A.V. scatter them into corners, is founded on a doubtful elymology; R.V., scatter them offer, is due to the LXX διαστρώ, which probably read another vb. The meaning adopted since Generalis by most moderns, will blow them away, is, in view of the parallel line, the most probable 27. proceeding) [Cp. n. 19, but here the vecation caused to Himsel

by the foes' misconstruction. The anthropomorphism is very strong. Sain. reads my foe. On the Heb. for feared see i. 17, xviii. 22.

DIGITIZED BY GOOGLE

28 For they are a nation void of counsel, And there is no understanding in them.

29 Oh that they were wise, that they understood this, That they would consider their latter end!

30 How should one chase a thousand, And two put ten thousand to flight, Except their Rock had sold them,

And the LORD had delivered them up?

Even our enemies themselves being judges.

32 For their vine is of the vine of Sodom, And of the fields of Gomorrah:

Their grapes are grapes of gall,

Their clusters are bitter:

28—33. It is doubtful whether these vv. relate to Israel or its arrogant foes. The latter I deem the more probable. So already Getides.

28. void More exactly forlors, Heb. 'obed, ep. xxii. 3, xxvi. 5.

29. consider their latter and [ This is weak and omits the preposition to which conveys the full sense understand, or see through, to their unimate fate, past this temporary triumph over land to the punishment God has in store for them, v<sub>2</sub>, e. re. v<sub>2</sub>, p. -1, sar regarded by some as a later intransion by one who wrongly interpreted in 35 of confirms than with gr. Note sho that God is not the spaker in them.

 How could one, etc.] Some ignominious rout of Israel. delivered them np] Cp. xxiii. 15 (16).

31 For not as our Rock is their rock,

Our foes being judges; 32 For their vine 's from the vine of S\*dóm And out of the tracts of Gomorrah; Their grapes are poisonous grapes,

Bitterest clusters are theirs, 33 Their wine is the venom of dragons,

The pitiless poison of asps.

31 emphasises the previous couplet; it must have been Israel's God who brought such defeat on His people.

32. These foes of Israel are of the same stock morally (can one produce grapes of thistles?) as the cities whose destruction for their wickedness was proverbial. They are therefore doomed.

fields] Heb. sidemlist, a rare word of uncertain meaning. Tracts is probably nearer it. It may have been chosen here for its assonance to Sidon in the previous line.

Their wine is the poison of dragons,
And the cruel venom of asps.

Is not this laid up in store with me.

Sealed up among my treasures?

Vengeance is mine; and recompence,

At the time when their foot shall slide:

For the day of their calamity is at hand, And the things that are to come upon them shall make

haste.

For the LORD shall judge his people,

1 Or, in my treasuries

· Ot, in my ireasurie

33. venom of dragous! Or. foam of. pittlesa poiseon of asps! Poison, rdish, as in xxix. 17; asps., or according to some, cobras, the hooded kind, in Egypt and the lower parts of Syria, especially S. of Beersheba, Heb. pthantm, Isal. xl. 8, etc.

34-43. It is Destined for Israel's Foes.

34 Is all that not stored with me,

Sealed in my treasuries, 35 For the day of revenge and requital, What time their foot shall slip. Yea, near is their day of disaster,

And destiny rushes upon them.

34. laid up] Heb. kamus not found elsewhere, and probably mis-

read for kanus, gathered, collected. In next line read treaturies.

In next line read treaturies.

Sm. and LXX read for the day of 30 congame, etc.; and perhaps rightly, see Ginsburg, Intr., p. 168. Here intended as an assurance to Israel, but in Rom. xii. 19 as a warning against undertaking revenge oneself, co. Heb. x. 30.

day of their disaster] Jer. xviii. 17, xlvi. 21, Ob. 13, Ps. xviii. 18 (19).

things destined for them A late expression.

36 For the Lord shall judge for His peopla, And relent for His servants' sake, When He sees that their grip is gone.

Nor fast nor free remaineth; 37 And shall say, Whera be their gods

The rock whereon they refuged,
38 Which are the fat of their sacrifice,
Drank the wine of their pouring?
Let them arise to your help,
Let them be a covert above you!

23-2

And repent himself for his servants:

When he seeth that their power is gone,

And there is none remaining, shut up or left at large. 37 And he shall say, Where are their gods,

The rock in which they 'trusted:

38 Which did eat the fat of their sacrifices,

And drank the wine of their drink offering? Let them rise up and help you,

Let them be your protection.

39 See now that 1, even I, am he,

And there is no god with me: I kill, and I make alive;

I kill, and I make alive; I have wounded, and I heal:

And there is none that can deliver out of my hand.

1 Or, took refuge

36. judge his people] As the parallel line shows, this means 'witl judge for his people.'

power Lit. hand, i.e. hold or grip.

powers Lann, i.e. and of grey.

nor fast nor free! Heb. 'again we 'asaib, an alliterative phrase for
the whole population. Whether it means in and out of prison, or
under and free of tabor or ritual uncleanness, is doubtful.

37. took refuga As in R.V. marg., so often in the Pss., e.g. ii. 12, xlvi. 2.

38. Lat them be a covert above you] So LXX, etc.; Heb. let it.

39 See now that I, I am He, And never a god beside me. I do to death and revive.

I shattered and I shall heal.
[With none to save from my hand.]

With none to save from my hand 40 For I lift to heaven mine hand, And sav. 'As I live for ever.

And on judgement my hand shall close, Vengeance I wreak on my foes, And recompense them that hate me.

42 I drench mine arrows in blood, And my sword shall feed upon flesh; With the blood of the slain and the captive,

With the long-haired heads of the foc.'

39. I am he] The only God, iv. 35. Cp. 'Isai.' xli. 4, xliii. 10, 13,

xlviii. 12.

And there is none, etc.] This line is out of place both for the rhythm and the sense, and is apparently borrowed from 'Isai.' xliii. 13 in a similar context. Cp. Hos. v. 14 b.

42

43

For I lift up my hand to heaven,
And say, As I live for ever,
If I whet 'my glittering sword,
And nine hand take hold on judgement;
I will render vengeance to mine adversaries,
And will recompense them that hate me.

And my sword shall devour flesh;
With the blood of the slain and the captives,
From the head of the leaders of the enemy.
Relatice, O we nations, with his people:

\*Rejoice, O "ye nations, with his people: For he will avenge the blood of his servants, And will render vengeance to his adversaries,

And will make expiation for his land, for his people.

And Moses came and spake all the words o this song 44

in the ears of the people, he, and Hoshea the son of Nun.

1 Heb. the lightning of my sword.
2 Or, From the beginning of regions upon the enemy.
4 Or, the hairy head of the enemy.
4 Or, per nations, is people.

40. lift up, etc.] Cp. Gen. xiv. 22, Ex. vi. 8, Num. xiv. 30 and many instances in Ezekiet.

many instances in Ezekiel.

41. whet] See on vi. 7. Jehovah as warrior, as often in later prophecy, e.g. 'Isai.' lxiii.

42. and the captives] Assigned to death later,

leaders] So LXX ågxbrur, Heb. para oth, Ar. fara , to excel; A.V. beginning of revenges from the analogy of Aram. phara. In Num. vi. s. Ezek. xliv. 20, pere = flowing locks. Cp. W. R. Smith on Jud. v. 2, in Black's Judves. in Smaller Cambridge Bible for Schools.

43 Sing, O ye nations, His people, For His servants' blood He avengeth, And vengennce He wreaks on His foes, And assoils the land of His people.

43. For this LXX gives eight lines, part quoted in Róm. xv. 10.

Sing) Heb. harninů, the most ringing of the vbs with this meaning.

Anolia! Covers, or clears, from guilt, cp. xxi. 8.

the land of His people] So Sam., LXX, etc., doubtless rightly. Heb. as in R.V.

44. Concluding Note. Can hardly be from the same editorial hand as xxxi, so. It is probable from the opening words, And Meast came, that this is a fragment from the end of a narrative of divine instructions given to Moses regarding the Song, such as we find in xxxi, in 6-21 (ep. Ex. xix. 7, xxiv. 3); and indeed LXX repeats xxxi. 29 before it. Its position here is unother siam of the editionity re-granulements which the

possess it.

45 And Moses made an end of speaking all these words to de all Israel: and he said unto them, Set your heart unto all the words which I testify unto you this day; which ye shall command your children, to observe to do all the words 47 of this law. For it is no vain thing for you; because it is your life, and through this thing ye shall prolong your days unon the land, whither ye go over Iordan to

materials coapposing these chs. have undergone. Notice the non-deuterphrate the people, not not I I rank. For Ahit Song LXX has that Jaw, probably an inadvertence. Hosher (Nam. xiii, 8, 16, P) is a clerical error (by omission of one jot IJ for Y-bokoba's or Joshes, which is confirmed by all the versions. The addition of Joshua agrees with the Plwrite vof xxx.

## 45-47. A POSTSCRIPT.

Moses again exhorts all Israel to attend to the Law and enforce it on their children, for it is their life, by which they shall prolong their days in the Land. Both the ideas and the language are deuteronomic, and the passage belongs to one of the horistory supplements to the Law. Most connect it with xxxi.  $_{44-97}$ .

Berth,'s proposal to read Shirah, Song, for Torah, Law, in v. 46 (see on xxxi. e4) and to refer all the sv. to the Song, is contradicted by the phraseology, which is slas-where consistently used of the Law.

45. made an end, etc.] xx. 9, xxvi. 12, xxxi. 24. Whether all these words originally referred only to the Code, or are meant by the editor to cover the horistory addresses added to it, cannot be determined. All Israel. D's formula.

46. Set your heart] So Ex. ix. 21, and with another vb vii. 23.

On heart = mind see vi. 6, xi. 18, xxix. 4.

I testify against you] See on viii. 19.

that ye may command them to your children | So Heb. and not as in R.V. The idiom is also found in iv. 10. On D's care for the young see vi. 7.

to observe to do] For this formula see on iv. 6.

it is your life | As in xxx. 20.

prolong your days...whither ye go over, etc.] For these formulas see on iv. 26.

## 48-62. Moses' Call to Death.

He is bidden climb Mt Nebo and view Canaan, and die there like Aaron on Mt Hor, because of his trespass against Jehovah at Kadesh. He shall see but not enter the Land.—The language (including the place names) and the reason given for Moses' failure to enter the Land,

And the LORD spake unto Moses that selfsame day, 48 saving. Get thee up into this mountain of Abarim, unto 49 mount Nebo, which is in the land of Moab, that is over against Jericho; and behold the land of Canaan, which I give unto the children of Israel for a possession: and 50 die in the mount whither thou goest up, and be gathered unto the people; as Aaron the brother died in mount Hor. and was gathered unto his people: because ye trespassed 51 against me in the midst of the children of Israel at the

are those of P (see notes below). There is a doublet in Num. xxvii. 11-14. Which of the two passages is original and which editorial is doubtful. The additions to this one point to its being the later.

48, that selfsame day A standing phrase of P, e.g. Gen. vii. 13. xvii. 23, 26, Ex. xii. 17. Contr. the deuter, this day and the like. The day is that stated in i. a, also from P; q.v.

49. Abarim] Lit. the men or regions beyond or over there. Only in P, Jer. xxii. 20 R.V., and Ez. xxxix. 11 (where read 'Abarim). The name is proof that the people who used it were settled W. of Jordan and looked across the valley of that river and the Dead Sea, to the E, range beyond. See the present writer's 'Abarim' in E. B., HGHL 53, 548, 553, and Mod. Criticism, etc., 18 f.

unto mount Nebo ... Jerichol Not in Num. xxvii, 12-14, unsuitable in the mouth of the Deity, and obviously a geographical note like those in chs. ii. f. Nebo is P's name for E's and D's Pisgah. See on iii. 17. xxxiv. 1.

I The shorter form of the Heb. pronoun as always in P, while In Deut, the longer is used, for exceptions see on xii, ao,

children of Israel So throughout the passage; not as in D all Israel, for a possession Not the deuter, yrushah or nahalah (inheritance). iv. 21, etc., but 'ahussah as elsewhere in P, e.g. Lev. xiv. 34. The term is exactly equal to the Fr. law-term 'saisine,' the Eng. 'seisin' or 'seizin,' the act of taking corporal possession or the legal equivalent

of this.

50. unto thy people Better thy father's folk, as always in this phrase. The word, 'am, originally meant this, but in Heb. is usually widened to people, while in Ar. it='father's brother' and 'father's brother's children' (Driver). The whole phrase is frequent in P. Gen. xxv. 8, xxxv. 29, Num. xx. 24, 26, etc., and is found nowhere else.

on Hor, the mountain A ways so in P; cp. Nam. xx. 22-20. xxi. 4. xxxiii. 37-41. Contr. above x. 6 (E).

51. because ye brake faith with me | So Driver. The phrase is

chiefly found in P, Ez. and Chron. The judgement on Moses is explained not as in Deut. by the sin of the people, but by that of Aaron and Moses himself. See above, Further Note to Ch. i. 16-28. in the midst) Heb. b'tak, P's synonym for the b'kfrib of Deut.

Even when we allow for differences of temper and standpoint between two authors, enough remains to show how well founded is the general opinion that the oracles, Gen. xlix x=-ry, are earlier than our Blessings. At the same time there are signs of the fact—also probable from the nature of such poems—that neither collection is of a uniform date, but that both incorporate elements from different periods.

It is not possible to argue for a Mosaic date for the Blessings, except by ignoring the principle on which O.T. prophecy consistently starts from the circumstances of the prophet's own time. The facts that Sim'on is not mentioned, who took part in the conquest of W. Palestice; that the conquest itself is regarded as past, for n. 21 records Gad's share in it; that Benjamin's territory already holds the conditions of the propher's properties of the properties

Yet 'everything breathes high antisqu'y and fresh and vigorous power! (Comill, Jurané Eng. trans. 153), 'beathest he spirit of the earlier narratives of Kings' (Driver). The tribes are in secure possession of their provinces. Only Jush is isolated as it became by the Disruppion in 30, and K\*alben near extinction. For the others there is no sense of impending disturbance, by investion or exists, earlier through does the language contain any late elements. Therefore (though some support a date as early as its Judges, e.g. Kleiner!) the prevailing opinion is that the Blessings were composed during one of the happier periods of the earlier Kingdom is either in the regin of Jeroboun L. c 340—312 (Schmider, Dillin, Westphal, Driver, etc.), or in that of Moore, Steterns, the Oxif Rex., Berth, Marti, Robinson).

There are difficulties with regard to both these dates; against the later the more Units and passe with N 2004, and that he magne reference to this to re, I had been a present that the probability of the

The Procm (z-s) and Epilogue (z6-z9) form by themselves a complete poem; v. 26 follows close on v. 5. The theories, that they are from another hand than that of vv. 6-zs and of a late, even an exilic or

33 And this is the blessing, wherewith Moses the man of 2 God blessed the children of Israel before his death. And he said.

post-exilic, date (Steuern, Berth, Marti), cannot be ruled out as impositibe—for they have some phrases peculiar to themselves and to late writings (see notes below) and the O.T. contains similar pashus on the earlier conditions of Irané, which are certainly late. But on the other hand there is no word or phrase in them which is indubitably down the history than the Blessings themselves. They share all the vigour and optimism of these. Benides, the text of the Procen shows a dilaplation compatible with a long oral tradition from an early period. It seems to me more reasonable to regard as n=0, s6=0, as the work

The Mere Is most rough and irregular than that of the Song In ch. rectli, the the third that Data allow, after the significant the report to the state of the sta

1. An editor's introduction; note children of Israel, not D's all

the bletting...bletted] This title is not given to the less hopeful oracles assigned to Jacob in Gen. xiit. Great sanctity was ascribed to the words of a dying father or leader on the fortunes of his sons or followers, for such a bletting was before februal; Gen. xxvii. 7, 43, 27 ff., xiviii, 9, 10, xiix., cp. Josh. xiv. 13, man of Ged Frequentity of prophets: Moses, Josh. xiv. 6 (deat.),

man of God] Frequently of prophets: Moses, Josh. xiv. 5 (deut.), Ps. xc. (title); Samuel, r Sam. ix. 6, 10; Elijah, 1 Kgs xvii. 18; Elisha, 2 Kgs iv. 7, 9, 15, 22, 25, 27; a nameless prophet, 1 Kgs xiii.

# 2-5. THE PROEM-THE ORIGIN OF ISRAEL.

The Revelation by which the tribes became a nation it described in the mingled figures of a dawn and a thunderstorm, theophanies frequent in the Ar, postry of the desert where natural phenomena suggestive of divine appearance and power are few (hardly more than these and the rainbow); and wed several times in Heb, poetry of Jehovah the Inhabiter of Sina; Joh. v. 4f., Hab. iti. 3f.; cp. Pcs. xviii. xxix and contrast 1 kg xix. 1t. 1. See further Early Petry of Irent, 3f6.

2 The LORD from Sinal is come And risen on us from Seft.

Digitized by Google

The LORD came from Sinai, And rose from Seir unto them:

He shined forth from mount Paran,

And he came from the ten thousands of 'holy ones: At his right hand awas a fiery law unto them-

<sup>1</sup> Heb. holiness.

2 Or, was fire, a law Or, as otherwise read, were streams for them

Hath flashed from the hills of Paran. And sped from Meribath-Kadesh. [From the South (?) blazed fire (?) on them.]

3 Lover indeed of His people,

His hallowed are all in His hand, They, they fall in (?) at Thy feet, They take up Thine orders,

[Moses commanded us law] His domain is the Assembly of Jacob, And King He became in Yeshurun.

When the heads of the people were gathered, The tribes of Israel were one.

2. The LORD] Jehovah; as frequently, the Divine Name opens the poem; see on i. 6.

Sinai See i. 2, 6, on Horeb, and on the view that the mountain lay in Se'lr cp. Jud. v. 4.

rose] Like the sun: rays, or beams, forth. unto them] So Heb. and Sam. But LXX, Targ., Vulg. read to us. V. Gall (followed by Berth. and Marti) reads to his people,

shined forth | Or flashed, so of God in Pss. l. 2, lxxx, 1 (2), xciv, 1; and Job.

Paranl See i. 1: mount Paran, as in Hab, iii, 3, is not to be identified with any one range in that mountainous wilderness: mount is collective. came] Better comes, hies or is aped; a vb common in Aram, but in

Heb, used only in poetry. from Maribath-Kadaah] A probable conjecture from the Heb.

meriboboth-kodesh = from holy myriads and LXX with myriads of Kadesh. Others propose, with him (so Sam. Pesh. Targ. instead of comes) were holy chariots (mark-both-kodesh). From the Tara, with him were holy myriads arose the late Jewish belief that angels (cp. LXX dyveλos in next clause) ministered at the giving of the Law, Acts vii. 53. Gal. iii. 19, Heb. ii. 2. At his right hand | Or from; confirmed by the Versions; yet it is

possible that for mimino we should read miyyamin = from the South, in parallel to the previous lines.

was a fiery law] Very questionable. The Heb. consonants 'sh d th are written as one word, but read by the Massoretes as two, 'esh dath = 3 Yea, he loveth the 1peoples; All 1his saints are in thy hand;

And they sat down at thy feet;

Every one "shall receive of thy words."

4 Moses commanded us a law.

An inheritance for the assembly of Jacob.

1 Or, tribes 2 Ot, their holy ones 3 Ot, received

fire, law; but their construction is awkward and dath is a late word from the Perisa and improbable here. Sam: reads two words, each= light; if the first be read as a wb we get the probable there fasted light. Dillin. adding two consonants reads a durning fore. By reading one-word we have an equivalent of the Aram. \*auhidett\* nighthing fasters; p. Hab, lill, 4.4 head horns (i.e. rayl) from this Annd. I.XX byrds, cp. Ps. civ. 4 his ministers a flame of fore. The line may be an intrusion; it is not one of a coopie.

3. he loveth] Heb. partic. hobeh, only here; the meaning is assured

from other Semitic dialects.

the peoples] If the Heh. is accepted render tribes. But LXX has

hia peopla.

his saints] Not in an ethical sense, but as hallowed, or set apart, to Him; either all Israel or more probably their specially consecrated warriors; see ii. 34, xx. 2ff., and cp. the other form of the same root, m\*kuddashaw for warriors in 'lsai'. xiii. 3.

thy hand] So Sam. LXX; Luc. his hands, Vulg. his hand; Pesh. he blesses.

The text of the next couplet is uncertain; they ard down is a doubtful conjecture from the Ar. of the meaning of the Heb. veri otherwise unknown. But warrious do not sit. The LNX these are under the and ranks) which suits the following at the first, the behind their cp. Jdl. v. 15 realred forth at this feet, 1 Sum. xxv. 43; thell receives, they used it the first the following at the first, but should be the unperf. lecter rendered as a present take up. Bull conjectures; they used at this feet, they browled in his usery, and Berth. Ar sustains they used at the first, they browled in his usery, and berth. Ar sustains they used to the first they have been also the sustain they are

6. Most commanded as a law] The change to 1st pers, plur, (but LXX B yau), the introduction of Moster's name, and the fact that the line is an old one, raise the sampleion that it is a gloss. Law, Heb. Threat, it is widest sense (see n. i. g., xxx.i.); omit. a. If the line be retained, the next line is in apposition and we must render with Sun, (and LXX) a postering for the asternity of facts (cp. 1st. xxx. 111). But without changing the consonants we may read, the posteriolon, or dominion, Helidalon, only here and Neh. v., in D and chewather dash (see on v. 2s and xxiii. 1 (2)), the whole nation as a body politic. Passation deswhere and it is placed to the political politic

And the was king in Jeshurun,

When the heads of the people were gathered, All the tribes of Israel together.

Let Reuben live, and not die;

2 Yet let his men be few.

And this is the blessing of Judah: and he said, Hear, LORD, the voice of Judah,

1 Or, there was a king 2 Or, And let not his men

 And he becama king in feshurun i.e. Jehovah. Graf, Wellh., Stade render and there was a king, i.e. Saul, but Saul is not relevant here. On Vishurus see xxxii. 15.

5-25. THE BLESSING PROPER.

6 Re'uben, may he live and not die, Though few be his men.

- 6. On the whole this seems the most probable rendering of aperhaps intentionally—ambiguous oracle. Others take the second line differently:—but let his most be fave as reflecting the actual condition of the iribe [Divinery; now In this most be fave (Graf) continuing the influence of the previous negative, but see Driver's note against this; so that his most he fave (Divine, Stearn, act), which is much the same as the garaphrase above. The he did now be a number, an island release to the control of the contro
- LXXA, etc., real Let Simon by many in seasely, and Hellipsi (Hist. Party of the Assistant Merkers 1: 11; 13) supported by Bancon (Priple Traditions) of the Assistant, as 1-10 conjunctions that the few couples of the next hereing in India was objected by Bancon with a play maps his sames Hern-es-densi-let be above of Shine's need bring their in such in profess, and takes the rest of 2 along with a 11 conjunction of the profess of the pro
  - 7 And this of Judah, and he said:— Hear, LoRD, the voice of Judah! And bring him in to his people. His own hands have striven for him, But Thou shall be help from his foes.

And bring him in unto his people:

With his hands he contended for himself;

And thou shalt be an help against his adversaries 8 And of Levi he said,

Thy Thummim and thy Urim are with "thy godly one,

1 Or. Let his hands be sufficient for him 8 Or, for them 1 Or, him whom thou lovest

See introductory note above.

bring him in] Not back. Judah is isolated from the rest of the nation, but whether this refers to that early isolation, to which Deborah's silence upon Judah testifies, or to the later one after the Disruption of the Kingdom it is impossible to say; see introd. to this ch.

With his hands, etc. ] Text uncertain, Sam. his hand, LNX his hands, contend for him. Read therefore His own hands have striven for him, in antithesis to the next line, But thou, etc. This is better than Stade's 'with thy hands strive thon for him and thou,' etc. R.V. marg., reading another vb with the same consonants, is possible but less likely; better than it is his own hands have sufficed for him. Calvin: let his hands suffice him; so too Geddes. Contrast the very different description of Judah in Gen. xlix. 8-12.

8 And of Levi he said:-

Give Levi Thy Thummim, Thine Urim to the man of Thy grace, Whom Thou didst prove at Probation

And strive with (?) at Waters-of Strife; Who said of his father and mother, I do not regard them;

Nor avowed he his brothers. Nor acknowledged his sons; But Thine oracles they kept. And guarded Thy covenant.

They deliver Thy judgements to Jacob, 10 And Thy law to Israel; They set up smoke in Thy nostrils.

Holocausts up on Thine altar. 11 Bless Thou his service, O LORD, And accept the work of his hands!

Shatter his opponents' loins, And his haters past their opposing.

8. Thy Thummim, etc.] This line is overloaded and has no parallel. Prefix (with LXX) Give Levi, and the result is two parallel lines of 3+3 or 3+2 as above. Thummim and Urim In inverse order from other records of them

in the O.T.:- t Sain. xiv. 41 (LXX); P, Ex. xxviii. 30, Lev. viii. 8;

Whom thou didst prove at Massah,

With whom thou didst strive at the waters of Meribah; Who said of his father, and of his mother, I have not 9 seen him:

Neither did he acknowledge his brethren, Nor knew he his own children:

Ezr. ii. 63, Neh. vii. 65. They were the two sacred lots used by the priest in giving decisions. See Dri.'s full note, Exad. 313 f.

thy godly one] Cp. LXX r\overline{a} drb\overline{\pi} r\overline{\phi} \sigma r\overline{b} \sigma r\overline{b} \sigma r\overline{b} \text{Aridaka, the man who showed the heard of true love; or, more probably from the context, to whom thou didst thew heard. It is possible to render to the new of him to whom thou, elec., i.e. Levi or Moses or Anton. The emendation heardbox of rhoseAka, of the grace, is altractive [Ball].

Whom thou didst prove at Massah, etc.] It is difficult if not impossible to harmonise this couplet with the stories of what happened at Massah = Probation and at Merthan-Strife as toold by JE, Ex. xvii. 16—7, and JP, Num. xx. 2—13 (cp. above vi. 16, ix. 22, xxxii. 51).

For a Manah the people is said to have extrine with Messe and to have semple depressed plants in the all Netherland was derived such as the conpressed plants and the said of the said of the said of the said of the ball blants. The said of the said of the said of the said of the ball blants Moses and Anne for went of faith. Here on the other hand is but blants of the said of the the above marriages. It is possible to a super, between that what happens of Manah was Golf proving of Moses by means of a critical distants; and the Manah was Golf proving of Moses by means of a critical distants; and the Manah was Golf proving of Moses by means of a critical distants; and the Manah was Golf proving to Moses by means of a critical distants; and the sainly critical corresponded to the proving that the sain could be to relative, where, and they golf one of the previous like there as manah of the sainly control of the sainly of the sainly of the sain could be sainly for an Arrow on the sainly of the sainly of the sainly of the proof and striply got upon them by Golf. It Num. set at 1s, records the failure of at "didd by way of assemble. Means an applies Golf arrow by the shiftened of the sainly of the sainly of the sainly of the sainly of the latest the sainly of the sainly of the sainly of the sainly of the best of the sainly of the sainly of the sainly of the sainly of the latest the sainly of t

Others explain the couplet as referring to a proof of the tribe Levi not recorded elewhere (yet p. Ex. xxxii. 5th. ). Others (e.g., Wellh. Mist. 184, Steuern.) translate for mhow finited of with money Thou didn't strong—homo Thou childs champion, i.e. by giving them the power to read the vib no a Hipbill, whom Thou causedit to strive or whom Thou broughtst into strife.

 Above all claims of kindred the tribe set their duty to the oracles and covenant of Jehovah (cp. xiii. 6 (7) ft., Malt. x. 37, Luke xiv. 16).

'tt is not blood but absegation of blood that constitutes the priest. He must act for Jehovah's sake as if he had neitber father, nor mother, neither brothers nor ehildren' (Wellh. loc cit.). Some interpret this specifically of the impartially of For they have observed thy word.

And keep thy covenant.

To They shall teach Jacob thy judgements,

And Israel thy law:

They shall put incense 'before thee, And whole burnt offering upon thine altar.

11 Bless, LORD, his substance,

## 1 Heb. in thy nostrils.

the priests as ministers of fusice, they did not respect persons (cp. i. 16 f, xvii. 9f.); others see an allusion to Ex. xxxii. 17-29; but both these interpretations are too particular.

Note that, as in D, the whole tribe of Levi are priests, and that in contrast to Gen. xlix. 7 the tribe is consolidated. See Ryle's note there.

"The priests appear as a strictly close corporation, so close that they are mentioned only exceptionally in the plural cumber and for the nost part are spoken of collectively in the singular as an organic unity which embraces not merely the contemporary incombers but also their successor and which legals has fill with the contractively incombers and so their successor and which legals has fill with just as the man is identified with the child out of whom he has grown' (Wellh Hitt. 135).

For may be rendered but.

10. judgements...daw) Heb. Mishpatim... Torah, ep. xvii., off. The earlier priesl was a leacher and judge (Hos. iv. 6, Mic. iii. 1;); and of his functions these also come first here, and are followed by his offices in the ritual of explainon. increase Rather smoke of sacrifice; for in the earlier Heb. literature.

income] Rather smoke of sacrifice; for in the earlier Heb. literature, Isai. i. 13, 1 Sam. ii. 16, Amos iv. 3, Hos. iv. 13, xi. 2, the noun biforth (here biforah) and the vb bitter refer always to such smoke and not to income.

Of the use of incense in Israel's worship there is no evidence before the pinca. Inc. J. Fe. 2. so appears to record fripolateries as an intervolute. At 7.3 annih. 17.3 annih. 2. piff., 19.5 and at Ceser Nacciliter found another in rabbible of room-loop and the control of the

whole burnt offering | See xiii. 16 (17).

11. substance] Better strength or efficiency and so service, parallel

And accept the work of his hands:

Smite through the loins of them that rise up against him, And of them that hate him, that they rise not again.

Of Benjamin he said,

The beloved of the LORD shall dwell in safety by him; He covereth him all the day long,

And he dwelleth between his shoulders.

And of Joseph he said,

Blessed of the LORD be his land;

to work of his hands. Yet it might mean host, ranks or order. Calvin retaining substance says 'it appears to have been intended lacitly to provide against the poverty which awaited the Levites,' and quotes Pa.

exxxii. 15. that rize up against him...that hate him? To what this refers is un-known. Some refer it to Num. xvi. 1 fi. or 1 Kgs xii. 31; and the hostility of the prophets to the priests is well-known. As we have seen, other sasken the lines to the 'Blessing' on Judah.

12 And of Benjamin he said:— [Benjamin (?)] beloved of the LORD, He dwelleth securely always(?).

He dwelleth securely always(?).

The Highest is a covert above him,
And dwelleth between his shoulders.

As the overlanded first line of the Helb,, the wast of a fourth line and the variants of the system is fulfacing, the text is probably corrupt. The above re-arrangement is a commission which became the strength of the stre

of Solonion, 2 Sam. xii. 25. Of all Israel, Jer. xi. 15.

dwell in safety] Cp. v. 28, xii. 10. Above always (Heb. all the day)

is (with some scholars) brought here from the next line.

by him] Heb. 'alow, more accurately upon him but superfluous both to the sense (and if three lines are read) to the metre; not found in Sam. or LXX; and so either a careless anticipation of 'alow in the next line, or to be read as the LXX apparently have done (for they introduce δ θού at the beginning of the next line) 'alyon=the Mast High. So Horder, Geddes, the.

his shoulder] The ridges of Beajamin's territory: cp. Josh. xv. 8, xviii. 13. Since P, Josh. xv. 7, xviii. 15. f., 28, reckons Jerusalem as in Benjamin (white J, Josh. xv. 63 assigns it to Judah) this line has been interpreted as referring to the Temple. But in what is evidently a poem of N. Israel the reference is probably to Bethe-I.

13 And of Joseph he said:

Blessed of the LORD be his land,

DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by Google

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

24

For the precious things of heaven, for the dew.

And for the deep that coucheth beneath,

14 And for the precious things of the fruits of the sun,
And for the precious things of the growth of the moons,
15 And for the chief things of the ancient mountains.

With the wealth of heaven above, And the deep that crouches beneath,

With the wealth of the crops of the sun,
And the wealth of the yield of the moons.

15 With the best (?) from the hills of yore, And the wealth of the ancient heights.

16 Even the wealth of the land and its fulness, And His favour who dwelt in the Bush. May they come on the head of Yoséph, On the skull of the crowned of his brothers;

17 His firstling bull's be the splendour, And his horns the horns of the wild ox! With them he thrusteth the peoples Together to the ends of the earth. These be the myrinds of Ephraim, And these the thousands of Manassch.

The rather longer Blessing of Joseph in Gen. aliz. sz=s6 dwells similarly on the territory, and on the primarcy of Joseph among the tribes. But it reflects, as this describes the primarcy of Joseph among the tribes. But it reflects, as this contract the primarch of the

13. For Rather with or from, and so throughout 13-16.

precises Iking J. Heb., neged, exact meaning uncertain. It is found only here and in Cant. iv. 13, 16, vii. 13 (14) where its plut: is found with fruits: R.V. and Buddle precises fruits. Haups nest lutchest, fruitge. Here it is similarly rendered by Steem. 'das Khatlichate,' Marti' 'das Herflichste,' Berth. 'khostiche Gabe.' But from the Ar. analogue it is a likely that it ment lutchishes, protastion or waste. Sam. has time or profusence. LXX in v. 13 4rd door, in 14 and 16 and 'door, but in 1 4 ard sowedite reading relds with the confidence of t

for the dew | So Sam. LXX. Read (with the change of one

consonant) from above as in Gen, xix. 25.

the dop! Heb. Tribin without the art, as always, because originally the proper name of the mythical monster, Bab. Tribinat, identified with the Ocean and its supposed extension below, as well as around, the nearth, the source of springs and fountains; cp. LXX are \$4,600 or \$77,500. The prevention further survives in the cpilite containg or \$1.000 or \$1.0

14. growth Yield or crop, what is thrust forth, only here. LXX άπό σινόδων,

15. chief things] Heb. rosh (collect.) tops or rather headlands, see

And for the precious things of the everlasting hills, And for the precious things of the earth and the fulness 16 thereof.

And the good will of him that dwelt in the bush:

Let the blessing come upon the head of Joseph,

And upon the crown of the head of him 'that was separate from his brethren.

The firstling of his bullock, majesty is his;

And his horns are the horns of the wild-ox: With them he shall apush the peoples all of them, even

the ends of the earth:

And they are the ten thousands of Ephraim, And they are the thousands of Manasseh.

Ot, that is prince among 2 Ot, His firstling bullock on iii. 27 and small print under xii. 2. Some conjecture reshith the

best (fruit) of the hills. Cp. xxxii. 13 increase of the mountains. 16. good will Or favour, from same root as accept in v. 11.

that dwelt in the bush | See Ex. iii. 2-4. As there bush is soneh, tempting some to read instead Sinas (Wellh., Steuern.). The name Sinas used to be derived from street, LXX Saros, a blackberry or bramble bush. according to some the rubus fructuosus, which however is not found in Sinai, cp. Palest. under the Maslems, 73. More probably thorn-bush as in Aram, apparently from a root signifying to sharpen, 'the thing with points, spines or teeth.' This bush God does not merely let Himself be seen in as in Ex. iii. 2, but He inhabits it. The LXX τῶ οφθέντι does not accept this, but harks back to Ex. iii. 2.

The next two lines are as in Gen, xlix. 26, except that for let them be we have let...come (?) an impossible form, which we may emend to let

them come, i.e. the blessings stated in the previous lines. that was separatel Heb, naufr, set apart solemnly as a Nazarite or

as a Prince (La. iv. 7 R.V. nobles). So Sam. nesek or nasik, devoted (to God). More probably the crowned one, from neser, crown (Zech. ix. 16). But see Skinner's and Ryle's notes on Gen. xlix. 26. LXX there we hypouro esekow, but here Docustels en (or ev) αδελφοίτ. 17. The firstling of his bullock | Ephraim, Gen. xlviii, 13 ff.

wild-ox] Heb. re'em, Ar. ri'm = the white antelope, leucoryx (see on xiv. 3), but the descriptions in the O.T. prove that the Heb. re'em was rather the Ass. rimu, a gigantic species of ox (' Bos primigenius') now extinct, though its teeth have been found in the valley of the Nahr el-Kelb, in the district where Tiglath Pileser I. (B.C. 1120 ff.) hunted the rimu (Tristr. Nat. Hist. of the Bible, 146 ff., Houghton, Trans. Soc. Bibl. Arch. v. 33, 326 ff.; see more fully Driver's note).

Theas be | So (without and) Sam. LXX, etc.

24-2

18 And of Zebulun he said,

Rejoice, Zebulun, in thy going out;

And, Issachar, in thy tents. 19 They shall call the peoples unto the mountain;

There shall they offer sacrifices of righteousness: For they shall suck the abundance of the seas.

> 18 And of Zabulun he said: Rejoice, Zabulún, in thine outgoing,

And in thy tents, Issachár l 19 Peoples they call to the mountain (?), There slay they the sacrifices due. For the affluence of seas do they suck And the hidden hoards of the sand.

The territory of Zebuhan in Josh, xix, 10-16 runs seaward or mestoward, but apparently without reaching the sea. But in Gen. xix, 13 the tribe dwells on the sea-beach, a beach for ships, with his border by Sidon (Tyre is nearer, but al the date of the poem Sidon must have been surerain of the Phoenician confederacy) therefore favourably placed for commerce. Similarly here. Issachar, Josh. xix. 17—23, lay further inland, on Esdraelon under Tabor and Gilboa and down towards Jordan; described in Gen, xlix. 14 f. as a big-boxed ass content to lie between the sheepfolds (or Manniers I), the servant of others. Here he is congratulated, not scorned, because of his home-keeping habits, a contrast to Zebulun's. It is remarkable that nothing is said of the heroism of these tribes, as celebrated by Deborah, Ju. v. 75, 78, cp. iv. 6, ro. On Gen, xlix, 73—15 Skinner remarks that that 'lends colour to the view that this part of the poent is of older date than the Song of Deborah. This is by no means conclusive.

18. going out] Either the tribe's outlet seaward, Gen. xlix. 13; or more probably their (foreign) trade; on the Heb. vh as = doing business

see above, xili, 13 (14), xxvili, 6.

Issachar, in thy tents | According to Josh. xix. 17-23 Issachar had a number of towns, some important, but all (either by name or situation) agricultural with very fertile suburbs on the Plain. Tents, then, is used either poetically for homes (cp. to thy tents O Israel!) or refers to the custom (seen to-day among the townsfolk of Moab) of resorting to tents in summer for the herding of flocks or the tillage of fields at a distance from the towns. Such was the scope of their

energies. LXX his tents.

19. They call ... There they offer | Their markets for their trade with other tribes or peoples were also religious festivals, a combination characteristic of the Semitic world (as of others even in modern times) and illustrated at Sinai, Jerusalem, Bethel (vide Amos), Hierapolis and Mecca. The mountain may have been Carmel or Tabor; but the text is uncertain. LXX have a verb followed by and which suggests the Heh. pahdato = together, instead of the awkwardly constructed har = mountain. Sacrifices of righteousness are of course the local, due or fitting sacrifices. Sam. s, of truth.

abundance] This form of the Heb, term is found only here; but it occurs in Aram. The lit. meaning is flowing; tender affluence, pro-

21

And the hidden treasures of the sand.

And of Gad he said.

Blessed be he that enlargeth Gad:

He dwelleth as a lioness.

And teareth the arm, yea, the crown of the head. And he provided the first part for himself,

Or, chose Heb, satu.

fusion (LXX πλοῦτσι); all that the Phoenicians drew from the sea their sea-borne trade and fisheries and possibly the dredging for sponges still carried on off 'Athlit and Carmel.

of the use; Plur, as often in postry, Jud. v. 17, Gen. xlix, 13, And the hidden treasures, etc.] The Hebe, construction (confirmed by Sam, ls. awkward, and perhaps we should read a finite vb instead of the participle hidden and galeber (or scrape, vp. Ar. safar) it has heart of the smal. The reference is either to the manufacture of glass which took place on the sands. S. of Alka (Josephan, U. Bell, Jud. x. 2; ducion of purple from the marce (Piny, H.M. 18, 60—60) large quantities of the enwired shells of which are still found about Tyre.

20 And of Gad he said:

Blessed be the Broadener of Gad, Like a lion be haunts

And tears the arm, yea the scalp.

And he saw to the best for himself,
[For there was the lot of the leader (?)]

Yet he went with the heads of the people,

Yet he went with the heads of the people He wrought the just will of the LORD, And his judgements along with Israel.

On Gar's territory see ill. 161, feminghed with that of Reuben); and Josh, xill; x-al, where he extends from Arose on Arosa northware through Mosh and at the critics of clisted to Liebzhig from S. of the Yarrafil); note the attenues part of the arthin the scale describes in. On the absorption crossin pairs of the conthin oxacle describes in. On the absorption crossin pairs and in Care. 181, x. glittle roses than a play upon his same, see Ryla's notes in this series. It is not possible to deduce a date from this coacle; ser on v. so.

20. he that enlargeth, etc.] Jehovah. The reference is usually interpreted of the recovery of Gad's territory from the Syrians, 2 Kg, xiv, 35f., and as proof of a date for the poem between that and the conquest by Tiglath Pileser (Chron. v. 26). But it may as well be a reference to the original allotment of so vast a territory to Gad, Joh. xiii. 24. Pileser.

dwelleth] So Sam. Haunta is more appropriate. LXX derendoaro. as a lioness Cp. 1 Chron. xii. 8: Gadites ... whose faces voere like the faces of lone.

21, provided ] Lit. saw but = saw to,

first part ] Or the best, Heb. reshith. See above, v. 15; and on xviii. 4.

Digitized by Google

For there was 'the lawgiver's portion reserved; And he came 'nuith the heads of the people, He executed the justice of the LORD, And his judgements with Israel.

22 And of Dan he said, Dan is a lion's whelp.

That leapeth forth from Bashan.

23 And of Naphtali he said.

Ot, a ruler's portion

9 Or, to

For there, etc.] Both the text of this line and the beginning of the next—kl sham helpath myholek spahun (so far confirmed by Sam.) wayseth—and the meaning, for there the lot of a ruler was laid up, and he came, etc., are very uncertain. The line is an odd one and may well be a gloss upon the preceding line.

If the Heb test be recogned, the meaning is that although that has received his regard period precision of the product of the

22 And of Dan he said: Dan, a whelp of a lion, He leaps from Bashán.

22. The situation assigned is that northern one, to which the tribe migrated from their earlier seat in the South [Jul. xviii...]. They seitled at Laish (a pocical term for Horn) or Leshem, thereafter called Dan, which is usually identified with Tellet-Kad (Kadin Dan) in the July of the Laish of this site and the impossibility of hodding the valley—the moin orthern avenue into Talestien—except from the heights above the neighbouring Banias, on which stand the rinso of the Crusaders' Castle e.g., Salcheh, the present wire then a sargued (FMCH, 4724, 479 fil) that the site of Laish or Dan must have been on these heights. This is confirmed by the present w. et keeps from Bushing, a name which never confirmed by the present w. et keeps from Bushing, a name which never to the heights to the E. of it.—The oracle in Cen. xits. 164. reflects this poot of vantage over the extrance of invaders from the N.

And of Naphtali he said: Naphtali sated with favour, And full of the blessing of the LORD, Sea and South shall he hold.

25

O Naphtali, satisfied with favour. And full with the blessing of the LORD:

Possess thou the 'west and the south.

And of Asher he said, Blessed be Asher \*with children; Let him be acceptable unto his brethren,

And let him dip his foot in oil. Thy bars shall be iron and brass;

1 Or, sea 2 Or, above sons 3 Or, shoes

23. The first two lines reflect the extraordinary fertility of mount Naphtali (Josh. xx. 7) i.e. Upper Galilee between the Lake on the E. and the territory of Asher on the W., 'an undulating tableland arable and everywhere tilled, with swelling hills...covered with shrubs and trees' (Robinson); along with the still more exuberantly fertile plain of Gennesaret (HGHL, 417-421, 446 f. with citations in proof from Josephus, etc.).

satisfied with favour? Cp. Ps. exiv. 16.

the seal. Not the Mediterrapean (Sam, the West) but the sea of

Kinnereth, iii. 17. the south Heb. Darom (so Sam.), a late poetic word, Ez. xl. 27 f., Job xxxvii. 17, LXX 166, the S.W. wind, a happy conjecture, for no wind brings more moisture to Mount Naphtali. Geddes: South because Naphtali's land lay S. of that of Dan; Graf and Dillm. the hot land in the deep trench of the Jordan valley and upon the Lake where the vegetation is tropical. Driver: 'so styled it seems partly in contrast to the main possessions of the tribe (which were farther N.), partly with allusion to the sunny warmth which prevails there'; Berth.

emends, 'the sea and the way of the sea' (derek yam), cp. Is. viii. 23. hold thou | So Heb. Sam. LXX read he shall hold.

> 24 And of Asher he said: Blessed above sons be Ashér, Be the favoured of his brethren. And be dipping his foot in oil. Iron and brass be thy bars. And thy strength as thy days,

Asher lay W. of Naphtali on the same range and enjoyed similar fertility, cp. Gan. xlix, 201 I know not if there be in all antiquity a more finished pictura (lieddes), 24. Blessed above sons be Asher] As in R.V. marg., ep. Jud. v. 24.

in oil All the Galilean highlands were famous for their olives. 'It is easier to raise a legion of olives in Galilee than to bring up a child in Palestine ' (Bereshith Rabba, 20). 25. bars Heb. min'al, found only here, but the meaning is con-

firmed by that of the similar form man'ul, Neh. iii. 3, etc., and by the

And as thy days, so shall thy <sup>1</sup>strength be. 5 There is none like unto God, O Jeshurun, Who rideth upon the heaven for thy help, And in his excellency on the skies.

## I Or, rest Or, security

Sam. The shoes of A.V. and R.V. marg. and the LXX bwbbqua are a false conjecture from ma'al, sandal. Thy, LXX his.

iron and brast] Or possibly basalt and bronze; see on viii. 9. strength] So Sam., LXX, Targ., perhaps reading robe for the Heb. dobe, which is not found elsewhere and is of unknown meaning. Some render rest after the doubtful analogy of Ar.; Vulg. old age, as if reading d'b for d'e.

## 26-29. THE EPILOGUE.

26 None like the God of Y\*shurun!— Riding the heavens to thy help, And the skies in His loftings:

And the skies in His loftiness.

The Eternal God is thy refuge,
And beneath are the arms everlasting.
He drove out before thee the foe,

And He said, Destroy! 28 So Israel dwelt securely, Secluded the fount of Jacob.

Secluded the fount of Jacob, On a land of corn and wine, His heavens too dropped with dew.

29 Happy thou Israel! Who is like thee? A people saved by the LORD. [He is] the shield of thy help, And the sword that exalts thee; Till thy foes come to thee fawning, But thou on their heights dost march.

This section follows closely on to vv. 2-5, with which it may have been originally one poem.

26. like the God of Jeshurun] So Sam., LXX., Targ., Vulg.; but Heb. reads like the God, O Yeshurun. Parallels to this line are found in J. Ex. vill. 10, ix. 74; in the Poem, Ex. xv. 11; 2 Sam. vil. 22.

and above iv. 35, 39, xxxii. 39.

excellency] Rather lottiness, exaltation. Geddes sees an allusion to the pillar of cloud by day and fire by night. Of the divine sublimity only here and Ps. kviii. 34 (35); also there with shies.

skiet] Or less probably fine clouds; Geddes: 'the subtile air.' The word occurs only in the Second Isaiah, the late Jer. li. 9, Job, Proverlis and Psalins, many of which are certainly late.

20

The eternal God is thy dwelling place, And underneath are the everlasting arms: And he thrust out the enemy from before thee, And said, Destroy. And Israel dwelleth in safety,

The fountain of Jacob alone, In a land of corn and wine;

Yea, his heavens drop down dew. Happy art thou, O Israel:

Who is like unto thee, a people saved by the LORD, The shield of thy help,

And that is the sword of thy excellency!

And thine enemies shall 'submit themselves unto thee;

And thou shalt tread upon their high places.

1 Or, vield feigned obedience

27. dwelling place] As in Ps. xc. 1. A.V. refuge; and some moderns thy refuge by emending the text. The LXX renders the line καὶ σκεπάσει σε θουβ άρχή.

And undermedish are the everlating army] Berth, and Marti oddly declare this beautiful line uninelligible, on the ground that the arms of God inhabiting heaven (r. 6) cannot at the same time be conceived as beneath His people! By changing one consonant and pointing others differently they substitute and the power [arms] of the twicked war broken; 10 (11)] comes in naturally after the other of God as a dwelling or every considerable (p. 11). The substitute of the tribute of the constant of

And he drave out; in Hex. only here and in JE (frequently); not in D nor deut, passages.

And said, Destroy] A line of but 2 stresses.

28. [ountain...alone] For fountain, 'ain, some propose 'am, people,

But the figure is emphatic and natural after the previous line: Inzel's life shall flow unmixed, untainted with that of the expelled peoples.

29. The metre here is irregular, the first line is overloaded, the

third too short, but the text is mostly confirmed by the Versions.

shield God as shield, Gen. xv. 1, Ps. iii. 3 (4), xviii. 2, 30 (3, 31),
lxxxiv. 11 (12).

that is So Heb.; but omit with Sam, LXX.

excellency] The same word as in v. 26, but here in the passive sense of being exalted.

come to thea fawning] Or cringing. Pss. xviii. 44 (45), lxvi. 3,

come to then fawning] Or cringing. Pss. xviii 44 (45), lxvi. 3, lxxxi. 15 (16).

34 And Moses went up from the plains of Moab unto mount Nebo, to the top of Pisgah, that is over against Jericho. And the Lord shewed him all the land of Gilead,

### CH. XXXIV. THE DEATH AND BURIAL OF MOSES.

Moses secends Nelso and the DORD shows him the Land—from Dan to Zoar—promised to the Patriarchs, which he is not to enter (1-4). So he dies, and God baries him, in the land of Moab, no man knowing his grave (5.7)—his age 109 years, reached with unshated strength. He is mourned by Israel go days, and Joshua, whom he consecrated, acceeds him the people's obedience (7-9). The Book closes with homage to his incomparable rank as a prophet (10-12)—As the varied phraseology reveal, he passage is a complation from the main sources of the property of the proper

1. Moses went up As commanded, iii. 27, xxxii. 49.

plains of Mond) Heb. unblik Melah, the parts of the 'Arabah (see oni. 1) reckoned as Monblet. The designation is peculiar to P. oni. 1) reckoned as Monblet. The designation is peculiar to P. onigies it as Irsael's last camp before crossing Jordan, Num. scxiii. 48—10, 10, Num. scxii. 1, xxvii. 3, 30, xxvii. 3, 30, xxvii. 3, 30, xxvii. 3, 10, xxvii. 10, xxviii. 3, 10, xxviii.

higher hollow that debouches on the 'Arabah.

unto mount Nebo, the headland of the Pisgah ] The former is P's name for the mount (xxxii, 40), the latter that of E (Num, xxi, 20, xxiii, 14) and deuteron, writers, see on iii. 17. It is the headland which breaks from the plateau of Moah between Heshbon and Medaba under the name en-Neba (= 'mountain-back,' Dalman MNPDV, 1900, p. 13) or Ras en Neba, and rups out to the S. of the W. 'Uvûn Musa upon the N. end of the Dead Sea. From the high edge of the Plateau it dips a little, and so loses the view to the E.-Israel's desert horizons for 40 years-but the bulk of W. Palestine is in sight; only at first the nearer side of the Iordan valley is Invisible, and N. and S. the view is hampered by the parallel headlands. Further W. however it rises somewhat into the Ras Siarbah, a promontory which, though lower than the Ras en Neba, stands freer of the hills to N. and S. The whole of the 'Arabah is now open from at least Engedi, and if the mist allows from still farther S., to where on the N. the hills of Gilead appear to meet those of Ephraim. The Jordan flows below, with Jericho visible beyond it. Over Gilead Hermon has been seen in fine weather. See further HGHL, 562 ff.

over against fericho] Lit. against the face of, i.e. (by Semitic orienta-

tion) to the E. of.

unto Dan; and all Naphtafi, and the land of Ephrains and 2 Manasseh, and all the land of Judah, unto the 'hinder sea; and the South, and the Plain of the valley of Jericho 3 the city of palm trees, unto Zoar. And the Loso said 4 unto him, This is the land which I sware unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Javo, and unto Javo, and unto Javo, and unto Javo, saying, I will give it unto thy

## 1 That is, western.

all the Land—Blead unto Dan, etc.] Not as in EVV. the land of filiand. Dan itself, either Tell-ei-Kadli on one of the sources of Jordan, or more probably on the neighbouring spur of Hermon above Banias (see above exxiii. 2, and H/GH<sub>4</sub>, 472, 481), in not visible, but Hermon above it is sometimes seen; and Dan is menioned as the N. limit of the land. 2. all Naphdafi | The Jord yountry N. and N.W. of the Lake of

Galilee, some of whose hills, over 2,500 feet, may (as Dri. says) be visible from Nebo, as the lower Mt Tabor to the S. of them is.

and all the land of Ethraim and Manassehl, So LXX. These certainly

are in sight with Ebal and Genzim and the intervening valley particularly distinct.

all the land of Judah, unto the hinder sea Anatural hyperbole; the hinder or Western Sea (xi. 24). The Mediterranean is hidden by the hills of Judah. But again the bulk of Judah is in sight, and the Sea is mentioned as its W. boundary.

3. the South] Heb. the Negeb, see on i. 7.

the Plain) Heb. kibker, the root meaning of which, to judge from its wealthe for a district, a load and aweight, must be remail or earl. Render the Bound: here in apposition (lelete of) to the Bib' at fitt, space did not all of the three Bib. Hell (12, 35, 56, 14), to e 'lead', o' free the state of the

the city of palm trees Jud. i. 16, iii. 13; 2 Chr. xxviii. 15. The district of paricho was celebrated for its palms from a remote antiquity down to Roman times, and even to those of the Crusades. See for details HGHL.

266 and note 4.

unto Zoar] The position of this town, S. of the Dead Sea, is strongly attested, HOHL 506 h. The present passage is not decisive, for it is uncertain whether unto Zoar refers only to the Valley of Jericho, or to the whole of the southern regions included in the v.

The originality of this geographical list is doubtful. Sam. has instead the ideal description of the Promised Land, from the River of Egypt unto the Great River, the River Euphrales, and unto the Western Sea.

4. the land which I sware, etc.] As Ex. xxxiii. 1, see above on 1.8.

seed: I have caused thee to see it with thine eyes, but 5 thou shalt not go over thither. So Moses the servant of the Loro died there in the land of Moab, according to the 6 word of the LORD. And the buried him in the valley in the land of Moab over against Beth-peor; but no man 7 knoweth of his sepulchre unto this day. And Moses was an hundred and twenty years old when he died: his eye 8 was not dim, nor his natural force abated. And the children of Israel wept for Moses in the plains of Moab thirty days: so the days of weeping in the mourning for 9 Moses were ended. And Joshua the son of Nun was full of the spirit of wisdom; for Moses had laid his hands upon him: and the children of Israel hearkened unto him, to and did as the LORD commanded Moses. And there hath not arisen a prophet since in Israel like unto Moses, whom to the LORD knew face to face; in all the signs and the wonders, which the Loro sent him to do in the land of Egypt,

### 1 Or, he was buried

thou shalt not go over thither] i. 37, iii. 27, iv. 21 f., and in P, xxxii.

5. the servant of Jehovah) So JE, Num, xii. 7 f., my servant, and as here, losh, i. 1 f., 7, 13, 15, etc.

according to the word of, etc.] Lit. mouth of, frequent in P.

 he buried | He can only be Jehovah, for no man knew the grave; hence the rendering they buried, though possible, so far as the grammar goes, is contrary to the sense.

the valley ... Beth-peor ] See on iii. 29.

an hundred and twenty years] Dates, we have seen, are characteristic of P; this one is a round number = three full generations (see on ii. 7);
 Ex. vii. 7.

nor his natural force abated] Lit. wor had his sap fled or ebbed. The phrase cannot be assigned to one source more than another.

6. the children of traval work. \_hisry days] So P, Num. xx. 29, of

Aeron; plains of Moab again 'arbôth Mo'ab, see v. r.

9. was full of the spirit of wisdom! Co. P in Ex. xxviii, 3, where

the wisdom is of a different kind.

Laid his hands upon him? So P. Num. xxvii. 18-23.

10. The phraseology now becomes deuteronomic. See on xviii.

11, 12. These vv. are irrelevant to the more spiritual estimate of 'Moses' prophetic rank in v. 10, and therefore may be due to a later

to Pharaoh, and to all his servants, and to all his land; and in all the mighty hand, and in all the great terror, 12 which Moses wrought in the sight of all Israel.

hand. On the deuteronomic phrases signs and wonders, neighty hand, great terror, see iv. 34, and on all Israel (not P's children of Israel), see iv. 44, xxxi. 23. Thus the Book closes in characteristically deuteronomic style.

## APPENDIX

#### ON CLEAN AND UNCLEAN ANIMALS

(Deut. xiv. 3-20.)

First, aoue remarks are necessary on the form of the deuteronomic list. While most of the names have been reasonably identified with animals still found in Falestine—the credit of this is largely due to Canon Tristram—yet fall success in such identification is not, and may never be, possible. Especially precasions is the equation of the names with single species. The name are generic, not specific. They are popular. They give proofs of a close observation of the similar continuation of the names are generic, not specific. They are popular to the strength of the strength of the trock-basic order animals. But the strength of the bars and the rock-basic order animals. But the strength of the stre

Like that in Lev. xi. =—3\(\frac{7}{2}\) the fist in Deul. is not exhaustive. It details the clean mammals, both domestic and wild, but not the clean birds. It names the unclean hirds, but not the unclean mammals except the camel, have, and rock-badger, nor the replicis nor the insects. That some of these, the weasel, mouse, and lizards, are added in Lev. xi. sqf. starts the question whether at the time our list was drawn up it was fet to be chough to count upon the people's natural regugarate additions were due to a fresh temptation to use these animals, which Israel had meantime encountered by contact with foreign customs and cults. But this opens up our main subject.

What was the principle of the distinction between clean and unclean animals? Some of the data are obscure and conflicting; and different explanations are possible, none of which is wholly satisfactory. As we shall see, the complex result, which the Law presents, is probably

due to many causes, both physical and spiritual.

The following facts are certain.
All Semitic peoples have distinguished between animals lawful and
unlawful for food. But their customs, though similar, have varied very
much in detail, and flesh which was enjoyed by one tribe was often
forbidden to another. Nomad from fellah, coast-dweller from desirtin opinion and in practice as to the cleanness or uncleanness of certain
animals.



From the earliest times and long before there was written Law on the subject, the same distinction prevailed in Israel. The O.T. traditions vary as to the origin of flesh-eating. I and P agree that in his first estate man did not eat flesh. In I's record the fruits of the ground are given to man for nourishment-every tree pleasant to the sight and rood for food-and the animals are created to be his companions; not till he is expelled from the garden and has to cultivate the soil cursed for his sake is anything said of his use of animals for clothing or sacrifice; at the same time serpents are cursed; Noah takes into the Ark seven pairs of every kind of clean animals and one pair of every kind not clean, and of the former offers 'oloth, or whole burnt sacrifices (Gen. ii. 9, 16, iii. 14 f., vii. 2, 20). In P's account man is granted dominion over all animals; cereals and fruit trees are given to him for food, but to the animals grass and herbage; Noah takes into the Ark two of every kind of living creature, along with all food wont to be eaten (Gen. i. 20 f., vi. 10 f.). P knows of no sacrifice nor of any distinction between clean and unclean animals before the legislation at Sinai (see I.P. 76, 80). Up to the establishment of the deuteronomic Law, all slaughter and eating of domestic animals was sacrificial, but venison was eaten without ritual (xii). In the earlier histories the only reference to the distinction between clean and unclean foods is in Judg. xiii. 4, 7, 14, where Manuah's wife is warned not to eat anything unclean, Heh. tame', during her pregnancy. In Hos. ix. 3 f. food eaten in exile is unclean, because it is eaten only for appetite and cannot be brought into a, or the, house of Jehovah, where alone the sacrifice is valid by which it is rendered clean!

Again, the marks cited by our law as distinguishing clean from unclean mammals, vir. that they wholly cleave the boof and that they chew the cud, cannot be intended as the cause or fundamental reason of the distinction. In such features there is nothing to constitute dealment. In the contract of the constitute dealment, which rested on other grounds. They are an aftertbought, and as we have seen in the case of the hare and the hyars they are is norted.

What then were the grounds on which the distinction rested? The nanwer has often been given that animals were called dense or surfaces according as experience had proved them wholesome or unwholesome fare for man. It is true that the sundeau histo'd our list are feeders on carrion (only the heron, p. 18, was long epipped in Europe); that classifies the surfaces histo'd and that pook is diagreerist expensibly in the East. Yet chelly be did not be the pool to the diagreerist expensibly in the East. Yet chelly the first had been diagreed to the first of the first o

<sup>1</sup> If the passage is Hosen's, and therefore earlier than D, we must translate a house of Jehovah; if with Marit the ws. are considered a later addition, we must introduct the House, and understand by the consocration of the food that which was secured for the whole harvest and increase of flock and herd by the presentation in the temple of firstlings, first-finatis and tight.

Again, within the same nation some forms of fieth are prohibited to one class of sixtle which are allowed to others. In several ancient religious the prices insplit not eat things permitted to the laify (W. R. excitation of the prices in the prices are certain conditions may be eatien only by men and others only by women (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 159). Further, causels are eaten in Falestine by Monlems, but not by Christians Eldednespeege, PEP(0, 1993, 190). It is well known that certain kinds of food, harmiles to most individuals, families. But the differences of usage just cited, occurring as they do between whole tribes or religious bodies or religious ranks, or the seex-cannot all be explained on physical grounds. It is, citec, therefore, that the distinction between dens and sundays flesh foods does not, at least the distinction between dens and sundays flesh foods does not, at least next.

Another and a wider explanation, to which sufficient attention has not been given, is that a people's distinction between clean and unclean animals was determined by the degree of their familiarity with them. This would account at least for those cases which are left unexplained by the other theory; the animals, namely, which are counted unclean and are yet wholesome food for man. Thus the camel, forbidden as food to Israel<sup>a</sup> to whom it came as a foreign beast, takes with the Arabs, to whom it is a domestic animal, a leading rank among their foods, replacing the ox, which is not easily feared in the desert and is regarded by many as the less honourable food (see on v. 4). Again fish, readily eaten by Arabs of the coast and of the well-watered Monb and Gilead, is abborred by Arabs of the waterless desert (see on 9 f.), though these enjoy lizards and the like. Conversely the ostrich, a bird foreign to l'alestine, is forbidden to Israel, but in Arabia, of which it la a native, its breast is eaten. Yet this solution offered for the problem is also not perfect. The bare and the wild-boar were as familiar in Palestine to Israel, to whom they were forbidden, as to the Arabs who enjoy them both.

From such physical explanations the argument has therefore fallen back on religious beliefs and customs as the sole and sufficient grounds

of the distinction.

We may begin with a religious explanation relevant only to the Hebrew Law. Principal Patrick Fairbain (Typiquoy of Scripture, 11, 427 ff.), developing the views of earlier divines, argues that the law of clean and unclean floods manifests at once the bounty and the discipline of God. For man's body it provides enough wholesome fare and on this puts a stamp of sacrefness; but by ruling out of the list of permitted foods some that are wholesome along with all that are unwhole some it trains the appetite to habits of discrimination and abstinence.

<sup>1</sup> So already Patrick Fairbaira (Typology of Scripture, 11, 499 f.), who had not the advantage of the modern evidence quoted above, and who came to his conclusion solely on that of the lists in the Februse Law.
1 In Egypt and in the wildeness largel had no camels, and under the monarchy their first camels are in charge of a man with an Arab name, fernantem, 1, 233.

'The outward distinction was from the first appointed for the sake of the apiritual instruction it was fitted to convey.' It was 'a symbol,' and like others it disappeared with the rise of the higher freedom which is in Christ. Such a theory does justice to the law's moral influence upon the people in their commerce with foreigners. Like that of the Sabbath, this law of foods helped to maintain Israel's distinction from the beathen, especially throughout the Greek period. Yet the theory, formed at a time when the comparative study of religions was less advanced than it now is, fails to account for the existence among other Semites of food customs very similar to those sanctioned by the Hebrew laws. We must seek for the origin of the latter in ideas and impressions common to the whole Semitic race.

While the study of Semitic customs reveals everywhere (as we have seen) the practice of a distinction between clean and unclean foods and discovers great varieties in that practice, all of which cannot be explained on physical grounds alone; it also shows that many of the animals forbidden as food by the Hebrew laws were worshipped or were eaten sacramentally by the neighbours of Israel. Reasons of ritual have therefore been proposed-and by some exclusively pro-

posed-as the basis of the distinction.

Heathen Arabs worshipped the lion and the nasr or carrion vulture (W. R. Smith, Kinship and Marriage in Early Arabia, 208 ff.); fish with scales and without were sacred to certain Syrian deities (Rel. Sem. 430), and the people of Harran sacrificed field-mice, does and swine (Id. 272 ff.). According to 'Is.' lxv. 4 some Israelites provoked lehovah by eating the flesh of swine and broth of foul things, and believed that such rites enhanced their holiness; and, lxvi. 17, they hallowed themselves by eating swine's flesh, the detestable thing (shekes, or as others read sheres, creeping things), and mice (cp. lxvi. 3). Similarly Ezekiel (viii, 10f.) describes secret places in the Temple where every form of reptile and detestable thing and all the idols of the house of Israel were worshipped by the heads of Iewish families. Further shekes is a term applied both to unclean beasts and the gods of the heathen. From this the conclusion has been drawn that 'the unclean creatures

are the divine animals of the heathen' (Kinship etc., 300); 'because in one cult something is holy, in another it is impure ... ; we are led to conclude that it is religious grounds which lie below the prohibitions of certain foods by the Law ... ; the prohibition of the swine presents itself entirely as a protest against the boliness of that beast in some vanquished or foreign cult' (Berth. on Lev. xi.). It is also pointed out that the laws against such foods in D. H and P appeared at the time when those custs largely prevailed in W. Asia (their mystical communions having displaced the old national or tribal cults) and had invaded Israel itself (Ainship, 308f.). The case for this theory is therefore very strong, and is further supported by the reason given for the prohibition of certain foods to Israel in the short summary of II. Lev. xx. 26: ye shall be holy to Jehovah. His exclusively and not another god's.

DEUTERONOMY

Yet like the others this explanation fails to incount for every case in the lists before us. For example, fish with beals are clean to Israel, though they were regarded as sacred to some Syrian deithes; dowe were eaten in Israel, though the peculiar symbols of a Syrian goldens; sheep were sacrificed in Israel as well as by all other Semiles; and still more the ox was premitted to Israel both as sacrifice and ford, although it was worshipped by the Camaniles and its sacretones formed the strongest temptation to idoletry which Israel encountered. Therefore, the same of the same is the same

This is offered by another explanation, according to which an animal was unclean to Israel not because it was sacramentally eaten in a heathen shrine, but because I-rael themselves believed, or had once believed, that it was the inhabitation of some malignant, supernatural power. Referring to the prohibition of sheres or creeping things because so intensely unclean as to infect whatever they touch (Lev. xi. 20 ff.). W. R. Smith says: 'So strict a taboo is hardly to be explained except by supposing that like the Arabian hanash1 they had supernatural and demoniac qualities '(Rel. Sem. 275, cp. 143 and Kinship, 306). But such a religious belief itself requires explanation. It can have sprung only from these sources :-- unfamiliarity with the animals pronounced unclean (as we have seen Arabs of the desert abhorring fish enjoyed by Arabs of the coast, or Israel regarding the cantel as unclean while Arabs of all times have partaken of its flesh), or some experience of the pernicious effects of eating certain animals (as the Syrians, with whom fish were sacred to Atargatis, thought that 'if they are a sprat or anchovy they were visited with ulcers, swellings and wasting sickness,' Rel-Sem., 429 f.), or some accidental coincidence between the eating of an animal and an outbreak of disease. It was very natural for men to ascribe to a hostile demon, resident in the animal, both the fear with which the sight of its strange or repulsive shape affected them and any sickness they may have suffered after enting its flesh. So they called this not 'unwholesome' but ritually unclean (tame'). The primary factor, however, in this religious instinct was the strangeness of the beast or its evil taste or the deleterious consequences, real or imaginary. of eating it. And this is confirmed by the primitive rule as to what fruits might be eaten; and Jehovah caused to spring every tree pleasant to the sight and good for food, and commanded men saying, Of every tree in the garden thou mayest surely eat (J, Gen. il. 9, 16). It is ilifficult to say whether tahor and tame? meant first physically, or ritually, clean and unclean, though the general analogy of such terms in Hebrew would point to the former; but it is at least significant that before animals were divided into taker and tame? they were simply called tahör and not-tahör (Gen. vii. 2).

Another form of the religious explanation of the distinction between clean and unclean animals derives this from totemism. The totem of a

<sup>1</sup> Which covers reptiles, rats, mice, insects, etc.

tribe is an animal (less frequently a plant) which the tribe recognise as physically akin to themselves and as invested with supernatural powers. W. R. Smith and others have argued that, like most primitive races, the ancient Semites also had their totems; and the evidence for this is considerable. The names of a number of Semitic persons and tribes are animal names. In the O.T. we find Rabel Ewe, Leah Antelope or wild cow, Nun Fish, Kaleb Dog, 'Akhor Monse, Huldah Weasel, Shaphan Rock-badger, 'Oreb Raven and 'Ayyah Kite. Among the Arabs there are many more (W. R. Smith, Kinship, 17, 190 ff., gives a list of personal names identical with those both of clean and unclean animals; cp. Musil's lists in Ethn. Ber. and Von Oppenheim's in Von Mittelmeer sum Persischen Golf). In Harran the dog, and among the Arabs the rock-badger, were regarded as the brothers of man (Kinship, 201, 204). The totems are most frequently wild animals, for totemism is characteristic of the hunting stage of human life; and nothing does more to break it up than the adoption of pastoral habits along with the notions which these suggest of the kinship of man with his milk giving heasts through fosterage. But primitively the domestic animals may also have been totens till higher ideas of divinity became attached to them. 'In almost all ancient nations in the pastoral and agricultural stage the chief associations of the great deities are with the milk-giving animals; and it is these animals, the ox, the sheep, the goat, or in Arabia the camel, that appear as victims in the public and national worship.' The gods grew out of and replaced the animal demons (Rel. Sem., 336 f.; cp. 129 f.). But the older ideas survived, as is seen from their recrudescence in Syria, in the 8th and 7th centt., when the national and tribal faiths were broken up. The sacredness imputed to all these animals would affect the use of them in different and opposite ways. It would compel abstention from them as common food, but it would also be the motive of their sacramental use upon solemu occasions, when by partaking of its flesh the tribesmen entered into communion with their totem. Tribes uniting with each other would respect the sacredness of their respective totems and thus after or modify their own food customs. Or again the totem of their enemies might be solemnly slaughtered and easen by a tribe as if to absorb the qualities of that beast or to signify the destruction of its human kin (Stade, Gesch. Isr., 1, 484). Or again totems might be used medicinally. We cannot limit the directions in which the easily startled mind of primitive man will spring under fear, or hate, or hope, or some other passion. No wonder, then, that Stade (Inc. cit.) describes all prohibitions of foods as going back to toternism. W. R. Smith (Kuship, 310) adds this argument: 1 that the Hebrew list of forbidden foods is largely made up of the names of creatures that there could be no temptation to eat under ordinary circumstances, is naturally explained by the theory just put forward."

These general conclusions are, however, precarions. It cannot be proved that every animal unclean to Israel was, or had been, a toteu of one of their own tribes or of an alien people. The hare does not appear as such, but on the contrary was believed by the Arabs to be avoided by all demons or jions (Eet. Sens., 123 n. r.; ep. Jacob, Altarah Bethinshiden, 20.) Probably for that very reason, the use of its head or of one of its hones as an amulet was both ancient and wide-spread among the Arabs. Arabs also use as medicine one of the kirds unclean to Israel, the rashins or carrion vulture (r. 17), as well as parts of serpents (Masil, Eitha. Ber., 19, 15). Ver the fact that all the unclean birds on the Hobrew lists are carrion-feeders leaves it as possible that the problishin of them was due to the natural digast they created as that it was due to their being on to their having once been, exceeding the control of their unlawfulness as food, why are the beasts of prey not also detailed by name?

Above all the advocates of a totemistic explanation of the distinction between clean and unclean flesh-foods take no notice of certain other influences which must have disturbed and altered any system of foods based upon totemism. One of these was the frequency of famine as the result either of war or of natural causes. Deprived of their usual and sacred foods tribes would be forced to experiment with kinds of flesh which for one reason or another they had hitherto scrupulously avoided. In famine-cursed Arabia this may have been the origin of the eating of lizards and serpents. Nor can we ignore the common, everyday sagacity of men, always more or less sharpened by the struggle for the means of living. And, besides, there was the moral sense which we have already (in connection with the sacrifice of children) found operative even among the heathen Semites. If excesses in eating or in drinking, or sexual abuses, were developed in connection with rites, whose centre was the enjoyment of the flesh of a particular animal, there may well have been a revolt against the use of that flesh either ordinarily or as a sacrament.

Obviously, then, it is injudicious to allow to tolemism more than a contributory part in the formation of those customs in the use of flesh foods which prevailed throughout the Semitic world. Baldensperger's description of the distinctions in eating wild bestva and birds observed by the present natives of Pollestine implies that these are due to several factors—transfation, observation of what the beauty and birds eat, and natural diagnat at the propersities of some to carrious the general control of the series when the control of the properties of the control of the co

Probably all the causes suggested had something to do with the complex and varying results. Both physical and religious motives were at work; and the latter must have often been suggested by the complex of the property of the property of the property of an animal or the sickness which followed the eating of its flesh would inevitably start the belief that a demonate power was present in the animal. In the case of animals adopted as to forms other ideas were operative. Where the animal gave milk the seems of illustic than the property of the property of

imagined friendliness on its part, or the wearing of its skin, or some human resemblance in its features, or some weird pride in imitating its habits or in likening its strength to one's own. The effects of totemism on the tribe's food-customs may be inferred with greater certainty; but as we have seen they are variable, opposite and even contradictory. And again all such religious and totemistic practices would be crossed and warped both by natural and by historical events; by the stress of famine and the outbreak of plague, or by migration and the alliances and amalgamations of tribes with different totems. For it is only by so complex a variety of influences, both within totemism and acting upon it, that we can account for what seem to be the arbitrary and inconsistent features in the various Semitic systems of the distinction of foods into clean and unclean. We cannot forget that through all the complexity of religious and social customs there must have been constantly operative the practical need of proving what beasts, birds and fishes were good for food and what were deleterious. Only thus can weexplain the adoption of fish as food by tribes to which fish had been at first abhorrent. The simple rule to eat what was good for food is remembered in I as primitive and was no doubt always at work. It would require merely another of those religious fictions, in which Semitic societies were expert, to reconcile the happy experience of some new form of food with the religious system under which it had previously been forbidden.

That all such influences had also once affected the tribes which united to form Israel is certain. Even under the written Law Israel's system of clean and unclean foods remains too similar to the customs of other Semites to leave us in doubt upon that point. But within historical times some of the influences had ceased to act directly on Israel and others came into operation. At the beginning of their history the Hebrews were out of the hunter stage of life and into the pastoral. Totemism, replaced by higher forms of religion, had disappeared or was confined to obscure portions of the people (note, however, as a survival to the days of Hezekiah the Nehushtan or brazen serpent in the Temple). Food customs springing from totemism or similar superstitions remained after their origin was forgotten. With the people's settlement on more fertile lands the ox became, in addition to the gost and sheep, a domestic animal; and the sacredness of the relation of all three to the people is obvious from the fact that they could be eaten only sacramentally. On the other hand, Israel's free use of certain wild animals may have been determined by the fact that like the domestic animals these are of herbare only, while as they stood in no sacred relation to the people they might be slain and eaten without sacrifice. The people's original unfamiliarity with the camel, joined it may be with the fact that it was sacred to foreigners, is a sufficient reason for considering its flesh as unclean. Further effects of their settlement are seen in the differences between others of their foodcustoms and those of the desert Arabs. They shared that aversion to wild boars and reptiles which (as we have seen) still distinguishes the fellahin from the nomads. Whatever may have been their original

25-

feelings as to fish, they are fish in Palestine as freely as the Arabs begin to do after settlement in Moab or Gilead. That they ruled out eels and lampreys, the former with very minute scales the latter with none, is intelligible enough, since in shape these resemble serpents. They abstained from birds which feed on carrion and from loathsome wild animals; but whether the motive to this abstention was solely one of disgust or was due as well to the fact that these animals were sacred to other tribes is a point on which we have not enough evidence. On insects and reptiles Deut, xiv, of, is varue, locusts may or may not be forbidden by it; but H, Lev. xi. 20-21, defines what locusts may be eaten, and in a Priestly addition to H. Lev. xi. 2 ft., there are more detailed directions as to unclean beasts. Such differences imply a growth in the customs of Israel, especially with regard to animals on the line of separation and difficult to distinguish in their structure from each other. That the weasel (or rat?) and the mouse. while not mentioned in Deut., are expressly forbidden in Lev. xi. 29, may be due to the recrudescence in the 6th cent, of those rites in which their flesh was sacramentally enjoyed (see above); but more probably we owe it to the scribes' increasing love of detail, since Deut, xiv, is itself subsequent to the 7th cent.

We cannot doubt that the higher ethical spirit which distinguishes Irarel from their Semitic kinstolk, even from the earliest times, had some influence on the people's practice with regard to foods, especially by disciplining the appatite. But of this there are no marks in the written law. There the determining factor is hadriess, i.e. ritual secaration to elboyath. Of course from this there followed those ethical

effects to which sufficient allusion has been made above.



# INDEX

Abarim 359
Abib, month 208 f.
'abomination' xxxiii, 116, 186
acacia wood 132
adultery xxxv, 265 ff.

agriculture practised by Israel in Egypt 146; agricultural year in Palestine 149

Altar and Sanctuary, law of the One, see One altar &c.

Amalek 290 f. 'amen' 305 'Ammon 37, 45, 269

Amorites Ivi, 7 f., 27, 48, 106 Anakim 19

angels of nations 347
animals, clean and unclean 185 ff.,
382 ff.; against mixing animals
in ploughing 262; slaughter and

eating of domestic 169 f., 171, 186; of wild 115, 171 antelope 187

anthropomorphism 9, 63 f., 80 n.,

353, 368 Ar 34, 37 'Arabah 2, 8, 32, 52, 134, 153, 378 Arabs 97, 102, 172, 187, 232, 244 f., 247, 254, 286, 288, 344;

animal food among the 186 ff., 383 ff.; divorce among the 265, 277; stature of the 19; vendetta among the 240

Aigob 47 Ark, bearers of the 135 f.; making of the 131 ff. Ainon 38, 44

Aroer 44 Asher, blessing of 375 Asherah, the 217 ff. asps 355 'assembly' of f.

astial worship 65 'augury' 231 'avenger of blood' xxxii f., 238, 240

one Google

Avvim, the 38

Baalim xxxi, 150, 295 Baal-peor 58 ban, see herem

barley 120 base fellows 181 Bashan 46; buils of 349

bastard 269 bat 191 beating, punishment by 284 f.

bedstead' of iron 49
Beduin 122, 133, 189
Beeroth Bene-jaakan 134

Beeroth Bene-Jaakan 134 Bel 345 Benjamin, blessing of 369 Beth peor 56

Beth peor 56 Bezer 75 'bill of divorcement' 278

birds 189 ff., 260, 388, 390 Blessings, the 308 ff. blood is the life 171 f.; not to be

eaten 171
'boil of Egypt,' the 312
Booths, Feast of 209, 213 f.
boundary stones 240 ff.

brass 121 bread 118, 121 bride, the suspected xxxv, 263 f. bronze 121 brooks 120

burning of children in sacrifice 1741.

Caleb, son of Jephunneh 23 camel 188, 384, camp, the holiness of the 271 f. Canaanites 8, 106 'captains' 11 captivity, selling into 43 cattle 122, 182, 186 cave-dwellers 35 chamois 187 'charmer' 222

'chastisement' 144

'children of Israel' 77; origin of Israel 362 ff. children, power of Israelite parents over 157; not to be put to death

for parents 182 Chinnereth 52, 375

cities 181; treatment of captured 247 ff.; of refuge 73 f., 136 ff.;

walled 10 ' city of palm trees, 'the 379 eity seduced to other gods to be

destroyed 181 clean and unclean animals 18x ff.,

clothes of other sex not to be worn

cloths in a garment, against mixing

'commandment' (miswah) 57, 94 ff., 145, 226, 329 commandments, the ten, see Deca-

logue commerce, foreign 201

coney 188 Congregation, right of entry into the 268

congregation of Israel 11 copper 111

corn 113, 149, 193; use, at need, of others' 276 'covenant' 63

Covenant, Book of the xx f., xliiff., xciv, 70 covet' 91

'creeping things' 385 f.; winged crime, individual responsibility for

criminal, exposed corpse of a 257 f.

'cubit of a man,' the 49 curses, the 304 ff., 310 ff.

Dan, blessing of 374; site of 379 Dathan and Abiram 145 dead, rites for the, forbidden 182 f., 107

debts, remission of 108 ff. Decalogue, the 64, 78f., 148, 156f.; earliest known occurrence of the

term 81: a 'second' 82: Preface to 86 f.; various arrangements of 86

deep,' the 370 demons 350, 386

'depths' 120

Deuteronomy: names bxf.; content, structure and style x ff.; standpoint, doctrine and spirit xviii ff.; ethics xxxii ff.; Deut. and the Law-Book of Josiah xlff. . xciv ff.; date of x l ff., cx ff.; questions and conclusions as to unity of xlvff., xci ff.; relations to each other of the Code and the Discourses xlvii ff.; compiled from various sources lxxi; crossdivisious and distinctions Ixii ff.: singular and plural forms of address lxxiiiff., 95ff., 110ff., 117, 123 ff., 138 ff., 279; aditorial

factors lxxxviii ff. : the ages of, and its contents xciv ff.; laws peculiar to lxviii; questions as to the form and contents of, and their answers ex ff.; Mosaic authorship examined evii ff.; conspectus of code 154 ff.

disphedient son, a 256 f. 'divination' 231 divorce xxxiv, 276 ff. Di-zahab 2 f. 'door-posts' 100

eagle 18g; gier 18g Ebal, Mt 152, 300 ff., 304 Edomites, treatment of 270 Edrei 46, 40 Egypt, diseases of 124, 312; horses

in 225; rainless 147 f.; methods of irrigation 147; still born children in 174

Elath 33 'elders' lxx, 116, 139 Elohim 60 emerods 312 Emim, the 35 'enchapter' 232 Eshcol 16 ennuchs 268 f.

Euphrates 8, 151 exile, threat of \$16; life in \$10; conditions of restoration from 327 ff. Ezion-geber 33 fallen heasts, assisting to lift 250 f. 'familiar spirit' 232

fatherhood of God 184 'field' 91 firstborn, the rights of the 255 f. 'firstfruits' 228; presentation of 202 f.

'firstlings' 193, 206 ff., 252; perquisite of priests 207 fishes 189, 384, 386, 390 flesh, use of for food 186 foods, clean and unclean 181ff., 382 ff.

'fool,' 'folly' 265 'foreigner' 200 'forest' 237 'for ever' 206 'forty stripes' 285

'forty years' 32 'fountains' 120 'frontless' 100 fruit-trees 101, 120; sparing the, in a siege 249 f.

Gad, blessing of 373 'gall' 324 gashing the flesh forbilden 184 gazelle 171, 186 Gebal 27 generation, length of a 32 ger xxxv, 13, 197, 229, 283, 323 Gerizim, Mt 152, 304 Gezer 18, 20, 35, 172, 174, 219, 368 giants, trailitions of 10f. gift'= bribe 216

Gilead 44, 50, 378 f. Gilgal 153 Girgashite 106 gleaning 283 goats 186; wild 187

God, anthropomorphic language used of 9, 63 f., 80 n., 353, 368; not to be represented in any form 61 ff., 63 Golan 75

'graven images' 83, 85 f., r16 Gudgodah 134

'H,' relation of to Deut, lxvii f. Hammuralii, Code of 60, 87, 204,

216, 224, 231, 241, 243, 251, 256, 259, 261, 2641., 268, 2731., 277, 280, 284, 302 hare 188, 383, 387 hart 171, 186

harvest in Palestine 212; Feast of hawk 190; night 190

Hazeroth 2 'heap,' an 182 ' heart ' 69, 99, 321

'heave offering' 164 heavens, the 140, 151, 343 f. herem, the lvii, 421., 1821., 247

Hermon, Mt 48 heron 191, 383 Heshban 40

Hezekiah, Dent. and the reign of ci ff.

' Higa,' the 244 'hill-country,' the 8 'hinder sea,' the 379

Hittites 105 f. Hivites 106 'holiness' in Deuteronomy and

other O.T. writers 108 ff., 184, 309, 300 hone/ 348 11or, Mt 359

Horeb, Mt 3, 82 ff., 127 Horites 35 Hormah 27 hornets 115 horses 225

Ilusea exiv f., 26, 93, 102, 108 f., 112, 219 f. hot springs 121

house, dedication of a new 246 f. human sacrifices 174 ff. humanity of Dent. laws xxxv ff.

idolatry, against 61 ff.; danger of xxix f. 'idols' 314 'imagination' 330

incense, use of 368 'increase mightily' or 'increase mightily' or Ingathering, Feast of 208 ff. interest on loans 274 frond 49, 121; smelting of 66 Israel, Jehovah's people xxvi ff., 107 fr., 498 ft.; origin of 362 ff.; national organisation r i ff. Issachar, blessing of 372.

'itch' 312 Jabbok 45, 120 Jabaz 41 Jebusite 106 'IE' used by Deu

Jeshurun 349, 365

Jebuste 169
'JE," used by Deut, lxvii
Jehovah exv, 7, 84, 87, 98, ro4;
of Hosts 243; name of 163;
fatherhood of 184; Israel's experience of 60; love for Israel
xxviiff., 171; Rock 345, 350
Jericho rg, 379

Jews, fame among the Gentiles 59 f. Jordan 300 f.; 'beyond Jordan' 1, 3: 74 Joseph, blessing of 369 f. Joshua, appointment of 332 ff.;

charge to 336 ff.
Josiah, the Law-Book of xl ff.,
xlvii, xciv ff.
Jothathah 134
Judah, blessing of 365 f.

Judah, blessing of 365 f. judges 215; of final appeal 222 f., 242; tribal xxxii, lxx, 9 ff., 215 f. justice, administration of xxxii ff.

Kadesh-barnea 3 Kaphtor 38 Kedemoth 40 Kibroth-hattaavah 130 kid 192 king, the 224 knots of tassels 262 Kohathites 136 f.

Laban 2
Lachish 18 f.

'Iandmark' 24r
Law, the, superiority of to other
codes 60; the conscience of
329 ff.; delivered to the Levites

334 f.; written 225, 300 ff., 317, 334 f., 340 Lebanon 8, 55 lending 201 leprosy 180 Levi, blessing of 366 Levites xxiii, 134 ff., 167, 196, 226 ff., 304 f., 367 ff.

locust 314, 390 lost property, restoring 259 'lowland,' the 8

Machir 51 magic 233 makim 160

Manasseh, Deut, and the reign of c 'man of God' 362 manstealing, against 280

marriage with foreigner forbidden 107; Levirate 286 ff.; remarriage after divorce 276 ff.; with a female captive of war 354 f. married, exemption of newly 279 Massah roz. 130, 367 Massebah, the 210 f.

Massôth 209, 212
Megiddo 219
Meribah 360
Meribath Kadesh 363
Mesha of Moah 42, 44, 174
mill or upper millstone, against
taking in pledge 280
mingled stuff 76 bidden 262

minister ' 136 Moab 5, 37, 85, 94, 187, 246, 249, 269, 372; plaints of 378; wilderness of 34 Moabite stone, the 4LL, 87 Molammed 185, 254 Molech 175

'money' 194 monotheism 60, 68, 86, 98 Moserah 134 Moses, relation of to Deuteronomy cvii ff.; prayer of 54 ff.; Plessing

cvii ff.; prayer of 54 ff.; Blessing of 360 ff.; last days and discourses of 332 ff.; death and burial of 358 f., 378 ff.; Song of 342 ff. mother bird, sparing the 260 mountains as places for shrines 161; 'mountain,' a whole range 55 murder, accidental and wilful

236 ff.; expiation of an untraced 250 ff.

mutilation, punishment by 280

'name of God,' the 163 Naphtuli, blessing of 375, 379 Nebo, Mt 359, 378 necklaces 205 1 necromancer 1 232 Negeb 8 1 neighbour 1 200

'no people ' 342 f.

'oaks of Moreli' 133 f. oaths 102

offerings, burnt 164, 244, 368; freewill 165, 213; heave 164; meat 164; peace 164, 209 officers' 12

Og, king of Bashan 45 ff., 49 oil 113, 120, 149, 193 olives 120, 149, 283 f., 314, 348,

One Altar and Sanctuary, the law of xxiv f., xxxi, evii ff., 155 ff., 160 ff., 166 ff., 209, 226, 240,

orphan, against injustice to the 283 ostrich 190, 383 f. owl, great, horned, and little 100 ox 186, 386, 389; muzzling the

285 f.; wild 371

' l',' relation of to Deut. Ixvii f. Paran 2, 363 l'assover (with Massôth). Feast of 208 ff.

l'erizzite 106 l'etra 219 Phoenicia 8, 20, 48, 106, 373 Pisgah 52, 55 plagues, seven 311 ff. Plain, the 379 plains of Moab 378 pledges, laws concerning 281

prayer at table 122 Diniera Google 'priests the Levites,' the xxiii, 226 f., 253, 303, 305

primitive man and religion 101 prophet, as dreamer 176 f.; in contrast to diviner 230 f., 233; test

of a prophet's word 234 f. punishment, excessive forbidden 284

rainfall, annual, in l'alestine 149 Ramoth in Gilead 75 Refuge, cities of 73 f., 236 remarriage after divorce forbidden

Remission, Year of 108 ff. Rephaim, the 19, 38, 49 'respect persons' 14

Reuben, blessing of 365 righteousness 104 tock-badger 188, 383, 387 Rock, the, a synonym for God

345, 350 Loofs, inw as to protecting 261

Sabbath 84 ff., 88 sacrifices, kinds of 164; animal 164.

206 ff., 252; blemished 220; human 174 f., 350; of children by slaughter and burning 174 ff. ; preference for male victims 207

Salecah 49 sundal 288 sarcophagus at Sidon 49, 87 scorpions 122 SCUIVY 312

sea, Hebrew conception of the 65

'sea shore,' the 8 seeds, against mixing 261 Seir 3, 27 serpents 388; 'fiery' 122

shaduf, the 147 shaving the hair or beard 184 f., 254 sheep 113, 122, 186 Shēma1, the 97 Shephelah 8

shoe, symbolism of 288 'signs' 71, 177 Sihon 40 Sinai, Mt 363, 371

Sion, Mt 78 Sirion 48 Sirocco, the 312 slaughter of animals, sacramental

169
slaves xxxv, 89, 99, 101, 206;
causes by which Israelites became 203; condition of, in Israel
203; emancipation of 202 ff.;

runaway 272 f. smoke of sacrifice 368 soothsayers 231 'sorcerer' 132 'soul' 69

'soul' 69 'South,' the 8 spies, the 14 ff.

stature of Arabs and Syrians 19
'statutes and judgements' 57 f.,
94, 96, ro3, 154 ff.

stoning to death 180, 221

'strange gods ' 338 'stranger' xxxv, 13, 196, 229, 283,

'street' 182 'strife' 11

'strong drink '195 Suph 2 'swine' 188, 385

Ta'anak 219, 368
Tabernal 130
Tabernalces, Feast of 214
'tables of stone' 128, 132f.
Talmud and the Decalogue 86
Tell-el-Amarna letters 8, 27, 65,

218f.
'temptations' 71
'tem of meeting' 337
'Ten Words,' the 64, 81; see Decalogue
'Artimonies' the 77

logue logue, the 77 'testimonies,' the 77 'Testimony,' the 82 tithes 164, 192 ft., 195 ft, 293; triennial distribution of 295 ft.. Topbel 2

Tirah 5, 60, 226, 302, 340

\_\_\_\_

totemism 387 ff. trade, foreign 201 trees as places for worship 154, 161 'tributary' 248

unchastity 262 ff, 'unseemly thing' 278

'unseemly thing' 278 usury 274 f. vendetta xxxii f., 240

vine 120, 149, 246 vows 275 f.

vows 275 f. wage-earner, payment of 282 war 71, 243 fl.; a religious process

42f., 243f.; exemptions from service 244 ff., 279 f.; Puritans and 244 waters of Palestine, characteristic

waters of Palestine, characteristic 119 f. 'water under the earth' 65 water-wheels 147 wearing the clothes, etc., of the

wearing the clothes, etc., of the other sex, against 260 Weeks, Feast of 208 ff., 212 f weights and measures, against divers 28 gf. wheat 120

widow, against injustice to the 283 wife 91, 167, 179; against intercourse with a father's 267 f.; the bondman's 202 'wilderness,' the 2

wine 113, 149, 193, 195 winesses 221, 241 ff. 'wonders' 71, 177 'Words,' the Ten 81 worship, heathen places of 161

year, division of the 4

Zamzumnim, the 37, 106 Zebulun, blessing of 372 Zered, the brook 35 Zin, wilderness of 360 Zoar 379

CAMBRIDGE; PRINTED BY J. B. PEACE, M. A., AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS

89094611936

Sem. 1960-61-2 hour

B89094611936A



